BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

WILLIAM C. STANEK,)	
Appellant,)	Case No. 09R 260
v.)	DECISION AND ORDER
)	DISMISSING FOR WANT OF
DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF)	JURISDICTION
EQUALIZATION,)	(Filing Defect)
)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Appellee.)	

The above-captioned case was called for a hearing on an Order to Show Cause (Filing Defect) why the appeal by William C. Stanek ("the Taxpayer") to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission ("the Commission") should not be dismissed. The hearing was held in the Commission's Hearing Room on the sixth floor of the Nebraska State Office Building in the City of Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, on January 20, 2010, pursuant to an Order to Show Cause (Filing Defect) issued December 31, 2009. Commissioners Hotz and Warnes were present. Commissioner Warnes was the presiding hearing officer. Commissioner Wickersham was absent. Commissioner Salmon was excused. The appeal was heard by a quorum of a panel of the Commission.

William C. Stanek was present by telephone at the hearing. No one appeared as legal counsel for the Taxpayer.

Thomas S. Barrett, a Deputy County Attorney for Douglas County, Nebraska, was present by telephone as legal counsel for the Douglas County Board of Equalization ("the County Board").

The Commission took statutory notice, received exhibits and heard testimony.

The Commission is required to state its final decision and order concerning an appeal,

with findings of fact and conclusions of law, on the record or in writing. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5018 (Cum. Supp. 2008). The final decision and order of the Commission in this case is as follows.

I. ISSUES

Whether the appeal should be dismissed for failure to include an order of final determination.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission finds and determines that:

- The Taxpayer has a sufficient interest in the outcome of the above captioned appeal to maintain the appeal.
- 2. An appeal was received on September 11, 2009. (Case File).
- 3. The appeal was postmarked on September 9, 2009. (Case File).
- 4. Documentation of the decision, order, determination or action appealed from was not received with the appeal. (Case File).
- 5. The appeal in this case was filed based on an action of the County Board pursuant to Section 77-1502 of Nebraska Statues.
- 6. Douglas County has adopted a resolution extending the date for hearing protests pursuant to Section 77-1502 of Nebraska Statutes.

III. APPLICABLE LAW

- 1. The Commission obtains jurisdiction over an appeal when it is timely filed, the filing fee is timely received and thereafter paid and a copy of the decision, order, determination, or action appealed from, or other information that documents the decision, order, determination, or action appealed from is timely filed. Neb. Rev Stat. §77-5013 (Cum. Supp. 2008).
- 2. The documentation required by Section 77-5013 of Nebraska Statues is deemed timely received if placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid, with a legible postmark for delivery to the commission, or received by the commission, on or before the date specified by law for filing the appeal. (Cum. Supp 2008).
- 3. An appeal from an action of a county board of equalization pursuant to section 77-1502 of Nebraska Statutes must be filed on or before August 24, or on or before September 10 if the county has adopted a resolution to extend the deadline for hearing protests under Section 77-1502. (Supp. 2009).
- 4. Parties cannot confer subject matter jurisdiction on a tribunal by acquiescence or consent nor may it be created by waiver, estoppel, consent, or conduct of the parties. *Creighton St. Joseph Regional Hospital v. Nebraska Tax Equalization and Review Commission*, 260 Neb. 905, 620 N.W.2d 90 (2000).

IV. ANALYSIS

The Taxpayer testified that he did not include the final decision of the County Board, however, he did send what he had obtained from the Douglas County Assessor's office. He

stated that he never did receive the final decision from the County Board. No explanation was provided for what value the Taxpayer believed he was appealing and why he did not comply with the directions of the appeal form, item number two, requiring a copy of the final decision of the County Board. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5013(1)(d) requires a copy of the final decision with the appeal. (Cum. Supp. 2008). Since a copy of the final decision did not accompany the appeal the Tax Equalization and Review Commission does not have jurisdiction.

V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission does not have subject matter jurisdiction in this appeal.

VI. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The appeal in Case No. 09R 260 is dismissed.
- 2. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.

Signed and Sealed January 28, 2010.

Robert W.	Hotz, Con	nmissione	r
	,		