## BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

Bel Fury Investments Group, LLC, Appellant,

v.

Douglas County Board of Equalization, Appellee.

Case Nos: 18R 0355 18R 0356 & 19R 0438

**Amended** Decision and Order Affirming County Board of Equalization

## This order has been amended to correct a clerical error in the caption.

## Background

- 1. The Subject Property is a single family dwelling, with a legal description of: West Dodge Lot 13 Block 19 –Ex W 66 FT Lot 12 & All Lot 13 110x140.
- 2. The Douglas County Assessor (the Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$115,000 for tax years 2018 and 2019.
- 3. Bel Fury Investments Group (the Taxpayer) protested this value to the Douglas County Board of Equalization (the County Board) and requested an assessed value of \$81,000 for tax year 2018 and \$96,000 for tax year 2019.
- 4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$115,000 for tax years 2018 and 2019.
- 5. The Taxpayer appealed the determinations of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).
- 6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on February 27, 2020, at the Commission Hearing Room, Sixth Floor, Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska, before Commissioner James D. Kuhn.
- 7. Scott W. Bloemer was present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
- 8. Larry Thomsen (the Appraiser) was present for the County Board.

### Applicable Law

- 9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.<sup>1</sup>
- 10. The Commission's review of the determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1301(1) (Reissue 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019 (2009).

- 11. When considering an appeal a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action." That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."
- 12. The order, decision, determination or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.<sup>5</sup>
- 13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.<sup>6</sup>
- 14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.<sup>7</sup>
- 15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.<sup>8</sup>

# Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

- 16. The Taxpayer stated that the Subject Property is in need of many repairs that are cost prohibitive for a rental property. The Taxpayer stated improper footings were installed in the Subject Property at the time the addition was added to the original structure. The Taxpayer said some of the issues with the Subject Property are that the kitchen floor has dropped and is tilted; additionally, the sinking footings are causing the shingles to pull apart which is leading to water leaking into the home.
- 17. The Taxpayer believes the cost to correct all the negative issues with the Subject Property, such as footings, roof, and interior and exterior walls, would be nearly \$70,000 and the rents or possible sale of the property would never recover that cost of repair.
- 18. The Appraiser stated he has not seen any of the damage to the Subject Property, and the Taxpayer did not bring any photo evidence or estimates of repair; therefor, the Appraiser can not recommend any change in value. The Appraiser stated sales in the neighborhood would support the current valuation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal., 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

<sup>4 14</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal., 11 Neb. App. 171, 645 N.W.2d 821 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cf. *Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty.*, 179 Neb. 415, 138 N.W.2d 641 (1965) (determination of actual value); *Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. County Bd. of Equal. of York Cty.*, 209 Neb. 465, 308 N.W.2d 515 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

- 19. Without any photo evidence or estimates for repair, the evidence does not support a lower valuation.
- 20. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
- 21. The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determinations of the County Board are arbitrary or unreasonable and the decisions of the County Board should be affirmed.

#### **ORDER**

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Decisions of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax years 2018 and 2019 are affirmed.
- 2. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2018 and 2019 is:

Land	\$ 23,100
Improvements	\$ 91,900
Total	\$115,000

- 3. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Douglas County Treasurer and the Douglas County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
- 5. Each Party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
- 6. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax years 2018 and 2019.
- 7. This Decision and Order is effective on May 29, 2020.

Signed and Sealed: June 5, 2020

James D. Kuhn, Commissioner