# BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

JOSEPH R. BORER APPELLANT,

V.

LANCASTER COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, APPELLEE. CASE NO: 23R 1098

DECISION AND ORDER
AFFIRMING THE DECISION
OF THE LANCASTER
COUNTY BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION

## I. BACKGROUND

- 1. The Subject Property is an improved residential parcel in Lancaster County, parcel number 17-24-200-009-000.
- 2. The Lancaster County Assessor (the County Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$425,100 for tax year 2023.
- 3. Joseph R. Borer (the Taxpayer) protested this value to the Lancaster County Board of Equalization (the County Board).
- 4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$425,100 for tax year 2023.
- 5. The Taxpayer appealed the determination of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).
- 6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on August 1, 2024, at the Tax Equalization and Review Commission Hearing Room, Nebraska State Office Building, Lincoln, Nebraska, before Commissioner Jackie S. Russell.
- 7. Amy Borer was present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
- 8. Tim Johns (Appraiser) was present for the County Board.

## II. APPLICABLE LAW

- 9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.1
- 10. The Commission's review of a determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.<sup>2</sup>
- 11. When considering an appeal, a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action." That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."
- 12. The order, decision, determination, or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.<sup>5</sup>
- 13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1301(1) (Cum. Supp. 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019, 759 N.W.2d 464, 473 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal., 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

<sup>4</sup> Id. at 283-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal., 11 Neb. App. 171, 174-75, 645 N.W.2d 821, 826 (2002).

- 14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.<sup>7</sup>
- 15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.<sup>8</sup>

## III. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 16. The Subject Property is a one story, single family residential home built in 1979 with 1,626 square feet (SF) above grade, walkout basement area of 1,420 SF with 800 SF minimal finish, 13 plumbing fixtures, a quality rating of average (3), condition/desirability/utility rating of typical (4), an attached garage of 552 SF and second attached garage of 1,435 SF.
- 17. The Taxpayer stated the land valuation increase was arbitrary and excessive for one year.
- 18. The Taxpayer provided property detail pages from the County's website for review of properties with similar acre amounts in proximity of the Subject Property.
- 19. The properties located at 9940 Holdrege St and 1700 N 98<sup>th</sup> St reside in neighborhood classification "Rural 3700S" as set by the Assessor's office and support uniformity in value for a site method with the first acre at \$110,000 and additional acres at \$5,200 per acre.
- 20. The properties located at 11351 Wenzel Dr and 1501 Karlee Dr reside in neighborhood classification "Al Larson / Dagley Replat" as set by the Assessor's office and support uniformity in value for a site method with the first acre at \$125,000 and additional acres at \$6,150 per acre.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty., 179 Neb. 415, 418, 138 N.W.2d 641, 643 (1965) (determination of actual value); Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. Cty. Bd. of Equal. of York Cty., 209 Neb. 465, 468, 308 N.W.2d 515, 518 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

- 21. The Taxpayer has not presented information to demonstrate that the neighborhood of the Subject Property is comparable to the neighborhoods of the properties presented, only that the size of the lots are similar.
- 22. The Appraiser attested that the Subject Property neighborhood is under development with new lots being subdivided and sold.
- 23. The Appraiser attested that the sold prices of lots in the Subject Property are studied and quantified by a site method value applied to pre-existing properties in the neighborhood using professionally accepted mass appraisal practices.
- 24. The Appraiser stated that the properties supplied by the Taxpayer are in pre-existing neighborhoods with no growth available.
- 25. All taxable real property, with the exception of agricultural land and horticultural land, shall be valued at actual value for purposes of taxation.<sup>9</sup>
- 26. Actual value of real property for purposes of taxation means the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade. Actual value may be determined using professionally accepted mass appraisal methods, including, but not limited to, the (1) sales comparison approach using the guidelines in section 77-1371, (2) income approach, and (3) cost approach. Actual value is the most probable price expressed in terms of money that a property will bring if exposed for sale in the open market, or in an arm's length transaction, between a willing buyer and willing seller, both of whom are knowledgeable concerning all the uses to which the real property is adapted and for which the real property is capable of being used. In analyzing the uses and restrictions applicable to real property, the analysis shall include a consideration of the full description of the physical characteristics of the real property and an identification of the property rights being valued.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-201(1)-(3) (Reissue 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-112 (Reissue 2018).

- 27. The Appraiser provided a Comparable Sales Report to support the Subject Property valuation with recently sold properties along with their PRFs, detailing their components of comparability and adjustments to the sale prices based on professionally accepted mass appraisal practices, which support the Subject Property valuation, as the comparables provided by the County demonstrate similar per-acre land values in similarly developed areas.
- 28. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
- 29. The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determination of the County Board is arbitrary or unreasonable and the decision of the County Board should be affirmed.

#### IV. ORDER

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2023 is affirmed.
- 2. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2023 is:

Land	\$172,500
Improvements	\$252,600
Total	\$425,100

- 3. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Lancaster County Treasurer and the Lancaster County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
- 5. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.

- 6. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax year 2023.
- 7. This Decision and Order is effective on August 26, 2024.

Signed and Sealed: August 26, 2024



Jackie S. Russell, Commissioner