BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

GREGORY S. BROADFOOT APPELLANT,

CASE NO: 22R 0538

V.

RED WILLOW COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, APPELLEE. DECISION AND ORDER
AFFIRMING THE DECISION
OF THE RED WILLOW
COUNTY BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION

I. BACKGROUND

- 1. The Subject Property is an improved residential parcel in Red Willow County, parcel number 000655200.
- 2. The Red Willow County Assessor (the County Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$408,005 for tax year 2022.
- 3. Gregory S. Broadfoot (the Taxpayer) protested this value to the Red Willow County Board of Equalization (the County Board) and requested an assessed value of \$375,000 for tax year 2022.
- 4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$408,005 for tax year 2022.
- 5. The Taxpayer appealed the determination of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).
- 6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on September 13, 2023, at Hampton Inn North Platte, 200 Platte Oasis Pkwy, North Platte, NE, before Commissioner James D. Kuhn.
- 7. Nancy Broadfoot was present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
- 8. Philip Lyons was present for the County Board.

II. APPLICABLE LAW

- 9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.1
- 10. The Commission's review of a determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.²
- 11. When considering an appeal, a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action." That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."
- 12. The order, decision, determination, or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.⁵
- 13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.⁶

¹ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1301(1) (Cum. Supp. 2020).

 $^{^2}$ See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019, 759 N.W.2d 464, 473 (2009).

³ Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal., 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

⁴ Id. at 283-84.

⁵ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

 $^{^6}$ Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal., 11 Neb. App. 171, 174-75, 645 N.W.2d 821, 826 (2002).

- 14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.⁷
- 15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.⁸

III. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 16. The Taxpayer asserted the increase in valuation of the Subject Property was excessive.
- 17. The Taxpayer asserted the valuation that the Commission found in 2020 should apply to the 2022 tax year.
- 18. The Taxpayer asserted that the previous valuation was an accurate reflection of the Market Value.
- 19. The assessed value for real property may be different from year to year according to the circumstances. For this reason, a prior year's assessment is not relevant to the subsequent year's valuation. The Commission must look to the value of the Subject Property as of January 1 of each tax year. 11
- 20. The Taxpayer asserted an appraisal was done at the time of the appeal to the commission in 2020. However, this appraisal was not presented by the Taxpayer at the hearing.
- 21. The Taxpayer did not present any evidence supporting an alternative value for tax year 2022.
- 22. The County Board presented Property Record Files (PRFs) on comparable properties that supported the assessed value.

3

⁷ Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty., 179 Neb. 415, 418, 138 N.W.2d 641, 643 (1965) (determination of actual value); Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. Cty. Bd. of Equal. of York Cty., 209 Neb. 465, 468, 308 N.W.2d 515, 518 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value).

⁸ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

⁹ Affiliated Foods Coop. v. Madison Co. Bd. of Equal., 229 Neb. 605, 614, 428 N.W.2d 201, 206 (1988); see Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1502 (Reissue 2018).

¹⁰ Afflilated Foods Coop., 229 Neb. at 613, 428 N.W.2d at 206; DeVore v. Board of Equal., 144 Neb. 351, 354-55, 13 N.W.2d 451, 452-53 (1944).

¹¹ Neb. Rev. Stat §77-1301(Reissue 2018).

- 23. The County Board testified there was a 6% increase in valuation for improvements for the Subject Property's market area which explains the increase in value.
- 24. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
- 25. The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determination of the County Board is arbitrary or unreasonable and the decision of the County Board should be affirmed.

IV. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2022 is affirmed.
- 2. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2022 is:

Total \$408,000

- 3. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Red Willow County Treasurer and the Red Willow County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
- 5. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
- 6. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax year 2022.
- 7. This Decision and Order is effective on October 20, 2023.

Signed and Sealed: October 20, 2023



James D. Kuhn, Commissioner