# BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

DIANE M. WHITE MCCALL APPELLANT,

V.

LANCASTER COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, APPELLEE. CASE NO: 21R 0697

DECISION AND ORDER
AFFIRMING THE DECISION
OF THE LANCASTER
COUNTY BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION

# I. BACKGROUND

- 1. The Subject Property is an improved residential parcel in Lancaster County, parcel number 11-12-322-014-000.
- 2. The Lancaster County Assessor (the County Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$163,900 for tax year 2021.
- 3. Diane M. White McCall (the Taxpayer) protested this value to the Lancaster County Board of Equalization (the County Board).
- 4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$163,900 for tax year 2021.
- 5. The Taxpayer appealed the determination of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).
- 6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on October 19, 2022, at the Tax Equalization and Review Commission Hearing Room, Nebraska State Office Building, Lincoln, Nebraska, before Commissioner James D. Kuhn.
- 7. Diane M. White McCall was present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
- 8. Bret Smith (the Appraiser) was present for the County Board.

# II. APPLICABLE LAW

- 9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.1
- 10. The Commission's review of a determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.<sup>2</sup>
- 11. When considering an appeal, a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action." That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."
- 12. The order, decision, determination or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.<sup>5</sup>
- 13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1301(1) (Cum. Supp. 2020).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019, 759 N.W.2d 464, 473 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal., 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

<sup>4</sup> Id. at 283-84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal., 11 Neb. App. 171, 174-75, 645 N.W.2d 821, 826 (2002).

- 14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.<sup>7</sup>
- 15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.<sup>8</sup>

# III. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 16. The Taxpayer stated a number of issues with the Subject Property she believes would have a negative effect on value. Foundation issues, basement walls need repaired as they still leak, nearby family homes have become rentals, a trailer park nearby that the Taxpayer feels is unkept with trash and high grass and the area being blighted are the reasons provided by the Taxpayer that the value of the Subject Property should not be increased.
- 17. The Appraiser stated the Subject Property is rated as Average condition which takes the basement issues into consideration. The Appraiser stated he inspected the Subject Property in March 2022 and found some siding missing on the back of the Subject Property which would also be considered by the Average condition rating.
- 18. The Appraiser noted there are three trailers in the trailer park that he stated were well maintained.
- 19. The Appraiser stated all homes in the blighted area are being valued with the same model as the Subject Property.
- 20. The Appraiser provided comparable properties that are all from the same neighborhood and support the current valuation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty., 179 Neb. 415, 418, 138 N.W.2d 641, 643 (1965) (determination of actual value); Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. Cty. Bd. of Equal. of York Cty., 209 Neb. 465, 468, 308 N.W.2d 515, 518 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

- 21. The Taxpayer has not provided any comparable properties to the Commission that show the County Board was arbitrary or unreasonable in their decision.
- 22. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
- 23. The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determination of the County Board is arbitrary or unreasonable and the decision of the County Board should be affirmed.

#### IV. ORDER

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2021 is affirmed.
- 2. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2021 is:

# Total \$163,900

- 3. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Lancaster County Treasurer and the Lancaster County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
- 5. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
- 6. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax year 2021.
- 7. This Decision and Order is effective on December 30, 2022.

# Signed and Sealed: December 30, 2022



James D. Kuhn, Commissioner