

**BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW
COMMISSION**

BEL FURY INVESTMENT
GROUP LLC,
APPELLANT,

V.

DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD
OF EQUALIZATION,
APPELLEE.

CASE NO: 20R 0560

DECISION AND ORDER
REVERSING THE DECISION
OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

CASE NO: 21R 1028

DECISION AND ORDER
AFFIRMING THE DECISION
OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

I. BACKGROUND

1. The Subject Property consists of an improved residential parcel in Douglas County, parcel number 612080000.
2. The Douglas County Assessor (the County Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$79,800 for tax year 2020 and \$95,300 for tax year 2021.
3. Bel Fury Investment Group LLC (the Taxpayer) protested these values to the Douglas County Board of Equalization (the County Board).
4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$83,700 for tax year 2020 and \$95,300 for tax year 2021.
5. The Taxpayer appealed the determinations of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).

6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on November 14, 2022, at Omaha State Office Building, 1313 Farnam, Room 227, Omaha, Nebraska, before Commissioner Steven Keetle.
7. Arielle Bloemer, legal counsel, and Scott Bloemer were present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
8. Scott Barnes and Kurt Skradis with the County Assessor's Office (the County Appraisers) were present for the County Board.

II. APPLICABLE LAW

9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.¹
10. The Commission's review of a determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.²
11. When considering an appeal, a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action."³ That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."⁴

¹ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1301(1) (Cum. Supp. 2020).

² See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019, 759 N.W.2d 464, 473 (2009).

³ *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

⁴ *Id.* at 283-84.

12. The order, decision, determination, or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.⁵
13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.⁶
14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.⁷
15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.⁸

III. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

16. The County Appraisers stated that their opinion of value for the Subject Property was \$79,800 for tax year 2020 and \$95,300 for tax year 2021.
17. The Taxpayer agreed that the value for the Subject Property was \$79,800 for tax year 2020.
18. The Taxpayer requested that the appeal of the 2021 assessed value be dismissed.
19. For tax year 2020 the Taxpayer has produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
20. For tax year 2020 the Taxpayer has adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determinations of the County

⁵ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

⁶ *Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 11 Neb. App. 171, 174-75, 645 N.W.2d 821, 826 (2002).

⁷ *Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty.*, 179 Neb. 415, 418, 138 N.W.2d 641, 643 (1965) (determination of actual value); *Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. Cty. Bd. of Equal. of York Cty.*, 209 Neb. 465, 468, 308 N.W.2d 515, 518 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value).

⁸ Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

Board are arbitrary or unreasonable and the decisions of the County Board should be vacated.

21. For tax year 2021 the Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
22. For tax year 2021 the Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determinations of the County Board are arbitrary or unreasonable and the decisions of the County Board should be affirmed.

IV. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2020 is vacated and reversed.
2. The decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2021 is affirmed.
3. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2020 is:

Land	\$20,500
<u>Improvements</u>	<u>\$59,300</u>
Total	\$79,800

4. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2021 is:

Land	\$19,100
<u>Improvements</u>	<u>\$76,200</u>
Total	\$95,300

5. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Douglas County Treasurer and the Douglas

County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).

6. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
7. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
8. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax years 2020 and 2021.
9. This Decision and Order is effective on November 1, 2023.

Signed and Sealed: November 1, 2023



Steven A. Keetle, Commissioner