## BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

Justin J. Coffey \& John R. Coffey, Appellants,
v.

Platte County Board of Equalization, Appellee.

Case Nos: 20A 0065, 20A 0066, 20A 0067, 20A 0068, 20A 0069, 20A 0070, 20A 0071, 20A 0072

DECISION AND ORDER AFFIRMING THE DECISIONS OF THE PLATTE COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

## Procedural Background

1. This Decision and Order is applicable only to appeals for tax year 2020.
2. Justin J. Coffey \& John R. Coffey (the Taxpayers) appeal the taxable value of each of eight distinct agricultural parcels (the Subject Properties) in Platte County, Nebraska. The legal descriptions are found in the Case Files.
3. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0065 consists of 78.99 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710023730. The Platte County Assessor (the County Assessor) assessed the parcel at $\$ 728,335$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the Platte County Board of Equalization (the County Board) and requested an assessed value of $\$ 669,350$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 728,335$ for tax year 2020.
4. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0066 consists of 78 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710023646. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 387,695$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 324,670$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 387,695$ for tax year 2020.
5. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0067 consists of 80 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710023723. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 448,805$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 392,650$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 448,805$ for tax year 2020.
6. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0068 consists of 118.28 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710024213. The Platte County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 616,670$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and
requested an assessed value of $\$ 538,740$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 616,670$ for tax year 2020.
7. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0069 consists of 73.17 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710029680. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 367,480$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 289,985$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 367,480$ for tax year 2020.
8. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0070 consists of 39.33 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710023772. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 153,980$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 142,805$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 153,980$ for tax year 2020.
9. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0071 consists of 80 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710024171. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 391,570$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 344,725$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 391,570$ for tax year 2020.
10. The parcel in Case No. 20A 0072 consists of 30 agricultural acres, with a property ID\# 710024612. The County Assessor assessed the parcel at $\$ 179,100$. The Taxpayer protested this value to the County Board and requested an assessed value of $\$ 159,115$. The County Board determined the taxable value was $\$ 179,100$ for tax year 2020.
11. The Taxpayer appealed all eight decisions of the County Board to the Tax Equalization \& Review Commission (the Commission) on August 8 and August 9, 2020. ${ }^{1}$
12.A joint Single Commissioner hearing for the Subject Properties was held on June 15, 2021, at a Commission Hearing Room, Nebraska State Office Building, Lincoln, Nebraska, before Commissioner Robert W. Hotz.
12. Justin Coffey and John Coffey, the Taxpayers, were present at the hearing. Tom Placzek, the County Assessor for Platte County, was present for the County Board.

## Generally Applicable Law

14. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January $1 .{ }^{2}$

[^0]15. The Commission's review of a determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo. ${ }^{3}$
16. When considering an appeal, a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon sufficient competent evidence to justify its action." ${ }^{4}$ That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board." ${ }^{5}$
17. The order, decision, determination, or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary. ${ }^{6}$
18. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence. ${ }^{7}$
19. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued. ${ }^{8}$
20. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law. ${ }^{9}$

## Relevant Chronology of the Assessments and the Appeals

21. A statutory change was made in 2019 affecting the assessment of agricultural land and horticultural land beginning tax year 2020 relating to soil classifications. Neb. Laws LB 372, Section 1 (2019) amended Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1363, adding one sentence: "Land capability groups shall be Natural
[^1]Resources Conservation Service specific to the applied use and not all based on a dryland farming criterion." ${ }^{10}$
22.A Notice of Valuation Change was sent by the County Assessor to the Taxpayer in each of these eight appeals prior to June 1, 2020. ${ }^{11}$ The assessed value for each parcel was increased from tax year 2019 to tax year 2020. ${ }^{12}$
23. On June 4 and June 7, 2020, the Taxpayer filed Property Valuation Protests for each Subject Property in Case Nos. 20A 0065 to 20A 0069. ${ }^{13}$
24. On June 24, 2020, the Taxpayer filed Property Valuation Protests for each Subject Property in Case Nos. 20A 0070 to 20A 0072. ${ }^{14}$
25. In a letter dated July 9, 2020, and prior to the County Board making decisions on the protests, the Property Tax Administrator (PTA) ${ }^{15}$ of the Property Assessment Division (PAD) of the Nebraska Department of Revenue advised the Platte County Board Chairperson ${ }^{16}$ regarding the requirements of LB 372 and communicated to him that similar advice had already been given to the Platte County Assessor. ${ }^{17}$
26. The County Board considered each of the eight protests and entered its Decision for each protest on July 13, 2020. ${ }^{18}$ Each decision affirmed the tax year 2020 assessed values as set by the County Assessor. ${ }^{19}$ Notices of the Decisions were mailed to the Taxpayer on July 24, 2020. ${ }^{20}$
27. In an undated document from the County Board and signed by the County Board Chairperson, the Taxpayer was encouraged to appeal the County Board's July 13, 2020, decisions. The letter stated that this advice was based upon an email the Board received from PAD. ${ }^{21}$

[^2]28. In an undated letter from the County Board to Governor Pete Ricketts, ${ }^{22}$ the County Board communicated concern and confusion to the Governor regarding the effects of LB 372 on tax year 2020 assessments.
29. In a letter dated August 7, 2020, the County Board, communicated with the Taxpayer regarding the County Board's July 13, 2020, protest decisions by stating that the "County Board is on your side." ${ }^{23}$ In the letter, the County Board encouraged the Taxpayer to appeal the protest decisions made by the County Board. ${ }^{24}$
30. The Taxpayer filed appeals to the Commission of each of the eight County Board decisions on August 8 or August 9, 2020. ${ }^{25}$

## Soil Reclassifications

31. At the Hearing before the Single Commissioner, the Taxpayer argued that soil reclassifications and related assessments for tax year 2020 were done in error, resulting in assessments exceeding actual value. To support this assertion, the Taxpayer relied upon numerous communications after the enactment of LB 372 involving PAD, the County Board, and the State Senator who introduced LB 372, ${ }^{26}$ noted above and as discussed below.
32. In an undated "guidance document" that was "advisory in nature," relating to the soil survey conversions, PAD stated: "Agricultural land values should not change based upon LCG ${ }^{27}$ conversion only." ${ }^{28}$ In the hearing, the Taxpayer stated that he had relied upon this statement as a guarantee that tax year 2020 assessments of each parcel would not be higher than 2019 assessments as a result of the soil conversions relating to the changes made by LB 372. According to Placzek, the guidance document was used in a presentation by PAD to County Assessors prior to January 1, 2020.
33. In undated documents under the letterhead of the Platte County Clerk / Board of Supervisors, the County Board wrote to taxpayers: "we encourage

[^3]you to appeal your denied tax protest to TERC before August 24." ${ }^{29}$ In this document, the County Board encouraged taxpayers to appeal its own decisions. Engdahl explained: "Based on my conversation with Ruth Sorensen, Sarah Scott, and attorney Scott Shaver, ${ }^{30}$ if the County Board follows-up with a 'confession of judgment', the TERC [Commission] will very likely return valuations to 2019 levels, if no improvements."
34. In the same document, a photocopy of an email from PAD Field Operations Manager, Sarah Scott, to Chairperson Engdahl was included, wherein Scott advised the County Board of the legal "option ... to confess judgment on any appeals to [TERC]." Scott's email to Chairman Engdahl advised the County Board that taxpayers would first need to file appeals to TERC by August 24, 2020. Her email provided further explanation of the legal process, including advising the County Board of its "need to file a confession of [judgement] on each appeal with TERC," and including the legal conclusion that, "If a confession of [judgement] is filed, TERC will consider this confession and if approved will issue an order for the county assessor to adjust the 2020 assessed value."
35. Four days prior to the County Board determinations, ${ }^{31}$ in a letter dated July 9, 2020, from the PTA to Chairperson Engdahl, the PTA explained that relating to the statutory change, "LCGs were developed by [PAD] utilizing NRCS data specific to each land use. These LCGs were to be implemented beginning with the 2020 [assessment] year."
36. In the same letter, the PTA stated further that PAD had "encouraged" county assessors "to adjust the valuation structures prior to March 19, 2020, to avoid increases to agricultural land because the current market for the majority of the state is flat to declining." The PTA explained that PAD had met with Placzek, who advised PAD he would be making no such adjustments. In response to Placzek's unwillingness to make adjustments designed to avoid any increases in the assessments of agricultural land, the PTA stated, "This is unfortunate, as affected agricultural landowners will bear a disproportionate tax burden without corrective action." The letter closes with a time-sensitive suggestion that the County Board may have an option regarding such a corrective action.
37. Scott's email of June 29, 2020, noted above, also included within "options" to consider regarding "dryland and irrigated LCGs with a significant value change," that certain soil types could be "spotted back to the prior year

[^4]classification." This included soil types 6754, 6812, and 8476 for Platte County. However, Placzek emphasized that the Taxpayer's Subject Properties contained no acres with any of these three soil types. In other words, even had Placzek followed the suggestions made by PAD on June 29, 2020, that specific classifications be "spotted back" to the prior year's classification, that alone would have had no effect on the tax year 2020 assessed values of the Subject Properties.
38. Soon after these advisory communications from PAD to the County Board, the County Board sent a letter to Governor Ricketts, dated August 4, 2020. In sum, the letter stated, "We are concerned and confused by the recent land classification changes and how these changes affected land valuations in Platte County."
39. The County Board then sent letters dated August 7, 2020, to the Platte County taxpayers who had protested appeals relating to these issues. In those letters, after having denied the taxpayers' protests, the County Board stated to the protesters: "The Platte County Board is on your side regarding your June tax protest...." After making references to "letters from the Tax Commissioner," the County Board stated, "We encourage you to file an appeal to the [TERC] and use the information we provided in your arguments." ${ }^{32}$
40. The Taxpayer also included in the record photocopies of email communications from Senator Erdman's Legislative Aide, Joel Hunt. An email dated August 4, 2020, is a communication from Hunt to the Taxpayer. An email dated August 10, 2020, is a communication between Hunt and Jerry Green, forwarded to the Taxpayer, in which Green forms opinions about the assessment of the Taxpayer's properties.
41. All of the communications noted above occurred after the legislation was approved by the Governor on March 12, 2019.

## Statutory Interpretation of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1363, as amended by LB

 372.42. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission will interpret the controlling statute relating to these appeals without regard to the various opinions and policy considerations stated in the communications noted in the paragraphs above.

[^5]43. After the enactment of legislation, communications between those to be affected by the legislation, or even communications involving members of the Legislature, or their staff, have no bearing on the meaning of the statute.
44. PAD is statutorily required under Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1330(1) to "prepare, issue, and annually revise guides for county assessors in the form of property tax laws, rules, regulations, manuals, and directives." ${ }^{33}$ Neither Scott's email nor Sorensen's letter to the Platte County Board reasonably constitute such "guides" for county assessors as contemplated by the statute since they were neither laws, rules, regulations, manuals, or directives. ${ }^{34}$ The same is true of the presentation by PAD to County Assessors prior to January 1, 2020.
45.It is patently obvious that none of these communications were laws, rules, or regulations, and so no further discussion is needed on that point.
46. Directives issued by the PTA are clearly styled as a Directive and signed by the PTA for the Tax Commissioner of the Department of Revenue. See, https://revenue.nebraska.gov/PAD/legal-information/directives. The Commission is aware of only one applicable document published by the PTA titled Manual, and that is the Nebraska County Assessor's Reference Manual (Reference Manual).
47. The Commission finds that the communications discussed above cannot reasonably be construed as constituting a Directive or a Manual as contemplated by Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1330(1). Further, the Commission is aware of no Directive relating to LB 372, or any mention of LB 372 in the Reference Manual at any time relevant to these proceedings.
48. Having found that the communications discussed above were not made under the authority of Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1330(1), we conclude that the County Assessor was not legally bound to follow the suggestions of the PTA, which were made without statutory authority and for the express purpose of "avoiding increases to agricultural land because the current market for the majority of the state is flat to declining."
49. Therefore, it was reasonable for the County Assessor to not follow the suggestions discussed above that were made by PAD.
50. The Taxpayer's assertions that his agricultural land was overassessed were made in reliance upon the numerous communications after the enactment of

[^6]LB 372, involving PAD, ${ }^{35}$ the County Board, and the legislative sponsor of LB 372,36 as discussed above.
51. When statutory language is plain and unambiguous, no interpretation is needed to ascertain the statute's meaning." ${ }^{37}$ Nor will the Commission read meaning into a statute that is not there or read any plain and direct language out of a statute. ${ }^{38}$
52. Ordinarily, when construing statutes, we should look no further than the plain text. But we may inquire into legislative history when a statute is open to construction because its terms require interpretation or may reasonably be considered ambiguous. ${ }^{39}$
53. In this case, since we construe the statutory language as being unambiguous, no further inquiry into legislative intent is necessary or appropriate.
54. The Commission does not rely upon communications after the enactment of the legislation as a means of interpreting the text of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 771363 as amended by LB 372.
55. "[I]nquiries into legislative motives are a hazardous matter." ${ }^{40}$ The words of the statute are what is to be construed, not the motives of policymakers, administrative agencies, or interested parties.
56. The Commission is not bound to interpret the statute as it is interpreted by the PTA. Further, we regard the communications and assertions made by PAD and the PTA in this case regarding the actual value of the Subject Properties as having no probative value in the determinations of actual value of the Subject Properties in these appeals.

## The County Assessor's Application of LB 372

57.Placzek stated that when determining the value per acre for each parcel for tax year 2020, he applied the soil classifications and LCG's as provided to him by PAD in the form of a spreadsheet. ${ }^{41}$
58. As a result of the soil types and LCG's provided by PAD to the County Assessor, each of the Subject Properties had at least one LCG reclassified from tax year 2019 to Tax year 2020.

[^7]59. Arrayed as applied to each Subject Property, the soil classification changes from 2019 to 2020 were as follows:

| Case No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Soil } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & \text { LCG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { LCG } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20A 0065 | 2288 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0065 | 2331 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0065 | 2327 | 2G | 2A |
| 20A 0065 | 2288 | 2A | 1G1 |
| 20A 0065 | 2331 | 3A | 1G |
| 20A 0065 | 4673 | 4A1 | 1G1 |
| 20A 0065 | 6526 | 1A1 | 1G1 |
| 20A 0066 | 2331 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0066 | 2331 | 3D | 3D1 |
| 20A 0066 | 2327 | 2D | 1G |
| 20A 0066 | 2331 | 3G | 1G |
| 20A 0067 | 2288 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0067 | 2331 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0067 | 2288 | 2D | 2D1 |
| 20A 0067 | 2331 | 3D | 3D1 |
| 20A 0067 | 6526 | 1D1 | 1G1 |
| 20A 0067 | 2331 | 3D | 1G |
| 20A 0068 | 2288 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0068 | 6637 | 3A1 | 2A |
| 20A 0068 | 6703 | 3A | 3A1 |
| 20A 0068 | 2288 | 2D | 2D1 |
| 20A 0068 | 2288 | 2G | 1G1 |
| 20A 0068 | 4807 | 4G | 1G1 |
| 20A 0068 | 6703 | 3A | 1G1 |
| 20A 0069 | 2100 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0069 | 2288 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0069 | 2100 | 3A | 1G1 |
| 20A 0069 | 2288 | 2A | 1G1 |
| 20A 0069 | 4673 | 4A1 | 1G1 |
| 20A 0070 | 2331 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0070 | 2331 | 3D | 2A |
| 20A 0070 | 2331 | 3G | 1G |
| 20A 0070 | 4673 | 4G1 | 1G1 |
| 20A 0070 | 8420 | 3G | 1G1 |
| 20A 0071 | 2100 | 3A | 2A |
| 20A 0071 | 2288 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0071 | 8470 | 2A | 2A1 |
| 20A 0071 | 2100 | 3D | 3D1 |


| Case No. | Soil <br> Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> LCG | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> LCG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20A 0071 | 2288 | 2D | 2 D 1 |
| 20A 0071 | 8470 | 2D | 2 D 1 |
| 20A 0071 | 8470 | 2 G | 1 G 1 |
| 20A 0071 | 2288 | 2 G | 1 G 1 |
| 20A 0072 | 6525 | 2 A 1 | 1 A |
| 20A 0072 | 8470 | 2 A | 2 A 1 |

60. Thus, in the tax year 2020 assessments of the Subject Properties, the County Assessor utilized the soil types and LCG's provided by PAD, and correctly believed Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1363, as amended by LB 372, required it.
61. Therefore, we find that by using the soil types and LCG classifications as directed by PAD, the County Assessor's actions were consistent with the requirements of Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1363, as amended.
62.As noted above, before tax year 2020, the regulation required that LCG's be based upon the dryland cropland capability classification, ${ }^{42}$ while the statute was silent on the point. Once amended, however, the statute required the LCG classifications of irrigated cropland and grassland to no longer be based upon the dryland cropland classifications for tax year 2020.43
62. As a matter of statutory interpretation, we find that while the regulation has the force and effect of statutory law, ${ }^{44}$ the more recent statute conflicts with the requirements of the regulation on the same subject matter. ${ }^{45}$ As such, the more recent statute controls. ${ }^{46}$ After following this rule of statutory construction, we simply give the statutory language its "plain and ordinary meaning" ${ }^{47}$ and conclude that the methodology followed by the County Assessor and affirmed by the County Board was consistent with the requirements of Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1363, as amended.
63. The Commission finds the Taxpayer offered no persuasive evidence that the County Board decisions made on July 13, 2020, which relied upon the County
[^8]Assessor's actions and were consistent with the assessments done by the County Assessor, were arbitrary or unreasonable.

## The County Assessor's Use of Qualified Sales

65.For tax year 2020, the County Assessor utilized agricultural sales in the three years prior to the effective date of January 1, 2020.48 For market area 3 , there were 8 qualified sales. ${ }^{49}$ The County Assessor analyzed these sales to determine the values per acre for each LCG for each use, including irrigated cropland, dryland cropland, and grassland. These per acre values are reported in 2020 Reports \& Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator, April 2020. ${ }^{50}$
66. The three-year period for market area 3 included eleven sales for tax year 2019. ${ }^{51}$ The per acre values for each LCG for tax year 2019 are reported in 2019 Reports \& Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator, April 2019.
67. Sales from October 1, 2015, to September 30, 2016, were used for tax year 2019 but not for tax year 2020. Sales from October 1, 2016, to September 30, 2018, were used for both tax years 2019 and 2020. Sales from October 1, 2018, to September 30, 2018, were used for tax year 2020 but not for tax year 2019.
68. As a result, the values per acre for tax year 2020 for each of the 8 LCG's in market area 3 for irrigated cropland, dryland cropland, and grassland were not the same as they were for tax year 2019.
69. Placzek stated he applied the values per acre for each LCG consistently for all agricultural parcels in market area 3, including all of the Subject Properties.
70.As a result, the following chart shows the average acre value comparison for Platte County Market Area 3 as applied by the County Assessor to each parcel of agricultural land and horticultural land:52

[^9]| 1 A 1 | 1 A | 2 A 1 | 2 A | 3 A 1 | 3 A | 4 A 1 | 4 A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 6,398$ | $\$ 6,100$ | $\$ 5,563$ | $\$ 5,194$ | $\$ 4,900$ | $\$ 4,461$ | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 3,650$ |
| 1 D 1 | 1 D | 2 D 1 | 2 D | 3 D 1 | 3 D | 4 D 1 | 4 D |
| $\$ 5,200$ | $\$ 5,050$ | $\$ 4,779$ | $\$ 4,700$ | $\$ 4,363$ | $\$ 3,978$ | $\$ 3,301$ | $\$ 2,800$ |
| 1 G 1 | 1 G | 2 G 1 | 2 G | 3 G 1 | 3 G | 4 G 1 | 4 G |
| $\$ 1,393$ | $\$ 1,326$ | $\$ 1,300$ | $\$ 706$ | 1,200 | $\$ 1,124$ | $\$ 1,098$ | $\$ 1,037$ |

71.The Commission finds that the assessment practices employed by Placzek were reasonable, and the County Board's reliance on them in making its decisions on July 13, 2020, were not arbitrary or unreasonable. The Taxpayer offered no persuasive evidence otherwise.

## Conclusions of Law

72. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions in its decisions on July 13, 2020.
73.The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the decisions made by the County Board on July 13, 2020, were arbitrary or unreasonable, and the decisions of the County Board should be affirmed.

## ORDER

74. The decisions made by the Platte County Board of Equalization on July 13, 2020, determining the taxable value of the Subject Properties for tax year 2020 are affirmed.
75.The taxable values of the Subject Properties for tax year 2020 are:

| Case No. 20A 0065 | $\$ 728,335$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Case No. 20A 0066 | $\$ 387,695$ |
| Case No. 20A 0067 | $\$ 448,805$ |
| Case No. 20A 0068 | $\$ 616,670$ |
| Case No. 20A 0069 | $\$ 367,480$ |
| Case No. 20A 0070 | $\$ 153,980$ |
| Case No. 20A 0071 | $\$ 391,570$ |
| Case No. 20A 0072 | $\$ 179,100$ |

76. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Platte County Treasurer and the Platte County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
77. Any request for relief by any party which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
78. Each party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
79. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax year 2020.
80. This Decision and Order is effective on March 22, 2023.

Signed and Sealed: March 22, 2023

## Seal

Robert W. Hotz, Commissioner
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