Table of Contents

2015 Commission Summary

2015 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey Residential Correlation

Commercial Reports

Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey Commercial Correlation

Agricultural and/or Special Valuation Reports

Agricultural Assessment Actions
Agricultural Assessment Survey
Agricultural Average Acre Values Table
Agricultural Correlation
Special Valuation Methodology, if applicable

Statistical Reports

Residential Statistics Commercial Statistics Agricultural Land Statistics Special Valuation Statistics, if applicable

County Reports

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

County Agricultural Land Detail

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the Prior Year Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL).

County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment

Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Maps

Market Areas

Valuation History Charts

2015 Commission Summary

for Seward County

Residential Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	394	Median	95.35
Total Sales Price	\$58,743,805	Mean	95.40
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$58,743,805	Wgt. Mean	93.30
Total Assessed Value	\$54,805,855	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$126,768
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$149,096	Avg. Assessed Value	\$139,101

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	94.59 to 96.46
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	92.11 to 94.48
95% Mean C.I	94.19 to 96.61
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the	29.77
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	6.41
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	7.03

Residential Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median
2014	364	97	97.21
2013	306	96	95.98
2012	290	95	95.43
2011	299	94	94

2015 Commission Summary

for Seward County

Commercial Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	27	Median	95.25
Total Sales Price	\$6,913,300	Mean	93.77
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$6,810,800	Wgt. Mean	94.64
Total Assessed Value	\$6,445,787	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$215,367
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$252,252	Avg. Assessed Value	\$238,733

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	76.76 to 110.66
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	77.42 to 111.86
95% Mean C.I	83.63 to 103.91
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County	5.92
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	3.75
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	4.16

Commercial Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median	
2014	25	100	93.79	
2013	16		93.40	
2012	11		98.11	
2011	21		95	

2015 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Seward County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Class	Level of Value	Quality of Assessment	Non-binding recommendation	
Residential Real Property	95	Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	
Commercial Real Property	100	Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	
Agricultural Land	72	Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	

^{**}A level of value displayed as NEI (not enough information) represents a class of property with insufficient information to determine a level of value.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2015.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR

Ruth A. Sorensen

Ruth a. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

2015 Residential Assessment Actions for Seward County

For 2015, Seward County has implemented their 3 Year Plan which includes the following actions:

The county completed all pickup work of new improvements on residential parcels, and updated any parcels that had partial values in 2014 to reflect their level of completion on January 1, 2015.

The county conducted a thorough sale verification and analysis process. This analysis resulted in no adjustments to the residual class or subclass.

The county reviewed land values and revalued land in new subdivisions if needed.

The county continues an ongoing review of residential property in Beaver Crossing and the surrounding area to review past tornado damage and to keep current on parcels that are removed, replaced or altered.

During 2014, the county reappraised the residences and buildings and updated all land values on all residential parcels that are located in the 2 mile zoning jurisdiction of city of Seward. This area is also referred to as the Seward Suburban location. The county also inspected, reviewed, and revalued all of the residential parcels in rural Range 4. Range 4 is the eastern boundary of Seward County; including Geocodes 3239, 3285, 3463, and 3509. The inspection and review included an on-site inspection to verify or update the measurements, the description of property characteristics, and the observations of quality and condition. The county took new photos of the improvements and added any omitted and unreported changes.

It should be noted that it is the county's procedure to not only inspect and review the acreages but to include the house and all improvements on agricultural parcels when the rural and suburban areas are updated.

2015 Residential Assessment Survey for Seward County

Valuation	Valuation data collection done by: Assessor and Office Staff		
Assessor as			
	valuation groupings recognized by the County and describe the unique		
Valuation Grouping			
1	Seward:Seward is the county seat; has a full K-12 school system; very active commercial trade area with most services; very active real estate market; some influence as a bedroom community for Lincoln.		
2	Beaver Crossing:Beaver Crossing is in the southwest part of the county. It has paved access as an exit off I-80. This town has very diverse residential properties. Some really nice quality homes and some low quality homes, many older homes and some newer ones. This town has a nice library, hardware store, a new lumberyard, a bank, a post office, a swimming pool, a gas station/auto repair service, a Coop elevator and a funeral home.		
3	D		
4	Cordova:Cordova is located in the far southwest corner of the county. There are three school districts in this town, Centennial, Exeter-Milligan and Friend. Cordova also has a post office, a Coop elevator and bank branch office.		
5	Garland:Garland is in the eastern part of Seward County, 4 miles north of Highway 34 and 4 miles west of the Lancaster County line. There is some Lincoln influence due to the proximity of the town. The town has 2 taverns, a post office and Coop elevator.		
6	Goehner:Goehner is located in the western half of the county only a half mile off I-80. The town has a post office and a new restaurant in an existing building that has been totally remodeled. There is no Coop elevator in Goehner.		
7	Grover:Grover is an unincorporated town just outside of Milford across the Big Blue River. It does have 3 various businesses. About half of Grover is in a flood plain.		
8	Milford:Milford is the second largest town in Seward County. It is home to Southeast Technical College which influences rental property. The county has identified various neighborhoods. Milford has a K-12 school, a downtown business district, a golf course and a swimming pool. Milford has 32 upscale residential properties ranging in value from \$200,000 to \$430,000.		
9	Pleasant Dale:Pleasant Dale is on the eastern edge of Seward County just 1 mile in from the Lancaster County line and 2 ½ miles south of I-80 and 2 miles south of Highway 6. It also has Highway 103 on the edge town that goes south to Crete in Saline County. The town has a post office, a Coop elevator, a lumberyard, a restaurant, two apartment buildings, a gas station/mini mart/car wash and auto service garage. Due to the towns location there is influence from Lincoln. The town has some nice ranch style homes along with older better kept homes.		

County 80 - Page 9

	10	Staplehurst:Staplehurst is located approximately 6 miles northwest of Seward. The town has a Coop elevator, a post office, a tavern, a towing business, storage unit business. There is very little new construction in this town. With the town's proximity and the older homes, it is a less desirable town to live in. Termites are a problem in Staplehurst. Very little to draw people to this town.		
	11	Tamora:Tamora is an unincorporated town 7 miles west of Seward on Highway 34. The main purpose of Tamora is the huge Coop elevator. The rest of the town has a few older homes and some mobile homes. Nothing is kept very well in this town. The properties have to have their own wells and septic systems.		
	12	Utica:Utica is the 3rd largest town in Seward County. It is just 1 mile from York County along Highway 34. The town has a K-12 school, a nursing home, a Coop elevator, a senior citizen center, a gas station/service business, a library, a beauty shop, a bowling alley, a grocery store, an auto and truck used/repaired part business, a bank, 2 industrial businesses, a well drilling business a nursing home, a Family Medical Center and a book bindery business. It is a unique small town that stands on its own.		
	13	Rural:The rural residential properties in Seward County are characterized an individual acreages spread throughout the county. The east half of the county has Lancaster County influences. The west half of the county has much less activity for acreages and they tend to sell for less as there aren't the influences from Lincoln. The west half of the county is more agriculturalTypically, residences on agricultural parcels and agricultural buildings are associated with the "Rural" valuation group. When the inspection and review process as well as costing, depreciation tables and lot value study are conducted, they are done at the same time.		
	14	Rural Sub:The Rural Sub class residential properties are platted subdivisions in the rural. They have gone through county zoning. Most have interior roads of some kind and covenants filed with the plat.		
3.	List and properties.	describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential		
	Residential p the market organizes the selling price	properties in Seward County are valued using the cost approach to value. They do use data to develop the depreciation used in the cost approach. Additionally, the county eir sales in such a manner that they can compare their cost approach results to the of comparable properties. While this is not a fully developed market or sales approach, it provides an additional perspective on the value.		
4.	If the cost approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on local market information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?			
	The local market			
5.	Are individu	re individual depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping?		
	Yes; The county develops their own base depreciation tables based on the analysis of their market. In the new CAMAVISION system, Seward is the base market and other towns and locations are identified and adjusted by map factors. All of these processes are rooted in the analysis of the local market.			
6.	Describe the	methodology used to determine the residential lot values?		

County 80 - Page 10

The market is monitored to see if there is any need to adjust or update the existing lot values. The lots are valued on a town by town basis.

7. Describe the methodology used to determine value for vacant lots being held for sale or resale?

The county does not use the discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology for the valuation of developing subdivisions. There have been no individual applications for DCF valuation as provided for in LB 191.

8.	

Valuation Grouping	Date of Depreciation Tables	Date of Costing	<u>Date of</u> Lot Value Study	<u>Date of</u> <u>Last Inspection</u>
1	2013	2013	2013	2013
2	2010	2010	2010	2010
3	2012	2012	2012	2012
4	2011	2011	2011	2011
5	2012	2012	2012	2012
6	2011	2011	2011	2011
7	2012	2012	2012	2012
8	2012	2012	2012	2012
9	2012	2012	2012	2012
10	2011	2011	2011	2011
11	2011	2011	2011	2011
12	2011	2011	2011	2011
13	2008-2005	2008-2005	2005-2014	2005-2014
14	2008-2013	2008-2013	2008-2014	2013-2014

- ----The depreciation date, lot value date and inspection date for each valuation group reported by the county is for the working year; that is typically during the year before the taxing year that the valuations are first used. The costing date reported is the date of the cost tables used in the county's cost system.
- ----As the county revalues a subclass of residential property, the base cost tables have been moved to a current cost. Even though the costs have been from different base tables, each subclass has land values and unique locational factors in their depreciation that are developed to work with those costs.
- ----Depreciation is updated when a valuation group is recosted and revalued.
- ----The lot value analysis is ongoing and is monitored through sales activity. Whenever a class or subclass is reappraised or updated, the lot values are reviewed and either affirmed and left the same or updated based on the available market analysis.
- ----The rural residential and residences on agricultural will usually have 3 or 4 cost dates since the county typically update 1 range of the rural area per year. Presently, Ranges 1, 2 and 3 all have 2005 costs, Range 4 has 2008 costs that came from the new CAMAVISION system.
- ----Valuation Group #14, (Rural Sub), has a variety of dates (2008 through 2013); either associated with the Range of the county where it is located or with the associated town.
- ----Going forward, the costs in use from the prior system will be replaced using the CAMAVISION generated costs as the cycle of inspection and review continue.

2015 Residential Correlation Section for Seward County

County Overview

Seward County is an agriculturally based county with an array of 12 villages and towns. Eleven of them range in population from less than 100 to 2,090 and exist primarily to support agriculture. Seward, with a population of 6,964, is the largest town and county seat. It hosts numerous nonagricultural employers and has a more robust and diversified business climate. According to the 2010 Census data cited in the Departments CTL based municipality charts; the county population is 16,750, with 11,463 or 68.44% living within the villages and towns and 5,287 or 31.56% living outside of the municipal areas. During the past few years there have been no economic events that have significantly impacted the value of residential property. The 2015 Abstract Form 45, reports 6,108 residential and 42 recreational parcels, for a class total of 6,150. There are an additional 671 residences located on agricultural parcels.

Description of Analysis:

Seward County has divided their residential analysis and valuation work into 14 valuation groups. These groups are centered on individual towns and rural residential parcels. The characteristics of each Valuation Group are described in in the Residential Survey. The county believes that each grouping is unique with differing combinations of location, population, schools, commercial activity, healthcare services and employment outside the agricultural sector.

For 2015, the median ratio for the 394 qualified residential sales is 95% and is within the acceptable range; the COD at 8.12 is within the acceptable range and the PRD at 102.25 is also within the acceptable range. In the analysis of residential sales the impact of small dollar sales needs to be examined. A review of the COD and PRD for the total sample can often lead to the conclusion that the quality of assessment is not good. It is useful to evaluate the COD and PRD of a slightly trimmed sample of the sales to evaluate the quality of assessment of the bulk of the parcels. The section of the statistical report that examines the "Sale Price" ranges offers the opportunity to do so. By reviewing the analysis of the 386 sales with prices greater than \$29,999, the assessment level and quality of about 98% of the sales is reported. That gives a statistical perspective of the quality of assessment of the majority of the parcels that is not impacted by the volatility if the selling prices of low price property. The median ratio for the trimmed sample is 95% and only had a fractional change since the median is not a volatile statistic. However, the trimmed COD is 7.69%, the PRD is 101.77. These statistics are all within the desired ranges. In Seward County, there are only 8 sales of parcels for less than \$30,000. In this case, the impact is not as dramatic as counties with many low dollar sales, but still the more volatile low dollar sales are responsible for a disproportionate impact on the assessment statistics depicting quality of assessment, particularly the COD and the PRD. In this case all of the valuation groups with an adequate sample of sales fall within the acceptable range for the calculated median.

2015 Residential Correlation Section for Seward County

Sales Qualification

During the past year, the Department reviewed the documentation of three years of the county's sale verification process posted in the comments in the sales file. The county has posted comments when required on nearly all of the sales reviewed. The comments were thorough enough to conclude why the sale was not used or adjusted for the ratio study. There was no reason to conclude that the county had selectively excluded sales to influence the measurement process. The county qualified 63% of all of the residential sales, and the Department believes that all available sales were used in the measurement process.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department is confident that the current R&O Statistics are meaningful to measure the entire class partly because the assessment practices are good, partly because the sample is adequate and partly because the prepared statistics reasonably represent the class. That confidence that the statistics are meaningful does not necessarily extend to the subclasses. The confidence diminishes as the size of the subclasses diminishes. The values are equalized throughout the residential class and there are no subclasses of the residential class identified for individual adjustments.

Level of Value

The apparent level of value for the residential class is 95%, the quality of the assessment, based on the statistical indicators and the assessment actions is acceptable and there are no recommendations for the adjustment of the class or for any subclasses.

2015 Commercial Assessment Actions for Seward County

For 2015, Seward County has implemented their 3 Year Plan which includes the following actions:

The county completed all pickup work of new improvements on commercial and industrial parcels, and updated any parcels that had partial values in 2014 to reflect their level of completion on January 1, 2015.

The county conducted a thorough sale verification and analysis process.

The county reviewed land values and revalued land in selected subdivisions.

The county continues an ongoing review of commercial property in Beaver Crossing and the surrounding area to review past tornado damage and to keep current on parcels that are removed, replaced or altered.

For 2015, the county analyzed all Section 42 Housing parcels and completed the income approach. Among the Section 42 parcels were 2 parcels with 8 duplexes on each parcels and 1 - 2 story apartment unit.

The county inspected the commercial properties in the city of Seward. The inspection and review included an on-site inspection to verify or update the measurements, the description of property characteristics, and the observations of quality and condition. The county took new photos of the improvements and added any omitted and unreported changes.

2015 Commercial Assessment Survey for Seward County

1.	Valuation data collection done by:			
	Contract Appraiser			
2.	List the va	List the valuation groupings recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristics of each:		
	Valuation Grouping	Description of unique characteristics		
	1	Seward:Seward is the county seat; has a full K-12 school system; very active commercial trade area with most services; very active real estate market; some influence as a bedroom community for Lincoln.		
	2	Beaver Crossing:Beaver Crossing is in the southwest part of the county. It has paved access as an exit off I-80. This town has very diverse residential properties. Some really nice quality homes and some low quality homes, many older homes and some newer ones. This town has a nice library, hardware store, a new lumberyard, a bank, a post office, a swimming pool, a gas station/auto repair service, a Coop elevator and a funeral home.		
	3	Bee:Bee is 8 miles northeast of Seward. A small town with a tavern, a post office and Coop elevator.		
	4	Cordova:Cordova is located in the far southwest corner of the county. There are three school districts in this town, Centennial, Exeter-Milligan and Friend. Cordova also has a post office, a Coop elevator and bank branch office.		
	5	Garland:Garland is in the eastern part of Seward County, 4 miles north of Highway 34 and 4 miles west of the Lancaster County line. There is some Lincoln influence due to the proximity of the town. The town has 2 taverns, a post office and Coop elevator.		
	6	Goehner:Goehner is located in the western half of the county only a half mile off I-80. The town has a post office and a new restaurant in an existing building that has been totally remodeled. There is no Coop elevator in Goehner.		
	7	Grover:Grover is an unincorporated town just outside of Milford across the Big Blue River. It does have 3 various businesses. About half of Grover is in a flood plain.		
	8	Milford:Milford is the second largest town in Seward County. It is home to Southeast Technical College which influences rental property. The county has identified various neighborhoods. Milford has a K-12 school, a downtown business district, a golf course and a swimming pool. Milford has 32 upscale residential properties ranging in value from \$200,000 to \$430,000.		
	9	Pleasant Dale:Pleasant Dale is on the eastern edge of Seward County just 1 mile in from the Lancaster County line and 2 ½ miles south of I-80 and 2 miles south of Highway 6. It also has Highway 103 on the edge town that goes south to Saline County. The town has a post office, a Coop elevator, a lumberyard, a restaurant, two apartment buildings, and auto service garage. Due to the towns proximity there is influence from Lincoln. The town has some nice ranch style homes along with older better kept homes.		

County 80 - Page 16

	10	Staplehurst:Staplehurst is located approximately 6 miles northwest of Seward. The town has a Coop elevator, a post office, a tavern, a towing business, storage unit business. There is very little new construction in this town. With the town's proximity and the older homes, it is a less desirable town to live in. Termites are a problem in Staplehurst. There is very little to draw people to this town for new businesses.									
	11	Tamora:Tamora is an unincorporated town 7 miles west of Seward on Highway 34. The main function of Tamora is the huge Coop elevator. The rest of the town has a few older homes and some mobile homes. Except for the Coop, nothing is kept very well in this town. The properties have to have their own wells and septic systems.									
	12	Utica:Utica is the 3rd largest town in Seward County. It is just 1 mile from York County along Highway 34. The town has a K-12 school, a nursing home, a Coop elevator, a senior citizen center, a gas station/service business, a library, a beauty shop, a bowling alley, a grocery store, an auto and truck used/repaired part business, a bank, 2 industrial businesses, a well drilling business a nursing home, a Family Medical Center and a book bindery business. It is a unique small town that stands on its own.									
	Rural:The rural commercial properties in Seward County are characterized by their location Seward County has six I-80 Interchanges. The 2 predominant ones are at Milford a Seward. The Pleasant Dale exchange has an old service station and a travel trailer parameter of the Goehner exchange has a gas station. The other 2 do not have buildings. Other commercial rural properties are scattered throughout the county.										
3.	List and properties.	describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of commercial									
	do use the county organ results to the garage, offic developed m	nant valuation process in this county is to depend on the cost approach to value. They market data to develop the depreciation used in the cost approach. Additionally, the izes their sales in broad occupancy groups so that they can compare their cost approach he selling price of similar properties. Those groups include retail, warehouse/service e, restaurant/bar, land and other miscellaneous occupancies. While this is not a fully arket or sales comparison approach, it provides an additional perspective on the value. may utilize any income data presented, but does not develop an overall income									
3a.	Describe the	process used to determine the value of unique commercial properties.									
	The cost appr available.	oach is used but the county tries to supplement it with lease information if any is									
4.		approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?									
	depreciation	ne county relies on the analysis of sales in their local market to determine the base and for economic factors used for commercial property. Additional analysis may regression techniques to build and extend depreciation tables.									
5.	Are individu	al depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping?									
	The depreciation in commercial property tends to be developed more toward individual or like occupancies than just the valuation group. There can also be variation between valuation groups due to locational differences.										
6.	Describe the	methodology used to determine the commercial lot values.									
		County 80 - Page 17									

Generally,	the	county	relies	on	the	analysis	of	sales	in	their	local	market	to	determine	their
commercial	land	values.													

7.	Valuation Grouping	<u>Date of</u> <u>Depreciation Tables</u>	Date of Costing	<u>Date of</u> Lot Value Study	<u>Date of</u> <u>Last Inspection</u>
	1	2014	2008	2014	2014
	2	2009	2009	2009	2009
	3	2010	2010	2010	2010
	4	2009	2009	2009	2009
	5	2010	2010	2010	2010
	6	2010	2010	2010	2010
	7	2010	2010	2010	2010
	8	2009	2009	2009	2009
	9	2010	2010	2010	2010
	10	2010	2010	2010	2010
	11	2010	2010	2010	2010
	12	2010	2010	2010	2010
	13	2010	2010	2010	2010

----The practice in Seward County is to do the Inspection and Review process for a class or subclass of property in a certain year. The following year, the inspected class is reappraised, complete with new costs, depreciation and new or affirmed land values.

----The depreciation date, lot value date and inspection date for each valuation group reported by the county is for the working year; that is typically during the year before the taxing year that the valuations are first used. The costing date reported is the date of the cost tables used in the county's cost system.

----For 2015' Seward was revalued using the costs from the Vanguard CAMAVISION system. Their costs are updated only every 10 years but are all factored to keep costs current. The base year is 2008.

2015 Commercial Correlation Section for Seward County

County Overview

Seward County is an agriculturally based county with an array of ten villages and towns. Most of the commercial properties in the smaller towns either directly service or support agriculture or the people involved in agriculture. Seward, the county seat, is the predominant location for much of the commercial and industrial property. The Department's "2014 County and Municipal Valuations by Property Type" reports that 52% of the commercial valuation is reported in Seward, 8% in Milford, 10% is in the smaller towns and 30% is in the non-municipal areas. Seward has about 81%, Utica has about 2% of the industrial valuation, and the remaining 17% is in the non-municipal areas of the county. During the past few years there have been no significant economic events that have impacted the value of commercial property. The 2015 Abstract Form 45, reports 708 commercial and 12 industrial parcels, for a class total of 720.

Description of Analysis

Seward County has divided their commercial analysis and valuation work into thirteen valuation groups. These groups are defined by individual towns and rural commercial parcels. The characteristics of each valuation group are described in in the Commercial Survey. The county believes that each grouping is unique with differing combinations of population, schools, commercial activity, healthcare services and employment outside the agricultural sector.

The key statistics that are prepared and considered for measurement are as follows: there are 27 qualified sales; the median ratio is 95%; the COD is 21.13; and the PRD is 99.08. Of the 27 qualified sales, 13 are in Seward, 10 are in Milford and 1 each in Beaver Crossing, Cordova, Garland and Pleasant Dale. When the 12 different occupancy codes are reviewed, there are 9 sales in code 353 (retail store); 3 sales in code 406 (storage warehouse); 3 sales in code 528 (service repair garage); 3 sales in code 352 (multi-family); 2 sales in code 344 (office); and the remaining 7 codes have only 1 sale each. Since there are only 11 occupancy codes, there are still many property types with no representation and those that are represented are insufficient for preparing a viable statistical analysis. In short, less than 4% of the commercial parcels sold and there are not sufficient sales to represent or measure either the overall class or any subclass of the commercial property.

Sales Qualification

The Department's has reviewed the county's sale verification process and finds that there was no reason to conclude that the county had selectively excluded sales to influence the measurement process and that all available qualified sales were used in the measurement process.

2015 Commercial Correlation Section for Seward County

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department analyzes each county every other year to systematically review assessment practices. With the information available it was confirmed that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently. It is believed the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

Level of Value

The statistical calculations alone are not representative of the commercial class and are not considered adequate to indicate the actual level of value. However all of the available information, particularly the assessment practices indicate that the county has achieved an acceptable level of value. The level of value is called at the statutory level of 100%.

2015 Agricultural Assessment Actions for Seward County

For 2015, Seward County has followed their 3 Year Plan which includes the following actions:

The county completed all pickup work of new improvements on agricultural parcels. They continually monitor and update the land use on all parcels where changes are reported or observed. Use changes are discovered through land owner reports, GIS and the observations of the assessor and staff. They are verified and measured using GIS, as well as NRD and FSA records and maps.

The county conducted a thorough sale verification and analysis process. They focused on the configuration of the 3 market areas and concluded that no change would be made for 2015. This analysis demonstrated that the values in Areas 2 and 3 are still equivalent and that there are no nonagricultural influences driving the land value. As a result, the sales in both areas were analyzed together to develop the values applied to both areas. Following that, they implemented new values for agricultural land. Irrigated, dry and grass values changed in all 3 Market Areas.

For 2015, the county reviewed and accounted for all parcels that had land enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs. Any unknown parcels were updated and any changes to known parcels were made.

2015 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Seward County

Deputy Assessor does the land use and acre count and the county staff does improvemen										
	its.									
List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique.										
arket Description of unique characteristics rea	Year Land Use Completed									
Seward County is divided from east to west based mostly on general soil structure, irrigation water availability and the resulting farming practices. The western part of the county has water availability throughout and has developed irrigation, making the predominant farming practices irrigated row crop.	2014									
The eastern part of the county has little water availability and developed irrigation, leaving the predominant farming practices as dry land crop or pasture uses. That eastern area is further divided due to non-agricultural influences impacting the easternmost part of the county abutting Lancaster County. That area has been valued under the provisions of special valuation. The special valuation schedule of value is annually derived from the analysis of the sales in Market Area 3. For 2013 and 2014, there has been no perceived difference in the two areas so they have been analyzed together, but kept separately administratively.	2014									
Seward County is divided from east to west based mostly on general soil structure, irrigation water availability and the resulting farming practices. The eastern part of the county has little water availability and developed irrigation, leaving the predominant farming practices as dry land crop or pasture uses.	2014									
The county is in a continuous process of updating the use of agricultural land review the certifications, the NRCS maps, and FSA maps provided by farm to base is the primary source for land use verification and it is monitored for county inspects and reviews the improvements in the rural areas of the county inspects and reviews the improvements in the rural areas of the county that they are able to observe. The date posted for Land county inspects are that they are able to observe. The date posted for Land county inspects that they are able to observe. The date posted for Land county inspects are considered to the upcoming Tax Year, since bing. The current GIS photo base is 2014.	ners. The GIS changes. When unty, they also Use Completed									
cribe the process used to determine and monitor market areas.										
verification and market analysis provide insight into market trends. The generates to each market area. If a trend were to change, the market area may also.	eral land use is									
cribe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreationanty apart from agricultural land.	ıl land in the									
predominant use of the parcel drives the decision. Then the analysis of the to establish values.	local market is									
	not, what are									
_										

County 80 - Page 23

	Yes;The first (home site) acre is the same. The first acre for home sites on agricultural parcels and on residential parcels is valued at \$18,000. The additional site acres have different values for the two subclasses. The next four rural residential site acres are valued at \$6,500 to \$3,500 per acre, up to four additional rural residential site acres are valued at \$3,500 to \$1,500 per acre, and any residual acres over nine are valued at \$2,500 to \$1,000. Those variations are higher in the east where the special valuation exists and lower in the west of the county. The land beyond the first acre on parcels classified as agricultural is valued as a site value at \$3,000 per acre.
6.	If applicable, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parcels enrolled in the Wetland Reserve Program.
	The county actively verifies all agricultural sales with the buyer or seller. Those verifications, the trend in values, and the ongoing observation of the present use of the parcels are all important to detect non-agricultural characteristics in the market. In the case of the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP), there are few known parcels with WRP acres in the county. The county believes that the WRP values closely align with the grass values, so they use the grass schedule of values to value WRP acres.
7.	Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If so, answer the following:
	Yes;
7a.	What process was used to determine if non-agricultural influences exist?
	The county annually verifies and analyzes all agricultural sales. They do this to establish land values each year but also to see if there are differing value trends that would indicate that land values are driven by influences from outside the typical agricultural land market
7b.	Describe the non-agricultural influences present within the county.
	The sales analysis has not shown that there are influences from outside agriculture that have impacted the value of agricultural land in any part of the county. In the past, there was influence from acreage development in the eastern part of the county nearest to Lincoln.
7c.	How many parcels in the county are receiving special value?
	1024
7d.	Where is the influenced area located within the county?
	The eastern part of the county nearest to Lincoln.
7e.	Describe the valuation models and approaches used to establish the uninfluenced values.
	The sales analysis that the county does every year also helps detect any non agricultural influence. The special value area is monitored by comparing sales in Market Area 2 to the sales in Market Area 3. The values used for the parcels in Market Area 2, (special value area), are derived from the verification and analysis of the sales in Market Area 3. The two areas are very similar in land use and farming practices. For 2015 there has been no perceived difference in the two areas so they have been analyzed together, but kept separately administratively.

Seward County 2015 Average Acre Value Comparison

County	Mkt Area	1A1	1A	2A1	2A	3A1	3A	4A1	4A	WEIGHTED AVG IRR
Seward	1	6,400	6,300	6,150	6,009	5,750	n/a	4,800	4,291	5,984
Butler	1	6,299	5,500	5,296	5,156	5,147	5,094	4,284	4,158	5,588
Fillmore	1	6,400	6,300	6,200	6,100	5,800	n/a	5,400	5,250	6,174
Polk	1	7,303	6,607	6,173	5,777	5,352	5,233	5,061	4,471	6,661
Saline	3	7,140	7,143	7,037	6,893	6,096	5,150	5,042	4,850	6,792
York	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Seward	2	6,000	5,900	5,700	n/a	5,300	4,400	4,200	3,500	5,677
Seward	3	6,000	5,900	5,700	5,500	5,300	n/a	4,200	3,500	5,661
Butler	1	6,299	5,500	5,296	5,156	5,147	5,094	4,284	4,158	5,588
Lancaster	1	6,000	5,999	5,981	5,993	4,874	4,854	2,997	2,998	5,463
Saline	2	6,194	6,199	5,987	5,895	5,492	4,800	4,394	4,156	5,832
Saunders	1	6,160	5,942	5,727	5,229	5,060	4,730	3,768	3,520	4,849
County	Mkt Area	1D1	1D	2D1	2D	3D1	3D	4D1	4D	WEIGHTED AVG DRY
Seward	1	5,800	5,700	5,200	5,200	5,200	3,800	3,749	2,950	5,125
Butler	1	6,000	5,000	4,899	4,788	4,299	3,999	3,100	3,000	4,503
Fillmore	1	3,855	3,815	3,715	3,665	3,514	n/a	3,223	3,155	3,705
Polk	1	4,697	4,447	3,370	3,370	3,070	2,990	2,890	2,890	4,100
Saline	3	4,693	4,687	4,224	4,141	4,045	3,525	3,514	3,350	4,262
York	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Seward	2	5,800	5,700	5,198	5,198	5,199	3,799	3,750	2,950	4,791
Seward	3	5,800	5,700	5,200	5,200	5,200	3,800	3,750	2,950	5,102
Butler	1	6,000	5,000	4,899	4,788	4,299	3,999	3,100	3,000	4,503
Lancaster	1	4,385	4,387	3,943	3,946	3,510	3,509	3,071	3,069	3,819
Saline	2	4,696	4,692	4,223	4,144	4,039	3,525	3,520	3,344	4,291
Saunders	1	5,408	5,176	4,947	4,441	4,257	3,797	3,109	2,764	3,870
County	Mkt Area	1G1	1G	2G1	2G	3G1	3G	4G1	4G	WEIGHTED AVG GRASS
Seward	1	1,982	2,127	1,879	1,825	1,777	2,550	1,287	1,521	1,583
Butler	1	2,765	2,888	2,823	2,482	2,624	2,471	2,288	1,655	2,094
Fillmore	1	1,460	1,441	1,380	1,320	1,326	n/a	1,200	1,200	1,288
Polk	1	1,357	1,438	1,544	1,565	1,518	1,568	1,446	1,343	1,460
Saline	3	1,467	1,864	1,408	1,858	1,805	1,516	1,576	1,019	1,444
York	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Seward	2	2,177	2,285	2,119	2,116	1,938	2,061	1,511	1,596	1,769
Seward	3	2,133	2,069	1,892	1,907	1,815	2,023	1,425	1,522	1,659
Butler	1	2,765	2,888	2,823	2,482	2,624	2,471	2,288	1,655	2,094
Datioi										
Lancaster	1	2,358	2,540	2,094	2,162	1,817	1,826	1,430	1,369	1,809
	1 2	2,358 1,626	2,540 1,852	2,094 1,461	2,162 1,888	1,817 1,821	1,826 515	1,430 1,580	1,369 1,084	1,809 1,429

Source: 2015 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX

2,053

2,050

2,417

Saunders

1,668

2,299

1,992

1,683

925

1,686

METHODOLOGY REPORT OF SPECIAL VALUATION PROCEDURES

SEWARD COUNTY - 2015

Special valuation methodology:

As done in the past, the agricultural values are set according to the agricultural sales that are determined to be arms length by the assessor and by the Nebraska Property Assessment Division. A market study is done based on those sales. Each sale is listed and contains the number of acres in each land capability group. New values per acre are substituted for last year's values to calculate new assessed values and ratios. New statistical measurements including the mean, median and weighted mean, coefficient of dispersion, price-related differential and the absolute standard deviation are calculated. The final step is the reconciliation of value. It is the process in which the estimates of value are evaluated and the applicability of the indicated values is weighed. This is a reconciliation of the facts, trends and observations developed in the analysis and a review of the conclusions and the validity and reliability of those conclusions. The market study to arrive at the special value was analyzed using only the uninfluenced sales from the Market Area 3, which was created in 2002. Area 3 does not have the aquifer lying under it. Market Area 3 is most like Market Area 2, which has special valuation. The new assessed value from Market Area 3 for each land capability group is then applied to all agricultural parcels in area 2.

For 2014 it was determined that sales in Market Area 2 were not selling much differently that in Market Area 3. Therefore, all the qualified sales in the 2 market areas were used to set the values for both areas. The 2 areas are still being maintained separately but were grouped together for analysis and valuation.

For 2015, analysis of sales continues as in 2014.

2015 Agricultural Correlation Section for Seward County

County Overview

Seward County is an agriculturally based county with Seward and several small towns that exist primarily to support agriculture. The prevalent crops are row crops with corn, soybeans, and some grain sorghum. There is also some grazing land, primarily in the east part of the county. The county land use is approximately 44% irrigated land, 37% dry land, 18% grass land and 1% other uses. Seward County is bordered on the north by Butler County, on the south by Saline County, on the east by Lancaster County, and on the west by York County. The agricultural land is valued using three market areas that are more fully described in the survey. Area 1 is about 71% irrigated crop land covering about 60% of the west part of the county. Area 2 and 3 are predominantly dry crop and grass land. They are geographically similar and since 2013, have been analyzed together to produce a common value. Prior to 2013, Seward County has only analyzed only the sales in Area 3 and applied the results to both areas as Area 2 has been considered a special valuation area. The increases in land values have caused the sales in both areas to reflect only agricultural value. The county will maintain the separate market areas and the R&O will show 2 market areas. Area 1 will again be just Area 1 and Area 2 will contain sales from both Areas 2 and 3 for analysis purposes. For 2015 the department will not report a measurement of special valuation for Seward County. The 2015 Abstract Form 45, reports 3,348 parcels of agricultural land. There are an also 1,136 sets of farm site improvements located on agricultural parcels.

Description of Analysis

There was a total sample of 53 qualified sales; 43 Seward County sales supplemented with 10 additional qualified sales used to determine the level of value of agricultural land in the county. The sample after supplementation was deemed adequate, proportional among study years and representative based on major land uses. Any comparable sales used were selected from a similar agricultural area within six miles of the subject county.

Both of the reported market areas are within the acceptable range. In this study, the 80% Majority Land Use Tables demonstrate that the irrigated values for the county and for Area 1 are within the range; that the dry values for the county and for Area 2 are within the range. Sales with predominantly grass acres and other majority land uses are too scarce to produce an independent measurement. The county has made substantial changes to all of the values based on their analysis. The Department is not recommending any change to the values based on any major land use.

The calculated median ratio is 72%; the COD is 20.23 and the PRD is 106.34. Given the high appreciation in land value during the three years of this analysis, little weight is given to the COD and PRD. The 2015 abstract reports; overall agricultural land increased by 6.17%; irrigated land increased by just over 6%, dry land increased by just over 1%, and grass land

2015 Agricultural Correlation Section for Seward County

increased by over 48%. The county has sound assessment practices relating to the verification of sales and analysis of agricultural values.

Sales Qualification

The Department's review of the county's sale verification process reported in the residential correlation was done for all 3 classes of property at the same time. The findings, that there was no reason to conclude that the county had selectively excluded sales to influence the measurement process applies to the agricultural sales too. The measurement was done with all available qualified sales.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The county has sound assessment practices relating to the verification of sales and analysis of agricultural values. Each year, the county verifies all of the new sales that take place. They update any changes to land use that are discovered or reported. They completely analyze and revalue all agricultural land within a classification system and monitor sales to affirm their use of one market area. The quality of assessment for agricultural land is acceptable.

Level of Value

For 2015, the apparent level of value of agricultural land is 72% and the quality of the assessment process is acceptable. There are no strong indications of any major subclass outside the range. There are no recommended adjustments to the class or to any subclass of agricultural land.

80 Seward RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales:
 394
 MEDIAN:
 95
 COV:
 12.87
 95% Median C.I.:
 94.59 to 96.46

 Total Sales Price:
 58,743,805
 WGT. MEAN:
 93
 STD:
 12.28
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 92.11 to 94.48

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 58,743,805
 MEAN:
 95
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 07.74
 95% Mean C.I.:
 94.19 to 96.61

Total Assessed Value: 54,805,855

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 149,096 COD: 08.12 MAX Sales Ratio: 184.35

Avg. Assessed Value: 139,101 PRD: 102.25 MIN Sales Ratio: 58.46 *Printed*:3/30/2015 3:59:16PM

DATE OF SALE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT.MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX Qrtrs	95%_Median_C.I. 94.73 to 98.50 94.94 to 98.95 95.33 to 99.37 94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 154,082 159,315 135,626 158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753 136,302	157,448 129,712 151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233
Ortrs O1-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12 45 96.60 95.27 93.89 05.25 101.47 72.48 113.54 01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13 33 97.74 98.98 98.83 06.40 100.15 79.48 143.31 01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 53 97.19 98.72 95.64 07.77 103.22 69.10 165.65 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 72 95.59 97.36 95.05 07.21 102.43 77.89 159.95 01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	94.73 to 98.50 94.94 to 98.95 95.33 to 99.37 94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	154,082 159,315 135,626 158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753	144,673 157,448 129,712 151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12 45 96.60 95.27 93.89 05.25 101.47 72.48 113.54 01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13 33 97.74 98.98 98.83 06.40 100.15 79.48 143.31 01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 53 97.19 98.72 95.64 07.77 103.22 69.10 165.65 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 72 95.59 97.36 95.05 07.21 102.43 77.89 159.95 01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	94.94 to 98.95 95.33 to 99.37 94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	159,315 135,626 158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753	157,448 129,712 151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13 33 97.74 98.98 98.83 06.40 100.15 79.48 143.31 01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 53 97.19 98.72 95.64 07.77 103.22 69.10 165.65 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 72 95.59 97.36 95.05 07.21 102.43 77.89 159.95 01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	94.94 to 98.95 95.33 to 99.37 94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	159,315 135,626 158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753	129,712 151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 53 97.19 98.72 95.64 07.77 103.22 69.10 165.65 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 72 95.59 97.36 95.05 07.21 102.43 77.89 159.95 01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	95.33 to 99.37 94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	135,626 158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753	157,448 129,712 151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233 124,060
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 72 95.59 97.36 95.05 07.21 102.43 77.89 159.95 01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	94.66 to 96.97 91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	158,992 141,605 137,285 158,753	151,115 129,907 128,965 141,233
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13 40 93.65 94.06 91.74 07.55 102.53 64.08 120.99 01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	91.37 to 97.92 92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	141,605 137,285 158,753	129,907 128,965 141,233
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 33 93.96 94.63 93.94 07.17 100.73 72.02 128.76 01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	92.23 to 98.20 88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	137,285 158,753	128,965 141,233
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14 73 90.12 91.57 88.96 09.75 102.93 58.46 124.50	88.39 to 94.67 87.60 to 96.87	158,753	141,233
	87.60 to 96.87	*	
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14 45 91.76 93.85 91.02 11.36 103.11 70.10 184.35		136,302	124,060
	95.74 to 97.42		
Study Yrs	95.74 to 97.42		
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13 203 96.79 97.51 95.57 06.84 102.03 69.10 165.65		151,855	145,128
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14 191 93.35 93.16 90.79 09.14 102.61 58.46 184.35	91.57 to 94.41	146,163	132,695
Calendar Yrs			
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13 198 96.44 97.33 95.23 07.39 102.21 64.08 165.65	95.33 to 97.23	149,279	142,157
ALL 394 95.35 95.40 93.30 08.12 102.25 58.46 184.35	94.59 to 96.46	149,096	139,101
VALUATION GROUPING		Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT.MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01 214 95.72 94.84 94.52 05.62 100.34 68.92 124.50	94.87 to 96.60	151,550	143,248
02 6 97.34 98.90 95.62 08.17 103.43 83.01 120.99	83.01 to 120.99	81,167	77,612
03 5 104.70 102.69 101.91 05.57 100.77 89.77 112.76	N/A	55,900	56,965
04 2 100.21 100.21 100.40 01.26 99.81 98.95 101.46	N/A	101,625	102,036
05 7 104.45 113.35 103.94 11.86 109.05 97.23 165.65	97.23 to 165.65	70,857	73,652
06 5 88.98 87.21 86.48 04.29 100.84 79.88 92.04	N/A	90,420	78,191
08 52 94.21 93.15 92.81 06.04 100.37 79.21 108.33	90.09 to 95.66	149,200	138,470
09 14 98.89 99.57 98.26 07.32 101.33 86.98 118.83	88.40 to 108.90	114,071	112,087
10 8 98.76 101.94 102.30 10.99 99.65 87.65 128.30	87.65 to 128.30	53,125	54,349
11 159.95 159.95 00.00 100.00 159.95 159.95	N/A	41,500	66,378
12 30 94.08 98.58 93.29 16.49 105.67 69.10 184.35	86.47 to 103.27	112,972	105,390
13 44 93.36 91.24 86.76 13.41 105.16 58.46 143.31	85.62 to 96.86	213,005	184,801
14 6 95.79 95.27 95.31 03.77 99.96 89.97 99.36	89.97 to 99.36	301,833	287,667
ALL 394 95.35 95.40 93.30 08.12 102.25 58.46 184.35	94.59 to 96.46	149,096	139,101

80 Seward RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

95% Median C.I.: 94.59 to 96.46 Number of Sales: 394 MEDIAN: 95 COV: 12.87 Total Sales Price: 58,743,805 WGT. MEAN: 93 STD: 12.28 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 92.11 to 94.48 Total Adj. Sales Price: 58,743,805 MEAN: 95 Avg. Abs. Dev: 07.74 95% Mean C.I.: 94.19 to 96.61

Total Assessed Value: 54,805,855

COD: 08.12 MAX Sales Ratio: 184.35 Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 149,096

Avg. Assessed Value: 139,101		PRD: 102.25			MIN Sales Ratio : 58.46				Printed:3/30/20		
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	390	95.33	95.17	93.27	07.92	102.04	58.46	184.35	94.59 to 96.41	150,210	140,107
06											
07	4	110.53	118.59	101.41	22.37	116.94	87.65	165.65	N/A	40,500	41,071
ALL	394	95.35	95.40	93.30	08.12	102.25	58.46	184.35	94.59 to 96.46	149,096	139,101
SALE PRICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges											
Less Than 5,000											
Less Than 15,000	2	143.32	143.32	137.74	15.58	104.05	120.99	165.65	N/A	8,000	11,019
Less Than 30,000	8	115.33	120.17	117.38	18.22	102.38	84.12	165.65	84.12 to 165.65	17,563	20,615
Ranges Excl. Low \$											
Greater Than 4,999	394	95.35	95.40	93.30	08.12	102.25	58.46	184.35	94.59 to 96.46	149,096	139,101
Greater Than 14,999	392	95.33	95.16	93.28	07.91	102.02	58.46	184.35	94.59 to 96.41	149,816	139,755
Greater Than 29,999	386	95.31	94.89	93.24	07.69	101.77	58.46	184.35	94.41 to 96.35	151,822	141,557
Incremental Ranges											
0 TO 4,999											
5,000 TO 14,999	2	143.32	143.32	137.74	15.58	104.05	120.99	165.65	N/A	8,000	11,019
15,000 TO 29,999	6	106.22	112.45	114.77	15.82	97.98	84.12	143.31	84.12 to 143.31	20,750	23,814
30,000 TO 59,999	26	102.63	107.89	107.11	15.40	100.73	72.02	184.35	94.75 to 113.24	48,100	51,518
60,000 TO 99,999	66	97.80	97.94	97.60	07.85	100.35	68.92	128.30	95.46 to 99.41	78,914	77,019
100,000 TO 149,999	133	93.96	93.73	93.74	06.78	99.99	69.10	124.50	92.64 to 96.11	124,967	117,147
150,000 TO 249,999	117	95.33	93.19	92.95	05.58	100.26	68.20	106.37	94.09 to 96.51	184,701	171,684
250,000 TO 499,999	44	92.41	90.67	90.20	08.70	100.52	58.46	122.94	89.46 to 95.66	316,223	285,242
500,000 TO 999,999											
1,000,000 +											
ALL	394	95.35	95.40	93.30	08.12	102.25	58.46	184.35	94.59 to 96.46	149,096	139,101

80 Seward COMMERCIAL

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales : 27
 MEDIAN : 95
 COV : 27.32
 95% Median C.I. : 76.76 to 110.66

 Total Sales Price : 6,913,300
 WGT. MEAN : 95
 STD : 25.62
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 77.42 to 111.86

Total Adj. Sales Price: 6,810,800 MEAN: 94 Avg. Abs. Dev: 20.13 95% Mean C.I.: 83.63 to 103.91

Total Assessed Value: 6,445,787

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 252,252 COD: 21.13 MAX Sales Ratio: 138.41

Avg. Assessed Value: 238,733 PRD: 99.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 37.53 *Printed*:3/30/2015 3:59:17PM

3											
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	3	93.79	90.89	94.09	15.08	96.60	68.23	110.66	N/A	212,500	199,949
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	1	80.07	80.07	80.07	00.00	100.00	80.07	80.07	N/A	75,000	60,054
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	2	87.83	87.83	81.47	12.60	107.81	76.76	98.90	N/A	1,270,000	1,034,656
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	3	95.25	101.85	106.36	08.50	95.76	93.00	117.29	N/A	114,433	121,707
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	4	93.55	97.83	76.88	30.86	127.25	65.81	138.41	N/A	163,250	125,505
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	3	101.65	100.70	103.43	12.03	97.36	81.87	118.57	N/A	100,000	103,430
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	4	113.29	99.32	124.83	27.58	79.56	37.53	133.17	N/A	357,500	446,271
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	1	72.78	72.78	72.78	00.00	100.00	72.78	72.78	N/A	88,000	64,049
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13	2	116.41	116.41	112.50	15.96	103.48	97.83	134.99	N/A	190,000	213,746
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14	1	80.47	80.47	80.47	00.00	100.00	80.47	80.47	N/A	125,000	100,584
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14											
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14	3	74.11	73.67	67.76	21.10	108.72	49.98	96.91	N/A	79,667	53,979
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	9	93.79	92.66	86.05	12.33	107.68	68.23	117.29	76.76 to 110.66	399,533	343,815
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	12	100.14	96.95	107.71	25.46	90.01	37.53	138.41	72.11 to 127.94	205,917	221,787
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14	6	88.69	89.05	92.74	23.52	96.02	49.98	134.99	49.98 to 134.99	124,000	115,002
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	10	94.13	95.26	82.98	18.81	114.80	65.81	138.41	72.11 to 117.29	361,130	299,651
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13	10	100.14	100.50	117.69	22.74	85.39	37.53	134.99	72.78 to 133.17	219,800	258,691
ALL	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733
VALUATION GROUPING										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	13	95.25	92.36	93.08	18.45	99.23	49.98	134.99	72.11 to 110.66	398,369	370,801
02	1	114.98	114.98	114.98	00.00	100.00	114.98	114.98	N/A	13,000	14,948
04	1	74.11	74.11	74.11	00.00	100.00	74.11	74.11	N/A	38,000	28,161
05	1	37.53	37.53	37.53	00.00	100.00	37.53	37.53	N/A	17,500	6,567
08	10	95.95	100.27	100.68	21.89	99.59	68.23	138.41	72.78 to 133.17	140,350	141,307
09	1	101.65	101.65	101.65	00.00	100.00	101.65	101.65	N/A	160,000	162,638
ALL	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733

80 Seward COMMERCIAL

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales:
 27
 MEDIAN:
 95
 COV:
 27.32
 95% Median C.I.:
 76.76 to 110.66

 Total Sales Price:
 6,913,300
 WGT. MEAN:
 95
 STD:
 25.62
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 77.42 to 111.86

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 6,810,800
 MEAN:
 94
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 20.13
 95% Mean C.I.:
 83.63 to 103.91

Total Assessed Value: 6,445,787

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 252,252 COD: 21.13 MAX Sales Ratio: 138.41

Avg. Assessed Value: 238.733 PRD: 99.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 37.53 Printed:3/30/2015 3:59:17PM

Avg. Assessed Value: 238,733			PRD: 99.08		MIN Sales I	Ratio : 37.53			Prir	itea:3/30/2015 \	3:59:17PM
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
02											
03	25	95.25	93.08	93.48	20.67	99.57	37.53	138.41	80.07 to 101.65	144,432	135,012
04	2	102.35	102.35	95.95	25.00	106.67	76.76	127.94	N/A	1,600,000	1,535,245
ALL	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733
SALE PRICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges											
Less Than 5,000											
Less Than 15,000	1	114.98	114.98	114.98	00.00	100.00	114.98	114.98	N/A	13,000	14,948
Less Than 30,000	2	76.26	76.26	70.54	50.79	108.11	37.53	114.98	N/A	15,250	10,758
Ranges Excl. Low \$											
Greater Than 4,999	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733
Greater Than 14,999	26	94.52	92.95	94.60	21.31	98.26	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 101.65	261,454	247,340
Greater Than 29,999	25	95.25	95.17	94.75	19.57	100.44	49.98	138.41	80.07 to 101.65	271,212	256,971
Incremental Ranges											
0 TO 4,999											
5,000 TO 14,999	1	114.98	114.98	114.98	00.00	100.00	114.98	114.98	N/A	13,000	14,948
15,000 TO 29,999	1	37.53	37.53	37.53	00.00	100.00	37.53	37.53	N/A	17,500	6,567
30,000 TO 59,999	4	88.56	97.41	99.10	21.93	98.29	74.11	138.41	N/A	44,575	44,172
60,000 TO 99,999	5	96.91	100.30	101.89	20.41	98.44	72.78	133.17	N/A	84,300	85,894
100,000 TO 149,999	7	80.47	80.97	80.73	21.00	100.30	49.98	110.66	49.98 to 110.66	110,071	88,865
150,000 TO 249,999	4	109.47	112.94	111.33	12.06	101.45	97.83	134.99	N/A	181,250	201,781
250,000 TO 499,999	1	72.11	72.11	72.11	00.00	100.00	72.11	72.11	N/A	470,000	338,900
500,000 TO 999,999	2	96.35	96.35	96.51	02.66	99.83	93.79	98.90	N/A	507,500	489,775
1,000,000 +	2	102.35	102.35	95.95	25.00	106.67	76.76	127.94	N/A	1,600,000	1,535,245
ALL	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733

80 Seward COMMERCIAL

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales:
 27
 MEDIAN:
 95
 COV:
 27.32
 95% Median C.I.:
 76.76 to 110.66

 Total Sales Price:
 6,913,300
 WGT. MEAN:
 95
 STD:
 25.62
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 77.42 to 111.86

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 6,810,800
 MEAN:
 94
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 20.13
 95% Mean C.I.:
 83.63 to 103.91

Total Assessed Value: 6,445,787

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 252,252 COD: 21.13 MAX Sales Ratio: 138.41

Avg. Assessed Value: 238,733 PRD: 99.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 37.53 *Printed*:3/30/2015 3:59:17PM

OCCUPANCY CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
340	1	117.29	117.29	117.29	00.00	100.00	117.29	117.29	N/A	185,000	216,995
344	2	109.44	109.44	97.02	26.47	112.80	80.47	138.41	N/A	87,500	84,894
350	1	101.65	101.65	101.65	00.00	100.00	101.65	101.65	N/A	160,000	162,638
352	3	98.90	105.10	100.67	06.99	104.40	97.83	118.57	N/A	286,667	288,594
353	9	93.00	92.98	95.93	21.92	96.92	49.98	134.99	68.23 to 133.17	96,278	92,356
406	3	76.76	80.74	95.64	39.27	84.42	37.53	127.94	N/A	1,072,500	1,025,686
426	1	110.66	110.66	110.66	00.00	100.00	110.66	110.66	N/A	102,500	113,428
442	1	74.11	74.11	74.11	00.00	100.00	74.11	74.11	N/A	38,000	28,161
470	1	95.25	95.25	95.25	00.00	100.00	95.25	95.25	N/A	40,300	38,387
528	3	72.78	86.62	73.19	19.63	118.35	72.11	114.98	N/A	190,333	139,299
555	1	65.81	65.81	65.81	00.00	100.00	65.81	65.81	N/A	120,000	78,969
597	1	93.79	93.79	93.79	00.00	100.00	93.79	93.79	N/A	475,000	445,484
ALL	27	95.25	93.77	94.64	21.13	99.08	37.53	138.41	76.76 to 110.66	252,252	238,733

80 Seward

AGRICULTURAL LAND

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales: 53
 MEDIAN: 72
 COV: 27.62
 95% Median C.I.: 64.92 to 75.02

 Total Sales Price: 35,254,049
 WGT. MEAN: 70
 STD: 20.47
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 65.36 to 74.04

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 35,218,049
 MEAN: 74
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 14.52
 95% Mean C.I.: 68.61 to 79.63

Total Assessed Value: 24,546,873

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 664,491 COD : 20.23 MAX Sales Ratio : 132.42

Avg. Assessed Value: 463,149 PRD: 106.34 MIN Sales Ratio: 42.93 *Printed:3/30/2015 3:59:17PM*

7 (vg. 7 (0000000 value : 100,110			100.01		Will V Calco I	tallo . 42.33					
DATE OF SALE * RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd. Val
Qrtrs	000				002				0070000	Ca.cc	71000. 70.
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	6	71.30	77.20	74.90	24.14	103.07	47.57	129.39	47.57 to 129.39	510,163	382,125
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	5	63.49	75.44	65.82	22.41	114.62	59.69	127.50	N/A	778,182	512,212
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	4	89.74	82.07	82.24	12.73	99.79	54.66	94.12	N/A	470,670	387,090
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	2	92.48	92.48	90.10	04.55	102.64	88.27	96.68	N/A	1,082,763	975,532
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	15	71.80	75.29	71.70	14.21	105.01	56.07	109.89	66.55 to 84.08	612,733	439,347
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	2	57.64	57.64	57.26	06.40	100.66	53.95	61.33	N/A	1,241,200	710,676
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13											
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13											
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13	6	66.78	64.42	62.39	15.41	103.25	42.93	79.02	42.93 to 79.02	750,227	468,081
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14	7	75.02	87.77	87.31	19.47	100.53	71.77	132.42	71.77 to 132.42	451,308	394,034
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14	5	53.05	52.37	52.98	11.14	98.85	43.65	60.69	N/A	937,810	496,837
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14	1	67.26	67.26	67.26	00.00	100.00	67.26	67.26	N/A	195,000	131,165
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	17	72.84	79.62	75.94	25.21	104.85	47.57	129.39	61.60 to 94.12	647,064	491,367
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	17	71.27	73.21	68.63	14.92	106.67	53.95	109.89	61.33 to 84.08	686,670	471,268
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14	19	71.77	70.00	65.22	20.18	107.33	42.93	132.42	58.10 to 75.02	660,240	430,636
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	26	72.42	77.68	73.85	19.28	105.19	54.66	127.50	65.35 to 86.63	658,850	486,565
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13	8	61.36	62.73	60.57	14.10	103.57	42.93	79.02	42.93 to 79.02	872,970	528,730
ALL	53	71.77	74.12	69.70	20.23	106.34	42.93	132.42	64.92 to 75.02	664,491	463,149
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
1	24	72.51	72.83	67.29	19.79	108.23	43.65	129.39	58.10 to 75.07	819,150	551,187
2	29	71.27	75.19	72.75	20.51	103.35	42.93	132.42	64.92 to 84.08	536,498	390,289
ALL	53	71.77	74.12	69.70	20.23	106.34	42.93	132.42	64.92 to 75.02	664.491	463,149
	55	1 1.11	17.12	00.10	20.20	100.04	72.00	102.72	07.32 10 75.02	007,731	700,148

80 Seward

AGRICULTURAL LAND

PAD 2015 R&O Statistics (Using 2015 Values)

ualified

 Number of Sales:
 53
 MEDIAN:
 72
 COV:
 27.62
 95% Median C.I.:
 64.92 to 75.02

 Total Sales Price:
 35,254,049
 WGT. MEAN:
 70
 STD:
 20.47
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 65.36 to 74.04

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 35,218,049
 MEAN:
 74
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 14.52
 95% Mean C.I.:
 68.61 to 79.63

Total Assessed Value: 24,546,873

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 664,491 COD : 20.23 MAX Sales Ratio : 132.42

Avg. Assessed Value: 463,149 PRD: 106.34 MIN Sales Ratio: 42.93 Printed: 3/30/2015 3:59:17PM

Avg. Assessed value : 403,149			PRD . 100.34		WIIN Sales Ratio . 42.93					1100.0/00/2010	0.00.171 101
95%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County	6	66.63	67.37	66.14	10.15	101.86	56.74	77.59	56.74 to 77.59	623,194	412,155
1	6	66.63	67.37	66.14	10.15	101.86	56.74	77.59	56.74 to 77.59	623,194	412,155
Dry											
County	11	66.55	70.70	69.00	14.31	102.46	54.66	99.63	59.69 to 84.08	688,651	475,203
1	2	77.15	77.15	76.89	29.15	100.34	54.66	99.63	N/A	445,000	342,166
2	9	66.55	69.27	67.96	09.98	101.93	59.69	84.08	61.33 to 79.02	742,795	504,767
Grass											
County	3	67.26	62.20	59.48	12.00	104.57	47.57	71.77	N/A	186,667	111,037
2	3	67.26	62.20	59.48	12.00	104.57	47.57	71.77	N/A	186,667	111,037
ALL	53	71.77	74.12	69.70	20.23	106.34	42.93	132.42	64.92 to 75.02	664,491	463,149
80%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County	19	69.76	72.16	65.38	20.05	110.37	43.65	129.39	58.49 to 75.07	824,304	538,899
1	17	72.18	73.20	65.69	20.44	111.43	43.65	129.39	56.74 to 77.59	786,718	516,774
2	2	63.37	63.37	63.56	03.14	99.70	61.38	65.35	N/A	1,143,782	726,961
Dry											
County	19	72.92	75.65	72.63	14.69	104.16	54.66	109.89	64.92 to 84.08	576,522	418,722
1	4	73.39	75.27	75.10	15.56	100.23	54.66	99.63	N/A	456,000	342,456
2	15	71.80	75.75	72.14	14.53	105.00	59.69	109.89	64.92 to 84.08	608,661	439,060
Grass											
County	5	67.26	60.25	57.72	13.96	104.38	45.96	71.77	N/A	234,000	135,066
2	5	67.26	60.25	57.72	13.96	104.38	45.96	71.77	N/A	234,000	135,066
ALL	53	71.77	74.12	69.70	20.23	106.34	42.93	132.42	64.92 to 75.02	664,491	463,149

Total Real Property
Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30

Records: 10,218

Value: 2,618,614,897

Growth 31,718,435

Sum Lines 17, 25, & 41

Schedule I: Non-Agricult	ural Records								
	\mathbf{U}	rban	Sul	oUrban		Rural	Т	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
01. Res UnImp Land	333	4,369,008	116	3,981,451	155	6,356,986	604	14,707,445	
02. Res Improve Land	3,922	72,605,757	391	13,981,350	1,063	49,224,492	5,376	135,811,599	
03. Res Improvements	4,000	397,031,039	398	60,441,880	1,106	170,162,078	5,504	627,634,997	
04. Res Total	4,333	474,005,804	514	78,404,681	1,261	225,743,556	6,108	778,154,041	18,921,328
% of Res Total	70.94	60.91	8.42	10.08	20.65	29.01	59.78	29.72	59.65
05. Com UnImp Land	92	2,757,828	8	193,696	27	888,279	127	3,839,803	
06. Com Improve Land	452	15,821,010	25	789,950	41	5,048,640	518	21,659,600	
07. Com Improvements	478	73,322,829	36	8,753,343	67	26,687,354	581	108,763,526	
08. Com Total	570	91,901,667	44	9,736,989	94	32,624,273	708	134,262,929	8,608,935
% of Com Total	80.51	68.45	6.21	7.25	13.28	24.30	6.93	5.13	27.14
09. Ind UnImp Land	4	37,530	0	0	0	0	4	37,530	
10. Ind Improve Land	7	1,659,435	1	160,875	0	0	8	1,820,310	
11. Ind Improvements	7	15,904,324	1	3,039,461	0	0	8	18,943,785	
12. Ind Total	11	17,601,289	1	3,200,336	0	0	12	20,801,625	0
% of Ind Total	91.67	84.61	8.33	15.39	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.79	0.00
13. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	2	69,429	1	283,000	3	352,429	
14. Rec Improve Land	0	0	2	107,663	3	49,055	5	156,718	
15. Rec Improvements	1	1,320	4	486,477	34	473,125	39	960,922	
16. Rec Total	1	1,320	6	663,569	35	805,180	42	1,470,069	0
% of Rec Total	2.38	0.09	14.29	45.14	83.33	54.77	0.41	0.06	0.00
Res & Rec Total	4,334	474,007,124	520	79,068,250	1,296	226,548,736	6,150	779,624,110	18,921,328
% of Res & Rec Total	70.47	60.80	8.46	10.14	21.07	29.06	60.19	29.77	59.65
Com & Ind Total	581	109,502,956	45	12,937,325	94	32,624,273	720	155,064,554	8,608,935
% of Com & Ind Total	80.69	70.62	6.25	8.34	13.06	21.04	7.05	5.92	27.14
17. Taxable Total	4,915	583,510,080	565	92,005,575	1,390	259,173,009	6,870	934,688,664	27,530,263
% of Taxable Total	71.54	62.43	8.22	9.84	20.23	27.73	67.23	35.69	86.80

Schedule II: Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

		Urban				SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Red	cords	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0		0	0	0
19. Commercial	8	1,579,744	3,398,800		0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0		0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0		0	0	0
	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Re	ecords	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0		0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0		8	1,579,744	3,398,800
20. Industrial	0	0	0		0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0		0	0	0
22. Total Sch II					8	1,579,744	3,398,800

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records

Mineral Interest	Records Urb	an Value	Records SubU	rban Value	Records Rura	l Value	Records Tot	al Value	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

•	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Exempt	378	69	116	563

Schedule V : Agricultural Records

	Urban		SubUrban			Rural	Total		
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
27. Ag-Vacant Land	10	1,870,316	299	132,021,421	1,878	877,790,282	2,187	1,011,682,019	
28. Ag-Improved Land	0	0	138	75,578,712	910	480,916,833	1,048	556,495,545	
29. Ag Improvements	0	0	146	14,576,473	1,015	101,172,196	1,161	115,748,669	
30. Ag Total							3,348	1,683,926,233	

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	cords :Non-Agric	ultural Detail					
	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value	Y
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	1	1.00	18,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	0	0.00	0	90	93.00	1,655,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	86	0.00	10,239,084	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	5	135.30	260,717	32	85.09	216,444	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	0	0.00	0	135	719.57	1,936,356	
37. FarmSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	142	0.00	4,337,389	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches	0	0.77	0	0	724.69	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	95.47	0	
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	Total Acres	Value	Growth
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	7	7.00	126,000	8	8.00	144,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	626	632.00	11,318,000	716	725.00	12,973,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	585	0.00	72,819,612	671	0.00	83,058,696	1,149,610
34. HomeSite Total				679	733.00	96,175,696	
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	185	679.64	850,632	222	900.03	1,327,793	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	895	3,196.86	8,696,032	1,030	3,916.43	10,632,388	
37. FarmSite Improvements	994	0.00	28,352,584	1,136	0.00	32,689,973	3,038,562
38. FarmSite Total				1,358	4,816.46	44,650,154	
39. Road & Ditches	0	5,868.01	0	0	6,593.47	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	203.48	0	0	298.95	0	
41. Total Section VI	·			2,037	12,441.88	140,825,850	4,188,172

Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

	Urban			SubUrban			
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0	3	343.02	1,006,220	
		Rural			Total		
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	12	1,194.55	3,042,471	15	1,537.57	4,048,691	

Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0	105	10,469.44	40,845,696
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
		Rural			Total	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	808	80,405.43	265,224,278	913	90,874.87	306,069,974
44. Market Value	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*} LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	40,221.80	29.86%	257,418,869	31.93%	6,399.98
46. 1A	34,825.58	25.85%	219,401,154	27.21%	6,300.00
47. 2A1	12,432.74	9.23%	76,461,581	9.48%	6,150.02
48. 2A	823.28	0.61%	4,946,711	0.61%	6,008.54
49. 3A1	29,517.40	21.91%	169,718,174	21.05%	5,749.77
50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
51. 4A1	11,248.26	8.35%	53,991,648	6.70%	4,800.00
52. 4A	5,654.38	4.20%	24,261,535	3.01%	4,290.75
53. Total	134,723.44	100.00%	806,199,672	100.00%	5,984.11
Dry					
54. 1D1	7,703.57	20.33%	44,680,706	23.00%	5,800.00
55. 1D	10,456.22	27.59%	59,600,454	30.68%	5,700.00
56. 2D1	3,453.79	9.11%	17,959,708	9.25%	5,200.00
57. 2D	334.94	0.88%	1,741,688	0.90%	5,200.00
58. 3D1	8,285.89	21.86%	43,086,628	22.18%	5,200.00
59. 3D	0.20	0.00%	760	0.00%	3,800.00
60. 4D1	5,715.76	15.08%	21,429,745	11.03%	3,749.24
61. 4D	1,947.69	5.14%	5,745,875	2.96%	2,950.10
62. Total	37,898.06	100.00%	194,245,564	100.00%	5,125.48
Grass					
63. 1G1	483.39	3.53%	958,282	4.42%	1,982.42
64. 1G	900.66	6.57%	1,915,655	8.83%	2,126.95
65. 2G1	1,075.37	7.85%	2,020,491	9.31%	1,878.88
66. 2G	342.00	2.50%	624,285	2.88%	1,825.39
67. 3G1	2,070.85	15.11%	3,680,781	16.97%	1,777.43
68. 3G	10.61	0.08%	27,054	0.12%	2,549.86
69. 4G1	4,073.12	29.72%	5,241,201	24.16%	1,286.78
70. 4G	4,750.43	34.66%	7,225,569	33.31%	1,521.03
71. Total	13,706.43	100.00%	21,693,318	100.00%	1,582.71
Irrigated Total	134,723.44	71.59%	806,199,672	78.86%	5,984.11
Dry Total	37,898.06	20.14%	194,245,564	19.00%	5,125.48
Grass Total	13,706.43	7.28%	21,693,318	2.12%	1,582.71
72. Waste	1,692.66	0.90%	169,266	0.02%	100.00
73. Other	159.32	0.08%	15,932	0.00%	100.00
74. Exempt	68.90	0.04%	0	0.00%	0.00
75. Market Area Total	188,179.91	100.00%	1,022,323,752	100.00%	5,432.69

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area 2

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	419.39	26.75%	2,516,340	28.27%	6,000.00
46. 1A	445.10	28.39%	2,626,090	29.51%	5,900.00
47. 2A1	391.56	24.97%	2,231,892	25.08%	5,700.00
48. 2A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
49. 3A1	206.52	13.17%	1,094,556	12.30%	5,300.00
50. 3A	12.70	0.81%	55,880	0.63%	4,400.00
51. 4A1	73.23	4.67%	307,566	3.46%	4,200.00
52. 4A	19.39	1.24%	67,865	0.76%	3,500.00
53. Total	1,567.89	100.00%	8,900,189	100.00%	5,676.54
Dry					
54. 1D1	4,223.41	10.73%	24,495,778	12.99%	5,800.00
55. 1D	7,228.06	18.36%	41,199,942	21.85%	5,700.00
56. 2D1	6,423.15	16.32%	33,385,205	17.70%	5,197.64
57. 2D	740.02	1.88%	3,846,404	2.04%	5,197.70
58. 3D1	6,358.19	16.15%	33,056,038	17.53%	5,198.97
59. 3D	5,227.03	13.28%	19,858,240	10.53%	3,799.14
60. 4D1	7,149.48	18.16%	26,810,115	14.22%	3,749.94
61. 4D	2,015.38	5.12%	5,945,545	3.15%	2,950.09
62. Total	39,364.72	100.00%	188,597,267	100.00%	4,791.02
Grass					
63. 1G1	246.93	0.62%	537,610	0.76%	2,177.18
64. 1G	1,021.88	2.57%	2,335,088	3.32%	2,285.09
65. 2G1	4,290.54	10.78%	9,093,042	12.92%	2,119.32
66. 2G	720.84	1.81%	1,525,176	2.17%	2,115.83
67. 3G1	4,769.30	11.98%	9,243,963	13.13%	1,938.22
68. 3G	6,078.60	15.27%	12,530,373	17.80%	2,061.39
69. 4G1	12,271.54	30.83%	18,536,940	26.33%	1,510.56
70. 4G	10,401.96	26.13%	16,601,653	23.58%	1,596.01
71. Total	39,801.59	100.00%	70,403,845	100.00%	1,768.87
Irrigated Total	1,567.89	1.90%	8,900,189	3.32%	5,676.54
Dry Total	39,364.72	47.74%	188,597,267	70.35%	4,791.02
Grass Total	39,801.59	48.27%	70,403,845	26.26%	1,768.87
72. Waste	1,665.05	2.02%	166,505	0.06%	100.00
73. Other	54.58	0.07%	5,458	0.00%	100.00
74. Exempt	57.20	0.07%	0	0.00%	0.00

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area 3

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	2,155.27	36.15%	12,931,620	38.31%	6,000.00
46. 1A	1,278.78	21.45%	7,544,802	22.35%	5,900.00
47. 2A1	1,083.77	18.18%	6,177,489	18.30%	5,700.00
48. 2A	164.86	2.76%	906,730	2.69%	5,500.00
49. 3A1	822.88	13.80%	4,361,264	12.92%	5,300.00
50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
51. 4A1	332.32	5.57%	1,395,744	4.13%	4,200.00
52. 4A	124.88	2.09%	437,080	1.29%	3,500.00
53. Total	5,962.76	100.00%	33,754,729	100.00%	5,660.92
Dry					
54. 1D1	9,370.36	23.22%	54,348,088	26.40%	5,800.00
55. 1D	9,286.04	23.01%	52,930,428	25.71%	5,700.00
56. 2D1	4,476.05	11.09%	23,275,460	11.31%	5,200.00
57. 2D	346.86	0.86%	1,803,672	0.88%	5,200.00
58. 3D1	7,702.51	19.09%	40,053,052	19.45%	5,200.00
59. 3D	149.90	0.37%	569,620	0.28%	3,800.00
60. 4D1	7,861.85	19.48%	29,482,123	14.32%	3,750.02
61. 4D	1,157.73	2.87%	3,415,399	1.66%	2,950.08
62. Total	40,351.30	100.00%	205,877,842	100.00%	5,102.14
Grass					
63. 1G1	327.49	4.20%	698,430	5.41%	2,132.68
64. 1G	512.51	6.58%	1,060,178	8.21%	2,068.60
65. 2G1	937.37	12.03%	1,773,036	13.72%	1,891.50
66. 2G	230.41	2.96%	439,389	3.40%	1,906.99
67. 3G1	949.77	12.19%	1,724,169	13.35%	1,815.35
68. 3G	184.37	2.37%	372,908	2.89%	2,022.61
69. 4G1	2,307.71	29.63%	3,289,533	25.46%	1,425.45
70. 4G	2,339.15	30.03%	3,560,837	27.56%	1,522.28
71. Total	7,788.78	100.00%	12,918,480	100.00%	1,658.60
Irrigated Total	5,962.76	10.72%	33,754,729	13.36%	5,660.92
Dry Total	40,351.30	72.54%	205,877,842	81.47%	5,102.14
Grass Total	7,788.78	14.00%	12,918,480	5.11%	1,658.60
72. Waste	1,431.59	2.57%	143,159	0.06%	100.00
73. Other	91.57	0.16%	9,157	0.00%	100.00
74. Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	U	U rban	SubUrban		Ru	ıral	Tota	al
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	0.00	0	14,703.41	88,190,466	127,550.68	760,664,124	142,254.09	848,854,590
77. Dry Land	302.07	1,553,773	20,572.17	104,420,846	96,739.84	482,746,054	117,614.08	588,720,673
78. Grass	30.74	53,934	6,685.84	11,055,812	54,580.22	93,905,897	61,296.80	105,015,643
79. Waste	15.36	1,536	989.45	98,945	3,784.49	378,449	4,789.30	478,930
80. Other	3.56	356	82.64	8,264	219.27	21,927	305.47	30,547
81. Exempt	0.00	0	0.00	0	126.10	0	126.10	0
82. Total	351.73	1,609,599	43,033.51	203,774,333	282,874.50	1,337,716,451	326,259.74	1,543,100,383

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	142,254.09	43.60%	848,854,590	55.01%	5,967.17
Dry Land	117,614.08	36.05%	588,720,673	38.15%	5,005.53
Grass	61,296.80	18.79%	105,015,643	6.81%	1,713.23
Waste	4,789.30	1.47%	478,930	0.03%	100.00
Other	305.47	0.09%	30,547	0.00%	100.00
Exempt	126.10	0.04%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	326,259.74	100.00%	1,543,100,383	100.00%	4,729.67

2015 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2014 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

80 Seward

	2014 CTL County Total	2015 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2015 form 45 - 2014 CTL)	Percent Change	2015 Growth (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	747,120,981	778,154,041	31,033,060	4.15%	18,921,328	1.62%
02. Recreational	1,487,446	1,470,069	-17,377	-1.17%	0	-1.17%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	93,579,153	96,175,696	2,596,543	2.77%	1,149,610	1.55%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	842,187,580	875,799,806	33,612,226	3.99%	20,070,938	1.61%
05. Commercial	125,712,465	134,262,929	8,550,464	6.80%	8,608,935	-0.05%
06. Industrial	20,801,625	20,801,625	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	42,072,488	44,650,154	2,577,666	6.13%	3,038,562	-1.10%
08. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	188,586,578	199,714,708	11,128,130	5.90%	11,647,497	-0.28%
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	1,030,774,158	1,075,514,514	44,740,356	4.34%	31,718,435	1.26%
11. Irrigated	800,303,353	848,854,590	48,551,237	6.07%		
12. Dryland	581,750,244	588,720,673	6,970,429	1.20%		
13. Grassland	70,846,010	105,015,643	34,169,633	48.23%	5	
14. Wasteland	476,785	478,930	2,145	0.45%)	
15. Other Agland	31,111	30,547	-564	-1.81%	5	
16. Total Agricultural Land	1,453,407,503	1,543,100,383	89,692,880	6.17%		
17. Total Value of all Real Property (Locally Assessed)	2,484,181,661	2,618,614,897	134,433,236	5.41%	31,718,435	4.13%

Seward County 2014 Plan of Assessment For years 2015, 2016 & 2017

Requirements:

Pursuant to Neb. Laws 2005, LB263, Section 9, on or before June 15 each year, the Assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment which describes the assessment actions planned to the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor shall present the plan to the county board of equalization and the assessor may amend the plan if necessary, after the county board approves the budget. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-112 (Reissue 2003).

Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1) 100% of actual value for all classes or real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land;
- 2) 75% of actual value for agricultural land and horticultural land and;
- 3) 75% of special value for agricultural and horticultural land which meets the qualifications for special valuation under 77-1344 and 75% of its recapture value as defined in 77-1343 when the land is disqualified for special valuation under 77-1347.

Assessment Statistics for 2014 as set by TERC:

Property Class	<u>Median</u>
Residential	97%
Commercial	100%
Agricultural Land	72%

Median: The middle placement when the assessment/sales ratios are arrayed from high to low (or low to high)

Office Staff and Budget Information

Seward County Assessor's Office currently employs 1 full time person, 1 three quarter (3/4) time person 1 part time field lister, 1 temporary part time person and a part time contract Appraiser besides the Assessor and Deputy Assessor. Information pertaining to budget and staffing is included in the survey given to the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division (PAD). Staff salaries are included in the office's budget presented to the County Board each year. *Goals*

The primary goal for the Seward County Assessor's Office is doing the best job possible in a professional manner to maintain fair and equitable values in meeting the statutory statistical requirements with the resources available.

Procedures Manual

Procedures have been established in the office and are updated as needed. The Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division Regulations and Directives as approved by the Attorney General and signed by the Governor is filed in the office.

Responsibilities:

Record Maintenance

Property record cards are maintained for every parcel of real property including improvements on leased land. The cards are updated annually to include any changes made to the assessment information of the property. The record cards contain current owner name and address, legal description, book and page number of the last deed of record and any changes of record of ownership. Also included is situs address, pictures of improvement or main structure, sketches, cadastral map book and page numbers, tax district codes, valuation information and other codes created that are relevant to the specific parcel.

The office maintains a cadastral map system. The current cadastral maps were done in May 1966. They have been kept up to date with name changes, separations and new subdivisions. Seward County has implemented a GIS system

Other functions performed by the assessor's office, but not limited to:

Prepare annually and file the following Administrative Reports

- County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property
- Assessor Survey
- Certification of Values to Political Subdivisions
- School District Taxable Value Report
- Sales information including rosters & annual Assessed Value Update w/Abstract
- Certification of Taxes Levied Report
- Homestead Exemption Tax Loss
- Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Education Lands & Funds
- Annual Plan of Assessment Report

Homestead Exemptions - Homestead Exemption applications are accepted in the office from February 1st through June 30. They are verified that the applicant is owner/occupant. An ad is placed in the two newspapers in the county with information about the Homestead Exemption. Follow up post cards and phone calls are made to ensure all applicants from the previous year refile and those inquiring throughout the year are notified that they may now file. Applications along with an income statement and a doctor's certification of disability (where appropriate) is forwarded to the Nebraska Department of Revenue by August 1 for income verification. Notice of rejection is sent when the applicant does not the requirement of owner/occupant through August 15th. The State returns a roster in October of approved (with a percentage) and disapproved for final processing. Property record cards are pulled and the Homestead Exemption percentage and amount is notated on them with a follow up of the data entered in the computer.

Personal Property - All depreciable tangible personal property which is used in a trade or business for the production of income, and which has a determinable life of longer than one year is filed on or before May 1. After May 1st but before July 1st a 10 percent penalty is applied and on July 1st and after a 25 percent penalty is applied. Every year notices are published in the local newspapers and a weekly news supplement for non-subscribers. The office has filing of Personal Property Schedules available on the internet. A postcard is sent to those with existing schedules as reminders and also includes the User ID and Password to access their schedules on the internet to complete and submit. A letter is sent to those who would be new filers explaining what is needed. This office documents at least 4-6 reminders to those who need to file personal property.

Permissive Exemptions - Administer annual filings of applications for new or continued exempt use or continued exempt use. Review and make recommendations to the county board.

Taxable Government Owned Property - Annual review of government owned property not used public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.

Centrally Assessed Properties - Review the valuations as certified by the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division. Establish and maintain assessment records and tax billing for the tax list.

Tax Districts and Tax Rates – Maintain school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information including the input of tax rates used for tax billing.

Tax Lists - Prepare and certify the tax lists to the county treasurer for real property, personal property and centrally assessed properties.

Tax List Corrections - Prepare tax list correction documents for the county board's approval.

County Board of Equalization - Attend county board of equalization meetings including meetings for valuation protests. Prepare documentation for the board for the hearings.

TERC (<u>Tax Equalization and Review Commission</u>) Appeals - Prepare the information and attend the taxpayer appeals hearings before TERC. Testify in defense of the county's valuation.

TERC Statewide Equalization - Attend the hearings if applicable to the county, to testify in defense of the county's values, and to implement TERC's orders.

Education - Attend meetings, workshops and educational classes to obtain the required hours of continuing education to maintain the assessor certification.

An annual analysis will be done and areas prioritized for reappraisal accordingly. Reviews of properties will be done along with a market analysis to establish physical and economic depreciation. New pricing will be applied. Adequate funding will be needed to support the continuation of this process.

For assessment year **2012** the following changes were made:

Residential:

- · Reviewed sales.
- Reappraised the houses, buildings and land on properties in Cordova, Goehner, Utica, Utica fringe area, Tamora, Staplehurst and Beaver Crossing fringe area. Physical inspections and new photos completed. Market analysis completed. 2011 pricing used for all except Beaver Crossing fringe. Used 2010 to match the town of Beaver Crossing that was reappraised in 2010.
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2011 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2012.
- Reviewed and recalculated lot discounts on new subdivisions that were discounted.
- Reviewed lots in several Seward subdivisions and some rural subdivisions and made minor adjustments.
- Reviewed and inspected properties in Bee, Garland, Pleasant Dale and Staplehurst as part of the 6 year inspection requirement. New photos were taken and measurements when necessary. Added omitted and unreported changes.
- Reviewed and compared new aerial photography with old ones in the following precincts: I, P, B, G J and O as part of The 6 year inspection requirement. Visited properties with changes, took new photos, measurements. Added omitted and unreported changes.

Commercial:

- Reviewed sales.
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2011 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2012.
- Reviewed Section 42 Housing properties. No adjustment made.

Agricultural Land:

- Reviewed sales
- Verified land use changes using GIS, NRD and FSA records and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections. Completed such changes and recounted acres.
- Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made changes as necessary.
- Verified the existing market areas still follow the market trends. No change for 2012.
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value. Changed various irrigated, dry and grass LCG values in all three (3) market areas. Changed market values in the Special Valuation Market Area 2.

For assessment year 2013 the following changes were made:

Residential:

- Reviewed sales
- Reappraisal of the houses, buildings and land on properties in Bee, Garland, Garland Fringe, Pleasant Dale, Grover and Milford. Physical inspections, new photos and market analysis completed. 2012 pricing was used.
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2012 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2013.
- Reviewed lots in several Seward subdivision and some rural subdivisions and made adjustments.
- Reviewed and inspected properties in Milford fringe(zoning jurisdiction) and started on the city of Seward as part of the 6 year inspection requirement. New photos were taken and measurements when necessary. Added omitted and unreported changes.
- Reviewed and compared new aerial photography with old ones in D and E precincts as part of the 6 year inspection requirement. Visited properties with changes, took new photos, measurements. Added omitted and unreported changes.

Commercial:

- Reviewed sales
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2012 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2013.
- Reviewed Section 42 housing properties and complete the income approach.
- Reappraisal of apartments in Milford.
- Reappraisal of industrial properties.
- Increased Seward downtown neighborhood land values +15%.

Agricultural:

- Reviewed sales.
- Verified land use changes using GIS, NRD and FSA records and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections. Completed such changes and recounted acres.
- Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made necessary changes.
- Verified if the existing market areas still follow the market trends. For 2013 did not see that there was non agricultural influence in Area 2. The sales in both Areas 2 & 3 were used together to analyze and set land values for those areas.
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value. Changed irrigated, dry cropland, CRP, WRP and grass LCG values in all three (3) areas.

For assessment year 2014 the following changes were made:

Residential:

Reviewed Sales

- Reappraisal of land and improvements in Seward
- Reappraisal of land and improvements in the 1 mile Milford zoning jurisdiction
- · Complete pickup work and building permits. Reviewed parcels that were a partial value for 2013
- Reviewed and changed land in some rural subdivisions. Also adding 3% on houses in Westfork Downs Subdivion
- Made a -5% adjustment on houses in Beaver Crossing

Commercial:

- · Reviewed sales, completed pickup work and building permits
- Reappraisal of Section 42 Housing properties and completed the income approach
- Reappraisal of apartments in Seward
- · Reviewed land and revalued in a commercial subdivision

Agricultural Land:

- · Reviewed sales
- Verified land use changes using GIS, NRD and FSA record and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections
- · Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made changes as necessary
- Analyzed the market areas keeping areas 2 & 3 as one for analysis
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value.

Agricultural land is reviewed every year and values established to maintain the ratios and statistics mandated by the Tax Equalization and Review Commission. An annual study will be conducted to see if the current market continues to support the areas.

The office has converted to CamaVision software for both administrative and CAMA using the Vanguard Appraisals Inc. Real Property Appraisal Manual that was approved by the Property Tax Administrator.

Pickup work, the collection of data relating to new construction, remodeling, additions, alterations and removals of existing buildings or structures along with zoning and annexation is done on a continuous year round basis. Parcels are flagged if the value is to be added for the following year to be changed during the appropriate time frame.

RCN (Replacement Cost New). The cost approach is used in setting our values. An income analysis is only used occasionally for commercial property to substantiate the cost approach.

The real estate transfer statements, form 521, are processed on a continual basis.

The assessment plans for year 2015 are as follows:

Residential:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal review.
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.
- Reappraise improvements in Range 4.
- Reappraise the improvements in the 2 mile zoning jurisdiction of Seward.
- Continue with the 6 year inspection, review and new photos process.

Commercial:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal review and possible changes.
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.
- Complete 6 year review of Seward properties.

Agricultural Land:

- Review and analyze sales and market areas.
- Review and keep current on CRP and other farm programs.
- Monitor and keep current with land use changes.

The assessment plans for year 2016 are as follows:

Residential:

- Prioritize areas that need review and analyze sales.
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.
- Continue with the 6 year inspection process, Beaver Crossing and Cordova
- Reappraise improvements in Range 3

Commercial:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal review and possible changes.
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.
- Complete 6 year review on Milford, Cordova, Beaver Crossing, I-80 Milford and I-80 Seward Interchanges Agricultural Land:
 - Review and analyze sales and market areas.

Page 4 of 5

- Review and keep current with CRP and other farm programs.
- Monitor and keep current with land use changes.

Assessment plans for 2017 are as follows:

Residential:

- Prioritize areas that need review and analyze sales.
- Complete pickup work
- Reappraisal on improvements in Ranges 1 & 2,
- 6 year review of Goehner, Staplehurst, Tamora & Utica

Commercial:

6 year review of Goehner, Staplehurst, Tamora & Utica

Agricultural Land:

- Review and analyze sales and market areas, CRP and other farm programs.
- · Monitor and keep current with land use changes

I respectfully submit this plan of assessment and request the resources needed to continue with maintaining up-to-date, fair and equitable assessments in achieving the statutory required statistics.

<u>June 13, 2014</u>
Date

<u>Marilyn Hladky</u>
Marilyn Hladky, Seward County Assessor

2015 Assessment Survey for Seward County

A. Staffing and Funding Information

Deputy(ies) on staff:
1
Appraiser(s) on staff:
0
Other full-time employees:
2 One employee is 40 hours a week and the other is 30 hours a week.
Other part-time employees:
1 part time lister 20 -25 hrs per week
Number of shared employees:
0
Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year:
\$ 270,169
Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above:
\$ 270,169 The assessor's budget contains the costs of retirement. All other benefits are paid by the county's general budget.
Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work:
\$ 31,000
If appraisal/reappraisal budget is a separate levied fund, what is that amount:
0
Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system:
\$ 36,550Includes GIS, Network maintenance, software maintenance, GIS Workshop, and Personal Property on line.
Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops:
\$ 1,000
Other miscellaneous funds:
There is a sinking fund established with the treasurer to replace the server. Each office annually budgets \$1,000 for this fund.
Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used:
minimal amount left over

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software:
	CAMAVISION;This is the name of the Vanguard Appraisal Inc. CAMA package.
2.	CAMA software:
	CAMAVISION
3.	Are cadastral maps currently being used?
	Yes; The cadastral maps were purchased in 1966 and are still maintained by the County Assessor's office. The county also uses GIS.
4.	If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	The county assessor's staff
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes
6.	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address?
	Yes; seward.gisworkshop.com
7.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	The programming is maintained by GIS Workshop and the maps are maintained by the county assessor's office staff.
8.	Personal Property software:
	CAMAVISION

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Beaver Crossing, Bee, Garland, Goehner, Milford, Pleasant Dale, Seward, and Utica
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	County 80 Page 53

County 80 - Page 53

1973; The comprehensive plan was updated in 1995. More recently, the county board conducted a total review of the comprehensive plan. It was then updated and adopted in 2007.

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services:								
	Jon Fritz does all commercial & industrial valuations including pickup work, sales verification and maintenance. He also assists in residential market studies and has been doing reappraisal of towns and rural areas as needed. Jon assists in other requests from the assessor, including difficult to value properties.								
2.	GIS Services:								
	GIS Workshop; GIS Workshop maintains and supports the GIS software ESRI updates and maintains a website that provides public access to the counties assessment records.								
3.	Other services:								
	An on line personal property schedule system developed by Bottom Line Resources (Radwen) from Aurora.								

E. Appraisal /Listing Services

1.	Does the county employ outside help for appraisal or listing services?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract?
	Verbal agreement
3.	What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require?
	The county has not specified any certificates or qualifications, but Jon Fritz, who has been employed by the county since 1996, holds the Certified General appraiser credential. Jon also has significant experience in mass appraisal in Nebraska.
4.	Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA?
	No
5.	Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county?
	The Appraiser does analysis, develops land values, depreciation, and submits preliminary value estimates to the assessor. The assessor reviews all of the values and either approves them or in some instances may alter a value after consulting with the appraiser. Most of the work that the appraiser does is with the commercial or industrial property and a few hard to value properties. The assessor and staff do most of the residential and agricultural valuation.



Marilyn Hladky SEWARD COUNTY ASSESSOR

529 Seward St., Room 206 Seward, NE 68434

Phone: (402) 643-3311 Email: mhladky@windstream.net

March 24, 2015

Ruth Sorensen
Property Tax Administrator
PO Box 98919, 301 Centennial Mall South
Lincoln, NE 68509-8919

Dear Ms. Sorensen,

Please include this letter and the attached Agricultural statistics in my 2015 Reports and Opinion of the Property Tax Administrator.

I would like to be on record that I oppose the borrowing of agricultural sales or any sales. The attached agricultural statistics represent the 43 sales in Seward County. Although the overall Median is 72 percent on both my sales and the 53 total sales the division used to analyze Seward County, my COD and PRD are better in several of the categories than the divisions with the borrowed sales. The number of sales in my Study Years was about equal from year to year. My Geo Code/Township #'s are more consistent than the divisions. The Area (Market) is about equal between the two. On page 4, AG Acres in Sale, I show better for parcels with acres 100.01 to 180.00 acres. I have 15 sales at 72.18; the divisions have 22 sales at 64.21. On the Majority Land Use > 80%, my overall statistics are generally better than the divisions.

I set my agricultural values using the 43 sales in my county for dryland and irrigated. I did set my grassland values higher than I originally intended to. This is because I was told if I didn't set them higher the "peer group" in the division was going to recommend an adjustment on grass to The Tax Equalization and Review and Commission. I really object to this new practice in the division. I do not believe the assessor should have to give our values to the division for the purpose of approval from the peer group before finalizing them.

I do not know how many times it has been said that the assessor set the values and the division only does the measurement. That is not actually accurate.

I am requesting the statistics on my 43 Seward County agricultural sales be used for my official statistics. My median would be 72; COD would be 18.92; and the PRD would be 105.11.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Marilyn Aladky Soward County Asses

Seward County Assessor

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 43 72.18 76.75

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Page: 1

Base Stat

616,258

450,009

Type : Qualified

Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014

		_		,,		•					
Number of Sales :		43	Med	ian :	72	(COV :	26.19	95% Media	in C.I. : 67	.26 to 77.58
Total Sales Price :	Total Sales Price : 26,525,105		25,105 Wgt. Mean		73		STD :		95% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 67.90 to		.90 to 78.15
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499	,105	M	ean :	7 7	Avg.Abs.I	Dev :	13.66	95% Mea	n C.I.: 70	.74 to 82.76
Total Assessed Value :	19,350	,408									
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :	616	,258		COD :	18.92 M	MAX Sales Rat	cio :	132.42			
Avg. Assessed Value :	450	,009		PRD :	105.11 MIN Sales Ratio		tio:	45.96		Printed: 0	3/06/2015
DATE OF SALE * RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue
Qrtrs	00011	11001111									
10/01/2011 To 12/31/2011	5	72.84	83.12	77.31	21.42	107.52	62.63	129.39	N/A	562,595	434,956
01/01/2012 To 03/31/2012	5	63.49	75.44	65.82	22.41	114.62	59.69	127.50	N/A	778,182	512,212
04/01/2012 To 06/30/2012	3	92.85	80.54	80.37	14.16	100.21	54.66	94.12	N/A	439,720	353,398
07/01/2012 To 09/30/2012	2	92.48	92.48	90.10	04.55	102.64	88.27	96.68	N/A	1,082,763	975,532
10/01/2012 To 12/31/2012	12	72.42	75.69	74.83	11.85	101.15	56.74	109.89	67.61 to 84.08	501,224	375,088
01/01/2013 To 03/31/2013	2	57.64	57.64	57.26	06.40	100.66	53.95	61.33	N/A	1,241,200	710,676
04/01/2013 To 06/30/2013											
07/01/2013 To 09/30/2013											
10/01/2013 To 12/31/2013	4	72.55	71.38	68.65	06.34	103.98	61.38	79.02	N/A	662,063	454,478
01/01/2014 To 03/31/2014	6	75.66	89.89	88.55	22.54	101.51	71.77	132.42	71.77 to 132.42	478,192	423,446
04/01/2014 To 06/30/2014	3	58.49	55.05	56.69	08.39	97.11	45.96	60.69	N/A	700,350	397,051
07/01/2014 To 09/30/2014	1	67.26	67.26	67.26		100.00	67.26	67.26	N/A	195,000	131,165
Study Yrs											
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2012	15	72.84	81.29	76.04	24.99	106.90	54.66	129.39	62.63 to 94.12	679,238	516,473
10/01/2012 To 09/30/2013	14	71.54	73.11	69.70	13.11	104.89	53.95	109.89	61.33 to 84.08	606,934	423,029
10/01/2013 To 09/30/2014	14	72.55	75.52	72.71	17.90	103.86	45.96	132.42	60.69 to 79.02	558,104	405,779
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/2012 To 12/31/2012	22	72.42	77.82	75.23	18.71	103.44	54.66	127.50	64.92 to 88.27	608,649	457,881
01/01/2013 To 12/31/2013	6	66.78	66.80	63.14	11.84	105.80	53.95	79.02	53.95 to 79.02	855,108	539,877
ALL											
-										616 050	450 000

73.02 18.92 105.11 45.96 132.42 67.26 to 77.58

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Base Stat Page: 2

Type : Qualified

10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before: 12/31/2014

		D	ate Range	e : 10/01/	2011 to 09	9/30/2014	Posted I	Before : 1	2/31/2014			
Number of Sales :		43	Med:	ian :	72		COV :	26.19	95% Media	an C.I. : 67.	26 to 77.58	
Total Sales Price :	26,525	. 105	Wqt. Mean :		73	;	STD :		95% Wgt. Mea	an C.I. : 67.	67.90 to 78.15	
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499		M	ean :	77	Avg.Abs.	Dev :	13.66	95% Mea	an C.I. : 70.	74 to 82.76	
Total Assessed Value :	19,350											
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :	·	, 258		COD :	18.92 M	MAX Sales Ra	tio :	132.42				
Avg. Assessed Value :		,009		PRD :	105.11 M	MIN Sales Ratio :		45.96		Printed : 01	3/06/2015	
		<u></u>				~~.						
GEO CODE / TOWNSHIP #							MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN		N/A	492,625	398,929	
3233	1	80.98	80.98	80.98		100.00	80.98	80.98		852,400	549,977	
3235	4	70.97	67.20	64.52	07.54	104.15	53.95	72.92	N/A		631,184	
3237	5	64.92	76.17	73.61		103.48	59.69	110.82	N/A	857,452	332,609	
3239	5	92.85	92.49	90.77	19.08	101.89	68.70	132.42	N/A	366,431		
3285	1	67.26	67.26	67.26		100.00	67.26	67.26	N/A	195,000	131,165	
3287	4	69.44	70.75	71.20	05.29	99.37	66.55	77.58	N/A	534,138	380,304	
3291	1	72.84	72.84	72.84		100.00	72.84	72.84	N/A	328,000	238,912	
3457	1	75.07	75.07	75.07		100.00	75.07	75.07	N/A	1,221,870	917,249	
3459	1	77.59	77.59	77.59		100.00	77.59	77.59	N/A	460,500	357,284	
3461	3	62.63	82.23	74.12	39.77	110.94	54.66	129.39	N/A	605,384	448,693	
3463	2	86.57	86.57	90.13	08.72	96.05	79.02	94.12	N/A	386,580	348,440	
3509	5	71.77	74.85	73.26	24.86	102.17	45.96	109.89	N/A	229,600	168,211	
3511	5	73.73	81.52	77.80	26.49	104.78	56.74	127.50	N/A	751,517	584,679	
3513	2	65.77	65.77	61.78	11.07	106.46	58.49	73.04	N/A	1,004,500	620,561	
3515	3	63.49	66.04	65.29	06.00	101.15	61.60	73.03	N/A	877,217	572,751	
ALL												
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009	
AREA (MARKET)												
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue	
1	18	72.94	75.68	71.47	17.89	105.89	53.95	129.39	61.60 to 77.59	754,207	539,037	
2	25	71.77	77.52	74.65	19.52	103.84	45.96	132.42	66.55 to 84.08	516,935	385,909	
ALL												
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009	

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Base Stat Page: 3

Type : Qualified

Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014

		D.	ate Kange	: 10/01/2	2011 to 03	7/30/2014	Posted 1	Jelole . 1	.2/ 51/ 2011		
Number of Sales :		43	Medi	ian :	72	(COV :	26.19	95% Media	n C.I. : 67	26 to 77.58
Total Sales Price :	26,525	,105	Wgt. Me	ean :	73	5	STD :	20.10	95% Wgt. Mea	n C.I.: 67.	90 to 78.15
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499	,105	Me	ean :	77	Avg.Abs.I	Dev :	13.66	95% Mea	n C.I.: 70	.74 to 82.76
Total Assessed Value :	19,350	,408									
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :	616	,258	(COD :	18.92 M	AX Sales Rat	tio :	132.42			
Avg. Assessed Value :	450	,009	I	PRD: 1	105.11 M	IN Sales Rat	tio:	45.96		Printed: 0:	3/06/2015
STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIN	IPROVED,	& IOLL									
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue
1	3	53.95	53.75	55.90	09.49	96.15	45.96	61.33	N/A	940,133	525,569
2	40	72.88	78.47	75.06	18.21	104.54	54.66	132.42	68.70 to 77.59	591,968	444,343
ALL											
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009
SCHOOL DISTRICT *											
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue
120056											
120502	4	82.33	89.60	77.37	27.98	115.81	61.33	132.42	N/A	550,538	425,953
300001	1	63.49	63.49	63.49		100.00	63.49	63.49	N/A	1,269,650	806,105
550148											
550161	1	68.70	68.70	68.70		100.00	68.70	68.70	N/A	272,000	186,865
760002	2	58.87	58.87	52.60	21.93	111.92	45.96	71.77	A\N	227,500	119,664
760044											
760068											
800005	11	73.73	81.27	77.52	23.59	104.84	56.74	127.50	60.69 to 109.89	588,522	456,249
800009	18	72.55	77.94	76.87	16.47	101.39	54.66	129.39	67.26 to 80.98	554,960	426,578
800567	6	67.22	65.83	63.68	11.63	103.38	53.95	75.07	53.95 to 75.07	972,878	619,525
ALL											
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009

 \mathtt{ALL}

10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

45.96

105.11

18.92

76.75

43

72.18

73.02

132.42

Base Stat

616,258

450,009

67.26 to 77.58

Page: 4

Type : Qualified AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014 95% Median C.I. : 67.26 to 77.58 COV : 26.19 Median : 72 Number of Sales : 20.10 95% Wqt. Mean C.I. : 67.90 to 78.15 STD : 73 26,525,105 Wgt. Mean : Total Sales Price : 70.74 to 82.76 95% Mean C.I. : 77 Avg.Abs.Dev : 13.66 Total Adj. Sales Price : 26,499,105 Mean : 19,350,408 Total Assessed Value : 132.42 COD : 18.92 MAX Sales Ratio : Avq. Adj. Sales Price : 616,258 Printed: 03/06/2015 MIN Sales Ratio : 45.96 PRD : 105.11 450,009 Avg. Assessed Value : AG ACRES IN SALE Avg.Adj.SalePrice Avg.AssdValue 95% Median C.I. PRD MIN MAX COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT.MEAN COD RANGE 306,562 217,194 110.30 54.66 127.50 N/A70.85 26.11 78.15 30.01 TO 50.00 5 72.84 421,849 309,779 67.26 to 77.59 45.96 129.39 102.26 22 71.79 75.09 73.43 14.58 50.01 TO 100.00 663,510 932,673 132.42 61.38 to 94.12 71.14 22.60 109.56 53.95 77.94 100.01 TO 180.00 15 72.18 1,695,525 1,496,653 88.27 88.27 N/A 100.00 88.27 88.27 88.27 180.01 TO 330.00 ALL 67.26 to 77.58 616,258 450,009 105.11 45.96 132.42 73.02 18.92 10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 72.18 76.75 MAJORITY LAND USE > 95% 95% Median C.I. Avg.Adj.SalePrice Avg.AssdValue COD PRD MIN MAX WGT.MEAN MEDIAN MEAN COUNT RANGE 713,516 478,886 54.66 84.08 59.69 to 79.02 10.89 101.03 10 65.74 67.81 67.12 DRY 430,091 381,308 101.84 71.27 132.42 71.80 to 110.82 90.29 88.66 21.29 83.29 DRY-N/A 10 262,864 192,044 45.96 to 96.68 97.18 45.96 96.68 73.06 16.74 7 68.70 71.00 GRASS-N/A 451,073 77.59 N/A 689,832 09.14 100.69 56.74 65.84 65.39 5 63.49 IRRGTD 58.49 to 127.50 888,529 649,891 22.70 111.01 53.95 129.39 73.14 IRRGTD-N/A 11 73.03 81.19

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Base Stat Page: 5

Type : Qualified

Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014

Number of Sales :	43	Median :	72	COV :	26.19	95% Median C.I. :	67.26 to 77.58
Total Sales Price :	26,525,105	Wgt. Mean :	73	STD :	20.10	95% Wgt. Mean C.I. :	67.90 to 78.15
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499,105	Mean :	77	Avg.Abs.Dev :	13.66	95% Mean C.I. :	70.74 to 82.76
Total Assessed Value :	19,350,408						
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :	616,258	COD :	18.92	MAX Sales Ratio :	132.42		
Avg Assessed Value :	450.009	PRD :	105.11	MIN Sales Ratio :	45.96	Pri	nted : 03/06/2015

MAJORITY LAND USE > 80%											
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue
DRY	18	72.36	74.32	71.50	13.57	103.94	54.66	109.89	64.92 to 79.02	584,107	417,630
DRY-N/A	2	121.62	121.62	117.62	08.88	103.40	110.82	132.42	N/A	461,077	542,297
GRASS-N/A	7	68.70	71.00	73.06	16.74	97.18	45.96	96.68	45.96 to 96.68	262,864	192,044
IRRGTD	15	72.18	75.60	68.60	19.49	110.20	53.95	129.39	61.38 to 77.59	768,497	527,167
IRRGTD-N/A	1	88.27	88.27	88.27		100.00	88.27	88.27	N/A	1,695,525	1,496,653
ALL	•	00.2									
10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Base Stat Page: 6

616,258

450,009

Type : Qualified

Data Panga : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014

		D	ate Range	: 10/01/2	011 to 09	/30/2014	Posted I	Before : 1	2/31/2014		
Number of Sales :	43		Medi	ian :	72	COV :		26.19	95% Median C.I. : 6		26 to 77.58
Total Sales Price :	26,525,105		Wgt. Me	ean :	73	STD :		20.10	95% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 67.90 t		90 to 78.15
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499,105		Ме	ean :	77	Avg.Abs.Dev :		13.66	95% Mea	n C.I. : 70	74 to 82.76
Total Assessed Value :	19,350,408										
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 616,258		COD : 18.92			MAX Sales Ratio :		132.42				
Avg. Assessed Value : 450,009		,009	PRD : 105.11			MIN Sales Ratio :		45.96	Printed: 03/06/2015		3/06/2015
CALE DOTCE +				"	,,						
SALE PRICE * RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue
Low \$ Ranges	000111										
Less Than 5,000											
Less Than 15,000											
Less Than 30,000											
Ranges Excl. Low \$											
Greater Than 4,999	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009
Greater Than 14,999	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009
Greater Than 29,999	43	72.18	76.75	73.02	18.92	105.11	45.96	132.42	67.26 to 77.58	616,258	450,009
Incremental Ranges											
O TO 4,999											
5,000 TO 14,999											
15,000 TO 29,999											
30,000 TO 59,999											
60,000 TO 99,999											
100,000 TO 149,999	1	71. 77	7 1.77	71.77		100.00	71.77	71.77	N/A	117,000	83,974
150,000 TO 249,999	6	82.49	88.39	88.33	23.52	100.07	60.69	127.50	60.69 to 127.50	212,000	187,263
250,000 TO 499,999	14	73.39	80.49	79.73	23.40	100.95	45.96	132.42	56.74 to 96.68	384,492	306,539
500,000 TO 999,999	13	72.18	75.52	75.50	12.32	100.03	59.69	110.82	66.55 to 84.08	648,454	489,608
1,000,000 +	9	62.63	65.50	66.27	10.04	98.84	53.95	88.27	58.49 to 75.07	1,255,256	831,823
ALL											

10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 43 72.18 76.75 73.02 18.92 105.11 45.96 132.42 67.26 to 77.58

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

Base Stat

Page: 7

Type : Qualified AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014 95% Median C.I. : 67.26 to 77.58 COV : 26.19 72 43 Median : Number of Sales : 67.90 to 78.15 20.10 95% Wgt. Mean C.I. : STD : 73 Wgt. Mean : Total Sales Price : 26,525,105 70.74 to 82.76 95% Mean C.I. : 77 Avg.Abs.Dev : 13.66 26,499,105 Mean : Total Adj. Sales Price : Total Assessed Value : 19,350,408 132.42 COD : 18.92 MAX Sales Ratio : Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 616,258 Printed: 03/06/2015 MIN Sales Ratio : 45.96 105.11 PRD : Avg. Assessed Value : 450,009 ASSESSED VALUE * 95% Median C.I. Avg.Adj.SalePrice Avg.AssdValue PRD MIN COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT.MEAN COD RANGE Low \$ 1 TO 4999 5000 TO 9999 Total \$ 1 TO 9999 29999 10000 TO 30000 TO 59999 83,974 N/A 117,000 71.77 71.77 100.00 71.77 71.77 60000 TO 99999 1 71.77 201,525 128,717 67.26 N/A 63.87 05.14 100.17 60.69 63.98 100000 TO 149999 63.98 299,274 206,206 127.50 54.66 to 85.95 106.87 45.96 68.90 21.71 71.27 73.63 150000 TO 249999 9 384,851 491,772 67.61 to 96.68 59.69 132.42 82.91 78.26 20.18 105.94 73.04 250000 TO 499999 1.7 1,066,105 757,905 61.33 to 88.27 18.34 103.32 53.95 110.82 68.55 73.45 71.09 500000 + ALL 450,009 616,258 45.96 132.42 67.26 to 77.58 18.92 105.11 76.75 73.02 10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 72.18 95%MLU By Market Area Avg.AssdValue Avg.Adj.SalePrice 95% Median C.1. MAX MEAN WGT.MEAN COD PRD MIN COUNT MEDIAN RANGE ___Irrigated____ 451,073 77.59 N/A 689,832 56.74 65.84 65.39 09.14 100.69 63.49 County 689,832 451,073 N/A 100.69 56.74 77.59 09.14 63.49 65.84 65.39 1 ___Dry____ 59.69 to 79.02 713,516 478,886 84.08 101.03 54.66 67.81 67.12 10.89 65.74 County 10 450,000 245,961 54.66 N/A 100.00 54.66 54.66 54.66 54.66 1 504,767 84.08 61.33 to 79.02 742,795 67.96 09.98 101.93 59.69 69.27 66.55 ALL 450,009 616,258 105.11 45.96 132.42 67.26 to 77.58 72.18 76.75 73.02 18.92 10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 43

AGRICULTURAL - BASE STAT

10/01/2011 To 09/30/2014 43 72.18 76.75

PAD 2015 Draft Statistics Using 2015 Values

73.02 18.92 105.11 45.96 132.42 67.26 to 77.58

Base Stat

616,258

Page: 8

450,009

Type : Qualified

Date Range : 10/01/2011 to 09/30/2014 Posted Before : 12/31/2014

		D	ate kange	e : 10/01/2	011 60 03	9/30/2014	Posted 1	serore : 1	12/31/2014			
Number of Sales :	43		Median :		72	COV :		26.19	95% Media	an C.I. : 67	67.26 to 77.58	
Total Sales Price :	26,525,105		Wgt. Mean :		73	STD :		20.10	95% Wgt. Mea	an C.I. : 67	.90 to 78.15	
Total Adj. Sales Price :	26,499,105		Mean :		77	77 Avg.Abs.Dev :		13.66	95% Mean C.I. : 70.74 to 82		.74 to 82.76	
Total Assessed Value :	19,350,408											
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :	616,258		COD :		18.92 MAX Sales Ratio :			132.42				
Avg. Assessed Value :	450,009		PRD : 105.11		05.11 M	MIN Sales Ratio :		45.96	Printed : 03/06/2015		3/06/2015	
80%MLU By Market Area												
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg.Adj.SalePrice	Avg.AssdValue	
Irrigated												
County	15	72.18	75.60	68.60	19.49	110.20	53.95	129.39	61.38 to 77.59	768,497	527,167	
1	14	72.51	76.62	69.31	19.72	110.55	53.95	129.39	58.49 to 80.98	749,729	519,612	
2	1	61.38	61.38	61.38		100.00	61.38	61.38	N/A	1,031,250	632,938	
Dry												
County	18	72.36	74.32	71.50	13.57	103.94	54.66	109.89	64.92 to 79.02	584,107	417,630	
1	3	73.04	67.14	67.30	08.71	99.76	54.66	73.73	A\N	461,333	310,484	
2	15	71.80	75.75	72.14	14.53	105.00	59.69	109.89	64.92 to 84.08	608,661	439,060	
ALL												

2015 Certification for Seward County

This is to certify that the 2015 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

One copy by electronic transmission to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission.

One copy by electronic transmission to the Seward County Assessor.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2015.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR SELECTION PROPERTY ASSESSMEN

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

Ruth A. Sorensen