## **Table of Contents**

#### **2015** Commission Summary

#### 2015 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

#### **Residential Reports**

Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey Residential Correlation

#### **Commercial Reports**

Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey Commercial Correlation

## **Agricultural and/or Special Valuation Reports**

Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Assessment Survey Agricultural Average Acre Values Table Agricultural Correlation Special Valuation Methodology, if applicable

### **Statistical Reports**

Residential Statistics Commercial Statistics Agricultural Land Statistics Special Valuation Statistics, if applicable

#### **County Reports**

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 County Agricultural Land Detail County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the Prior Year Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL). County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment Assessment Survey – General Information

## Certification

#### Maps

Market Areas

#### **Valuation History Charts**

Summary

# **2015** Commission Summary

## for Adams County

## **Residential Real Property - Current**

Number of Sales	979	Median	94.36
Total Sales Price	\$123,293,404	Mean	99.20
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$123,897,404	Wgt. Mean	93.02
Total Assessed Value	\$115,248,760	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$93,302
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$126,555	Avg. Assessed Value	\$117,721

#### **Confidence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	93.07 to 95.56
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	91.81 to 94.23
95% Mean C.I	97.06 to 101.34
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the	32.10
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	8.49
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	10.71

## **Residential Real Property - History**

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median
2014	887	94	94.13
2013	758	93	92.65
2012	744	94	93.99
2011	830	94	94

# 2015 Commission Summary

## for Adams County

## **Commercial Real Property - Current**

Number of Sales	75	Median	93.85
Total Sales Price	\$28,427,067	Mean	99.47
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$28,559,067	Wgt. Mean	93.91
Total Assessed Value	\$26,819,510	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$264,289
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$380,788	Avg. Assessed Value	\$357,593

### **Confidence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	86.74 to 99.62
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	85.49 to 102.32
95% Mean C.I	89.60 to 109.34
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County	12.92
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	4.58
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	6.20

## **Commercial Real Property - History**

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median	
2014	91	96	95.63	
2013	73	99	98.66	
2012	74	96	96.28	
2011	89	96	96	

Opinions

# 2015 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Adams County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Class	Level of Value	Quality of Assessment	Non-binding recommendation	
Residential Real 94 Property		Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	
Commercial Real Property 95		Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	
Agricultural Land 73		Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.	
	•			

\*\*A level of value displayed as NEI (not enough information) represents a class of property with insufficient information to determine a level of value.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2015.



Ruth a. Sources

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

**Residential Reports** 

## 2015 Residential Assessment Actions for Adams County

Physically reviewed 139 mobile home properties in Smitty's Mobile Home Park.

Physically reviewed 338 properties in the Hawthorne neighborhood to complete neighborhood review.

Physically reviewed 634 parcels which included a mixture of commercial and residential for permit completion.

Reviewed 731 property record cards that had sales review letters remitted. The sales consisted of a few properties from 2012 and 2013 with a majority from 2014. Physical inspections were done, if necessary.

Any pickup work was completed.

Residential vacant lot study completed, resulting in revaluation to all residential lots.

Market analysis was completed for each valuation grouping; values were adjusted to reflect the market, if necessary.

# 2015 Residential Assessment Survey for Adams County

	Valuation da	ata collection done by:					
	Appraisal sta	ſf					
2.	List the characterist	valuation groupings recognized by the County and describe the unique ics of each:					
	Valuation Grouping	Description of unique characteristics					
	01	Hastings - County seat and largest city in the county located on NE Highways 6, 34, and US Highway 281; population of about 25,000; has K-12 public and private school systems, a hospital, and a very active trade and business center. The residential housing market is stable and active.					
	05	Juniata - Village located seven miles west of Hastings; population of about 750; bedroom community for Hastings; has public and private elementary schools and an active trade and business center. The residential housing market is stable and somewhat active.					
	06	Kenesaw - Village 16 miles west of Hastings; population of about 880; has a K-12 public school system and an active trade and business center. The residential housing market is stable and somewhat active.					
	10	Suburban - Residences located within the two mile jurisdiction of Hastings.					
	15	Rural - All rural residences not in an identified subdivision and located outside of any city limits.					
	20	Small Towns - Including the communities of Ayr, Hansen, Holstein, Pauline, Prosser and Roseland.					
3.	List and properties.	describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential					
3.	properties.	describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential es comparison approaches					
	properties.Cost and saleIf the cost						
	properties.       Cost and sale       If the cost       local market	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on					
1.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?					
4.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used					
4. 5.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid         Are individu         Yes	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used					
4.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid         Are individu         Yes         Describe the	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used nal depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping?					
4. 5. 6.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid         Are individu         Yes         Describe the         Sales compare	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used nal depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping? e methodology used to determine the residential lot values?					
4. 5. 6.	properties.         Cost and sale         If the cost         local market         Tables provid         Are individu         Yes         Describe the         Sales compation         Describe the         resale?	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used tal depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping? e methodology used to determine the residential lot values? rison approach; lots are analyzed by the square foot, per lot, or per acre the methodology used to determine value for vacant lots being held for sale or utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis to arrive at market value for parcels being held					
3.         4.         5.         6.         7.	properties.Cost and saleIf the costlocal marketTables providAre individuYesDescribe theSales compationDescribe theresale?The county	es comparison approaches approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on t information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? ded by the CAMA vendor are used tal depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping? e methodology used to determine the residential lot values? rison approach; lots are analyzed by the square foot, per lot, or per acre the methodology used to determine value for vacant lots being held for sale or utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis to arrive at market value for parcels being held					

8.	<u>Valuation</u> <u>Grouping</u>	Date of Depreciation Tables	Date of Costing	Date of Lot Value Study	<u>Date of</u> Last Inspection
	01	2011	2011	2014	2005-2014
	05	2011	2011	2014	2005
	06	2011	2011	2014	2005
	10	2011	2011	2014	2006-2009
	15	2011	2011	2014	2014
	20	2011	2011	2014	2007-2010

## **County Overview**

Adams County is located in south central Nebraska, approximately 15 miles south of Interstate 80. With a 2013 U.S. Census Bureau population estimate of 31,610, Adams County has maintained a steady population base for the past decade. The city of Hastings (pop. 25,093) is the county seat and major economic influence in the county. Hastings makes up one corner of the "Tri-Cities," along with Kearney and Grand Island, and is the hub of the residential market. The rest of the county is comprised of six smaller communities, some of which could be considered bedroom communities to Hastings. Proximity to the cities of Hastings of Grand Island, schools, and other amenities will have an effect on the residential market in the smaller communities.

## **Description of Analysis**

Adams County has identified six valuation groups intended to reflect unique market influences. Comparison of the qualified sales roster to the residential parcels in each valuation grouping demonstrates that each grouping is a representative sample and is determined to be an adequate and reliable sample for measurement. Review of changes to the sales file and abstract of assessment are reflective of assessment actions reported by the assessor. The measures of central tendency appear to be a reliable indicator that a level of value within the acceptable range has been achieved; however, it should be noted that the PRD indicates some bias in vertical assessment uniformity.

## **Sales Qualification**

The Department annually conducts a sales verification review for all counties. A review of the non-qualified sales roster demonstrated no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales, and that all arm's length sales were made available for the measurement of real property in the county. Approximately eighty-six percent of the improved residential sales were considered by the county to be qualified. It has been determined that the county utilized an acceptable portion of available sales and there is no evidence of trimming in the file.

## **Equalization and Quality of Assessment**

The Department conducts a yearly analysis of counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. This review was conducted in Adams County in 2014. Based on the review, assessment practices are determined to be in compliance with professionally accepted mass appraisal standards.

## Level of Value

Based on the analysis of all available information, the level of value of the residential class of property in Adams County is determined to be 94%.

# 2015 Commercial Assessment Actions for Adams County

Spreadsheet analysis was completed on all sales.

5% increase was implemented to all improvements in the Hastings valuation grouping.

Any pickup work was completed.

Reviewed property record cards that had sales review letters remitted. Physical inspections were completed, when necessary.

# 2015 Commercial Assessment Survey for Adams County

1.	Valuation da	ta collection done by:								
	Appraisal staff									
2.	List the va of each:	List the valuation groupings recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristics of each:								
	Valuation         Description of unique characteristics           Grouping         Image: Comparison of Unique characteristics									
	01									
	02			commercial area made u omprised of many concrete and						
	03	Villages and Rural - all Hastings or located in the a		dustrial parcels not located a Navy Ammunitions Depot	inside the city limits of					
3.	List and properties.	describe the approach	(es) used to e	stimate the market v	alue of commercial					
	Sales compar	rison and cost approaches; in	ncome approach use	d when available						
3a.	Describe the	process used to determine	e the value of uniqu	e commercial properties.						
	On-staff appi possible	aisers use sales comparison	and cost approache	s, sales comparison from oth	ner counties					
4.		••	•	evelop the depreciation as provided by the CAMA	• • •					
	Tables provid	led by the CAMA vendor ar	e used							
5.	Are individu	al depreciation tables dev	eloped for each val	uation grouping?						
	Yes									
6.	Describe the	methodology used to dete	rmine the commer	cial lot values.						
	Sales compar	ison; lots are analyzed by th	ne square foot and a	cre						
7.	Valuation Grouping	Date of Depreciation Tables	Date of Costing	Date of Lot Value Study	Date of Last Inspection					
	01	2011	2011	2012	2001-2014					
			2011	2014	2014					
	02	2011	2011		_ • - ·					

## **County Overview**

Adams County is located in south central Nebraska, approximately 15 miles south of Interstate 80. The city of Hastings (pop. 25,093) is the county seat and economic center of the county. Although the economic base of Adams County is largely agricultural, Hastings has a strong manufacturing presence, with over 80 manufacturers, including Dutton Lainson and Thermo King. Mary Lanning Memorial Hospital and the Hastings Public Schools are the largest employers in the county. The remainder of the smaller communities nearby could be considered bedroom communities to Hastings, and do not have a strong organized market for commercial properties.

East of Hastings, the Naval Ammunitions Depot (NAD), a former naval munitions plant, has been developed into an industrial and commercial area. The area is occupied by diverse businesses, including Central Community College, the Hastings East Industrial Park, a National Guardsman and Reservist training facility, and the US Meat Animal Research Center.

## **Description of Analysis**

Adams County has identified three valuation groups; Valuation Group 01 (Hastings), consisting of over eighty percent of the commercial parcels in the county, has 59 qualified sales and carries the most weight in developing a sample that would be considered sufficient in the analysis of the commercial class of property.

Commercial parcels are represented by 82 different occupancy codes; half of the commercial properties consist of warehouse storage, office buildings, retail stores, service repair garages, and multiple residences. These occupancy codes are represented by sixty-four percent of the sales that occurred in Hastings.

Review of changes to the sales file and abstract of assessment are reflective of assessment actions reported by the assessor. Valuation Group 01 is the only valuation grouping with an organized commercial market and will be considered the most reliable indicator of the level of value. Although there is not an organized commercial market within the remainder of the county, properties are treated in a manner consistent with those in Valuation Group 01 and are believed to be within an acceptable range.

## **Sales Qualification**

The Department annually conducts a sales verification review for all counties. A review of the non-qualified sales roster demonstrated no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales, and that all arm's length transactions were made available for the measurement of real property in the county.

## **Equalization and Quality of Assessment**

The Department conducts a yearly analysis of counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. This review was conducted in Adams County in 2014. Based on the

review, assessment practices are determined to be in compliance with professionally accepted mass appraisal standards.

## Level of Value

Based on the analysis of all available information, the level of value of the commercial class of property in Adams County is determined to be 95%.

County 01 - Page 18

## 2015 Agricultural Assessment Actions for Adams County

2,238 properties consisting of rural vacant land and rural land with outbuilding sites were physically inspected to determine/verify soil use. This was done by driving the entire county while utilizing soil maps printed off of GIS.

All sales were plotted and potential market areas were reviewed.

Reviewed all ag land usage.

Reviewed property record cards that had sales review letters remitted. Physical inspections were done, when necessary.

As a result of spreadsheet analysis, an increase was implemented to ag land LCG codes ranging between 19% and 56% for each soil type.

# 2015 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Adams County

1.	Valuation data collection done by:									
	Appraisal staff									
2.	List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique.									
		Year Land Use Completed								
	01 Similar soils, NRD, and topography; no economic differences have been discerned	2014								
3.	Describe the process used to determine and monitor market areas.									
	Sales are annually plotted and reviewed to determine any differences across the cou analyzed annually to determine if market areas need to be created or adjusted.	unty. Sales are								
4.	Describe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreational county apart from agricultural land.	land in the								
	Recreational land influences are studied through sales									
5.	Do farm home sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites? If the market differences?	not, what are								
	No. Rural residential suburban home sites carry an additional \$2,000/acre value. has determined a market difference for suburban home sites.	Sales analysis								
6.	If applicable, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parce the Wetland Reserve Program.	If applicable, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parcels enrolled in the Wetland Reserve Program.								
	WRP easements are studied through sales, when available									
7.	Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If so, answer the following	:								
	No									

County	Mkt Area	1A1	1A	2A1	2A	3A1	3A	4A1	4A	WEIGHTED AVG IRR
Adams	4000	6,800	6,700	6,500	6,300	6,100	5,900	5,700	5,500	6,549
Buffalo	2	6,050	6,050	5,850	5,751	5,350	5,250	5,025	4,913	5,819
Clay	1	6,700	6,700	6,500	6,500	6,350	n/a	6,200	6,200	6,601
Franklin	2	5,131	5,154	4,774	4,763	4,385	4,084	4,105	4,041	4,876
Hall	1	6,589	6,601	5,820	5,808	4,649	4,649	4,395	4,394	5,979
Hamilton	1	7,300	7,300	7,200	7,200	7,100	7,100	7,000	7,000	7,252
Kearney	1	n/a	6,799	6,300	6,000	5,000	3,500	3,500	3,500	6,029
Nuckolls	1	6,400	6,400	5,500	5,200	5,200	5,100	5,000	5,000	6,005
Webster	1	5,255	5,255	5,255	5,120	5,100	5,100	5,065	5,065	5,164
County	Mkt Area	1D1	1D	2D1	2D	3D1	3D	4D1	4D	WEIGHTED AVG DRY
Adams	4000	3,500	3,300	3,100	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,700	2,700	3,190
Buffalo	2	2,800	2,800	2,600	2,500	2,300	2,250	2,150	2,100	2,524
Clay	1	3,575	3,425	3,300	3,200	3,100	n/a	3,000	3,000	3,337
Franklin	2	3,025	3,025	2,475	2,475	2,175	2,175	2,075	2,075	2,730
Hall	1	3,296	3,291	2,911	2,910	2,465	2,431	2,178	2,188	2,920
Hamilton	1	5,000	5,000	4,800	4,800	4,700	4,700	4,600	4,600	4,883
Kearney	1	n/a	3,000	2,800	2,750	2,000	1,500	1,500	1,500	2,637
Nuckolls	1	3,495	3,500	3,285	3,289	3,100	3,100	3,000	3,000	3,378
Webster	1	3,030	3,030	2,760	2,590	2,590	2,590	2,515	2,515	2,801
County	Mkt Area	1G1	1G	2G1	2G	3G1	3G	4G1	4G	WEIGHTED AVG GRASS
Adams	4000	1,450	1,450	1,400	1,350	1,300	1,275	1,275	1,275	1,320
Buffalo	2	1,600	1,580	1,490	1,474	1,433	1,361	1,382	1,277	1,358
Clay	1	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,425	n/a	1,425	1,425	1,447
Franklin	2	1,300	1,300	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,209
Hall	1	2,179	2,171	1,793	1,800	1,386	1,382	1,374	1,381	1,506
Hamilton	1	2,300	2,300	2,200	2,200	2,100	2,100	2,000	2,000	2,080
Kearney	1	n/a	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
Nuckolls	1	1,365	1,382	1,163	1,394	1,409	399	1,405	1,317	1,341
Webster	1	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230

Source: 2015 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX

## **County Overview**

Adams County is part of the Central Loess Plains Major Land Resource Area. The dominant soil order in this MLRA is Mollisols. Agricultural land within the county is comprised of approximately 71% irrigated land, 16% dry crop land, and 13% grass land. The majority of cropland is in the upper capability groupings. Adams County lies within both the Upper Big Blue and the Little Blue Natural Resource Districts. The county has identified one market area.

## **Description of Analysis**

Analysis of the agricultural sales during the three-year study period within the county indicates the sample does not contain a proportionate distribution of sales among each year of the study period. The way the sales are distributed over the study period may cause Adams County to be compared to a different time standard than others as the oldest year of the study period is underrepresented compared to the second and third years. Sales were sought from comparable areas surrounding Adams County with similar soils and physical characteristics. A total of 80 sales were used in the analysis; sales were proportionately distributed and representative of the land uses that exist within the county.

The assessment actions taken by the assessor reflect adjustments typical for the region; values were increased in all land capability groupings for all three classes of agricultural land and resulted in values that compare well to adjoining counties. The statistics support that an overall acceptable level of value has been obtained.

## **Sales Qualification**

The Department annually conducts a sales verification review for all counties. A review of the non-qualified sales roster demonstrates no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales and that all arm's length transactions were made available for the measurement of real property in the county. Measurement was done utilizing all available information; there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

## **Equalization and Quality of Assessment**

The values established by the assessor have created equalization within the county and with the surrounding counties. The quality of assessment of agricultural land has been determined to be in compliance with professionally accepted mass appraisal standards.

## Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value of agricultural property in Adams County is 73%.

**Statistical Reports** 

											ruge rorz
01 Adams				PAD 2015	5 R&O Statisti	ics (Using 20 <sup>4</sup> alified	15 Values)				
RESIDENTIAL				Date Range	e: 10/1/2012 To 9/3		ed on: 1/1/2015				
Number of Sales: 979		МЕГ	DIAN: 94	-		COV : 34.38			95% Median C.I.: 93.0	07 to 95 56	
Total Sales Price : 123,29	03 ፈበፈ		IEAN: 94			STD: 34.30		05	5% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 91.8		
Total Adj. Sales Price : 123,89			IEAN : 99			. Dev : 18.06		30	95% Mean C.I.: 97.0		
Total Assessed Value : 115,24		IVIL	EAIN . 57		Avy. Abs.	Dev. 10.00			95 /0 Wean C.I 97.	0010101.34	
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 126,55		ſ	COD: 19.14		MAX Sales /	Ratio : 448.17					
Avg. Assessed Value : 117,72			PRD: 106.64			Ratio : 38.79			P	Printed:3/31/2015 8	8:11:10AM
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	111	95.87	103.24	93.71	20.41	110.17	65.11	435.85	92.98 to 98.77	135,502	126,982
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	93	98.08	103.15	96.59	18.85	106.79	50.56	448.17	92.25 to 103.22	118,689	114,641
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	130	98.03	100.80	97.52	14.79	103.36	57.09	328.47	95.31 to 100.95	125,955	122,838
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	151	93.05	96.43	93.17	15.44	103.50	57.89	230.06	90.90 to 95.53	113,739	105,973
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13	109	96.10	105.39	93.50	23.93	112.72	51.72	325.30	92.13 to 99.48	108,610	101,548
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14	103	94.94	101.36	93.97	20.15	107.86	51.53	348.35	90.81 to 98.13	137,745	129,433
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14	145	92.87	95.34	92.68	17.94	102.87	51.50	195.60	88.15 to 95.50	133,755	123,963
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14	137	89.24	92.31	85.66	21.55	107.76	38.79	331.67	84.09 to 92.59	137,585	117,856
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	485	95.70	100.45	95.14	17.26	105.58	50.56	448.17	94.13 to 97.48	122,944	116,964
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14	494	92.79	97.97	91.06	20.95	107.59	38.79	348.35	91.19 to 94.59	130,101	118,464
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13	483	95.70	100.92	95.17	18.06	106.04	50.56	448.17	94.04 to 97.18	116,823	111,183
ALL	979	94.36	99.20	93.02	19.14	106.64	38.79	448.17	93.07 to 95.56	126,555	117,721
VALUATION GROUPING					205	200				Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	844	93.98	99.02	93.20	19.00	106.24	48.74	448.17	92.78 to 95.34	123,441	115,045
05	14	95.30	102.41	95.96	16.39	106.72	78.57	174.33	85.54 to 115.05	82,171	78,853
06	30	98.41	101.14	93.27	21.72	108.44	38.79	223.17	86.89 to 102.54	79,447	74,099
10	37	95.78	96.22	91.67	12.78	104.96	60.51	138.52	91.55 to 102.30	273,223	250,45
15	29	92.48	98.90	90.33	22.78	109.49	55.92	192.15	79.56 to 107.19	160,841	145,28
20	25	100.00	105.70	95.55	25.98	110.62	51.72	187.60	91.79 to 126.13	56,240	53,73
ALL	979	94.36	99.20	93.02	19.14	106.64	38.79	448.17	93.07 to 95.56	126,555	117,72
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Va
01	979	94.36	99.20	93.02	19.14	106.64	38.79	448.17	93.07 to 95.56	126,555	117,72
06											
07											
ALL	979	94.36	99.20	93.02	19.14	106.64	38.79	448.17	93.07 to 95.56	126,555	117,72

Page 1 of 2

01 Adams RESIDENTIAL						5 <b>R&amp;O Statisti</b> Qua 10/1/2012 To 9/3	lified	<b>15 Values)</b> d on: 1/1/2015				-
Number	of Sales: 979		MED	DIAN: 94			COV: 34.38			95% Median C.I.: 93.0	7 to 95.56	
Total Sal	les Price : 123,2	293,404	WGT. MI	EAN: 93			STD: 34.10		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 91.8	1 to 94.23	
	les Price : 123,8		М	EAN: 99		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 18.06			95% Mean C.I.: 97.0		
	ed Value : 115,2					0						
Avg. Adj. Sal	les Price : 126,5	555	C	COD: 19.14		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 448.17					
Avg. Assesse	ed Value: 117,7	21	F	PRD: 106.64		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 38.79			Pri	nted:3/31/2015	8:11:10AM
SALE PRICE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges	i											
Less Than	5,000	8	102.71	116.15	101.19	19.26	114.78	91.75	187.60	91.75 to 187.60	75,813	76,718
Less Than	15,000	17	104.00	152.88	113.07	54.91	135.21	70.20	435.85	100.00 to 194.00	40,868	46,210
Less Than	30,000	49	135.70	169.57	140.47	48.00	120.72	62.80	448.17	117.11 to 185.70	27,866	39,144
Ranges Excl. Low	\$											
Greater Than	4,999	971	94.22	99.06	92.98	19.13	106.54	38.79	448.17	93.05 to 95.50	126,973	118,059
Greater Than	14,999	962	94.03	98.25	92.91	18.38	105.75	38.79	448.17	92.99 to 95.31	128,069	118,985
Greater Than	29,999	930	93.33	95.49	92.49	15.88	103.24	38.79	325.30	92.49 to 94.96	131,755	121,861
_Incremental Range	es											
0 ТО	4,999	8	102.71	116.15	101.19	19.26	114.78	91.75	187.60	91.75 to 187.60	75,813	76,718
5,000 TO	14,999	9	136.60	185.53	194.70	63.35	95.29	70.20	435.85	100.00 to 323.64	9,806	19,092
15,000 TO	29,999	32	157.15	178.43	168.85	41.87	105.67	62.80	448.17	117.63 to 207.78	20,959	35,390
30,000 TO	59,999	151	110.42	115.61	113.85	22.59	101.55	50.56	325.30	103.52 to 117.13	45,159	51,412
60,000 TO	99,999	279	93.17	94.17	93.96	15.25	100.22	38.79	222.97	91.21 to 95.31	79,676	74,864
	149,999	223	88.88	88.45	88.22	12.80	100.26	51.72	137.51	86.97 to 91.76	122,148	107,764
150,000 TO	249,999	178	91.55	90.96	91.22	11.14	99.71	54.30	138.52	89.26 to 94.88	189,549	172,915
250,000 TO	499,999	94	93.74	92.39	92.05	09.36	100.37	55.92	134.88	92.31 to 95.78	303,494	279,359
500,000 TO	999,999	4	102.30	102.78	102.45	01.99	100.32	100.66	105.86	N/A	682,000	698,681
1,000,000 +		1	65.37	65.37	65.37	00.00	100.00	65.37	65.37	N/A	1,247,984	815,830
ALL		979	94.36	99.20	93.02	19.14	106.64	38.79	448.17	93.07 to 95.56	126,555	117,721

											r age i or o
01 Adams				PAD 201		ics (Using 201	15 Values)				
COMMERCIAL				Date Range	Qua 10/1/2011 To 9/3 :	llified 0/2014 Posted	l on: 1/1/2015				
Number of Sales: 75		MED	DIAN: 94	C C		COV : 43.82			95% Median C.I.: 86.7	74 to 99 62	
Total Sales Price : 28,427,	067		EAN: 94			STD: 43.59		05			
								90	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 85.4 95% Mean C.I.: 89.6		
Total Adj. Sales Price : 28,559, Total Assessed Value : 26,819,		IVI	EAN: 99		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 27.44			95% Mean C.I. 1 89.6	00 to 109.34	
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 380,788	3	(	COD: 29.24		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 263.90					
Avg. Assessed Value : 357,593	3	Ĩ	PRD: 105.92		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 32.27			Pr	inted:3/31/2015	8:11:11AM
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	3	105.62	139.60	88.64	58.93	157.49	63.22	249.95	N/A	470,019	416,628
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	4	91.71	104.97	99.34	29.68	105.67	73.86	162.59	N/A	143,000	142,054
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	4	80.92	106.24	77.17	50.85	137.67	63.60	199.51	N/A	156,625	120,863
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	9	105.98	130.38	118.19	38.24	110.31	70.43	263.90	86.74 to 212.52	349,556	413,156
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	9	102.37	108.25	108.75	15.49	99.54	87.22	168.86	91.25 to 124.46	427,380	464,778
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	6	98.23	94.75	98.53	08.06	96.16	67.11	107.93	67.11 to 107.93	578,664	570,145
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	10	96.73	98.36	90.76	26.69	108.37	39.75	201.54	56.23 to 109.11	123,300	111,904
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	7	86.74	86.86	79.63	16.69	109.08	54.69	113.74	54.69 to 113.74	103,044	82,053
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13	4	80.46	80.96	89.03	14.60	90.94	64.01	98.93	N/A	1,948,250	1,734,596
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14	7	83.40	83.07	92.60	34.94	89.71	32.27	167.17	32.27 to 167.17	140,143	129,769
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14	5	95.73	96.86	94.41	24.62	102.60	61.41	138.81	N/A	405,200	382,543
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14	7	73.57	71.32	63.80	31.94	111.79	34.20	122.86	34.20 to 122.86	390,257	248,970
Study Yrs		10.01		00.00	0.1.0.1		020		0112010122100	000,201	2.0,07.0
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	20	100.42	121.85	104.61	42.13	116.48	63.22	263.90	81.63 to 121.67	287,728	300,998
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	32	96.69	97.95	100.26	18.39	97.70	39.75	201.54	91.10 to 104.21	289,772	290,540
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14	23	83.40	82.12	85.00	28.96	96.61	32.27	167.17	64.01 to 92.11	588,339	500,099
Calendar Yrs	20	00.10	02.12	00.00	20.00	00.01	02.27	107.11	01.0110 02.11	000,000	000,000
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	26	100.42	115.10	109.30	30.59	105.31	63.60	263.90	91.25 to 118.03	315,035	344,349
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13	27	95.11	92.00	91.17	18.93	100.91	39.75	201.54	83.51 to 101.36	489,603	446,395
ALL	75	93.85	99.47	93.91	29.24	105.92	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.62	380,788	357,593
VALUATION GROUPING										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	59	95.27	101.52	95.28	33.35	106.55	32.27	263.90	83.51 to 101.36	440,535	419,750
02	8	92.11	89.65	76.51	06.58	117.17	63.22	101.79	63.22 to 101.79	247,000	188,978
03	8	98.78	94.16	91.70	16.14	102.68	39.75	122.86	39.75 to 122.86	73,938	67,804
ALL	75	93.85	99.47	93.91	29.24	105.92	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.62	380,788	357,593
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg. Assd. Val
02	3	74.42	120.45	83.54	61.85	144.18	74.42	212.52	95%_iviedian_C.i. N/A	141,333	118,072
02	3 72	74.42 94.48	98.60	94.07	27.93	144.16	74.42 32.27	263.90	87.22 to 99.62	390,765	367,574
04	12	04.40	50.00	34.07	21.33	107.02	52.21	200.90	01.22 (0 33.02	590,705	507,574
ALL	75	93.85	99.47	93.91	29.24	105.92	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.62	380,788	357,593
		00.00	00.47	00.01	LU.LT	100.02	JL.L1	200.00	00.110000.02	300,700	007,000

Page 1 of 3

01 Adams COMMERCIAL						<b>5 R&amp;O Statisti</b> Qua 10/1/2011 To 9/3	lified	<b>15 Values)</b> d on: 1/1/2015				-
Number	of Sales: 75		MED	DIAN: 94			COV: 43.82			95% Median C.I.: 86	.74 to 99.62	
	les Price : 28,4	127.067		EAN: 94			STD: 43.59		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 85	49 to 102 32	
	les Price : 28,5			EAN: 99			Dev: 27.44		00	95% Mean C.I.: 89		
,	ed Value : 26,8	,										
Avg. Adj. Sal	les Price : 380	,788	C	COD: 29.24		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 263.90					
Avg. Assesse	ed Value: 357	,593	F	PRD: 105.92		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 32.27			F	Printed:3/31/2015	8:11:11AM
SALE PRICE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges	i											
Less Than	5,000	1	104.21	104.21	104.21	00.00	100.00	104.21	104.21	N/A	132,000	137,560
Less Than	15,000	2	152.88	152.88	111.51	31.84	137.10	104.21	201.54	N/A	71,350	79,563
Less Than	30,000	7	109.11	139.03	122.73	47.53	113.28	40.36	212.52	40.36 to 212.52	37,457	45,972
Ranges Excl. Low	\$											
Greater Than	4,999	74	93.06	99.41	93.86	29.73	105.91	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.04	384,150	360,567
Greater Than	14,999	73	92.26	98.01	93.82	28.78	104.47	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.04	389,265	365,211
Greater Than	29,999	68	92.11	95.40	93.64	25.99	101.88	32.27	263.90	86.49 to 96.83	416,130	389,672
_Incremental Range	es											
0 ТО	4,999	1	104.21	104.21	104.21	00.00	100.00	104.21	104.21	N/A	132,000	137,560
5,000 TO	14,999	1	201.54	201.54	201.54	00.00	100.00	201.54	201.54	N/A	10,700	21,565
15,000 TO	29,999	5	109.11	133.50	136.13	48.70	98.07	40.36	212.52	N/A	23,900	32,536
30,000 TO	59 <b>,</b> 999	8	87.22	84.73	82.64	18.45	102.53	56.23	121.67	56.23 to 121.67	45,375	37,496
60,000 TO	99,999	12	94.48	92.84	91.58	20.30	101.38	32.27	138.81	81.63 to 113.74	81,317	74,467
100,000 TO	149,999	15	95.10	104.27	103.43	30.05	100.81	39.75	263.90	73.57 to 105.62	125,921	130,240
150,000 TO	249,999	15	86.74	105.05	102.69	38.72	102.30	45.14	249.95	74.42 to 107.93	185,504	190,494
250,000 TO	499,999	6	91.43	79.16	79.39	16.62	99.71	34.20	99.04	34.20 to 99.04	358,333	284,468
500,000 TO	999,999	5	100.47	95.10	94.53	11.66	100.60	73.97	114.40	N/A	794,400	750,922
1,000,000 +		7	98.93	86.40	92.99	21.51	92.91	51.22	118.03	51.22 to 118.03	2,309,243	2,147,386
ALL		75	93.85	99.47	93.91	29.24	105.92	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.62	380,788	357,593

01 Adams			PAD 2018	5 R&O Statisti	ics (Using 20 lified	15 Values)				-	
COMMERCIAL				Date Range:	10/1/2011 To 9/3		ed on: 1/1/2015				
Number of Sales: 75		MED	DIAN: 94			COV: 43.82			95% Median C.I.: 86.7	74 to 99.62	
Total Sales Price: 28,427,067		WGT. M	EAN: 94			STD: 43.59		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 85.4	19 to 102.32	
Total Adj. Sales Price : 28,559,067 Total Assessed Value : 26,819,510		M	EAN: 99			Dev : 27.44			95% Mean C.I. : 89.6		
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 380,788		C	COD: 29.24		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 263.90					
Avg. Assessed Value : 357,593		F	PRD: 105.92		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 32.27			Pi	rinted:3/31/2015	8:11:11AM
OCCUPANCY CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Blank	2	120.95	120.95	92.30	66.63	131.04	40.36	201.54	 N/A	16,600	15,323
300	1	73.57	73.57	73.57	00.00	100.00	73.57	73.57	N/A	115,000	84,600
304	1	105.62	105.62	105.62	00.00	100.00	105.62	105.62	N/A	145,000	153,155
326	5	86.49	77.39	81.47	21.98	94.99	32.27	105.98	N/A	133,000	108,354
341	1	263.90	263.90	263.90	00.00	100.00	263.90	263.90	N/A	108,000	285,010
343	2	99.34	99.34	100.15	15.17	99.19	84.27	114.40	N/A	853,500	854,818
344	8	87.67	88.41	81.57	25.97	108.39	56.23	121.67	56.23 to 121.67	201,226	164,141
346	1	109.11	109.11	109.11	00.00	100.00	109.11	109.11	N/A	27,500	30,005
350	2	104.70	104.70	104.45	04.85	100.24	99.62	109.77	N/A	2,176,951	2,273,780
352	7	74.42	118.38	77.53	66.07	152.69	64.01	249.95	64.01 to 249.95	444,008	344,254
353	7	98.93	100.01	93.25	20.29	107.25	51.22	167.17	51.22 to 167.17	1,067,900	995,776
384	1	91.25	91.25	91.25	00.00	100.00	91.25	91.25	N/A	30,000	27,375
386	1	95.11	95.11	95.11	00.00	100.00	95.11	95.11	N/A	90,000	85,600
396	1	63.22	63.22	63.22	00.00	100.00	63.22	63.22	N/A	1,106,000	699,175
406	18	92.98	101.29	92.07	25.33	110.01	34.20	199.51	87.22 to 104.30	119,572	110,095
442	2	60.69	60.69	59.81	34.50	101.47	39.75	81.63	N/A	96,000	57,420
444	1	83.51	83.51	83.51	00.00	100.00	83.51	83.51	N/A	180,000	150,310
455	3	118.03	124.29	116.21	19.86	106.95	92.26	162.59	N/A	906,667	1,053,638
470	1	73.86	73.86	73.86	00.00	100.00	73.86	73.86	N/A	245,000	180,960
471	1	104.21	104.21	104.21	00.00	100.00	104.21	104.21	N/A	132,000	137,560
494	1	96.54	96.54	96.54	00.00	100.00	96.54	96.54	N/A	180,000	173,775
514	1	102.44	102.44	102.44	00.00	100.00	102.44	102.44	N/A	44,000	45,075
528	4	70.72	70.46	68.75	29.06	102.49	45.14	95.27	N/A	165,000	113,441
544	2	101.42	101.42	101.55	00.94	99.87	100.47	102.37	N/A	670,000	680,365
554	1	122.86	122.86	122.86	00.00	100.00	122.86	122.86	N/A	140,000	172,010
ALL	75	93.85	99.47	93.91	29.24	105.92	32.27	263.90	86.74 to 99.62	380,788	357,593

Page 3 of 3

											Page 1 of 2
01 Adams				PAD 201	5 R&O Statisti		15 Values)				
AGRICULTURAL LAND				Data Banga	Qua 10/1/2011 To 9/3 :		d on: 1/1/2015				
				Date Range							
Number of Sales: 80			DIAN: 73			COV: 40.42			95% Median C.I.: 6		
Total Sales Price: 76,427,531			EAN: 70			STD: 32.04		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 6		
Total Adj. Sales Price: 76,427,531		М	EAN: 79		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 20.51			95% Mean C.I.: 7	2.25 to 86.29	
Total Assessed Value: 53,467,315 Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 955,344		C	COD: 28.27		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 222.93					
Avg. Assessed Value : 668,341			PRD: 113.31			Ratio : 30.39				Printed:3/31/2015	8·11·13AM
		Г	-KD. 113.31		Will Sales F	Callo : 30.39				1 11100.0,0 112010	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	9	90.62	112.47	95.07	32.06	118.30	75.26	205.51	76.75 to 170.51	463,583	440,720
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12 1	10	88.50	100.62	71.87	34.55	140.00	54.31	222.93	60.55 to 146.49	1,211,661	870,857
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	1	62.77	62.77	62.77	00.00	100.00	62.77	62.77	N/A	1,594,800	1,001,045
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	4	78.75	77.86	69.78	23.97	111.58	56.07	97.87	N/A	1,140,627	795,925
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12 2	22	65.54	69.10	68.04	15.07	101.56	50.03	107.17	60.28 to 74.22	1,301,670	885,669
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	1	106.12	106.12	106.12	00.00	100.00	106.12	106.12	N/A	880,000	933,880
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	4	63.50	78.42	64.01	38.44	122.51	52.22	134.45	N/A	637,675	408,153
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13											
01-OCT-13 To 31-DEC-13	9	71.03	64.39	67.99	16.42	94.71	35.50	86.98	45.52 to 74.65	833,412	566,623
01-JAN-14 To 31-MAR-14 1	13	70.49	66.89	64.07	24.47	104.40	30.39	94.17	45.87 to 86.45	869,948	557,414
01-APR-14 To 30-JUN-14	5	83.97	80.57	70.54	21.66	114.22	55.64	117.08	N/A	571,780	403,316
01-JUL-14 To 30-SEP-14	2	78.30	78.30	79.07	03.24	99.03	75.76	80.83	N/A	122,500	96,863
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12 2	24	90.43	99.69	75.11	30.90	132.73	54.31	222.93	75.26 to 100.31	935,257	702,491
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13 2	27	65.65	71.85	68.77	20.05	104.48	50.03	134.45	60.28 to 74.22	1,187,683	816,712
01-OCT-13 To 30-SEP-14 2	29	72.35	69.26	66.42	21.29	104.28	30.39	117.08	59.35 to 80.83	755,653	501,941
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12 3	37	67.57	78.40	69.02	27.14	113.59	50.03	222.93	61.99 to 78.52	1,267,855	875,082
01-JAN-13 To 31-DEC-13 1	14	71.11	71.38	70.13	23.86	101.78	35.50	134.45	52.22 to 86.98	780,815	547,579
ALL	80	72.55	79.27	69.96	28.27	113.31	30.39	222.93	65.65 to 78.52	955,344	668,341
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
1 8	80	72.55	79.27	69.96	28.27	113.31	30.39	222.93	65.65 to 78.52	955,344	668,341
ALL 8	80	72.55	79.27	69.96	28.27	113.31	30.39	222.93	65.65 to 78.52	955,344	668,341

											Page 2 of 2
01 Adams				PAD 201	5 R&O Statisti		5 Values)				
AGRICULTURAL LAND				Data Banga	Qua 10/1/2011 To 9/3	lified	op: 1/1/2015				
				Date Range.			011. 1/1/2015				
Number of Sales : 80			DIAN: 73			COV: 40.42			95% Median C.I.: 6		
Total Sales Price: 76,427,531			EAN: 70			STD: 32.04		959	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 6		
Total Adj. Sales Price: 76,427,531		Μ	EAN: 79		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 20.51			95% Mean C.I.: 7	2.25 to 86.29	
Total Assessed Value: 53,467,315 Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 955,344		(	COD: 28.27		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 222.93					
Avg. Assessed Value : 668,341			PRD: 113.31			Ratio : 30.39				Printed:3/31/2015	8:11:13AM
						10.00					
95%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE CO	OUNT ME	DIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County 18		80.36	84.46	73.59	26.17	114.77	45.52	205.51	61.99 to 90.62	932,990	686,591
1 18		80.36	84.46	73.59	26.17	114.77	45.52	205.51	61.99 to 90.62	932,990	686,591
Dry County 11		75.76	84.25	67.68	44.18	124.48	34.14	222.93	45.87 to 107.17	443,661	300,268
1 11		75.76	84.25	67.68	44.18	124.48	34.14	222.93	45.87 to 107.17	443,661	300,268
Grass			01120	0.100			0				000,200
County 3		77.11	86.37	88.11	47.98	98.03	35.50	146.49	N/A	282,667	249,058
1 3		77.11	86.37	88.11	47.98	98.03	35.50	146.49	N/A	282,667	249,058
ALL80		72.55	79.27	69.96	28.27	113.31	30.39	222.93	65.65 to 78.52	955,344	668,341
80%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE CO	OUNT ME	DIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County 49		72.32	76.39	69.01	21.28	110.69	45.52	205.51	63.56 to 78.48	1,135,082	783,349
1 49		72.32	76.39	69.01	21.28	110.69	45.52	205.51	63.56 to 78.48	1,135,082	783,349
Dry		70 45	00.00	61.00	40.70	101 50	20.20	222.02	40.05 to 400.70	504 644	200 225
County 16 1 16		73.15 73.15	80.63 80.63	61.28 61.28	42.72 42.72	131.58 131.58	30.39 30.39	222.93 222.93	49.95 to 100.79 49.95 to 100.79	504,644 504,644	309,235 309,235
Grass		70.10	00.00	01.20	72.12	101.00	00.00	222.00	+9.35 10 100.79	507,044	000,200
County 3		77.11	86.37	88.11	47.98	98.03	35.50	146.49	N/A	282,667	249,058
1 3		77.11	86.37	88.11	47.98	98.03	35.50	146.49	N/A	282,667	249,058
ALL 80		72.55	79.27	69.96	28.27	113.31	30.39	222.93	65.65 to 78.52	955,344	668,341

**County Reports** 

Total Real Property Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30		<b>Records : 16,16</b>	9	Value : 3,3	51,930,076	Grov	wth 35,283,125	5 Sum Lines 17,	25, & 41
Schedule I : Non-Agricult	ural Records								
	, U	rban	Sul	bUrban	(	Rural	Т	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
01. Res UnImp Land	780	6,434,955	73	1,328,435	55	875,380	908	8,638,770	
2. Res Improve Land	9,334	106,076,600	660	18,622,945	625	16,986,090	10,619	141,685,635	
3. Res Improvements	9,334	715,042,430	660	123,954,805	625	86,429,095	10,619	925,426,330	
4. Res Total	10,114	827,553,985	733	143,906,185	680	104,290,565	11,527	1,075,750,735	15,990,432
% of Res Total	87.74	76.93	6.36	13.38	5.90	9.69	71.29	32.09	45.32
95. Com UnImp Land	257	7,902,120	52	891,105	46	1,029,910	355	9,823,135	
6. Com Improve Land	1,039	48,581,975	87	5,403,805	88	2,815,285	1,214	56,801,065	
7. Com Improvements	1,039	248,721,056	87	27,364,290	88	22,150,435	1,214	298,235,781	
98. Com Total	1,296	305,205,151	139	33,659,200	134	25,995,630	1,569	364,859,981	13,958,198
% of Com Total	82.60	83.65	8.86	9.23	8.54	7.12	9.70	10.89	39.56
9. Ind UnImp Land	1	368,000	11	297,845	5	137,905	17	803,750	
0. Ind Improve Land	13	1,296,660	26	2,388,000	13	498,945	52	4,183,605	
1. Ind Improvements	13	10,608,270	26	46,087,990	13	6,362,555	52	63,058,815	
2. Ind Total	14	12,272,930	37	48,773,835	18	6,999,405	69	68,046,170	3,323,410
% of Ind Total	20.29	18.04	53.62	71.68	26.09	10.29	0.43	2.03	9.42
13. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	5	252,575	5	252,575	
4. Rec Improve Land	0	0	0	0	1	41,780	1	41,780	
5. Rec Improvements	0	0	0	0	1	9,195	1	9,195	
6. Rec Total	0	0	0	0	6	303,550	6	303,550	0
% of Rec Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.04	0.01	0.00
Res & Rec Total	10,114	827,553,985	733	143,906,185	686	104,594,115	11,533	1,076,054,285	15,990,432
% of Res & Rec Total	87.70	76.91	6.36	13.37	5.95	9.72	71.33	32.10	45.32
Com & Ind Total	1,310	317,478,081	176	82,433,035	152	32,995,035	1,638	432,906,151	17,281,608
% of Com & Ind Total	79.98	73.34	10.74	19.04	9.28	7.62	10.13	12.92	48.98
17. Taxable Total	11,424	1,145,032,066	909	226,339,220	838	137,589,150	13,171	1,508,960,436	33,272,040
% of Taxable Total	86.74	75.88	6.90	15.00	6.36	9.12	81.46	45.02	94.30

### County 01 Adams

### Schedule II : Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	65	349,085	6,651,760	0	0	0
19. Commercial	36	3,285,540	15,610,410	0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Records	<b>Rural</b> Value Base	Value Excess	Records	<b>Total</b> Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	1	36,075	15,830	66	385,160	6,667,590
19. Commercial	1	1,485	3,085	37	3,287,025	15,613,495
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				103	3,672,185	22,281,085

#### Schedule III : Mineral Interest Records

<b>Mineral Interest</b>	Records Urb	an Value	Records SubU	J <b>rban</b> Value	Records Rura	al Value	Records Tot	al Value	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Schedule IV : Exempt Records : Non-Agricultural

-	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Exempt	806	73	594	1,473

#### Schedule V : Agricultural Records

8	Urban		SubUrban		Rural					Fotal
	Records	Value	Records	Value		Records	Value		Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	30	3,222,300	283	152,842,610		1,848	1,080,824,445		2,161	1,236,889,355
28. Ag-Improved Land	8	837,745	80	39,672,025		723	476,228,415		811	516,738,185
29. Ag Improvements	8	900,785	81	10,887,760		748	77,553,555		837	89,342,100
30. Ag Total								J	2,998	1,842,969,640

## County 01 Adams

Schedule VI : Agricultural Records :Non-Agricultural Detail									
	Urban Records Acres Value			Records	SubUrban           Records         Acres         Value				
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0			
32. HomeSite Improv Land	3	3.50	61,750	48	51.00	971,900			
33. HomeSite Improvements	3	0.00	748,255	48	0.00	7,195,420			
34. HomeSite Total									
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	2	21.27	52,095			
36. FarmSite Improv Land	7	21.16	83,655	71	174.49	782,745			
37. FarmSite Improvements	7	0.00	152,530	72	0.00	3,692,340			
38. FarmSite Total									
39. Road & Ditches	0	9.96	0	0	651.78	0			
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	8.33	2,040	0	115.40	28,275			
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	<b>Total</b> Acres	Value	Growth		
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0			
32. HomeSite Improv Land	455	508.24	8,419,980	506	562.74	9,453,630			
33. HomeSite Improvements	455	0.00	50,231,995	506	0.00	58,175,670	1,677,815		
34. HomeSite Total				506	562.74	67,629,300			
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	17	39.68	179,160	19	60.95	231,255			
36. FarmSite Improv Land	683	1,650.45	7,093,240	761	1,846.10	7,959,640			
<b>37. FarmSite Improvements</b>	708	0.00	27,321,560	787	0.00	31,166,430	333,270		
38. FarmSite Total				806	1,907.05	39,357,325			
39. Road & Ditches	0	6,278.27	0	0	6,940.01	0			
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	532.09	128,285	0	655.82	158,600			
41. Total Section VI				1,312	10,065.62	107,145,225	2,011,085		

### Schedule VII : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

		Urban		ſ		SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	1	160.00	87,850		1	160.00	87,850

#### Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban				SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Market Value	0	0	0	J	0	0	0

\* LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

County 01 Adams

## 2015 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	70,450.93	30.39%	479,066,390	31.56%	6,800.00
46. 1A	100,615.27	43.41%	674,122,445	44.41%	6,700.00
47. 2A1	8,920.78	3.85%	57,985,070	3.82%	6,500.00
48. 2A	17,173.69	7.41%	108,194,150	7.13%	6,299.99
49. 3A1	7,249.46	3.13%	44,221,725	2.91%	6,100.00
50. 3A	1,899.91	0.82%	11,209,455	0.74%	5,899.99
51. 4A1	14,971.28	6.46%	85,336,335	5.62%	5,700.00
52. 4A	10,519.77	4.54%	57,858,755	3.81%	5,500.00
53. Total	231,801.09	100.00%	1,517,994,325	100.00%	6,548.69
Dry					
54. 1D1	11,085.58	22.04%	38,799,530	24.18%	3,500.00
55. 1D	22,089.83	43.92%	72,893,810	45.43%	3,299.88
56. 2D1	2,044.59	4.06%	6,338,225	3.95%	3,100.00
57. 2D	5,908.70	11.75%	17,135,265	10.68%	2,900.01
58. 3D1	2,403.01	4.78%	6,968,735	4.34%	2,900.00
59. 3D	290.81	0.58%	843,355	0.53%	2,900.02
50. 4D1	4,162.16	8.28%	11,237,810	7.00%	2,699.99
51. 4D	2,312.94	4.60%	6,244,950	3.89%	2,700.01
52. Total	50,297.62	100.00%	160,461,680	100.00%	3,190.24
Grass					
53. 1G1	1,731.54	4.00%	2,510,855	4.39%	1,450.07
54. 1G	3,443.36	7.95%	4,993,070	8.73%	1,450.06
55. 2G1	5,223.45	12.06%	7,312,825	12.78%	1,400.00
56. 2G	4,812.25	11.11%	6,496,695	11.36%	1,350.03
57. 3G1	1,585.76	3.66%	2,061,490	3.60%	1,300.00
58. 3G	1,635.01	3.77%	2,084,725	3.64%	1,275.05
59. 4G1	4,282.30	9.88%	5,460,335	9.55%	1,275.09
70. 4G	20,615.93	47.58%	26,285,975	45.95%	1,275.03
71. Total	43,329.60	100.00%	57,205,970	100.00%	1,320.25
Irrigated Total	231,801.09	71.06%	1,517,994,325	87.45%	6,548.69
Dry Total	50,297.62	15.42%	160,461,680	9.24%	3,190.24
Grass Total	43,329.60	13.28%	57,205,970	3.30%	1,320.25
72. Waste	772.92	0.24%	162,440	0.01%	210.16
73. Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
74. Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
75. Market Area Total	326,201.23	100.00%	1,735,824,415	100.00%	5,321.33

#### Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	501.81	3,355,085	25,760.42	171,105,895	205,538.86	1,343,533,345	231,801.09	1,517,994,325
77. Dry Land	103.33	351,525	5,404.56	17,810,610	44,789.73	142,299,545	50,297.62	160,461,680
78. Grass	148.95	205,990	1,281.64	1,732,845	41,899.01	55,267,135	43,329.60	57,205,970
79. Waste	0.00	0	144.14	30,270	628.78	132,170	772.92	162,440
80. Other	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
81. Exempt	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
82. Total	754.09	3,912,600	32,590.76	190,679,620	292,856.38	1,541,232,195	326,201.23	1,735,824,415

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	231,801.09	71.06%	1,517,994,325	87.45%	6,548.69
Dry Land	50,297.62	15.42%	160,461,680	9.24%	3,190.24
Grass	43,329.60	13.28%	57,205,970	3.30%	1,320.25
Waste	772.92	0.24%	162,440	0.01%	210.16
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	326,201.23	100.00%	1,735,824,415	100.00%	5,321.33

# 2015 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2014 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

#### 01 Adams

	2014 CTL County Total	2015 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2015 form 45 - 2014 CTL)	Percent Change	<b>2015 Growth</b> (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	1,032,553,412	1,075,750,735	43,197,323	4.18%	15,990,432	2.63%
02. Recreational	299,820	303,550	3,730	1.24%	0	1.24%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	58,165,165	67,629,300	9,464,135	16.27%	1,677,815	13.39%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	1,091,018,397	1,143,683,585	52,665,188	4.83%	17,668,247	3.21%
05. Commercial	338,008,092	364,859,981	26,851,889	7.94%	13,958,198	3.81%
06. Industrial	63,701,500	68,046,170	4,344,670	6.82%	3,323,410	1.60%
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	36,263,170	39,357,325	3,094,155	8.53%	333,270	7.61%
08. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	437,972,762	472,263,476	34,290,714	7.83%	17,614,878	3.81%
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	1,528,991,159	1,616,105,661	87,114,502	5.70%	35,283,125	3.39%
11. Irrigated	1,186,179,760	1,517,994,325	331,814,565	27.97%	, D	
12. Dryland	133,099,150	160,461,680	27,362,530	20.56%	0	
13. Grassland	41,715,180	57,205,970	15,490,790	37.13%	Ó	
14. Wasteland	166,195	162,440	-3,755	-2.26%	, )	
15. Other Agland	163,170	0	-163,170	-100.00%	, D	
16. Total Agricultural Land	1,361,323,455	1,735,824,415	374,500,960	27.51%		
<b>17. Total Value of all Real Property</b> (Locally Assessed)	2,890,314,614	3,351,930,076	461,615,462	15.97%	35,283,125	14.75%

# Adams County Assessor's Office

# **Three Year Plan**

June 25, 2014

Page 1 of 5

County 01 - Page 39

# Adams County Assessor's Office Overview

#### **Introduction:**

Required by law- pursuant to Neb. Laws 2005, LB 263, Section 9

The Purpose: To submit a plan to the County Board of Equalization and to the Department of Property Assessment and Taxation on or before July 31st of each year. The plan describes the assessment actions planned for the next assessment year and the two years thereafter. This plan is required every 3 years and an update to the plan is required between the adoptions of each 3 year plan.

#### **General Description of Office:**

There are approximately 16,157 parcels in Adams County. There is an average of 400-500 permits per year. There are approximately 2,500 personal property schedules filed and 1,000 homestead exemptions forms processed per year.

The office staff consists of the assessor, one full time licensed appraiser, one part-time licensed appraiser, two associate appraisers and three full time office clerks. The assessor supervises all proceedings in the office. The appraisers oversee the valuation process for residential, agricultural and commercial parcels. The associate appraisers help with the valuation for the residential, agricultural and commercial properties and do the pick-up work for the commercial parcels and the urban, suburban and rural residential parcels. The three office clerks handle the everyday occurrences at the front counter; taking personal property schedules and homestead exemptions, one of the office clerks is responsible for personal property and one of the clerks is responsible for the real estate transfer statements.

#### **Budgeting:**

The proposed budget for 2014-2015 is 481,051. The county board accommodates for a GIS technician through the Information & Technology budget.

#### **Responsibilities of Assessment:**

Record Maintenance:

Mapping - Cadastral maps are updated weekly as the real estate transfers are processed. The maps are in poor condition, but with the implementation of GIS, the information will be available electronically. All of the books have been redone.

Property Record Cards - Cards contain all improvement information about the property including the required legal description, ownership, and valuation.

#### **Reports Files:**

Abstract- Due March 19<sup>th</sup> Certification of Values- August 20<sup>th</sup> School District Taxable Value Report- August 25<sup>th</sup> Generate Tax Roll- November 22<sup>nd</sup> Certificate of Taxes Levied- December 1<sup>st</sup>

#### **Filing for Homestead Exemptions:**

Applications for homestead exemptions are accepted from February 1<sup>st</sup> – June 30<sup>th</sup>.

#### **Filing Personal Property:**

Applications for personal property are accepted from January  $1^{st}$  – May  $1^{st}$ . After which there is a 10% penalty until July  $1^{st}$  when the penalty changes to 25%.

#### **Real Property:**

Adams County consists of the following real property types:

				% of Taxable Value
	Parcels	% of Total Parcels	Values	Base
Residential	11,531	71%	\$1,033,376,210	36%
Commercial	1,556	9%	\$337,262,143	11%
Industrial	76	1%	\$71,875,935	3%
Recreational	6	0%	\$299,820	0%
Agricultural	2988	19%	\$1,455,831,015	50%
Total	16157	100%	\$2,898,645,123	100%

Agricultural land is 50% of the real property valuation base and 82 % of that is assessed as irrigated.

The residential parcels in Hastings, the small villages, and the large rural subdivisions were reappraised in 2000. The rural residential and commercial parcels were reappraised in 2001 and the agland and mobile home reappraisal was completed in 2002. Exterior inspections were done at these times. Values were put into the micro solve system. All rural ag land was reviewed by the appraisers in 2014.

#### **Pick-up Work:**

Pick-up work will be done from November through January of the next year.

#### Sales File:

The real estate transfer statements (521s) are filed within 45 days of receiving them from the Register of Deeds. They are recorded on the Property Record Cards, in the computer, in the assessment books and in the cadastral maps.

A sales review of residential, commercial and rural properties will be completed for the sales file. A questionnaire is sent to each sold property and an inspection is performed if needed.

# 2014 Plan of Assessment Adams County Assessor's Office

Ratio studies are done on all the sales beginning in September of each year. The sales are entered on excel spreadsheets and ratios run on each property type and market area. These studies are used to determine the areas that are out of compliance and need reviewing for the next assessment cycle.

Continual market analysis will be conducted each year in all categories of properties to ensure that the level of value and quality of assessment in Adams County is in compliance with state statutes.

#### Assessment Actions Planned for the 2015 Roll Year: Residential:

Hastings neighborhoods will be physically reviewed. We will begin to work toward catching up on our 6 year review requirement on all our neighborhoods. The physical review consists of checking measurements, qualities, conditions, interior information and a new photo. If there is no one present at the property, door hangers are left and appointments for a review are set up if needed. Sales reviews and pick-up work for all residential parcels will be completed by March 1, 2015.

#### **Agricultural Land:**

An ag-land sales review will be completed and land use will be updated as the information becomes available. A physical review of the ag-land properties will be completed to verify the land use.

#### **Commercial:**

There will be a physical review of the Hastings market areas or occupancy codes most out of compliance. The physical review will consist of checking measurements, occupancy codes, quality, condition, and interior information. Commercial sales reviews and pick-up work will be completed by March 1, 2015.

#### GIS:

The GIS system will continue to be maintained, fine-tuned and improved. Building the ag-land use layer will continue.

### Assessment Actions Planned for the 2016 Roll Year:

#### **Residential:**

Hastings neighborhoods will be physically reviewed. We will be continuing to review properties and neighborhoods once every 6 years as required by the State. The physical reviews consist of checking measurements, qualities, conditions, interior information and take a new photo. If there is no one present at the property, door hangers are left and appointments for a review are set up if needed. Sales reviews and pick-up work for all residential parcels will be completed by March 1, 2016.

#### **Agricultural Land:**

An ag-land sales review will be completed and land use will be updated as the information becomes available. A physical review of the ag-land properties will be completed to verify the land use.

Page 4 of 5

#### **Commercial:**

There will be a physical review of the Hastings market areas or occupancy codes most out of compliance. The physical review will consist of checking measurements, occupancy codes, quality, condition, and interior information. Commercial sales reviews and pick-up work will be completed by March 1, 2016.

#### GIS:

The GIS system will continue to be maintained, fine-tuned and improved. Building the ag-land use layer will continue.

#### Assessment Actions Planned for the 2017 Roll Year:

#### **Residential:**

Hastings neighborhoods will be physically reviewed. We will be reviewing the parcels that need to be reviewed once every six years. The physical review consists of checking measurements, qualities, conditions, interior information and a new photo. If there is no one present at the property, door hangers are left and appointments for a review are set up if needed. Sales reviews and pick-up work for all residential parcels will be completed by March 1, 2017.

#### **Agricultural Land:**

An ag-land sales review will be completed and land use will be updated as the information becomes available. A physical review of the ag-land properties will be completed to verify the land use.

#### **Commercial:**

There will be a physical review of the Hastings market areas or occupancy codes most out of compliance. The physical review will consist of checking measurements, occupancy codes, quality, condition, and interior information. Commercial sales reviews and pick-up work will be completed by March 1, 2017.

#### GIS:

The GIS system will continue to be maintained, fine-tuned and improved. Building the ag-land use layer will continue.

# 2015 Assessment Survey for Adams County

# A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff:
	-
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff:
	4 full-time, 1 part-time
3.	Other full-time employees:
	3
4.	Other part-time employees:
	-
5.	Number of shared employees:
	-
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year:
	\$481,051
7.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above:
	-
8.	Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work:
	\$133,725
9.	If appraisal/reappraisal budget is a separate levied fund, what is that amount:
	-
10.	Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system:
	The county has a separate IT department; however, the assessor's budget does have \$29,115 dedicated for Tyler Technologies, Apex, and GIS support and maintenance.
11.	Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops:
	\$4,000
12.	Other miscellaneous funds:
	\$3,000
13.	Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used:
	\$4,141

1.	Administrative software:
	Tyler Technologies
2.	CAMA software:
	Tyler Technologies
3.	Are cadastral maps currently being used?
	Yes
4.	If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Office staff
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes
6.	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address?
	Yes - http://assessor.adamscounty.org/Appraisal/PublicAccess/
7.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	IT Department
8.	Personal Property software:
	Tyler Technologies

# B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

# C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	All
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	2001

#### **D. Contracted Services**

1.	Appraisal Services:
	-
2.	GIS Services:
	-
3.	Other services:
	-

# E. Appraisal /Listing Services

1.	Does the county employ outside help for appraisal or listing services?
	As needed for specialized properties or high dollar properties protested in special cases
2.	If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract?
	Yes
3.	What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require?
	Certified General Appraiser
4.	Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA?
	_
5.	Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county?
	_

Certification

This is to certify that the 2015 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

One copy by electronic transmission to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission.

One copy by electronic transmission to the Adams County Assessor.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2015.

Ruth a. Sorensen

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator



County 01 - Page 50