Table of Contents

2014 Commission Summary

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey Residential Correlation

Commercial Reports

Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey Commercial Correlation

Agricultural and/or Special Valuation Reports

Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Assessment Survey Agricultural Average Acre Values Table Agricultural Correlation Special Valuation Methodology, if applicable

Statistical Reports

Residential Statistics Commercial Statistics Agricultural Land Statistics Special Valuation Statistics, if applicable

County Reports

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

County Agricultural Land Detail

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the Prior Year Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL).

County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment

Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Maps

Market Areas

Valuation History Charts

2014 Commission Summary

for Perkins County

Residential Real Property - Current

| Number of Sales | 93 | Median | 100.00 |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Sales Price | \$7,064,150 | Mean | 107.13 |
| Total Adj. Sales Price | \$7,064,150 | Wgt. Mean | 97.74 |
| Total Assessed Value | \$6,904,442 | Average Assessed Value of the Base | \$62,552 |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price | \$75,959 | Avg. Assessed Value | \$74,241 |

Confidence Interval - Current

| 95% Median C.I | 98.57 to 100.00 |
|---|------------------|
| 95% Wgt. Mean C.I | 94.81 to 100.67 |
| 95% Mean C.I | 100.89 to 113.37 |
| % of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the | 7.50 |
| % of Records Sold in the Study Period | 7.69 |
| % of Value Sold in the Study Period | 9.13 |

Residential Real Property - History

| Year | Number of Sales | LOV | Median |
|------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| 2013 | 70 | 99 | 99.40 |
| 2012 | 47 | 100 | 100.00 |
| 2011 | 54 | 100 | 100 |
| 2010 | 57 | 97 | 97 |

2014 Commission Summary

for Perkins County

Commercial Real Property - Current

| Number of Sales | 16 | Median | 92.00 |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Total Sales Price | \$1,111,932 | Mean | 105.71 |
| Total Adj. Sales Price | \$1,111,932 | Wgt. Mean | 92.66 |
| Total Assessed Value | \$1,030,312 | Average Assessed Value of the Base | \$230,605 |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price | \$69,496 | Avg. Assessed Value | \$64,395 |

Confidence Interval - Current

| 95% Median C.I | 82.91 to 100.00 |
|--|-----------------|
| 95% Wgt. Mean C.I | 84.60 to 100.72 |
| 95% Mean C.I | 71.31 to 140.11 |
| % of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County | 5.90 |
| % of Records Sold in the Study Period | 6.20 |
| % of Value Sold in the Study Period | 1.73 |

Commercial Real Property - History

| Year | Number of Sales | LOV | Median | |
|------|-----------------|-----|--------|--|
| 2013 | 17 | | 92.00 | |
| 2012 | 10 | | 97.45 | |
| 2011 | 12 | | 99 | |
| 2010 | 12 | 100 | 99 | |

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Perkins County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

| Class | Level of Value | Quality of Assessment | Non-binding recommendation |
|------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|
| Residential Real Property | 100 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices. | No recommendation. |
| | | | |
| Commercial Real Property | 100 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices. | No recommendation. |
| | | | |
| Agricultural Land | 72 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices. | No recommendation. |
| | | | |

^{**}A level of value displayed as NEI (not enough information) represents a class of property with insufficient information to determine a level of value.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR PROPERTY ASSESSMEN

Ruth A. Sorensen

Ruch a. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

2014 Residential Assessment Actions for Perkins County

A questionnaire was sent to all residential owners in September, 2013, in Grant, Grant suburban and Kenton Heights requesting information on bedrooms, bathrooms, finish in the basement, updates done to the home, specifically kitchen updates or new windows. Approximately 65% of the questionnaires were returned.

In January, the Perkins County Assessor conducted a physical inspection of all residential properties in Grant, Grant suburban and Kenton Heights. New pictures were taken of both back and front of houses, along with measurements of all new construction. A review of quality and condition was noted. New values with new RCN and new depreciation tables on all residential properties in Grant, Grant suburban and Kenton Heights was set for 2014 along with new lot values.

2014 Residential Assessment Survey for Perkins County

| 1. | Valuation data collection done by: | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
| | The assessor and staff. | | | | |
| 2. | List the characteristic | valuation groupings recognized by the County and describe the unique cs of each: | | | |
| | Valuation Grouping | Description of unique characteristics | | | |
| | 01 | Grant is the main source of all services for residents within Perkins County, with medical facilities, school, grocery and retail stores. | | | |
| | 02 | Madrid is located east of Grant on highway 23. The junior high for the school district is located in Madrid. | | | |
| | 03 | Elsie is located east of Madrid with a Co-op headquarters and a bank. | | | |
| | 04 | Venango is located on the western edge of Perkins County near the Colorado border. Other than a large grain receiving facility, the small Village does not offer many community needs. | | | |
| | Kenton Heights is a neighborhood that is located north of Grant on the highway 61 corridor to Ogallala. It has unique characteristics and is located on the edge of the golf course. | | | | |
| | 08 | Rural, including rural acreages outside of the incorporated villages. | | | |
| 4. | The cost and market approaches. If the cost approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based local market information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? | | | | |
| | The county us | ses depreciation tables based on local market information. | | | |
| 5. | Are individu | al depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping? | | | |
| | Individual ta and Elsie. | bles are developed for Grant, Rural and Venango. The same table is used for Madrid | | | |
| 6. | Describe the | methodology used to determine the residential lot values? | | | |
| | Market approach using value per lot, value per square foot, and value per acre. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 7. | <u>Valuation</u> <u>Grouping</u> | <u>Date of</u> <u>Depreciation Tables</u> | <u>Date of</u> <u>Costing</u> | <u>Date of</u> <u>Lot Value Study</u> |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | 01 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| | 02 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| | 03 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| | 04 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| | 07 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| | 08 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | | | | 1 |

2014 Residential Correlation Section for Perkins County

County Overview

Perkins County has four incorporated towns; Grant, the county seat, with a population of approximately 1165 residents, and Madrid, Else, and Venango with populations ranging from 106 to 231. Grant would be considered the hub of the residential market with the majority of the services and retail trade, grain handling facilities and schools.

Description of Analysis

The assessor continues to meet the goals established in the three year plan of assessment and the mandated six year physical inspection and review cycle and work is beginning on the next cyclical process. For 2014 the City of Grant, suburban Grant and Kenton Heights were reappraised and a new depreciation model was built and applied.

The statistical sampling of 93 residential sales appears to be an adequate and reliable sample for the measurement of the residential class of real property in Perkins County. But the subclass Valuation Grouping 01 (Grant) is the only subclass with sufficient sales to have a reasonable degree of certainty in the statistical measures. The other subclasses are of smaller size and are being affected by different economic conditions, several of the valuation groupings could possibly be combined but at present the assessor still feels there is a difference to keep them separated. The median measure of central tendency for Valuation Grouping 01 (Grant) demonstrates a median of 100%, which is identical to the overall median. The qualitative measures for Valuation Grouping 01 also show improvement.

Sales Qualification

A review of the non-qualified sales demonstrates no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales. A sufficient explanation exists in the assessor notes to substantiate the reason for the exclusion from the qualified sales. Measurement was done utilizing all available information and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department utilizes a yearly analysis of one-third of the counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. With the information available it was confirmed that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently. It is believed the residential properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

The median measure of central tendency for Valuation Grouping 01 (Grant) will be used as the point estimate in determining the level of value for the residential class.

2014 Residential Correlation Section for Perkins County

Level of Value

Based on all available information, the level of value of the residential class of real property in Perkins County is 100%.

2014 Commercial Assessment Actions for Perkins County

Perkins County applied new commercial values in 2010 for the County, except for the 37 properties that were completed in 2009. The appraisal work was completed by Stanard Appraisal Services Inc. This most recent reappraisal is within acceptable statistical measurements and no further commercial changes are required. New commercial pickup work was timely completed by Stanard Appraisal Services Inc.

2014 Commercial Assessment Survey for Perkins County

| 1. | Valuation data collection done by: | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | The Assessor and with the assistance from Stanard Appraisal Services. | | | | |
| 2. | List the valuation groupings recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristic of each: | | | | |
| | Valuation Description of unique characteristics Grouping | | | | |
| | 01 | Grant is the primary commercial base for residents with retail, grocery, medical and school facilities. | | | |
| | Madrid is a small village with fuel for the rural farms, one bank and an ethanol plant. | | | | |
| | 03 | Elsie is similar to Madrid with a bank a | and rural cooperative with a main of | fice. | |
| | 04 | Venango is located on the far we base except one large grain facility and | • | olorado with no commercial | |
| | 08 | Rural commercials are all outside the co | orporate village boundaries countyv | vide. | |
| 3. | List and properties. | describe the approach(es) used | l to estimate the marke | t value of commercial | |
| | Cost, market and income when available. | | | | |
| 3a. | Describe the | process used to determine the value | of unique commercial propert | ies. | |
| | The cost approach. | | | | |
| 4. | If the cost approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on local market information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? | | | | |
| | The county u | ses local market information to develo | p the depreciation tables. | | |
| 5. | Are individu | al depreciation tables developed for | each valuation grouping? | | |
| | No. they are | used countywide. | | | |
| 6. | | methodology used to determine the | commorcial lot values | | |
| 0. | | pach using value per lot, value per squa | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Date of | Date of | Data of | |
| 7. | Valuation Grouping | Date of Depreciation Tables | <u>Costing</u> | <u>Date of</u> <u>Lot Value Study</u> | |
| | 01 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| | 02 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| | 03 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| | 04 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | |
| | 08 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | |

2014 Commercial Correlation Section for Perkins County

County Overview

Perkins County has four incorporated towns; Grant, the county seat, with a population of approximately 1165 residents, and Madrid, Else, and Venango with populations ranging from 106 to 231. Grant would be considered the hub of the commercial market with the majority of the services and retail trade and schools. The smaller towns and rural areas have erratic markets but, they are supportive of the farm producers with their grain handling facilities and the ethanol plant in Madrid.

Description of Analysis

The commercial parcels in Perkins County are represented by 37 different occupancy codes; over 65% of the population consists of office buildings, retail, storage warehouses, grain handling facilities and service repair garages. For measurement purposes the statistical sampling of 16 commercial sales is not considered an adequate and representative sample of the commercial properties in Perkins County. The subclass Valuation Grouping 01 (Grant) with 8 sales still does not represent the commercial population.

The assessor stays on track with the three year plan of assessment and the first six year physical inspection and review cycle has been completed and work is beginning on the next cyclical process. Stanard Appraisal Services, Inc. assists with the valuation of the commercial properties.

Sales Qualification

A review of the non-qualified sales demonstrates a sufficient explanation in the assessor notes to substantiate the reason for the exclusion from the qualified sales. The assessor has a very thorough documentation process. Measurement was done utilizing all available information and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department utilizes a yearly analysis of one-third of the counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. With the information available it was confirmed that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently. It is believed the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

For measurement purposes the commercial sample is unreliable and does not represent the commercial class as a whole or by substratum.

2014 Commercial Correlation Section for Perkins County

Level of Value

Based on the consideration of all available information and assessment practices, the level of value is determined to be at the statutory level of 100% of market value for the commercial class of property.

2014 Agricultural Assessment Actions for Perkins County

Agricultural land values for each subclass were increased to equalize with the increasing market within the county and surrounding areas. The largest increases for 2014 are the irrigated subclasses. 1A was increased from \$2,600 to \$3,040 or \$440 increase per acre with the remaining irrigated acres increasing \$870 per acre. This is an increase of approximately 40%.

Dry land values were increased \$450 per acre for each land capability grouping for a 66% increase. The grass values were increased \$100 per acre from \$350 to \$450 for a 28.6% increase on all land capability groupings.

2014 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Perkins County

| 1. | Valuation data collection done by: | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| | Assessor and staff. | | | |
| 2. | List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique. | | | |
| | Market Description of unique characteristics Area | | | |
| | The entire county is one agricultural market area. There are no identifiable characteristics that separate the county. | | | |
| 3. | Describe the process used to determine and monitor market areas. | | | |
| | Not applicable. | | | |
| 4. | Describe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreational land in the county apart from agricultural land. | | | |
| | Market data of actual rural acreages are reviewed and valued. | | | |
| 5. | Do farm home sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites? If not, what are the market differences? | | | |
| | Farm home sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites. | | | |
| 6. | Describe the process used to identify and monitor the influence of non-agricultural characteristics. | | | |
| | Actual use of the parcel. | | | |
| 7. | Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If a value difference is recognized describe the process used to develop the uninfluenced value. | | | |
| | No | | | |
| 8. | If applicable, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parcels enrolled in the Wetland Reserve Program. | | | |
| | Monitoring at this time for any future market analysis. | | | |

Perkins County 2014 Average Acre Value Comparison

| County | Mkt Area | 1A1 | 1A | 2A1 | 2A | 3A1 | 3A | 4A1 | 4A | WEIGHTED AVG IRR |
|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| Perkins | 1 | N/A | 2,970 | 2,954 | 2,911 | 2,929 | 2,858 | 2,888 | 2,884 | 2,931 |
| Keith | 3 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 2,880 | 2,880 | 2,750 | 2,750 | 2,750 | 2,750 | 2,985 |
| Lincoln | 5 | N/A | 2,955 | 3,050 | 3,050 | 3,042 | 2,998 | 2,988 | 3,032 | 2,999 |
| Hayes | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,260 | 2,260 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 2,282 |
| Chase | 1 | N/A | 3,500 | 3,498 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,434 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | Mkt Area | 1D1 | 1D | 2D1 | 2D | 3D1 | 3D | 4D1 | 4D | WEIGHTED AVG DRY |
| Perkins | 1 | N/A | 1,230 | 1,230 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,050 | 1,050 | 1,177 |
| Keith | 3 | 1,375 | 1,375 | 1,275 | 1,275 | 1,075 | 1,075 | 1,050 | 1,050 | 1,294 |
| Lincoln | 5 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,130 |
| Hayes | 1 | 1,230 | 1,230 | 1,090 | 1,090 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 990 | 990 | 1,159 |
| Chase | 1 | N/A | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,112 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | Mkt Area | 1G1 | 1G | 2G1 | 2G | 3G1 | 3G | 4G1 | 4G | WEIGHTED AVG GRASS |
| Perkins | 1 | N/A | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| Keith | 3 | 385 | 436 | 375 | 407 | 441 | 376 | 395 | 342 | 380 |
| Lincoln | 5 | 465 | 465 | 465 | 465 | 465 | 385 | 385 | 380 | 388 |
| Hayes | 1 | 360 | 404 | 416 | 383 | 393 | 394 | 365 | 360 | 370 |
| Chase | 1 | N/A | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Source: 2014 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX

2014 Agricultural Correlation Section for Perkins County

County Overview

Perkins County is located in the southwest corner of the state and has predominantly the Loam soils that are most suitable for farming. The County is homogeneous enough that only one market area exists. The economics of rural Perkins County is heavily affected by the farming operations, ranching, the large grain handling facilities and the ethanol plant that is located in Madrid.

Perkins County is part of the Upper Republican Natural Resource District where water restrictions are closely monitored. Irrigation wells are located throughout the county but heavily arrayed in the middle portion.

Description of Analysis

A review of the agricultural sales over the three year study period indicates the sample does not contain a proportionate distribution of sales among each year of the study period. The way the sales are distributed over the study period may cause Perkins County to be compared to a different time standard than others as the first year of the study period is under-represented in comparison to the second and third years. Sales were sought from comparable areas surrounding Perkins County with similar soils and physical characteristics. The sample was expanded and the statistical sampling of 93 sales was considered proportionately distributed and representative of the land uses that exist within the county.

The agricultural market in the southwestern part of the state is strong and the assessment actions for Perkins County reflect the general economic conditions; the values were increased in all land capability groupings for all three classes of agricultural land (grass, dry and irrigated).

Sales Qualification

A review of the non-qualified sales demonstrates a sufficient explanation in the assessor notes to substantiate the reason for the exclusion from the qualified sales. The assessor has a very thorough documentation process. Measurement was done utilizing all available information and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The values established by the assessor have created equalization within the county and across county lines. The calculated statistics also indicate that an acceptable level of value has been attained.

2014 Agricultural Correlation Section for Perkins County

Level of Value

Based on all available information; the level of value of the agricultural land in Perkins County is determined to be 72% of market value.

68 Perkins RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2011 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales: 93
 MEDIAN: 100
 COV: 28.65
 95% Median C.I.: 98.57 to 100.00

 Total Sales Price: 7,064,150
 WGT. MEAN: 98
 STD: 30.69
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 94.81 to 100.67

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 7,064,150
 MEAN: 107
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 16.67
 95% Mean C.I.: 100.89 to 113.37

Total Assessed Value: 6,904,442

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 75,959 COD: 16.67 MAX Sales Ratio: 266.67

Avg. Assessed Value: 74,241 PRD: 109.61 MIN Sales Ratio: 41.18 Printed:3/12/2014 2:37:57PM

| Avg. Assessed value . 74,241 | | | PRD: 109.01 | | wiin Sales i | Ralio : 41.18 | | | 1 111 | 11100.5/12/2014 2 | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|----------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| DATE OF SALE * | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Qrtrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11 | 19 | 104.58 | 109.70 | 99.89 | 16.52 | 109.82 | 41.18 | 160.00 | 97.96 to 126.67 | 56,263 | 56,202 |
| 01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12 | 8 | 99.15 | 101.38 | 102.02 | 09.68 | 99.37 | 78.55 | 135.38 | 78.55 to 135.38 | 64,313 | 65,610 |
| 01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12 | 8 | 100.69 | 120.79 | 101.72 | 22.82 | 118.75 | 94.12 | 240.00 | 94.12 to 240.00 | 75,625 | 76,929 |
| 01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12 | 5 | 98.57 | 112.60 | 100.61 | 15.48 | 111.92 | 96.67 | 153.85 | N/A | 68,700 | 69,122 |
| 01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12 | 14 | 100.00 | 112.50 | 100.46 | 21.65 | 111.98 | 62.99 | 266.67 | 92.11 to 116.67 | 87,596 | 88,003 |
| 01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13 | 10 | 100.00 | 108.91 | 90.85 | 21.14 | 119.88 | 74.56 | 156.25 | 76.32 to 148.25 | 57,648 | 52,372 |
| 01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 | 10 | 98.88 | 107.83 | 97.34 | 12.46 | 110.78 | 92.65 | 159.27 | 92.83 to 140.00 | 110,225 | 107,289 |
| 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 | 19 | 100.00 | 94.52 | 93.54 | 12.33 | 101.05 | 44.68 | 145.00 | 85.71 to 103.60 | 85,635 | 80,107 |
| Study Yrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12 | 40 | 100.69 | 110.62 | 100.86 | 16.83 | 109.68 | 41.18 | 240.00 | 98.57 to 109.24 | 63,300 | 63,844 |
| 01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13 | 53 | 100.00 | 104.49 | 96.00 | 16.47 | 108.84 | 44.68 | 266.67 | 97.06 to 100.00 | 85,512 | 82,088 |
| Calendar Yrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12 | 35 | 100.00 | 111.87 | 101.06 | 18.33 | 110.70 | 62.99 | 266.67 | 98.25 to 104.00 | 76,839 | 77,656 |
| ALL | 93 | 100.00 | 107.13 | 97.74 | 16.67 | 109.61 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.57 to 100.00 | 75,959 | 74,241 |
| VALUATION GROUPING | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 01 | 60 | 100.00 | 103.48 | 99.09 | 07.28 | 104.43 | 87.50 | 156.25 | 98.29 to 100.00 | 89,904 | 89,084 |
| 02 | 14 | 94.53 | 117.74 | 87.24 | 47.19 | 134.96 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 72.94 to 159.27 | 42,300 | 36,903 |
| 03 | 4 | 105.42 | 105.15 | 100.03 | 13.94 | 105.12 | 76.32 | 133.43 | N/A | 41,156 | 41,168 |
| 04 | 6 | 140.00 | 140.87 | 136.55 | 06.86 | 103.16 | 117.78 | 160.00 | 117.78 to 160.00 | 24,167 | 33,000 |
| 08 | 9 | 97.14 | 93.31 | 88.54 | 19.82 | 105.39 | 44.68 | 135.38 | 69.65 to 121.21 | 85,341 | 75,564 |
| ALL | 93 | 100.00 | 107.13 | 97.74 | 16.67 | 109.61 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.57 to 100.00 | 75,959 | 74,241 |
| PROPERTY TYPE * | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 01 | 88 | 100.00 | 105.37 | 97.66 | 15.13 | 107.89 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.33 to 100.00 | 79,529 | 77,665 |
| 06 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 07 | 5 | 126.67 | 138.07 | 106.54 | 28.93 | 129.59 | 85.71 | 240.00 | N/A | 13,125 | 13,984 |
| ALL | 93 | 100.00 | 107.13 | 97.74 | 16.67 | 109.61 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.57 to 100.00 | 75,959 | 74,241 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

68 Perkins RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

ualified

Date Range: 10/1/2011 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales: 93
 MEDIAN: 100
 COV: 28.65
 95% Median C.I.: 98.57 to 100.00

 Total Sales Price: 7,064,150
 WGT. MEAN: 98
 STD: 30.69
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 94.81 to 100.67

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 7,064,150
 MEAN: 107
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 16.67
 95% Mean C.I.: 100.89 to 113.37

Total Assessed Value: 6,904,442

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 75,959 COD : 16.67 MAX Sales Ratio : 266.67

Avg. Assessed Value: 74,241 PRD: 109.61 MIN Sales Ratio: 41.18 *Printed*:3/12/2014 2:37:57PM

| SALE PRICE * | | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|------------|-----------|
| RANGE | | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Low \$ Range | S | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less Than | 5,000 | 1 | 240.00 | 240.00 | 240.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 240.00 | 240.00 | N/A | 1,000 | 2,400 |
| Less Than | 15,000 | 7 | 156.25 | 167.60 | 164.08 | 28.93 | 102.15 | 62.99 | 266.67 | 62.99 to 266.67 | 6,496 | 10,659 |
| Less Than | 30,000 | 24 | 130.05 | 133.47 | 122.62 | 24.16 | 108.85 | 62.99 | 266.67 | 103.85 to 148.25 | 15,722 | 19,279 |
| Ranges Excl. Lov | / \$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greater Than | 4,999 | 92 | 100.00 | 105.68 | 97.72 | 15.33 | 108.15 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.57 to 100.00 | 76,773 | 75,022 |
| Greater Than | 14,999 | 86 | 100.00 | 102.21 | 97.31 | 11.67 | 105.04 | 41.18 | 159.27 | 98.29 to 100.00 | 81,613 | 79,417 |
| Greater Than | 29,999 | 69 | 98.75 | 97.96 | 96.34 | 09.36 | 101.68 | 41.18 | 151.43 | 97.06 to 100.00 | 96,910 | 93,359 |
| Incremental Rang | es | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 TO | 4,999 | 1 | 240.00 | 240.00 | 240.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 240.00 | 240.00 | N/A | 1,000 | 2,400 |
| 5,000 TO | 14,999 | 6 | 155.05 | 155.53 | 162.37 | 25.01 | 95.79 | 62.99 | 266.67 | 62.99 to 266.67 | 7,413 | 12,036 |
| 15,000 TO | 29 , 999 | 17 | 116.67 | 119.42 | 116.94 | 16.11 | 102.12 | 85.71 | 159.27 | 100.00 to 140.00 | 19,521 | 22,828 |
| 30,000 TO | 59 , 999 | 24 | 100.00 | 102.41 | 101.97 | 11.03 | 100.43 | 69.65 | 151.43 | 95.45 to 104.58 | 47,711 | 48,652 |
| 60,000 TO | 99,999 | 21 | 98.29 | 94.75 | 94.24 | 12.34 | 100.54 | 41.18 | 135.38 | 95.81 to 101.38 | 76,202 | 71,815 |
| 100,000 TO | 149,999 | 10 | 99.17 | 98.10 | 98.14 | 04.74 | 99.96 | 83.33 | 106.36 | 92.31 to 104.00 | 118,000 | 115,800 |
| 150,000 TO | 249,999 | 13 | 97.14 | 95.25 | 94.61 | 04.11 | 100.68 | 74.56 | 100.00 | 92.65 to 100.00 | 192,038 | 181,692 |
| 250,000 TO | 499,999 | 1 | 92.83 | 92.83 | 92.83 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 92.83 | 92.83 | N/A | 265,000 | 246,000 |
| 500,000 TO | 999,999 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000,000 + | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALL | | 93 | 100.00 | 107.13 | 97.74 | 16.67 | 109.61 | 41.18 | 266.67 | 98.57 to 100.00 | 75,959 | 74,241 |

68 Perkins COMMERCIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

Date (Valige: 10/1/2010 10 9/30/2010 11 0sted 0ii: 1/1/20

 Number of Sales: 16
 MEDIAN: 92
 COV: 61.09
 95% Median C.I.: 82.91 to 100.00

 Total Sales Price: 1,111,932
 WGT. MEAN: 93
 STD: 64.58
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 84.60 to 100.72

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 1,111,932
 MEAN: 106
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 26.02
 95% Mean C.I.: 71.31 to 140.11

Total Assessed Value: 1,030,312

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 69,496 COD: 28.28 MAX Sales Ratio: 340.00

Avg. Assessed Value: 64,395 PRD: 114.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 57.77 *Printed*:3/12/2014 2:37:58PM

| 9 | | • | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|------------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| DATE OF SALE * RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | OFP/ Madian C.I | Avg. Adj. Sale Price | Avg. |
| | COUNT | MEDIAN | IVIEAN | WGT.IVIEAN | COD | PRD | IVIIIN | IVIAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Qrtrs 01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-JAN-11 TO 31-MAR-11 | 1 | 92.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | N/A | 25,000 | 23,000 |
| 01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11 | ' | 92.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | IN/A | 25,000 | 23,000 |
| 01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11 | 4 | 99.45 | 98.61 | 99.21 | 01.40 | 99.40 | 95.56 | 100.00 | N/A | 60,125 | 59,648 |
| 01-JAN-12 TO 31-MAR-12 | 4 | 108.84 | 160.15 | 125.04 | 69.87 | 128.08 | 93.30 82.91 | 340.00 | N/A | 25,608 | 32,021 |
| 01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12 | 4 | 100.04 | 100.13 | 125.04 | 09.07 | 120.00 | 02.91 | 340.00 | IN/A | 25,000 | 32,021 |
| 01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12 | 2 | 90.62 | 90.62 | 89.59 | 01.52 | 101.15 | 89.24 | 92.00 | N/A | 97,500 | 87,352 |
| 01-0CT-12 TO 31-DEC-12 | 1 | 81.38 | 81.38 | 81.38 | 00.00 | 101.13 | 81.38 | 81.38 | N/A | 10,000 | 8,138 |
| 01-JAN-13 TO 31-MAR-13 | 2 | 61.03 | 61.03 | 62.01 | 05.34 | 98.42 | 57.77 | 64.29 | N/A | 53,750 | 33,332 |
| 01-APR-13 TO 31-MAR-13 01-APR-13 TO 30-JUN-13 | 1 | 84.66 | 84.66 | 84.66 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 84.66 | 84.66 | N/A | 181,500 | 153,655 |
| 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 | 1 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 94.99 | 94.99 | N/A | 250,000 | 237,480 |
| Study Yrs | 1 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 94.99 | 94.99 | IN/A | 250,000 | 237,460 |
| 01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11 | 1 | 92.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 92.00 | 92.00 | N/A | 25,000 | 23,000 |
| 01-OCT-11 TO 30-SEP-12 | 10 | 97.23 | 121.63 | 100.64 | | | 92.00 82.91 | | 85.29 to 132.38 | 53,793 | • |
| 01-OCT-12 TO 30-SEP-13 | 5 | | | | 33.56 | 120.86 | | 340.00 | | , | 54,138 |
| | 5 | 81.38 | 76.62 | 84.87 | 14.16 | 90.28 | 57.77 | 94.99 | N/A | 109,800 | 93,187 |
| Calendar Yrs 01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11 | - | 00.00 | 97.29 | 98.53 | 00.50 | 98.74 | 00.00 | 100.00 | N/A | F2 400 | 50.040 |
| 01-JAN-11 TO 31-DEC-11 01-JAN-12 TO 31-DEC-12 | 5 | 98.89 | | | 02.52 | | 92.00 81.38 | 100.00 | | 53,100 | 52,318 |
| U1-JAN-12 TO 31-DEC-12 | 7 | 89.24 | 129.03 | 101.14 | 50.39 | 127.58 | 81.38 | 340.00 | 81.38 to 340.00 | 43,919 | 44,418 |
| ALL | 16 | 92.00 | 105.71 | 92.66 | 28.28 | 114.08 | 57.77 | 340.00 | 82.91 to 100.00 | 69,496 | 64,395 |
| VALUATION GROUPING | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 01 | 8 | 92.00 | 89.15 | 89.71 | 08.25 | 99.38 | 64.29 | 100.00 | 64.29 to 100.00 | 87,313 | 78,329 |
| 02 | 1 | 82.91 | 82.91 | 82.91 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 82.91 | 82.91 | N/A | 20,000 | 16,582 |
| 03 | 2 | 95.08 | 95.08 | 103.81 | 39.24 | 91.59 | 57.77 | 132.38 | N/A | 48,966 | 50,832 |
| 04 | 2 | 217.78 | 217.78 | 143.49 | 56.12 | 151.77 | 95.56 | 340.00 | N/A | 12,750 | 18,295 |
| 08 | 3 | 89.24 | 89.84 | 92.16 | 06.54 | 97.48 | 81.38 | 98.89 | N/A | 90,000 | 82,947 |
| ALL | 16 | 92.00 | 105.71 | 92.66 | 28.28 | 114.08 | 57.77 | 340.00 | 82.91 to 100.00 | 69,496 | 64,395 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

68 Perkins COMMERCIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

 Number of Sales:
 16
 MEDIAN:
 92
 COV:
 61.09
 95% Median C.I.:
 82.91 to 100.00

 Total Sales Price:
 1,111,932
 WGT. MEAN:
 93
 STD:
 64.58
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 84.60 to 100.72

 Total Adi, Sales Price:
 1,111,932
 MEAN:
 106
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 26.02
 95% Mean C.I.:
 71.31 to 140.11

Total Assessed Value: 1,030,312

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 69,496 COD : 28.28 MAX Sales Ratio : 340.00

Printed:3/12/2014 2:37:58PM Avg. Assessed Value: 64,395 PRD: 114.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 57.77 PROPERTY TYPE * Avg. Adj. Avg. **RANGE** COUNT MEDIAN **MEAN** WGT.MEAN COD PRD Sale Price MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Assd. Val 02 03 16 92.00 105.71 92.66 28.28 114.08 57.77 340.00 82.91 to 100.00 69,496 64,395 04 16 92.00 105.71 92.66 28.28 114.08 57.77 340.00 69,496 64,395 82.91 to 100.00 ALL **SALE PRICE *** Avg. Adj. Avg. **RANGE** COD PRD Sale Price COUNT MEDIAN **MEAN** WGT.MEAN MIN MAX 95%_Median_C.I. Assd. Val Low \$ Ranges Less Than 5,000 2 Less Than 15,000 210.69 210.69 167.59 61.37 125.72 81.38 340.00 N/A 7,500 12,569 7 Less Than 30,000 92.00 124.16 99.44 43.16 124.86 81.38 340.00 81.38 to 340.00 17,500 17,401 Ranges Excl. Low \$ Greater Than 4,999 16 92.00 105.71 92.66 28.28 114.08 57.77 340.00 82.91 to 100.00 69,496 64,395 Greater Than 14,999 14 92.00 90.71 91.64 12.24 98.99 57.77 132.38 78,352 71,798 82.91 to 100.00 Greater Than 29,999 9 94.99 91.36 91.82 15.82 99.50 57.77 132.38 64.29 to 100.00 109,937 100,945 Incremental Ranges 0 TO 4,999 5,000 TO 14,999 2 210.69 210.69 340.00 N/A 167.59 61.37 125.72 81.38 7,500 12,569 29,999 15,000 TO 5 92.00 89.55 89.93 04.21 99.58 82.91 95.56 N/A 21,500 19,334 30,000 59,999 57.77 N/A TO 1 57.77 57.77 00.00 100.00 57.77 57.77 37,500 21,663 60,000 TO 99,999 5 100.00 99.11 98.16 13.84 100.97 64.29 132.38 N/A 70,086 68,800 100,000 TO 149,999 2 N/A 150,000 TO 249,999 86.95 86.95 86.87 02.63 100.09 84.66 89.24 175,750 152.680 250,000 TO 499,999 1 94.99 94.99 94.99 00.00 100.00 94.99 94.99 N/A 250,000 237,480 500,000 TO 999,999 1,000,000 + 16 92.00 105.71 92.66 28.28 114.08 57.77 340.00 82.91 to 100.00 69,496 64,395 ALL

68 Perkins

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales: 16
 MEDIAN: 92
 COV: 61.09
 95% Median C.I.: 82.91 to 100.00

 Total Sales Price: 1,111,932
 WGT. MEAN: 93
 STD: 64.58
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 84.60 to 100.72

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 1,111,932
 MEAN: 106
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 26.02
 95% Mean C.I.: 71.31 to 140.11

Total Assessed Value: 1,030,312

COMMERCIAL

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 69,496 COD: 28.28 MAX Sales Ratio: 340.00

Avg. Assessed Value: 64,395 PRD: 114.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 57.77 *Printed*:3/12/2014 2:37:58PM

| OCCUPANCY CODE | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Blank | 1 | 57.77 | 57.77 | 57.77 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 57.77 | 57.77 | N/A | 37,500 | 21,663 |
| 300 | 1 | 85.29 | 85.29 | 85.29 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 85.29 | 85.29 | N/A | 17,000 | 14,500 |
| 327 | 1 | 95.56 | 95.56 | 95.56 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 95.56 | 95.56 | N/A | 20,500 | 19,590 |
| 344 | 1 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 94.99 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 94.99 | 94.99 | N/A | 250,000 | 237,480 |
| 353 | 2 | 73.60 | 73.60 | 68.42 | 12.65 | 107.57 | 64.29 | 82.91 | N/A | 45,000 | 30,791 |
| 406 | 9 | 98.89 | 125.10 | 101.24 | 35.71 | 123.57 | 81.38 | 340.00 | 89.24 to 132.38 | 57,270 | 57,982 |
| 543 | 1 | 84.66 | 84.66 | 84.66 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 84.66 | 84.66 | N/A | 181,500 | 153,655 |
| ALL | 16 | 92.00 | 105.71 | 92.66 | 28.28 | 114.08 | 57.77 | 340.00 | 82.91 to 100.00 | 69,496 | 64,395 |

68 Perkins

AGRICULTURAL LAND

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales:
 93
 MEDIAN:
 72
 COV:
 42.10
 95% Median C.I.:
 66.05 to 83.81

 Total Sales Price:
 38,207,396
 WGT. MEAN:
 74
 STD:
 35.26
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 68.50 to 79.61

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 37,264,792
 MEAN:
 84
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 26.66
 95% Mean C.I.:
 76.58 to 90.92

Total Assessed Value: 27,597,032

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 400,697 COD: 37.19 MAX Sales Ratio: 205.92

Avg. Assessed Value: 296,742 PRD: 113.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.77 *Printed*:3/12/2014 2:37:59PM

| | | | | | | tatio . 54.77 | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|-------|--------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| DATE OF SALE * RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95% Median C.I. | Avg. Adj. Sale Price | Avg. Assd. Val |
| Qrtrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10 | 10 | 128.43 | 139.15 | 128.53 | 24.85 | 108.26 | 95.90 | 205.92 | 104.57 to 204.31 | 206,515 | 265,437 |
| 01-JAN-11 To 31-MAR-11 | 8 | 105.58 | 112.91 | 112.33 | 12.28 | 100.52 | 93.10 | 148.98 | 93.10 to 148.98 | 401,750 | 451,294 |
| 01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11 | 6 | 90.58 | 92.52 | 90.55 | 15.93 | 102.18 | 56.92 | 129.65 | 56.92 to 129.65 | 331,649 | 300,324 |
| 01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11 | 3 | 91.09 | 90.81 | 79.10 | 16.29 | 114.80 | 68.41 | 112.93 | N/A | 323,196 | 255,650 |
| 01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11 | 13 | 73.60 | 84.36 | 69.18 | 29.20 | 121.94 | 54.53 | 130.45 | 56.56 to 113.47 | 507,743 | 351,237 |
| 01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12 | 5 | 66.05 | 75.95 | 71.23 | 20.50 | 106.63 | 59.19 | 119.40 | N/A | 317,600 | 226,232 |
| 01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12 | 10 | 71.46 | 76.89 | 71.07 | 21.24 | 108.19 | 47.51 | 159.48 | 56.25 to 83.81 | 548,314 | 389,680 |
| 01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12 | 6 | 60.67 | 60.78 | 60.25 | 17.75 | 100.88 | 43.52 | 75.14 | 43.52 to 75.14 | 344,088 | 207,320 |
| 01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12 | 17 | 57.42 | 69.09 | 63.29 | 33.26 | 109.16 | 34.77 | 135.50 | 48.05 to 73.10 | 398,969 | 252,517 |
| 01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13 | 5 | 50.42 | 58.36 | 56.50 | 20.01 | 103.29 | 46.86 | 79.01 | N/A | 415,011 | 234,498 |
| 01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13 | 4 | 52.94 | 51.63 | 54.76 | 08.20 | 94.28 | 43.34 | 57.32 | N/A | 520,575 | 285,092 |
| 01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13 | 6 | 54.86 | 63.88 | 56.16 | 24.66 | 113.75 | 44.90 | 118.73 | 44.90 to 118.73 | 391,667 | 219,969 |
| Study Yrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11 | 27 | 106.33 | 115.64 | 107.22 | 22.49 | 107.85 | 56.92 | 205.92 | 95.90 to 126.47 | 305,135 | 327,171 |
| 01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12 | 34 | 71.26 | 76.76 | 68.87 | 24.19 | 111.46 | 43.52 | 159.48 | 64.78 to 74.74 | 462,833 | 318,764 |
| 01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13 | 32 | 56.12 | 64.26 | 59.64 | 27.12 | 107.75 | 34.77 | 135.50 | 51.25 to 66.91 | 415,307 | 247,671 |
| Calendar Yrs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11 | 30 | 96.04 | 94.25 | 84.12 | 21.69 | 112.04 | 54.53 | 148.98 | 78.12 to 106.33 | 425,805 | 358,177 |
| 01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12 | 38 | 66.02 | 70.73 | 66.37 | 25.64 | 106.57 | 34.77 | 159.48 | 57.20 to 71.48 | 418,899 | 278,018 |
| ALL | 93 | 71.68 | 83.75 | 74.06 | 37.19 | 113.08 | 34.77 | 205.92 | 66.05 to 83.81 | 400,697 | 296,742 |
| AREA (MARKET) | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 1 | 93 | 71.68 | 83.75 | 74.06 | 37.19 | 113.08 | 34.77 | 205.92 | 66.05 to 83.81 | 400,697 | 296,742 |
| ALL | 93 | 71.68 | 83.75 | 74.06 | 37.19 | 113.08 | 34.77 | 205.92 | 66.05 to 83.81 | 400,697 | 296,742 |

68 Perkins

AGRICULTURAL LAND

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

ualified

 Number of Sales:
 93
 MEDIAN:
 72
 COV:
 42.10
 95% Median C.I.:
 66.05 to 83.81

 Total Sales Price:
 38,207,396
 WGT. MEAN:
 74
 STD:
 35.26
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 68.50 to 79.61

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 37,264,792
 MEAN:
 84
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 26.66
 95% Mean C.I.:
 76.58 to 90.92

Total Assessed Value: 27,597,032

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 400,697 COD : 37.19 MAX Sales Ratio : 205.92

Avg. Assessed Value: 296.742 PRD: 113.08 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.77 Printed:3/12/2014 2:37:59PM

| Avg. Assessed Value: 296,743 | 2 | I | PRD: 113.08 | | MIN Sales I | Ratio : 34.77 | | | Prii | nted:3/12/2014 | 2:37:59PM |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 95%MLU By Market Area RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Avg. Adj. Sale Price | Avg. Assd. Val |
| Irrigated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 1 | 87.02 | 87.02 | 87.02 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 87.02 | 87.02 | N/A | 1,050,000 | 913,710 |
| 1 | 1 | 87.02 | 87.02 | 87.02 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 87.02 | 87.02 | N/A | 1,050,000 | 913,710 |
| Dry | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 52 | 69.92 | 82.54 | 71.97 | 38.80 | 114.69 | 42.56 | 205.92 | 57.42 to 90.33 | 319,234 | 229,763 |
| 1 | 52 | 69.92 | 82.54 | 71.97 | 38.80 | 114.69 | 42.56 | 205.92 | 57.42 to 90.33 | 319,234 | 229,763 |
| Grass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 8 | 75.01 | 76.45 | 80.31 | 41.34 | 95.19 | 34.77 | 118.66 | 34.77 to 118.66 | 221,141 | 177,600 |
| 1 | 8 | 75.01 | 76.45 | 80.31 | 41.34 | 95.19 | 34.77 | 118.66 | 34.77 to 118.66 | 221,141 | 177,600 |
| ALL | 93 | 71.68 | 83.75 | 74.06 | 37.19 | 113.08 | 34.77 | 205.92 | 66.05 to 83.81 | 400,697 | 296,742 |
| 80%MLU By Market Area | | | | | | | | | | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Irrigated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 20 | 69.85 | 72.09 | 69.53 | 19.64 | 103.68 | 46.86 | 121.67 | 57.20 to 73.10 | 750,705 | 521,955 |
| 1 | 20 | 69.85 | 72.09 | 69.53 | 19.64 | 103.68 | 46.86 | 121.67 | 57.20 to 73.10 | 750,705 | 521,955 |
| Dry | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 58 | 71.46 | 84.26 | 73.40 | 38.51 | 114.80 | 42.56 | 205.92 | 63.81 to 90.82 | 312,142 | 229,123 |
| 1 | 58 | 71.46 | 84.26 | 73.40 | 38.51 | 114.80 | 42.56 | 205.92 | 63.81 to 90.82 | 312,142 | 229,123 |
| Grass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | 8 | 75.01 | 76.45 | 80.31 | 41.34 | 95.19 | 34.77 | 118.66 | 34.77 to 118.66 | 221,141 | 177,600 |
| 1 | 8 | 75.01 | 76.45 | 80.31 | 41.34 | 95.19 | 34.77 | 118.66 | 34.77 to 118.66 | 221,141 | 177,600 |
| ALL | 93 | 71.68 | 83.75 | 74.06 | 37.19 | 113.08 | 34.77 | 205.92 | 66.05 to 83.81 | 400,697 | 296,742 |

Total Real Property
Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30

Records: 4,540

Value: 1,008,825,307

Growth 4,072,198

Sum Lines 17, 25, & 41

| Schedule I : Non-Agricult | ural Records | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|-------------|----------|
| | | rban | | Urban | | Rural | | otal | Growth |
| | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value | |
| 01. Res UnImp Land | 165 | 558,375 | 6 | 56,285 | 14 | 125,288 | 185 | 739,948 | |
| 2. Res Improve Land | 784 | 4,793,108 | 41 | 715,100 | 165 | 3,732,413 | 990 | 9,240,621 | |
| 3. Res Improvements | 799 | 43,050,769 | 41 | 4,712,822 | 184 | 17,881,346 | 1,024 | 65,644,937 | |
| 4. Res Total | 964 | 48,402,252 | 47 | 5,484,207 | 198 | 21,739,047 | 1,209 | 75,625,506 | 1,027,64 |
| % of Res Total | 79.74 | 64.00 | 3.89 | 7.25 | 16.38 | 28.75 | 26.63 | 7.50 | 25.24 |
| 5. Com UnImp Land | 19 | 182,171 | 12 | 148,996 | 24 | 216,704 | 55 | 547,871 | |
| 6. Com Improve Land | 119 | 971,314 | 23 | 376,601 | 42 | 5,364,392 | 184 | 6,712,307 | |
| 7. Com Improvements | 131 | 14,974,005 | 25 | 3,761,785 | 46 | 17,815,127 | 202 | 36,550,917 | |
| 8. Com Total | 150 | 16,127,490 | 37 | 4,287,382 | 70 | 23,396,223 | 257 | 43,811,095 | 1,490,29 |
| % of Com Total | 58.37 | 36.81 | 14.40 | 9.79 | 27.24 | 53.40 | 5.66 | 4.34 | 36.60 |
| 9. Ind UnImp Land | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
|). Ind Improve Land | 1 | 107,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 107,746 | |
| I. Ind Improvements | 1 | 15,577,236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15,577,236 | |
| 2. Ind Total | 1 | 15,684,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15,684,982 | 106,300 |
| % of Ind Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.55 | 2.61 |
| 70 Of The Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.55 | 2.01 |
| 3. Rec UnImp Land | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4. Rec Improve Land | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5. Rec Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6. Rec Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| % of Rec Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| tes & Rec Total | 964 | 48,402,252 | 47 | 5,484,207 | 198 | 21,739,047 | 1,209 | 75,625,506 | 1,027,64 |
| % of Res & Rec Total | 79.74 | 64.00 | 3.89 | 7.25 | 16.38 | 28.75 | 26.63 | 7.50 | 25.24 |
| Com & Ind Total | 151 | 31,812,472 | 37 | 4,287,382 | 70 | 23,396,223 | 258 | 59,496,077 | 1,596,59 |
| % of Com & Ind Total | 58.53 | 53.47 | 14.34 | 7.21 | 27.13 | 39.32 | 5.68 | 5.90 | 39.21 |
| 7. Taxable Total | 1,115 | 80,214,724 | 84 | 9,771,589 | 268 | 45,135,270 | 1,467 | 135,121,583 | 2,624,24 |
| % of Taxable Total | 76.01 | 59.36 | 5.73 | 7.23 | 18.27 | 33.40 | 32.31 | 13.39 | 64.44 |

Schedule II: Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

| | | Urban | | | SubUrban | |
|------------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | Records | Value Base | Value Excess | Records | Value Base | Value Excess |
| 18. Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19. Commercial | 1 | 3,186,565 | 566,682 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20. Industrial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Records | Rural Value Base | Value Excess | Records | Total Value Base | Value Excess |
| 18. Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19. Commercial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3,186,565 | 566,682 |
| 20. Industrial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22. Total Sch II | | | | 1 | 3,186,565 | 566,682 |

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records

| Mineral Interest | Records Urb | an Value | Records SubU | Jrban Value | Records Rura | l Value | Records T | otal Value | Growth |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|
| 23. Producing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6,000 | 14 | 6,000 | 0 |
| 24. Non-Producing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 11,208 | 39 | 11,208 | 0 |
| 25. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 17,208 | 53 | 17,208 | 0 |

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

| • | Urban | SubUrban | Rural | Total |
|------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| | Records | Records | Records | Records |
| 26. Exempt | 80 | 1 | 159 | 240 |

Schedule V : Agricultural Records

| | Urb | an | SubUrban | | | Rural | Total | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|--|
| | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records Value | | Records | Value | |
| 27. Ag-Vacant Land | 5 | 38,907 | 9 | 55,835 | 2,462 | 657,332,271 | 2,476 | 657,427,013 | |
| 28. Ag-Improved Land | 2 | 175,029 | 2 | 36,616 | 508 | 171,149,058 | 512 | 171,360,703 | |
| 29. Ag Improvements | 2 | 208,945 | 2 | 151,666 | 540 | 44,538,189 | 544 | 44,898,800 | |
| 30. Ag Total | | | | | | | 3,020 | 873,686,516 | |

| Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec | cords :Non-Agric | ultural Detail | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| | Records Acres Value | | | SubUrban Records Acres Value | | | Y Y |
| 31. HomeSite UnImp Land | 0 | Acres 0.00 | value 0 | Records 0 | Acres 0.00 | value 0 | |
| 32. HomeSite Improv Land | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1.00 | 15,000 | |
| 33. HomeSite Improvements | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1.00 | 3,200 | |
| 34. HomeSite Total | | | | | | | |
| 35. FarmSite UnImp Land | 1 | 1.00 | 7,500 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | |
| 36. FarmSite Improv Land | 2 | 9.70 | 44,890 | 2 | 6.63 | 9,945 | |
| 37. FarmSite Improvements | 2 | 0.00 | 208,945 | 1 | 0.00 | 148,466 | |
| 38. FarmSite Total | | | | | | | |
| 39. Road & Ditches | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 1.44 | 0 | |
| 40. Other- Non Ag Use | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | |
| | Records | Rural Acres | Value | Records | Total Acres | Value | Growth |
| 31. HomeSite UnImp Land | 7 | 7.00 | 105,000 | 7 | 7.00 | 105,000 | |
| 32. HomeSite Improv Land | 305 | 310.00 | 4,614,600 | 306 | 311.00 | 4,629,600 | |
| 33. HomeSite Improvements | 304 | 302.00 | 29,572,880 | 305 | 303.00 | 29,576,080 | 1,447,954 |
| 34. HomeSite Total | | | | 312 | 318.00 | 34,310,680 | |
| 35. FarmSite UnImp Land | 67 | 486.37 | 356,310 | 68 | 487.37 | 363,810 | |
| 36. FarmSite Improv Land | 496 | 2,441.21 | 3,409,424 | 500 | 2,457.54 | 3,464,259 | |
| 37. FarmSite Improvements | 516 | 0.00 | 14,965,309 | 519 | 0.00 | 15,322,720 | 0 |
| 38. FarmSite Total | | | | 587 | 2,944.91 | 19,150,789 | |
| 39. Road & Ditches | 0 | 9,049.19 | 0 | 0 | 9,050.90 | 0 | |
| 40. Other- Non Ag Use | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | |
| 41. Total Section VI | | | | 899 | 12,313.81 | 53,461,469 | 1,447,954 |

Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

| | Urban | | SubUrban | | | |
|------------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|
| | Records | Acres | Value | Records | Acres | Value |
| 42. Game & Parks | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | Rural | | | Total | |
| | Records | Acres | Value | Records | Acres | Value |
| 42. Game & Parks | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

| | | Urban | | | SubUrban | |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| | Records | Acres | Value | Records | Acres | Value |
| 43. Special Value | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 44. Recapture Value N/A | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | | Rural | | | Total | |
| | Records | Acres | Value | Records | Acres | Value |
| 43. Special Value | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 44. Market Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

^{*} LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area

| 45. 1A1 46. 1A | 0.00 | | | % of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | 0.00 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00 |
| | 41,861.28 | 30.54% | 124,327,609 | 30.95% | 2,969.99 |
| 47. 2A1 | 22,188.40 | 16.19% | 65,546,493 | 16.32% | 2,954.09 |
| 48. 2A | 19,315.81 | 14.09% | 56,224,090 | 14.00% | 2,910.78 |
| 49. 3A1 | 17,821.27 | 13.00% | 52,194,960 | 12.99% | 2,928.80 |
| 50. 3A | 6,884.91 | 5.02% | 19,676,135 | 4.90% | 2,857.86 |
| 51. 4A1 | 28,763.43 | 20.98% | 83,064,957 | 20.68% | 2,887.87 |
| 52. 4A | 233.32 | 0.17% | 672,817 | 0.17% | 2,883.67 |
| 53. Total | 137,068.42 | 100.00% | 401,707,061 | 100.00% | 2,930.70 |
| Dry | | | | | |
| 54. 1D1 | 0.00 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00 |
| 55. 1D | 139,009.64 | 43.17% | 170,982,058 | 45.10% | 1,230.00 |
| 56. 2D1 | 41,493.80 | 12.89% | 51,037,455 | 13.46% | 1,230.00 |
| 57. 2D | 50,073.53 | 15.55% | 56,583,184 | 14.93% | 1,130.00 |
| 58. 3D1 | 40,929.65 | 12.71% | 46,250,568 | 12.20% | 1,130.00 |
| 59. 3D | 15,453.13 | 4.80% | 17,462,100 | 4.61% | 1,130.00 |
| 60. 4D1 | 31,627.98 | 9.82% | 33,209,865 | 8.76% | 1,050.02 |
| 61. 4D | 3,382.69 | 1.05% | 3,551,853 | 0.94% | 1,050.01 |
| 62. Total | 321,970.42 | 100.00% | 379,077,083 | 100.00% | 1,177.37 |
| Grass | | | | | |
| 63. 1G1 | 0.00 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00 |
| 64. 1G | 3,129.89 | 3.65% | 1,408,562 | 3.65% | 450.04 |
| 65. 2G1 | 3,112.40 | 3.63% | 1,400,669 | 3.63% | 450.03 |
| 66. 2G | 2,978.47 | 3.48% | 1,340,396 | 3.48% | 450.03 |
| 67. 3G1 | 5,614.34 | 6.55% | 2,526,547 | 6.55% | 450.02 |
| 68. 3G | 5,253.59 | 6.13% | 2,364,203 | 6.13% | 450.02 |
| 69. 4G1 | 52,454.78 | 61.21% | 23,605,030 | 61.21% | 450.01 |
| 70. 4G | 13,148.30 | 15.34% | 5,916,776 | 15.34% | 450.00 |
| 71. Total | 85,691.77 | 100.00% | 38,562,183 | 100.00% | 450.01 |
| Irrigated Total | 137,068.42 | 25.01% | 401,707,061 | 48.98% | 2,930.70 |
| Dry Total | 321,970.42 | 58.75% | 379,077,083 | 46.22% | 1,177.37 |
| Grass Total | 85,691.77 | 15.64% | 38,562,183 | 4.70% | 450.01 |
| 72. Waste | 1,654.50 | 0.30% | 133,279 | 0.02% | 80.56 |
| 73. Other | 1,656.31 | 0.30% | 745,441 | 0.09% | 450.06 |
| 74. Exempt | 187.19 | 0.03% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00 |
| 75. Market Area Total | 548,041.42 | 100.00% | 820,225,047 | 100.00% | 1,496.65 |

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

| | Urban | | SubUrban | | Rural | | Total | |
|---------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Acres | Value | Acres | Value | Acres | Value | Acres | Value |
| 76. Irrigated | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 137,068.42 | 401,707,061 | 137,068.42 | 401,707,061 |
| 77. Dry Land | 128.93 | 154,700 | 42.31 | 47,653 | 321,799.18 | 378,874,730 | 321,970.42 | 379,077,083 |
| 78. Grass | 14.44 | 6,499 | 43.24 | 19,461 | 85,634.09 | 38,536,223 | 85,691.77 | 38,562,183 |
| 79. Waste | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,654.50 | 133,279 | 1,654.50 | 133,279 |
| 80. Other | 0.77 | 347 | 0.87 | 392 | 1,654.67 | 744,702 | 1,656.31 | 745,441 |
| 81. Exempt | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 187.19 | 0 | 187.19 | 0 |
| 82. Total | 144.14 | 161,546 | 86.42 | 67,506 | 547,810.86 | 819,995,995 | 548,041.42 | 820,225,047 |

| | Acres | % of Acres* | Value | % of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Irrigated | 137,068.42 | 25.01% | 401,707,061 | 48.98% | 2,930.70 |
| Dry Land | 321,970.42 | 58.75% | 379,077,083 | 46.22% | 1,177.37 |
| Grass | 85,691.77 | 15.64% | 38,562,183 | 4.70% | 450.01 |
| Waste | 1,654.50 | 0.30% | 133,279 | 0.02% | 80.56 |
| Other | 1,656.31 | 0.30% | 745,441 | 0.09% | 450.06 |
| Exempt | 187.19 | 0.03% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00 |
| Total | 548,041.42 | 100.00% | 820,225,047 | 100.00% | 1,496.65 |

2014 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2013 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

68 Perkins

| | 2013 CTL County Total | 2014 Form 45 County Total | Value Difference (2014 form 45 - 2013 CTL) | Percent Change | 2014 Growth (New Construction Value) | Percent Change excl. Growth |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 01. Residential | 69,977,606 | 75,625,506 | 5,647,900 | 8.07% | 1,027,647 | 6.60% |
| 02. Recreational | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| 03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling | 33,832,979 | 34,310,680 | 477,701 | 1.41% | 1,447,954 | -2.87% |
| 04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3) | 103,810,585 | 109,936,186 | 6,125,601 | 5.90% | 2,475,601 | 3.52% |
| 05. Commercial | 44,279,306 | 43,811,095 | -468,211 | -1.06% | 1,490,297 | -4.42% |
| 06. Industrial | 15,578,682 | 15,684,982 | 106,300 | 0.68% | 106,300 | 0.00% |
| 07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings | 18,391,753 | 19,150,789 | 759,036 | 4.13% | 0 | 4.13% |
| 08. Minerals | 18,208 | 17,208 | -1,000 | -5.49 | 0 | -5.49 |
| 09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8) | 78,267,949 | 78,664,074 | 396,125 | 0.51% | 1,596,597 | -1.53% |
| 10. Total Non-Agland Real Property | 182,078,534 | 188,600,260 | 6,521,726 | 3.58% | 4,072,198 | 1.35% |
| 11. Irrigated | 307,813,998 | 401,707,061 | 93,893,063 | 30.50% | | |
| 12. Dryland | 233,861,017 | 379,077,083 | 145,216,066 | 62.10% | | |
| 13. Grassland | 30,166,453 | 38,562,183 | 8,395,730 | 27.83% | 5 | |
| 14. Wasteland | 133,751 | 133,279 | -472 | -0.35% |) | |
| 15. Other Agland | 580,342 | 745,441 | 165,099 | 28.45% | 5 | |
| 16. Total Agricultural Land | 572,555,561 | 820,225,047 | 247,669,486 | 43.26% | | |
| 17. Total Value of all Real Property | 754,634,095 | 1,008,825,307 | 254,191,212 | 33.68% | 4,072,198 | 33.14% |
| (Locally Assessed) | | | | | | |

2013 Plan of Assessment for Perkins County Assessment Years 2014, 2015, and 2016 Date: June 15, 2013

Plan of Assessment Requirements:

Pursuant to Neb. Laws 2005, LB 263, Section 9, on or before June 15 each year, the assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment, (herein after referred to as the "plan"), which describes the assessment actions planned for the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor shall present the plan to the county board of equalization and the assessor may amend the plan, if necessary, after the budget is approved by the county board. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Property Assessment and Taxation on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-112(Reissue 2006).

Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1) 100% of actual value for all classes of real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land:
- 2) 75% of actual value for agricultural land and horticultural land.

Reference, Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-5023(2), 77-1344.

General Description of Real Property in Perkins County*

| | Parcels | % of | Total Value | % of Taxable |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|--------------|
| | | Total | | Value Base |
| | | Parcels | | |
| Residential | 1205 | 26.63% | \$122,060,379 | 16.15% |
| Commercial | 259 | 5.72% | \$60,938,186 | 8.06% |
| & Industrial | | | | |

| Agricultural | 2772 | 61.26% | \$572,635,346 | 75.78% |
|--------------|------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | | | | |
| Tax Exempt | 236 | 5.22% | 0 | |
| Mineral | 53 | 1.17% | \$18,208 | .01% |
| Total | 4525 | 100% | \$755,652,119 | 100% |

^{*2013} County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property

Agricultural land – taxable acres – 548,135 acres

Other pertinent facts: 75.78% of Perkins County Valuation is agricultural and of that 75.78%, the primary land use is dry but the greatest amount of valuation is in irrigated land with \$308 million of value.

For more information see 2013 Reports & Opinions, Abstract and Assessor Survey.

Current Resources

A. Staff/Budget/Training

Staff

- 1 Assessor
- 1 Deputy Assessor
- 1 Part-time Employee

Budget Request

2013-14 Assessor = \$102,674

2013-14 Reappraisal = \$8,500

Training

The Assessor holds a current Assessor Certification dated September 21, 1995. The Deputy Assessor holds a current Assessor Certification dated February 7, 2002.

- B. Cadastral Maps Cadastral maps of agricultural land used in the Assessor's office were scanned by GIS Workshop as part of the upgrade to a GIS system. The new soil conversion was implemented during the summer and fall of 2008 for the 2009 assessment year.
- C. Property Record Cards Hard copies and electronic copies of the property record cards are maintained. The information contained within these property record cards meets the requirements of the law. Property record cards are available to the public on our website, perkins.assessor.gisworkshop.com.
- D. Software for CAMA and Assessment Administration is contracted through Terra Scan/Thomson Reuters. We have been with Terra Scan since June, 1998. GIS was implemented

in summer, 2006 and our website came on line February, 2007. The website is kept updated by GIS Workshop.

Current Assessment Procedures for Real Property

- A. Discover, List & Inventory all property Building permits are provided from the city of Grant on a monthly basis, and by the village of Madrid and the Village of Venango at the end of each year. No building permits are provided to the assessor's office from Elsie. Zoning permits are provided to the assessor's office by the Zoning Administrator. These building and zoning permits help us to list new construction in the incorporated areas. Zoning permits are not required for agricultural buildings. Improvement statements are filed by the office personnel whenever new construction is observed or reported. Notice is published at the end of each year to remind the taxpayers that an improvement statement must be filed with the County Assessor on all improvements to real property amounting to a value of two thousand five hundred dollars or more.
- B. Data Collection Data collection is done yearly on different parts of the county.
- C. Review assessment sales ratio studies before assessment actions. Assessment sales ratios are reviewed yearly to determine what areas need to be adjusted.
- D. Approaches to Value
 - 1) Market Approach; sales comparisons- Residential and Commercial sales books are kept updated when new sales are processed.
 - 2) Cost Approach; cost manual used & date of manual and latest depreciation study. The 06/12 Marshall and Swift costs were used for the rural residential revaluation done in 2013. The 06/10 Marshall and Swift costs were used for the Village revaluation in 2011. A current depreciation study is done and implemented on whatever part of the county that is being revalued.
 - 3) Income Approach; income and expense data collection/analysis from the market when available.
 - 4) Land valuation studies, establish market areas- Sales Books are kept updated on all vacant land sales and agricultural sales.
 - 5) Reconciliation of Final Value and documentation
- E. Review assessment sales ratio studies after assessment actions-A complete review of sales ratios is done after the yearly assessment actions to determine the new ratios.
- F. Notices and Public Relations Notices are published timely to notify the public.

Level of Value, Quality, and Uniformity for assessment year 2013

| Property Class | <u>Median</u> | COD | <u>PRD</u> | | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| Residential | 99.0 | 22 | 2.65 | 112.33 | |
| Commercial | The s | sales are ins | ufficient to | provide reliable | statistical |
| | studies. | | | | |
| Agricultural | 74.0 | 2 | 7.54 | 115.08 | |

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2014

Residential

For 2014, all residential property in Grant, Grant suburbs and Kenton Heights, including lot values, will be updated and revalued. This review will include an exterior physical inspection of the property along with verifying information located on the property record card. New digital pictures will be taken. There are approximately 500 parcels in Grant. These properties will be valued using the most recent M & S cost tables with a market derived depreciation table and sales approach to value. Appraisal maintenance will be done on all other residential property, which includes sales review and pick-up work. Sales Review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received, including sales of residential lots in towns and sales of rural residential land.

Commercial

Pritchett & Abbott of Fort Worth, Texas will value the mineral interests in Perkins County. Appraisal maintenance will be done on commercial property. This appraisal maintenance includes sales review and pick-up work. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received including sales of commercial lots.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. A sales review on all sales that are deemed to be arms length transactions, and pick-up work which is physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits and improvement statements, is completed. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and interview with the buyer if necessary. Sales books will be updated as sales are received. Satellite pivot sale books will continue to be updated, along with a sale book of pivots in irrigated land sales.

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2015

Residential

Appraisal maintenance will be done on residential properties for 2015. Sales review and pick-up work will be completed for residential properties. Sales Review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes a physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received, including sales of residential lots in towns and sales of rural residential land.

Commercial

Stanard Appraisal Services Inc. will be contracted for 2015 to reappraise commercial and industrial properties in the county. Pritchett & Abbott of Fort Worth, Texas will value the

mineral interests in Perkins County. Appraisal maintenance will be done on all remaining commercial property. This appraisal maintenance includes sales review and pick-up work. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received including sales of commercial lots.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. A sales review on all sales that are deemed to be arms length transactions, and pick-up work which is physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits and improvement statements, is completed. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and interview with the buyer if necessary. Sales books will be updated as sales are received. Satellite pivot sale books will continue to be updated, along with a sale book of pivots in irrigated land sales.

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2016

Residential

For 2016, all residential property in Madrid, Elsie, Venango, Brandon and Grainton including lot values will be updated and revalued. This review will include an exterior physical inspection of the property along with verifying information located on the property record card. New digital pictures will be taken and new measurements will be taken if needed. There are approximately 180 parcels in Madrid, 85 in Elsie, 115 in Venango and 20 in Brandon and Grainton. These properties will be valued using the most current M & S cost tables and a market derived depreciation table and sales approach to value. The county also plans to review all single-wide manufactured homes in Perkins County. There are approximately 70 single-wide manufactured homes in Perkins County. These properties will be valued using the cost approach with a market derived depreciation table and the sales approach to value. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed for residential properties. Sales Review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received, including sales of residential lots in towns and sales of rural residential land.

Commercial

Pritchett & Abbott of Fort Worth, Texas will be contracted to value our mineral interests in Perkins County. Appraisal maintenance will be done on all remaining commercial property. This appraisal maintenance includes sales review and pick-up work. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and a physical inspection and interview with the buyer if necessary. Pick-up work includes physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits, and information statements. Sales books will be updated as sales are received including sales of commercial lots.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. Sales will be plotted on maps for the 3 year sales period, by land classification group. A review of sales will be done to determine if the adjustment on irrigated parcels with a low pumping well or a satellite pivot is still justified. A sales review on all sales that are deemed to be arms length transactions, and pick-up work which is physical inspection of all building permits, zoning permits and improvement statements, is completed. Sales review includes a questionnaire sent to both buyer and seller, and interview with the buyer if necessary. Sales books will be updated as sales are received. Satellite pivot sale books will continue to be updated, along with a sale book of pivots in irrigated land sales.

The following is a time line table to give an overview of the narrative portion of the plan.

| Class | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Residential | Review of | Appraisal | Review of |
| | Grant, Grant | Maintenance | Madrid(180) |
| | suburbs and | of all | Elsie(85) |
| | Kenton Hts | Residential | Venango(115) |
| | Residential | | Brandon/ |
| | Property(500) | | Grainton(20) |
| | | | Manufactured |
| | | | Homes(70) |
| Commercial | Appraisal | Reappraisal of | Appraisal |
| | maintenance of | Commercial | maintenance of |
| | all Commercial | and Industrial | all Commercial |
| | and Industrial | | and Industrial |
| Agricultural | Market analysis | Market | Market analysis |
| | by land | analysis by | by land |
| | classification | land | classification |
| | | classification | |

Other functions performed by the assessor's office, but not limited to:

- 1. Record Maintenance, Mapping updates, & Ownership changes
- 2. Annually prepare and file Assessor Administrative Reports required by law/regulation:
 - a. Abstracts (Real & Personal Property)
 - b. Assessor Survey
 - c. Sales information to Nebraska Department of Revenue, rosters & annual Assessed Value Update w/Abstract
 - d. Certification of Value to Political Subdivisions
 - e. School District Taxable Value Report
 - f. Homestead Exemption Tax Loss Report (in conjunction with Treasurer)
 - g. Certificate of Taxes Levied report
 - h. Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Education Lands & Funds

- i. Annual Plan of Assessment Report
- 3. Personal Property administer annual filing of approximately 750 schedules, prepare subsequent notices for incomplete filings or failure to file and penalties applied, as required.
- 4. Permissive Exemptions administer annual filings of applications for new or continued exempt use, review and make recommendations to county board.
- 5. Taxable Government Owned Property annual review of government owned property not used for public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.
- 6. Homestead Exemptions administer approximately 120 annual filings of applications, approval/denial process, taxpayer notifications, and taxpayer assistance.
- 7. Centrally Assessed review of valuations as certified by Property Assessment Division for railroads and public service entities, establish assessment records and tax billing for tax list.
- 8. Tax Districts and Tax Rates management of school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information; input/review of tax rates used for tax billing process.
- 9. Tax Lists prepare and certify tax lists to county treasurer for real property, personal property, and centrally assessed.
- 10. Tax List Corrections prepare tax list corrections documents for county board approval.
- 11. County Board of Equalization attend County Board of Equalization meetings for valuation protests, assemble and provide information.
- 12. TERC Appeals prepare information and attend taxpayer appeal hearing before TERC, defend valuation.
- 13. TERC Statewide Equalization attend hearings if applicable to county, defend values, and/or implement orders of the TERC.
- 14. Education/Assessor Education attend meeting, workshops, and educational classes to obtain required hours of continuing education to maintain assessor certification.
- 15. Update and maintain GIS.

Conclusion:

For 2013, market value for agricultural land continues to increase and consequently, our assessed agricultural values increased between 20-27%

| Respectfully submitted: | |
|---|--|
| Assessor Signature: | Date: |
| Copy distribution: Submit the plan to the C | County Board of Equalization on or before July 31 of |

Copy distribution: Submit the plan to the County Board of Equalization on or before July 31 of each year.

Mail a copy of the plan and any amendments to Dept. of Property Assessment & Taxation on or before October 31 of each year.

2014 Assessment Survey for Perkins County

A. Staffing and Funding Information

| 1. | Deputy(ies) on staff: |
|-----|---|
| | 1 |
| 2. | Appraiser(s) on staff: |
| | 0 |
| 3. | Other full-time employees: |
| | 0 |
| 4. | Other part-time employees: |
| | 1 |
| 5. | Number of shared employees: |
| | 0 |
| 6. | Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year: |
| | \$ 102,674 |
| 7. | Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above: |
| | same |
| 8. | Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work: |
| | Perkins County has a separate appraisal budget. |
| 9. | If appraisal/reappraisal budget is a separate levied fund, what is that amount: |
| | \$ 8,500 |
| 10. | Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system: |
| | \$ 19,500 |
| 11. | Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops: |
| | \$ 700 |
| 12. | Other miscellaneous funds: |
| | \$ 82,474 |
| 13. | Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used: |
| | \$ 1,464.32 |
| | |

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

| 1. | Administrative software: |
|----|---|
| | Thomson Reuters |
| 2. | CAMA software: |
| | Thomson Reuters |
| 3. | Are cadastral maps currently being used? |
| | Yes, electronic |
| 4. | If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps? |
| | Deputy Assessor |
| 5. | Does the county have GIS software? |
| | Yes, with GIS Workshop |
| 6. | Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address? |
| | yes, perkins.gisworkshop.com |
| 7. | Who maintains the GIS software and maps? |
| | The Deputy Assessor and GIS Workshop. |
| 8. | Personal Property software: |
| | Thomson Reuters |

C. Zoning Information

| | - - |
|----|--|
| 1. | Does the county have zoning? |
| | Yes |
| 2. | If so, is the zoning countywide? |
| | Yes |
| 3. | What municipalities in the county are zoned? |
| | Grant, Madrid, Venango |
| 4. | When was zoning implemented? |
| | 2001 |

D. Contracted Services

| 1. | Appraisal Services: |
|----|--|
| | Pritchard & Abbott complete the Producing Mineral Appraisals |
| 2. | GIS Services: |
| | GIS Workshop |
| 3. | Other services: |
| | Thomson Reuters |

E. Appraisal /Listing Services

| 1. | Does the county employ outside help for appraisal or listing services? |
|----|---|
| | Stanard Appraisal Services will help with any new commercial construction for 2014. |
| 2. | If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract? |
| | Not for pickup work. |
| 3. | What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require? |
| | Appraisal experience and credentialed. |
| 4. | Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA? |
| | Not currently. |
| 5. | Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county? |
| | They work with the assessor to set the assessed value. |

2014 Certification for Perkins County

This is to certify that the 2014 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

One copy by electronic transmission to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission.

One copy by electronic transmission to the Perkins County Assessor.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR SE

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

Kuth a. Sorensen