Table of Contents

2014 Commission Summary

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey Residential Correlation

Commercial Reports

Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey Commercial Correlation

Agricultural and/or Special Valuation Reports

Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Assessment Survey Agricultural Average Acre Values Table Agricultural Correlation Special Valuation Methodology, if applicable

Statistical Reports

Residential Statistics Commercial Statistics Agricultural Land Statistics Special Valuation Statistics, if applicable

County Reports

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

County Agricultural Land Detail

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the Prior Year Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL).

County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment

Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Maps

Market Areas

Valuation History Charts

2014 Commission Summary

for Pawnee County

Residential Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	63	Median	97.00
Total Sales Price	\$2,241,125	Mean	98.74
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$2,305,875	Wgt. Mean	87.13
Total Assessed Value	\$2,009,075	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$25,911
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$36,601	Avg. Assessed Value	\$31,890

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	91.88 to 100.29
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	79.28 to 94.98
95% Mean C.I	91.14 to 106.34
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the	5.90
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	4.78
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	5.89

Residential Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median
2013	42	96	96.22
2012	60	97	96.89
2011	91	97	97
2010	79	97	97

2014 Commission Summary

for Pawnee County

Commercial Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	10	Median	78.89
Total Sales Price	\$520,950	Mean	109.89
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$520,950	Wgt. Mean	84.92
Total Assessed Value	\$442,415	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$51,374
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$52,095	Avg. Assessed Value	\$44,242

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	43.67 to 142.82
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	69.26 to 100.59
95% Mean C.I	47.71 to 172.07
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County	2.20
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	4.03
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	3.47

Commercial Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median	
2013	12		93.70	
2012	13		86.93	
2011	14		86	
2010	14	100	73	

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Pawnee County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Class	Level of Value	Quality of Assessment	Non-binding recommendation				
Residential Real Property	97	Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.				
Commercial Real Property	100	Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.				
Agricultural Land 70		Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.	No recommendation.				

^{**}A level of value displayed as NEI (not enough information) represents a class of property with insufficient information to determine a level of value.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR ADMINISTRATOR

Ruth A. Sorensen

Ruch a. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

2014 Residential Assessment Actions for Pawnee County

The county reviewed the town of Pawnee City where they completed a physical review of the property, updating photos, verifying measurements, and updating the condition of the improvements. The county completed a sales analysis for the study period and determined that no other adjustment were necessary in the County.

The county completed all permit and pickup work for the residential class of property.

2014 Residential Assessment Survey for Pawnee County

1.	Valuation dat	a collection done by:
	Assessor and l	Ron Elliot.
2.	List the characteristic	valuation groupings recognized by the County and describe the unique es of each:
	Valuation Grouping	Description of unique characteristics
	01	Pawnee City – County Seat and predominate trade area for the County
	02	Burchard – Smaller village
	03	Dubois – Small village, limited commercial offerings
	04	Fraziers Lake – Recreational area predominately comprised of mobile homes
	05	Rural – Area of the county outside of any municipal jurisdiction
	06	Steinauer- No retail
	07	Table Rock- Limited retail
3. 4.	properties. RCNLD using	describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential market study for each valuation group. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on
	local market	information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? evelops depreciation tables based on local market information.
5.	-	al depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping?
	Yes	
6.	Describe the	methodology used to determine the residential lot values?
	The county us	es a sq. foot basis which is derived from a market study and sales analysis.

7.	<u>Valuation</u> <u>Grouping</u>			<u>Date of</u> <u>Lot Value Study</u>	
	01	2013 2013		2013	
	02	2007	2007	2007	
	03	2007	2007	2007	
	04	2007	2007	2007	
	05	2007	2007	2007	
	06	2007	2007	2007	
	07	2007	2007	2007	

The County relies on these valuation groups because of the similar amenities available and has determined that the unique location reflects the variable market influences. The inspection and review schedule also influences the groupings.

2014 Residential Correlation Section for Pawnee County

County Overview

Pawnee County is located in southeast Nebraska. The largest town and county seat is Pawnee City which is centered in the County. Pawnee County is bordered to the south by the state of Kansas. Johnson County is directly north with Gage County to the west. Richardson County borders Pawnee to the east. Pawnee County has seen over a 10% decline in population since 2000 with an estimated population of 2,765 for 2012. The market trend for residential property remains relatively flat.

Description of Analysis

The sales file consists of 63 qualified residential sales and is considered to be an adequate and reliable sample for the residential class of property. Two of the measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range with the weighted mean falling under the range by five points. The statistical median for the sales in the file is 97%. All of the valuation groups with an adequate sample of sales fall within the acceptable range.

The overall qualitative statistics are both above the recommended range. An analysis of removing low dollar sales in the file demonstrates the impact, showing the improvement of both statistics in the total county file. Two of the valuation groups average selling prices are under 12,000. The counties valuation groups closely reflect the assessor locations in the county and they also mirror the appraisal cycle of the county.

Sales Qualification

A review of the sales verification and subsequent qualification of the sales reveals that Pawnee County is consistent in their approach as well as documenting the reasons for disqualification. The Assessor is knowledgeable of the local market and has likely physically reviewed most properties in the residential class himself at one time. Appoximately 62% of the improved residential sales were considered arm-length sales as determined by the county. It has been determined that the county utilizes an acceptable portion of available sales and utilizes all information available from the sales file and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The valuation groups with an adequate sample of sales fall within the acceptable range for the calculated median, and it has been confirmed that the assessment practices are acceptable. It is believed that residential property is treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

2014 Residential Correlation Section for Pawnee County

Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the LOV is determined to be 97% of market value for the residential class of property.

2014 Commercial Assessment Actions for Pawnee County

The County conducted an analysis of the sales and concluded that no adjustments were necessary for the commercial class of property. The county continually reviews and verifies sales for the commercial class.

The County also completed the pickup and permit work for the commercial class of property.

2014 Commercial Assessment Survey for Pawnee County

1.	Valuation data collection done by:								
	Assessor ar	Assessor and lister							
2.	List the of each:	List the valuation groupings recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristics of each:							
	Valuation Description of unique characteristics Grouping								
	01	Pawnee City	/ – County seat and predomi	nate trade center for the county.					
	03	Remainder Table Rock Pawnee Cou	t. This grouping also in	d of the small towns of Lewistoncludes the various commercial					
3.	List and properties.		ne approach(es) used	to estimate the market	value of commercial				
	Market app	roach develope	d from a depreciated cost	basis.					
3a.	Describe tl	ne process used	l to determine the value	of unique commercial properties	s.				
	The County uses Marshall and Swift costing in their CAMA system and the county assessor will use sales from other counties to help substantiate market value.								
			•		303501 WIII				
4.	use sales fr	om other counti	is used, does the Co		on study(ies) based on				
4.	use sales from If the colocal mark	om other counti st approach et information	is used, does the Co	rket value. unty develop the depreciation he tables provided by the CAMA	on study(ies) based on				
	use sales from If the collocal mark	st approach et information develops a dep	is used, does the Co or does the county use t	rket value. unty develop the depreciation he tables provided by the CAMA	on study(ies) based on				
	use sales from If the colocal mark The county Are individual.	om other counties approach et information develops a deplual depreciati	is used, does the Co or does the county use to reciation study from the no on tables developed for o	rket value. unty develop the depreciation he tables provided by the CAM harket.	on study(ies) based on A vendor?				
4. 5. 6.	use sales from If the collocal marks. The country Are individed The country.	st approach et information develops a dep lual depreciati develops tables	is used, does the Co or does the county use to reciation study from the no on tables developed for each of the county of the cou	unty develop the depreciation he tables provided by the CAM anarket. each valuation grouping? eodes instead of using the valuation	on study(ies) based on A vendor?				
5.	use sales from If the collocal marks. The country Are individed The country Describe the coun	st approach et information develops a dep lual depreciati develops tables ne methodolog	is used, does the Co or does the county use to reciation study from the notables developed for each of the county of the county of the county used to determine the county used to determine the county used to determine the county of the coun	unty develop the depreciation he tables provided by the CAM anarket. each valuation grouping? eodes instead of using the valuation	on study(ies) based on A vendor?				
5.	use sales from If the collocal marks. The country Are individed The country Describe the The country square foot Valuation	st approach et information develops a dep lual depreciati develops tables ne methodolog v uses a mark basis.	is used, does the Co or does the county use to reciation study from the non tables developed for each of the county used to determine the county used the county used to determine the county	unty develop the depreciation the tables provided by the CAM market. Each valuation grouping? Ecodes instead of using the valuation commercial lot values. Ining lot values and generally part of the part of t	on study(ies) based on A vendor? on groups. prices them out using a Date of				
5. 6.	use sales from If the colocal mark The country Are individed The country Describe the The country square foot	st approach et information develops a dep lual depreciati develops tables ne methodolog v uses a mark basis.	is used, does the Co or does the county use the county of the county of the county used to determine the county used the cou	unty develop the depreciation the tables provided by the CAM anarket. each valuation grouping? codes instead of using the valuation to the commercial lot values. ening lot values and generally provided the commercial provided to the commercial provided to the commercial lot values.	on study(ies) based on A vendor? on groups.				
5. 6.	use sales from If the collocal marks. The country Are individed The country Describe the The country square foot Valuation	st approach et information develops a dep lual depreciati develops tables ne methodolog v uses a mark basis.	is used, does the Co or does the county use to reciation study from the non tables developed for each of the county used to determine the county used the county used to determine the county	unty develop the depreciation the tables provided by the CAM market. Each valuation grouping? Ecodes instead of using the valuation commercial lot values. Ining lot values and generally part of the part of t	on study(ies) based on A vendor? on groups. prices them out using a Date of				

2014 Commercial Correlation Section for Pawnee County

County Overview

Pawnee County is located in southeast Nebraska. The largest town and county seat is Pawnee City which is centered in the County. Pawnee County is bordered to the south by the state of Kansas. Johnson County is directly north with Gage County to the west. Richardson County borders Pawnee to the east. Pawnee County has seen over a 10% decline in population since 2000 with an estimated population of 2,765 for 2012. The market trend for commercial property remains relatively flat.

Description of Analysis

The statistical profile contains ten qualified sales for the study period. There are two valuation groups that represent the commercial properties in the county, (01) Pawnee City and (02) remainder of the county. With 5 sales in each of these groupings the reliability of the sample representing the population for measurement purposes is reduced along with any reliable analysis from the sample. A large number of occupancies without any representation in the commercial sales profile further reducing the possibility of the sales representing the population. The qualitative statistics are both well above the recommended range.

Sales Qualification

A review of the non-qualified sales demonstrates a sufficient explanation in the assessor notes to substantiate the reason for the exclusion from the qualified sales. Measurement was done using all available information and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department utilizes a yearly analysis of one-third of the counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. With the information available it was confirmed that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently. It is believed the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

For measurement purposes the commercial sample is unreliable and does not represent the commercial class as a whole or by sub class.

Level of Value

Based on the consideration of all available information and assessment practices, the level of value is determined to be at the statutory level of 100% of market value for the commercial class of property.

2014 Agricultural Assessment Actions for Pawnee County

The county assessor analyzed the sales in the county in the study period and developed a range of values for the various land capability groups as well as land use. The county continues to update the agricultural records and reviews land use from the GIS system used for the county as well as updating from physical inspections.

The county completed pickup, and permit work for the agricultural class of properties for the current year.

2014 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Pawnee County

1.	Valuation data collection done by:						
	Assessor						
2.	List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique.						
	Market Description of unique characteristics Area						
	There are no noted characteristics to differentiate more than one market are in the County						
3.	Describe the process used to determine and monitor market areas.						
	The Assessor conducts a sales analysis by majority land use to see if the sales trends are generally the same for each geographic area of the County.						
4.	Describe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreational land in the county apart from agricultural land.						
	Any land that is not used for recreation, residential or commercial use in the county is considered agricultural land. This could be described as classifying by the present use of the property. This generally follows the zoning that is allowed in the county.						
5.	Do farm home sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites? If not, what are the market differences?						
	Yes						
6.	Describe the process used to identify and monitor the influence of non-agricultural characteristics.						
	The county reviews each of the agricultural sales and completes a thorough sales verification.						
7.	The county reviews each of the agricultural sales and completes a thorough sales verification. Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If a value difference is recognized describe the process used to develop the uninfluenced value.						
7.	Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If a value difference is						
7. 8.	Have special valuation applications been filed in the county? If a value difference is recognized describe the process used to develop the uninfluenced value.						

Pawnee County 2014 Average Acre Value Comparison

County	Mkt Area	1A1	1A	2A1	2A	3A1	3A	4A1	4A	WEIGHTED AVG IRR
Pawnee	1	3,010	3,780	N/A	3,120	2,706	N/A	2,305	2,255	3,179
Gage	2	3,400	3,400	3,050	3,050	2,700	N/A	2,575	2,576	3,007
Johnson	1	4,914	4,493	4,560	3,867	3,690	N/A	2,517	2,130	3,858
Nemaha	8300	5,540	5,130	5,000	4,900	4,800	4,700	4,650	4,600	4,929
Richardson	50	4,560	4,455	3,973	4,055	3,908	3,860	2,765	2,670	3,982

County	Mkt Area	1D1	1D	2D1	2D	3D1	3D	4D1	4D	WEIGHTED AVG DRY
Pawnee	1	2,510	3,150	2,566	2,600	2,238	2,000	1,920	1,880	2,435
Gage	2	2,520	2,520	2,435	2,435	1,870	N/A	1,500	1,500	2,094
Johnson	1	3,664	3,383	3,310	2,779	2,880	2,885	2,000	1,630	2,696
Nemaha	8300	4,487	4,350	3,649	3,060	2,900	2,800	2,700	2,500	3,167
Richardson	50	3,917	3,835	3,528	3,525	3,398	3,320	2,439	2,320	3,386

County	Mkt Area	1G1	1G	2G1	2G	3G1	3G	4G1	4G	WEIGHTED AVG GRASS
Pawnee	1	1,726	1,913	1,208	1,658	1,422	1,382	1,508	1,239	1,470
Gage	2	1,026	1,308	1,174	1,390	1,085	1,495	938	747	1,038
Johnson	1	1,647	2,009	1,616	1,517	1,571	1,500	1,338	1,018	1,389
Nemaha	8300	1,101	1,652	1,386	1,585	1,720	1,258	1,210	931	1,218
Richardson	50	1,112	1,262	981	1,192	1,191	1,123	1,063	839	1,045

Source: 2014 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX

2014 Agricultural Correlation Section for Pawnee County

County Overview

Pawnee County is comprised of approximately 42% dry crop land and 57% grass/pasture land. There are just over 1,000 acres of irrigated land in Pawnee County. The county is bordered by Gage County to the west, Richardson to the east and Johnson County to the north. Pawnee County does not currently use market areas. Annually sales are reviewed and plotted to verify accuracy of the market area determination. The agricultural market in the County along with the area and state is seeing a rapid increase and has for the past several years.

Description of Analysis

88 qualified agricultural sales were used in the agricultural analysis for the three year study period. The sample consists of sales that meet the required balance as to date of sale and are proportionate by majority land use. This was met by including comparable sales from the same general agricultural market of the subject county. The calculated median of the sample is rounded to 70. Of the three measures of central tendency only the mean is outside the acceptable range by one point. The qualitative statistics are both above the recommended range. The qualitative statistics are not as concerning in the agricultural analysis, due to the rapid increase in the market trend and the unit of value (acre) used for the agricultural class. All of the parcels in the county are valued at the same per acre price by land capability grouping.

The 80% majority land use statistics demonstrate that the calculated median of the majority land uses are in the acceptable range. On further analysis, the 80% majority land use of grass is skewed towards the most recent year of the study period and the dry land is skewed to the first year of the study period. The resulting level of value by majority use is what would be expected to be seen.

In comparing the average LCG values, Pawnee counties irrigated and dry values are most comparable with Gage and Johnson County's. The Pawnee County grass is slightly higher than all the neighboring counties but Pawnee also has the highest percentage of grass of any of the counties in the area. Because the known assessment practices are reliable and consistent it is believed that the agricultural class of property is being treated in the most uniform and proportionate manner possible.

Sales Qualification

A review of the sales verification and subsequent qualification of the sales reveals that Pawnee County is consistent in their approach as well as documenting the reasons for disqualification. It has been determined that the county utilizes an acceptable portion of available sales and utilizes all information available from the sales file and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

2014 Agricultural Correlation Section for Pawnee County

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The valuation groups fall within the acceptable range for the calculated median, and it has been confirmed that the assessment practices are acceptable. It is believed that agricultural property is treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the LOV is determined to be 70% of market value for the agricultural class of property.

.

67 Pawnee RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Avg. Abs. Dev: 21.82

Number of Sales: 63 MEDIAN: 97 Total Sales Price: 2,241,125 WGT. MEAN: 87

COV: 31.17 STD: 30.78

95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 79.28 to 94.98 95% Mean C.I.: 91.14 to 106.34

95% Median C.I.: 91.88 to 100.29

Total Adj. Sales Price: 2,305,875 Total Assessed Value: 2,009,075

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 36,601 COD: 22.49

MEAN: 99

MAX Sales Ratio: 192.97

Printed:3/26/2014 9:52:50AM Avg. Assessed Value: 31,890 PRD: 113.32 MIN Sales Ratio: 52.62

DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	7	96.90	100.46	94.76	12.93	106.02	72.46	130.88	72.46 to 130.88	35,671	33,802
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	8	83.07	94.98	85.45	30.94	111.15	59.00	192.97	59.00 to 192.97	35,109	30,003
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	6	93.25	95.91	94.16	10.60	101.86	76.33	123.93	76.33 to 123.93	23,000	21,658
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	2	107.58	107.58	107.68	01.34	99.91	106.14	109.02	N/A	30,000	32,303
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	10	105.29	100.88	85.92	29.64	117.41	56.59	163.70	58.28 to 136.76	34,500	29,644
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	7	97.04	104.18	76.02	22.33	137.04	52.62	186.10	52.62 to 186.10	52,414	39,843
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	8	92.15	87.87	83.44	13.94	105.31	57.89	111.90	57.89 to 111.90	30,363	25,335
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	15	100.29	101.73	89.94	26.49	113.11	55.43	164.40	69.36 to 113.17	41,500	37,325
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	23	94.62	97.99	92.12	17.78	106.37	59.00	192.97	89.87 to 101.82	31,677	29,182
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	40	98.19	99.18	84.82	25.07	116.93	52.62	186.10	87.80 to 107.00	39,433	33,447
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	26	95.81	98.43	88.73	24.87	110.93	56.59	192.97	74.49 to 109.02	31,688	28,116
ALL	63	97.00	98.74	87.13	22.49	113.32	52.62	192.97	91.88 to 100.29	36,601	31,890
VALUATION GROUPING										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	32	99.08	102.07	93.49	17.37	109.18	58.37	163.70	92.52 to 109.02	35,294	32,996
02	4	79.14	102.29	82.26	55.85	124.35	57.89	192.97	N/A	34,125	28,070
03	3	70.51	85.99	64.04	35.12	134.28	56.59	130.88	N/A	30,567	19,575
04	3	89.87	81.97	72.72	10.28	112.72	64.17	91.88	N/A	11,833	8,605
05	4	83.01	82.39	67.45	23.53	122.15	52.62	110.93	N/A	92,563	62,430
06	3	72.46	82.82	78.07	17.73	106.08	68.73	107.28	N/A	25,333	19,778
07	13	99.93	105.27	95.90	26.39	109.77	55.43	186.10	74.49 to 124.83	35,221	33,778
09	1	94.74	94.74	94.74	00.00	100.00	94.74	94.74	N/A	8,650	8,195
ALL	63	97.00	98.74	87.13	22.49	113.32	52.62	192.97	91.88 to 100.29	36,601	31,890
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	60	97.02	99.58	87.35	22.83	114.00	52.62	192.97	92.52 to 101.82	37,840	33,054
06	3	89.87	81.97	72.72	10.28	112.72	64.17	91.88	N/A	11,833	8,605
07											
ALL	63	97.00	98.74	87.13	22.49	113.32	52.62	192.97	91.88 to 100.29	36,601	31,890

RESIDENTIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

ualified

 Number of Sales:
 63
 MEDIAN:
 97
 COV:
 31.17
 95% Median C.I.:
 91.88 to 100.29

 Total Sales Price:
 2,241,125
 WGT. MEAN:
 87
 STD:
 30.78
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 79.28 to 94.98

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 2,305,875
 MEAN:
 99
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 21.82
 95% Mean C.I.:
 91.14 to 106.34

Total Assessed Value: 2,009,075

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 36,601 COD : 22.49 MAX Sales Ratio : 192.97

Avg. Assessed Value: 31,890 PRD: 113.32 MIN Sales Ratio: 52.62 *Printed*:3/26/2014 9:52:50AM

7 (1 g) 7 (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		•				10110 . 02.02					
SALE PRICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges											
Less Than 5,000	3	107.00	109.92	104.42	12.15	105.27	91.88	130.88	N/A	2,567	2,680
Less Than 15,000	18	103.47	114.46	110.32	27.65	103.75	55.43	186.10	91.88 to 153.50	8,847	9,760
Less Than 30,000	35	106.22	110.63	105.10	22.86	105.26	55.43	192.97	97.00 to 113.17	14,932	15,694
Ranges Excl. Low \$											
Greater Than 4,999	60	96.95	98.18	87.07	22.78	112.76	52.62	192.97	90.22 to 100.29	38,303	33,351
Greater Than 14,999	45	94.33	92.45	85.41	19.93	108.24	52.62	192.97	79.92 to 100.00	47,703	40,742
Greater Than 29,999	28	90.93	83.88	81.86	16.96	102.47	52.62	124.83	70.51 to 94.62	63,688	52,136
Incremental Ranges											
0 TO 4,999	3	107.00	109.92	104.42	12.15	105.27	91.88	130.88	N/A	2,567	2,680
5,000 TO 14,999	15	99.93	115.37	110.62	31.28	104.29	55.43	186.10	89.87 to 155.81	10,103	11,176
15,000 TO 29,999	17	106.22	106.58	102.81	18.55	103.67	64.17	192.97	74.49 to 123.93	21,375	21,976
30,000 TO 59,999	20	92.71	86.46	86.25	15.66	100.24	56.59	124.83	70.51 to 97.04	41,675	35,947
60,000 TO 99,999	4	78.33	77.98	78.36	19.89	99.52	58.37	96.90	N/A	78,875	61,809
100,000 TO 149,999	3	73.11	69.39	66.01	13.60	105.12	52.62	82.44	N/A	136,417	90,045
150,000 TO 249,999	1	99.33	99.33	99.33	00.00	100.00	99.33	99.33	N/A	225,000	223,490
250,000 TO 499,999											
500,000 TO 999,999											
1,000,000 +											
ALL	63	97.00	98.74	87.13	22.49	113.32	52.62	192.97	91.88 to 100.29	36,601	31,890

67 Pawnee **COMMERCIAL**

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

Number of Sales: 10 MEDIAN: 79 COV: 79.11 95% Median C.I.: 43.67 to 142.82 Total Sales Price: 520,950 WGT. MEAN: 85 STD: 86.93 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 69.26 to 100.59 Avg. Abs. Dev: 47.87 Total Adj. Sales Price: 520,950 95% Mean C.I.: 47.71 to 172.07 MEAN: 110

Total Assessed Value: 442,415

COD: 60.68 MAX Sales Ratio: 338.74 Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 52,095

Printed:3/26/2014 9:52:50AM Avg. Assessed Value: 44.242 PRD 129.40 MIN Sales Ratio: 43 67

Avg. Assessed Value: 44,242			PRD: 129.40		MIN Sales I	Ratio : 43.67			Prir	itea:3/26/2014 §	9:52:50AM
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10											
01-JAN-11 To 31-MAR-11											
01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11	1	77.26	77.26	77.26	00.00	100.00	77.26	77.26	N/A	92,500	71,470
01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11	2	43.67	43.67	43.67	00.00	100.00	43.67	43.67	N/A	6,000	2,620
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11											
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	4	139.39	177.09	111.70	45.69	158.54	90.83	338.74	N/A	38,613	43,131
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12											
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12											
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12											
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13											
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	2	72.74	72.74	72.07	06.35	100.93	68.12	77.35	N/A	105,000	75,678
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	1	80.43	80.43	80.43	00.00	100.00	80.43	80.43	N/A	52,000	41,825
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11	3	43.67	54.87	73.41	25.65	74.74	43.67	77.26	N/A	34,833	25,570
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	4	139.39	177.09	111.70	45.69	158.54	90.83	338.74	N/A	38,613	43,131
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	3	77.35	75.30	73.73	05.30	102.13	68.12	80.43	N/A	87,333	64,393
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11	3	43.67	54.87	73.41	25.65	74.74	43.67	77.26	N/A	34,833	25,570
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	4	139.39	177.09	111.70	45.69	158.54	90.83	338.74	N/A	38,613	43,131
ALL	10	78.89	109.89	84.92	60.68	129.40	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 142.82	52,095	44,242
VALUATION GROUPING										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
01	5	90.83	142.18	82.69	72.51	171.94	68.12	338.74	 N/A	65,970	54,550
03	5	77.35	77.59	88.78	35.14	87.40	43.67	142.82	N/A	38,220	33,933
ALL	10	78.89	109.89	84.92	60.68	129.40	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 142.82	52,095	44,242
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
02	2	84.05	84.05	84.63	08.08	99.31	77.26	90.83	N/A	101,250	85,693
03	8	78.89	116.35	85.11	73.70	136.71	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 338.74	39,806	33,879
04	-									,00	,-/0
ALL	10	78.89	109.89	84.92	60.68	129.40	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 142.82	52,095	44,242

COMMERCIAL

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

95% Median C.I.: 43.67 to 142.82 Number of Sales: 10 MEDIAN: 79 COV: 79.11 Total Sales Price: 520,950 WGT. MEAN: 85 STD: 86.93 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 69.26 to 100.59 Total Adj. Sales Price: 520,950 MEAN: 110 Avg. Abs. Dev: 47.87 95% Mean C.I.: 47.71 to 172.07

Total Assessed Value: 442,415

COD: 60.68 MAX Sales Ratio: 338.74 Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 52,095

Printed:3/26/2014 9:52:50AM Avg. Assessed Value: 44,242 PRD: 129.40 MIN Sales Ratio: 43.67

Avg. Assessed value . 44	T, Z T Z		110. 123.40		WIII V Calco	110. 45.07					
SALE PRICE * RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges	000111	MEDIAN	WEAN	WOT.MEAN	OOD	TILD	IVIII	WIAX	3570_Wcdiari_O.i.	Odic i noc	Assa. vai
Less Than 5,000	2	237.35	237.35	267.01	42.72	88.89	135.96	338.74	N/A	3,675	9,813
Less Than 15,000	4	89.82	140.51	128.50	107.82	109.35	43.67	338.74	N/A	4,838	6,216
Less Than 30,000	4	89.82	140.51	128.50	107.82	109.35	43.67	338.74	N/A	4,838	6,216
Ranges Excl. Low \$	7	03.02	140.51	120.50	107.02	103.55	43.07	330.74	IWA	4,000	0,210
Greater Than 4,999	8	77.31	78.02	82.32	25.66	94.78	43.67	142.82	43.67 to 142.82	64,200	52,849
Greater Than 14,999	6	78.89	89.47	83.24	19.31	107.48	68.12	142.82	68.12 to 142.82	83,600	69,592
Greater Than 29,999	6	78.89	89.47	83.24	19.31	107.48	68.12	142.82	68.12 to 142.82	83,600	69,592
Incremental Ranges	O	70.09	09.47	00.24	19.51	107.40	00.12	142.02	00.12 to 142.02	00,000	09,332
0 TO 4,999	2	237.35	237.35	267.01	42.72	88.89	135.96	338.74	N/A	3,675	9,813
5,000 TO 14,999	2	43.67	43.67	43.67	00.00	100.00	43.67	43.67	N/A	6,000	2,620
15,000 TO 29,999	2	43.07	43.07	43.07	00.00	100.00	43.07	43.07	IN/A	0,000	2,020
30,000 TO 59,999	2	111.63	111.63	106.41	27.95	104.91	80.43	142.82	N/A	44,550	47,405
60,000 TO 99,999	2	77.31	77.31	77.31	00.06	104.91	77.26	77.35	N/A	91,250	70,543
100,000 TO 149,999	2	79.48	79.48	78.98	14.29	100.63	68.12	90.83	N/A	115,000	90,828
150,000 TO 249,999	2	79.40	79.40	70.90	14.29	100.03	00.12	90.03	N/A	115,000	90,626
1,000,000 +											
ALL	10	78.89	109.89	84.92	60.68	129.40	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 142.82	52,095	44,242
OCCUPANCY CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Blank	2	78.89	78.89	78.48	01.95	100.52	77.35	80.43	N/A	71,000	55,720
300	2	84.05	84.05	84.63	08.08	99.31	77.26	90.83	N/A	101,250	85,693
310	1	338.74	338.74	338.74	00.00	100.00	338.74	338.74	N/A	4,750	16,090
344	2	43.67	43.67	43.67	00.00	100.00	43.67	43.67	N/A	6,000	2,620
355	1	135.96	135.96	135.96	00.00	100.00	135.96	135.96	N/A	2,600	3,535
406	2	105.47	105.47	85.76	35.41	122.98	68.12	142.82	N/A	78,550	67,363
ALL	10	78.89	109.89	84.92	60.68	129.40	43.67	338.74	43.67 to 142.82	52,095	44,242

AGRICULTURAL LAND

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

Qualified

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales:
 88
 MEDIAN:
 70
 COV:
 33.90
 95% Median C.I.:
 64.74 to 77.70

 Total Sales Price:
 26,293,634
 WGT. MEAN:
 72
 STD:
 25.70
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:
 66.93 to 77.93

 Total Adj. Sales Price:
 26,293,634
 MEAN:
 76
 Avg. Abs. Dev:
 19.59
 95% Mean C.I.:
 70.45 to 81.19

Total Assessed Value: 19,044,199

Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 298,791 COD: 27.91 MAX Sales Ratio: 155.86

Avg. Assessed Value: 216,411 PRD: 104.68 MIN Sales Ratio: 00.03 *Printed*:3/26/2014 9:52:51AM

7 (19. 7 (5000000 Value : 210, 111		'	1110. 101.00		Will V Calco I	tatio . 00.00					
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10	17	90.01	92.40	89.56	15.24	103.17	60.60	121.06	78.82 to 109.68	337,487	302,247
01-JAN-11 To 31-MAR-11	5	105.55	93.20	89.78	22.48	103.81	50.41	120.84	N/A	274,744	246,671
01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11	7	75.81	92.71	79.08	32.34	117.24	61.82	155.86	61.82 to 155.86	195,351	154,494
01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11	2	68.45	68.45	70.64	06.52	96.90	63.99	72.90	N/A	201,690	142,465
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	5	58.40	73.59	74.00	32.41	99.45	51.03	108.71	N/A	485,000	358,895
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	9	68.47	73.03	67.06	23.63	108.90	48.93	105.51	54.58 to 105.09	368,016	246,784
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	14	66.02	62.83	58.54	12.07	107.33	42.64	74.45	52.96 to 71.19	336,502	196,980
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	1	63.87	63.87	63.87	00.00	100.00	63.87	63.87	N/A	50,000	31,935
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	14	68.22	68.62	64.18	23.75	106.92	43.18	116.52	49.05 to 86.45	255,386	163,911
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	2	57.92	57.92	57.44	11.79	100.84	51.09	64.74	N/A	166,600	95,700
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	8	74.25	83.52	80.92	31.42	103.21	53.35	140.86	53.35 to 140.86	240,289	194,441
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	4	42.04	34.06	42.43	36.37	80.27	00.03	52.13	N/A	270,677	114,848
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11	31	87.93	91.06	87.12	21.80	104.52	50.41	155.86	75.81 to 105.55	286,511	249,611
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	29	64.42	67.89	64.82	19.40	104.74	42.64	108.71	56.43 to 71.19	362,006	234,662
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	28	65.32	67.17	65.10	30.65	103.18	00.03	140.86	52.13 to 79.18	246,915	160,752
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11	19	74.85	85.25	78.90	31.36	108.05	50.41	155.86	61.82 to 108.71	293,135	231,274
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	38	67.43	67.41	62.72	19.07	107.48	42.64	116.52	56.91 to 71.00	306,542	192,249
ALL	88	70.19	75.82	72.43	27.91	104.68	00.03	155.86	64.74 to 77.70	298,791	216,411
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
1	88	70.19	75.82	72.43	27.91	104.68	00.03	155.86	64.74 to 77.70	298,791	216,411
_										,	
ALL	88	70.19	75.82	72.43	27.91	104.68	00.03	155.86	64.74 to 77.70	298,791	216,411
95%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Dry											
County	10	75.30	79.93	72.73	22.58	109.90	52.96	118.72	55.28 to 104.46	273,130	198,644
1	10	75.30	79.93	72.73	22.58	109.90	52.96	118.72	55.28 to 104.46	273,130	198,644
Grass											
County	32	69.53	76.50	73.62	23.28	103.91	37.51	140.86	63.99 to 80.98	229,118	168,686
1	32	69.53	76.50	73.62	23.28	103.91	37.51	140.86	63.99 to 80.98	229,118	168,686
ALL	88	70.19	75.82	72.43	27.91	104.68	00.03	155.86	64.74 to 77.70	298,791	216,411
				County 6	7 - Page 28	}					

County 67 - Page 28

PAD 2014 R&O Statistics (Using 2014 Values)

ualified

AGRICULTURAL LAND

Date Range: 10/1/2010 To 9/30/2013 Posted on: 1/1/2014

 Number of Sales: 88
 MEDIAN: 70
 COV: 33.90
 95% Median C.I.: 64.74 to 77.70

 Total Sales Price: 26,293,634
 WGT. MEAN: 72
 STD: 25.70
 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 66.93 to 77.93

 Total Adj. Sales Price: 26,293,634
 MEAN: 76
 Avg. Abs. Dev: 19.59
 95% Mean C.I.: 70.45 to 81.19

Total Assessed Value: 19,044,199

Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 298,791 COD : 27.91 MAX Sales Ratio : 155.86

Avg. Assessed Value: 216,411 PRD: 104.68 MIN Sales Ratio: 00.03 *Printed*:3/26/2014 9:52:51AM

80%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Dry											
County	22	75.30	78.39	70.28	29.03	111.54	42.64	120.62	53.35 to 100.00	314,080	220,738
1	22	75.30	78.39	70.28	29.03	111.54	42.64	120.62	53.35 to 100.00	314,080	220,738
Grass											
County	37	69.53	76.89	75.03	24.35	102.48	37.51	140.86	64.09 to 79.18	233,108	174,891
1	37	69.53	76.89	75.03	24.35	102.48	37.51	140.86	64.09 to 79.18	233,108	174,891
ALL	88	70.19	75.82	72.43	27.91	104.68	00.03	155.86	64.74 to 77.70	298,791	216,411

Total Real Property
Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30

Records: 4,020

Value: 578,536,965

Growth 1,287,845

Sum Lines 17, 25, & 41

Schedule I : Non-Agricult	ui ai ixccoi us								
	\mathbf{U}_{1}	rban	Sub	Urban	l I	Rural	Te	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
11. Res UnImp Land	229	539,590	9	30,595	3	20,430	241	590,615	
2. Res Improve Land	849	2,154,955	3	31,895	3	16,700	855	2,203,550	
3. Res Improvements	858	24,868,870	40	1,974,745	82	4,064,545	980	30,908,160	
04. Res Total	1,087	27,563,415	49	2,037,235	85	4,101,675	1,221	33,702,325	285,76
% of Res Total	89.03	81.78	4.01	6.04	6.96	12.17	30.37	5.83	22.19
5. Com UnImp Land	44	53,700	10	41,850	2	13,830	56	109,380	
6. Com Improve Land	160	245,330	6	47,750	7	19,850	173	312,930	
7. Com Improvements	169	4,956,230	11	2,411,095	9	140,170	189	7,507,495	
8. Com Total	213	5,255,260	21	2,500,695	11	173,850	245	7,929,805	28,115
% of Com Total	86.94	66.27	8.57	31.54	4.49	2.19	6.09	1.37	2.18
9. Ind UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0. Ind Improve Land	1	4,230	1	25,325	1	9,345	3	38,900	
1. Ind Improvements	1	34,415	1	4,589,585	1	147,930	3	4,771,930	
2. Ind Total	1	38,645	1	4,614,910	1	157,275	3	4,810,830	0
% of Ind Total	33.33	0.80	33.33	95.93	33.33	3.27	0.07	0.83	0.00
3. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	1	40,505	51	49,890	52	90,395	
4. Rec Improve Land	0	0	0	0	40	103,075	40	103,075	
5. Rec Improvements	0	0	0	0	44	228,660	44	228,660	
6. Rec Total	0	0	1	40,505	95	381,625	96	422,130	0
% of Rec Total	0.00	0.00	1.04	9.60	98.96	90.40	2.39	0.07	0.00
Res & Rec Total	1,087	27,563,415	50	2,077,740	180	4,483,300	1,317	34,124,455	285,76
% of Res & Rec Total	82.54	80.77	3.80	6.09	13.67	13.14	32.76	5.90	22.19
Com & Ind Total	214	5,293,905	22	7,115,605	12	331,125	248	12,740,635	28,115
% of Com & Ind Total	86.29	41.55	8.87	55.85	4.84	2.60	6.17	2.20	2.18
17. Taxable Total	1,301	32,857,320	72	9,193,345	192	4,814,425	1,565	46,865,090	313,88
% of Taxable Total	83.13	70.11	4.60	19.62	12.27	10.27	38.93	8.10	24.37

Schedule II: Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	1	26,385	691,555	0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	1	26,385	691,555
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				1	26,385	691,555

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records

Mineral Interest	Records Urb	an Value	Records SubU	rban Value	Records Rura	l Value	Records Tot	tal Value	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

•	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Exempt	100	19	85	204

Schedule V : Agricultural Records

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	0	0	132	18,186,045	1,395	251,202,905	1,527	269,388,950
28. Ag-Improved Land	0	0	111	16,347,920	900	211,179,930	1,011	227,527,850
29. Ag Improvements	0	0	76	4,957,075	852	29,798,000	928	34,755,075
30. Ag Total							2,455	531,671,875

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	cords :Non-Agric	ultural Detail					
	Records	Urban	Value	Danada	SubUrban	Value	Y Y
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	Acres 0.00	0	Records 5	Acres 3.44	24,765	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	0	0.00	0	84	84.40	607,680	
33. HomeSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	52	0.00	3,318,010	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	7	8.00	17,600	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	0	0.00	0	74	115.11	253,240	
37. FarmSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	74	0.00	1,639,065	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches	0	0.00	0	162	331.99	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	Total Acres	Value	Growth
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	35	33.00	237,600	40	36.44	262,365	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	507	510.04	3,672,290	591	594.44	4,279,970	
33. HomeSite Improvements	458	0.00	19,749,725	510	0.00	23,067,735	0
34. HomeSite Total				550	630.88	27,610,070	
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	60	48.00	105,600	67	56.00	123,200	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	761	1,169.11	2,572,035	835	1,284.22	2,825,275	
37. FarmSite Improvements	822	0.00	10,048,275	896	0.00	11,687,340	973,965
38. FarmSite Total				963	1,340.22	14,635,815	
39. Road & Ditches	1,945	4,994.78	0	2,107	5,326.77	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
41. Total Section VI	•			1,513	7,297.87	42,245,885	973,965

Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

	Urban			SubUrban			
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0	1	109.59	135,610	
		Rural			Total		
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	9	1,298.39	1,938,985	10	1,407.98	2,074,595	

Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban) (SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Market Value	0	0	0		0	0	0

^{*} LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records :	Ag Land Market Area Detail
Senedule 121. 21gricultur ar records.	115 Dana Market Mica Detail

Mar	zot	A roo	
war	кет	Area	

		0/ 01	***	0/ 03/1	
Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	51.00	4.72%	153,510	4.47%	3,010.00
46. 1A	336.53	31.13%	1,272,085	37.02%	3,780.00
47. 2A1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
48. 2A	382.82	35.42%	1,194,400	34.76%	3,120.00
49. 3A1	252.60	23.37%	683,495	19.89%	2,705.84
50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
51. 4A1	36.00	3.33%	82,980	2.41%	2,305.00
52. 4A	22.00	2.04%	49,610	1.44%	2,255.00
53. Total	1,080.95	100.00%	3,436,080	100.00%	3,178.76
Dry					
54. 1D1	3,895.70	3.56%	9,778,220	3.67%	2,510.00
55. 1D	11,237.75	10.28%	35,398,960	13.29%	3,150.00
56. 2D1	1,907.69	1.74%	4,895,070	1.84%	2,565.97
57. 2D	40,588.64	37.11%	105,530,495	39.62%	2,600.00
58. 3D1	36,065.39	32.98%	80,719,210	30.31%	2,238.13
59. 3D	232.56	0.21%	465,120	0.17%	2,000.00
60. 4D1	13,286.42	12.15%	25,509,960	9.58%	1,920.00
61. 4D	2,153.88	1.97%	4,049,300	1.52%	1,880.00
62. Total	109,368.03	100.00%	266,346,335	100.00%	2,435.32
Grass	,		, ,		,
63. 1G1	1,007.38	0.68%	1,738,675	0.80%	1,725.94
64. 1G	7,478.68	5.05%	14,302,980	6.57%	1,912.50
65. 2G1	4,335.71	2.93%	5,239,580	2.41%	1,208.47
66. 2G	25,453.20	17.19%	42,201,635	19.39%	1,658.01
67. 3G1	61,889.48	41.80%	88,002,415	40.42%	1,421.93
68. 3G	548.64	0.37%	757,985	0.35%	1,381.57
69. 4G1	25,181.40	17.01%	37,984,900	17.45%	1,508.45
70. 4G	22,179.50	14.98%	27,473,140	12.62%	1,238.67
71. Total	148,073.99	100.00%	217,701,310	100.00%	1,470.22
71. 1041	110,073.99	100.0070	217,701,310	100.0070	1,170.22
Irrigated Total	1,080.95	0.41%	3,436,080	0.70%	3,178.76
Dry Total	109,368.03	41.84%	266,346,335	54.42%	2,435.32
Grass Total	148,073.99	56.65%	217,701,310	44.48%	1,470.22
72. Waste	2,754.95	1.05%	1,835,265	0.37%	666.17
73. Other	107.00	0.04%	107,000	0.02%	1,000.00
74. Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
75. Market Area Total	261,384.92	100.00%	489,425,990	100.00%	1,872.43

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Urban		SubUrban		Ru	ral	Total	
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	0.00	0	0.00	0	1,080.95	3,436,080	1,080.95	3,436,080
77. Dry Land	0.00	0	7,796.83	19,202,780	101,571.20	247,143,555	109,368.03	266,346,335
78. Grass	0.00	0	9,496.95	14,266,880	138,577.04	203,434,430	148,073.99	217,701,310
79. Waste	0.00	0	340.60	161,020	2,414.35	1,674,245	2,754.95	1,835,265
80. Other	0.00	0	0.00	0	107.00	107,000	107.00	107,000
81. Exempt	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
82. Total	0.00	0	17,634.38	33,630,680	243,750.54	455,795,310	261,384.92	489,425,990

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	1,080.95	0.41%	3,436,080	0.70%	3,178.76
Dry Land	109,368.03	41.84%	266,346,335	54.42%	2,435.32
Grass	148,073.99	56.65%	217,701,310	44.48%	1,470.22
Waste	2,754.95	1.05%	1,835,265	0.37%	666.17
Other	107.00	0.04%	107,000	0.02%	1,000.00
Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	261,384.92	100.00%	489,425,990	100.00%	1,872.43

2014 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2013 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

67 Pawnee

	2013 CTL County Total	2014 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2014 form 45 - 2013 CTL)	Percent Change	2014 Growth (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	33,313,065	33,702,325	389,260	1.17%	285,765	0.31%
02. Recreational	407,310	422,130	14,820	3.64%	0	3.64%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	26,755,920	27,610,070	854,150	3.19%	0	3.19%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	60,476,295	61,734,525	1,258,230	2.08%	285,765	1.61%
05. Commercial	7,891,865	7,929,805	37,940	0.48%	28,115	0.12%
06. Industrial	4,810,830	4,810,830	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	14,183,600	14,635,815	452,215	3.19%	973,965	-3.68%
08. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	26,886,295	27,376,450	490,155	1.82%	1,002,080	-1.90%
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	87,362,590	89,110,975	1,748,385	2.00%	1,287,845	0.53%
11. Irrigated	3,108,115	3,436,080	327,965	10.55%		
12. Dryland	242,784,380	266,346,335	23,561,955	9.70%)	
13. Grassland	185,470,450	217,701,310	32,230,860	17.38%	5	
14. Wasteland	1,499,830	1,835,265	335,435	22.36%)	
15. Other Agland	96,300	107,000	10,700	11.11%	5	
16. Total Agricultural Land	432,959,075	489,425,990	56,466,915	13.04%		
17. Total Value of all Real Property	520,321,665	578,536,965	58,215,300	11.19%	1,287,845	10.94%
(Locally Assessed)						

2014 Assessment Survey for Pawnee County

A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff:
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff:
	0
3.	Other full-time employees:
	0
4.	Other part-time employees:
	0
5.	Number of shared employees:
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year:
	75,605
7.	
8.	Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work:
	8,800
9.	
10.	Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system:
	This is budgeted out of County General
11.	Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops:
	800
12.	
13.	Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used:
	575

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software:
	MIPS
2.	CAMA software:
	MIPS
3.	Are cadastral maps currently being used?
	Yes
4.	If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assesspr
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes
6.	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address?
	http://www.pawnee.gisworkshop.com/
7.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	GIS Workshop
8.	Personal Property software:
	MIPS

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Pawnee City
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	2001

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services:
	Listing and Pickup work is conducted by Ron Elliot
2.	GIS Services:
	GIS Workshop
3.	

E. Appraisal /Listing Services

1.	Does the county employ outside help for appraisal or listing services?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract?
	No
3.	What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require?
	None
4.	Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA?
	NA
5.	Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county?
	No

2014 Certification for Pawnee County

This is to certify that the 2014 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

One copy by electronic transmission to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission.

One copy by electronic transmission to the Pawnee County Assessor.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR SELECTION IN SECSION IN SECS

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

Kuth a. Sorensen

PAWNEE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S OFFICE

PAWNEE CITY, NE

In accordance with 77-1311 section 9, as amended by LB 263, the Pawnee County Assessor's office has made a six-year plan to inspect properties in Pawnee County. The schedule of inspections is to be as follows

- 2014: Burchard, Du Bois, Lewiston, Steinauer and Table Rock residential
- 2015: County wide Commercial
- 2016: Residential in Townships of Turkey Creek, Steinauer, Clear Creek and Table Rock
- 2017: Residential in Townships of Mission Creek, West Branch, Clay and South Fork
- 2018: Residential in Townships of Plum Creek, Miles, Pawnee and Sheridan
- 2019: Pawnee City residential

The purpose of the inspections is to make sure all information on the property record card of each parcel is correct and to correct any information that is needed and to take an updated picture of the parcel. The Assessor's office shall then make any changes that are needed to have all parcels comply with the ruling and guidelines set forth by the statues of the Legislative body and the Department of Revenue, Property Tax Division.

This may include updated Marshall & Swift pricing, either Marshall & Swift or in house depreciation schedules, based on the study of sales rosters, that will give a uniform level of assessment to all classes and subclasses of property.

This schedule of events may change based on the need of the properties to meet the level of assessment set forth by the state or if the budgeted amount needed to make these inspections may change on a yearly basis.

Jonathan Bailey

Pawnee County Assessor