Table of Contents

2014 Commission Summary

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey Residential Correlation

Commercial Reports

Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey Commercial Correlation

Agricultural and/or Special Valuation Reports

Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Assessment Survey Agricultural Average Acre Values Table Agricultural Correlation Special Valuation Methodology, if applicable

Statistical Reports

Residential Statistics Commercial Statistics Agricultural Land Statistics Special Valuation Statistics, if applicable

County Reports

County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 County Agricultural Land Detail County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the Prior Year Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL). County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Maps

Market Areas

Valuation History Charts

Summary

2014 Commission Summary

for Greeley County

Residential Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	51	Median	94.92
Total Sales Price	\$2,289,850	Mean	98.89
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$2,401,849	Wgt. Mean	89.90
Total Assessed Value	\$2,159,350	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$38,988
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$47,095	Avg. Assessed Value	\$42,340

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	90.50 to 100.10
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	82.60 to 97.21
95% Mean C.I	88.61 to 109.17
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the	5.45
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	5.25
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	5.70

Residential Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median
2013	45	97	97.31
2012	44	97	97.41
2011	47	98	98
2010	56	94	94

2014 Commission Summary

for Greeley County

Commercial Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	9	Median	70.79
Total Sales Price	\$440,501	Mean	117.53
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$430,500	Wgt. Mean	67.46
Total Assessed Value	\$290,415	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$47,987
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$47,833	Avg. Assessed Value	\$32,268

Confidence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	23.27 to 116.00
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	18.88 to 116.04
95% Mean C.I	-10.98 to 246.04
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County	1.36
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	4.57
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	3.07

Commercial Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	LOV	Median	
2013	12		93.77	
2012	12		94.53	
2011	12		94	
2010	10	100	63	

Opinions

2014 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Greeley County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Residential Real Property95Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.No recommendation.Commercial Real Property100Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.No recommendation.Agricultural Land70Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices.No recommendation.	Class Level of Value		Quality of Assessment	Non-binding recommendation	
Commercial Real Property 100 Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices. Image: Commercial Real Property 100 Meets generally accepted mass appraisal Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property		95		No recommendation.	
Commercial Real Property 100 Meets generally accepted mass appraisal practices. Image: Commercial Real Property 100 Meets generally accepted mass appraisal Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property Image: Commercial Real Property					
		100		No recommendation.	
	Agricultural Land 70			No recommendation.	

**A level of value displayed as NEI (not enough information) represents a class of property with insufficient information to determine a level of value.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.



Ruth a. Sources

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

2014 Residential Assessment Actions for Greeley County

All sales are reviewed through research of the deed, supplemental questionnaires to buyers and sellers and on-site reviews of the property as deemed appropriate. Additional resources such as attorney and real estate agents are utilized in this process to acquire more accurate information concerning sales. Permits are logged and reviewed for specific property activities and notable changes to the property valuations. The county completed all pick up work in a timely manner.

Annually the county conducts a market analysis that includes the qualified residential sales that occurred during the current study period (October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2013). The review and analysis is done to identify any adjustments or other assessment actions that are necessary to properly value the residential class of real property. The county is continuing the systematic review of a portion of the residential properties each year.

The Valuation Groupings 1 through 5 were reviewed for statistical compliance. Some adjustments were made based on the following:

Valuation Grouping 1 (former assessor location Greeley) contained 15 sales. This grouping did receive a complete review and market analysis to bring the grouping into compliance.

Valuation Grouping 2 (former assessor location Scotia) contained 10 sales. This grouping did receive a complete review and market analysis to bring the grouping into compliance.

Valuation Grouping 3 (former assessor location Spalding) was represented with 14 sales. This grouping did receive a complete review and market analysis to bring the grouping into compliance.

Valuation Grouping 4 (former assessor location Wolbach) was represented with 9 sales. This grouping did not receive an adjustment as this grouping was in compliance.

Valuation Grouping 5 (former assessor location Acreage 4500) did not receive an adjustment as this grouping had only 4 sales within the study period.

2014 Residential Assessment Survey for Greeley County

	Appraisal staf	f				
	List the characteristic					
	<u>Valuation</u> <u>Grouping</u>	Description of unique characteristics				
	01	Greeley - Village and county seat located on US Highway 281; population of about 460; shares a consolidated K-12 public school system with the village of Wolbach; limited trade center for an agricultural area located 50 miles north of Grand Island. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes.				
	02	Scotia - Village located 13 miles southwest of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 315; shares a consolidated K-12 public school system with the village of North Loup and has limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting of mainly older homes.				
	03 Spalding - Largest village in the county located 20 miles northeast of Gree Highway 91; population of about 480; has K-12 public and private school syste trade center for an agricultural area more than 60 miles from any major trade					
		residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes				
	04					
	04	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homesWolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting				
	05 List and oproperties.	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential				
	05 List and oproperties. The cost ap comparison a If the cost	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential proach is applied using local depreciation derived from local market sales. The sales proach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on				
	05 List and oproperties. The cost ap comparison aj If the cost local market	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential proach is applied using local depreciation derived from local market sales. The sales proach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?				
	05 List and oproperties. The cost ap comparison aj If the cost local market Depreciation	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential properties located from local market sales. The sales pproach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?				
	05 List and oproperties. The cost ap comparison aj If the cost local market Depreciation to Are individua	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential proach is applied using local depreciation derived from local market sales. The sales proach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?				
. .	05 List and oproperties. The cost approperties. The cost approperties of a properties of a pro	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential proach is applied using local depreciation derived from local market sales. The sales pproach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? tables are developed based on local market information. al depreciation tables developed for each valuation grouping?				
	05 List and oproperties. The cost approperties. The cost approperties of a properties of a pro	residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes Wolbach - Village located 16 miles south of Greeley on NE Highway 22; population of about 280; limited trade. The residential housing market is limited, but stable, consisting mainly of older homes. Acreage - All rural residential properties located outside the villages. describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of residential properties located from local market sales. The sales pproach is also utilized through unit of comparison studies. approach is used, does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor?				

7.	Valuation Grouping	<u>Date of</u> Depreciation Tables	<u>Date of</u> <u>Costing</u>	<u>Date of</u> Lot Value Study
	01	2013	2012	2013
	02	2013	2012	2013
	03	2013	2012	2013
	04	2013	2012	2013
	05	2013	2012	2010

County Overview

Greeley County is located in central Nebraska near the southeastern edge of the Sandhills region. The 2012 U.S. Census Bureau population estimate of 2,438 is a decline of over ten percent from the 2000 census. Of the four villages within the county, Greeley, the county seat, and Spalding are the primary trade centers for this agricultural-based county. The smaller villages of Scotia and Wolbach have limited services. The residential market has remained relatively flat throughout the county.

Description of Analysis

Greeley County has identified five different valuation groups intended to reflect unique market influences. The statistical sampling of 52 qualified sales is considered to be an adequate and reliable sample for the measurement of the residential class of real property Greeley County.

The median measure of central tendency indicates that an acceptable level of value has been obtained overall. Individual valuation groups with a sufficient number of sales demonstrate an acceptable level of value as well. Although the qualitive statistics are slightly above the recommended range, excluding sales less than 15,000 dollars does put them in the recommended range.

For assessment year 2014, a complete revaluation of Valuation Group 02 (Scotia), Valuation Group 03 (Spalding), and Valuation Group 05 (Acreages), as well as a review of Valuation Group 01 (Greeley) was completed. Marshall & Swift 2012 cost indexes were implemented and new depreciation was established from the market and applied to all residential properties countywide.

Sales Qualification

Sales verification is conducted through the use of questionnaires and personal interviews. Review of the non-qualified sales roster demonstrated no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales, and that all arm's length sales were made available for the measurement of real property in the county. Approximately sixty percent of the improved residential transactions were considered to be qualified sales. It has been determined that the county utilized an acceptable portion of available sales and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department conducts a yearly analysis of assessment practices in which one-third of the counties are reviewed each year. This review was conducted in Greeley County in 2012. The review affirmed that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently.

Based on review of known assessment practices, the quality of assessment of the residential class of real property has been determined to be in compliance with professionally accepted mass appraisal standards.

Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value of residential property in Greeley County is determined to be 95%.

2014 Commercial Assessment Actions for Greeley County

All sales are reviewed through research of the deed, supplemental questionnaires to buyers and sellers and on-site reviews of the property as deemed appropriate. Additional resources such as attorney and real estate agents are utilized in this process to acquire more accurate information concerning sales. Permits are logged and reviewed for specific property activities and notable changes to the property valuations. The county completed all pick up work in a timely manner.

Greeley County commercial properties were all grouped together for analysis of comparable sales. All the commercial parcels in the county have the same general market characteristics and influences. For 2011 all commercial properties were physically inspected, new photos taken, listing information reviewed for accuracy, new Marshall & Swift costing year was utilized with market depreciation applied. Also for 2011 an analysis of vacant commercial lot sales was completed with new lot values being assigned.

For 2014 the county conducted a market analysis that included the qualified commercial sales that occurred during the current study period (October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2013). The review and analysis was done to identify any adjustments or other assessment actions that are necessary to properly value the commercial class of real property. No commercial assessment actions (adjustments) were needed to improve the equity within the commercial class of property.

2014 Commercial Assessment Survey for Greeley County

	Valuation data collection done by:										
	Assessor staff	Assessor staff									
2.	List the valuation groupings recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristics of each:										
	Valuation Description of unique characteristics Grouping Description of unique characteristics										
		01 Greeley - County seat and largest village in the county locaed on US Highway 281; population of about 460; limited trade center for an agricultural area located 50 miles north of Grand Island.									
3.	List and dependent of the contract of the cont	scribe the approach(es) used t	to estimate the market	t value of commercial							
		ach is applied using Marshall & Sv ed as needed. The sales compa lies.	-	11 5							
3a.	Describe the pr	ocess used to determine the value of	unique commercial propert	ies.							
	Utilization of the state sales file query function and work through the liaisons.										
	Utilization of th	e state sales file query function and wo	ork through the liaisons.								
	If the cost a	te state sales file query function and wo pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the	ity develop the depreciat	• • •							
	If the cost a local market in	pproach is used, does the Coun	ity develop the depreciat tables provided by the CAN	• • •							
4.	If the cost a local market in Tables provided	pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the	ity develop the depreciat tables provided by the CAM are adjusted as needed.	• • •							
4.	If the cost a local market in Tables provided	pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the by the CAMA vendor are utilized and	ity develop the depreciat tables provided by the CAM are adjusted as needed.	• • •							
4. 5.	If the cost a local market in Tables provided Are individual Yes	pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the by the CAMA vendor are utilized and	nty develop the depreciat tables provided by the CAM are adjusted as needed. th valuation grouping?	• • •							
4. 5.	If the cost a local market in Tables provided Are individual Yes Describe the market	pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the by the CAMA vendor are utilized and depreciation tables developed for eac	aty develop the depreciat tables provided by the CAM are adjusted as needed. th valuation grouping?	• • •							
4. 5. 6. 7.	If the cost a local market in Tables provided Are individual Yes Describe the market	pproach is used, does the Coun formation or does the county use the by the CAMA vendor are utilized and depreciation tables developed for eac ethodology used to determine the cor	nty develop the deprecian tables provided by the CAM are adjusted as needed. The valuation grouping?	• • •							

County Overview

Greeley County is located in central Nebraska near the southeastern edge of the Sandhills region. Four small villages make up the communities in the county. Greeley, the county seat, is located on U.S. Highway 281, approximately 50 miles north of Grand Island. The economy is strongly agricultural; the largest employers are retail-based farm and ranch suppliers. The primary trade centers are Spalding and Greeley.

Description of Analysis

There are 167 improved commercial parcels in Greeley County that are represented by 43 different occupancy codes. Half of the commercial properties in the county are in three occupancy codes. There is not an organized commercial market, and differing market influences have not been identified. Only nine qualified commercial sales occurred during the study period; the sample is considered unrepresentative of the commercial population and not reliable to indicate the level of value within the county.

A complete revaluation was completed for assessment year 2011. Annually, the assessor conducts a market analysis to identify necessary adjustments or assessment actions; only routine maintenance was completed this year. A review of the sales file and abstract supports those actions.

Sales Qualification

The Department completed a sales verification review for all counties in 2013. All non-qualified sales were reviewed to ensure the reasons for disqualification were sufficient and documented. Measurement was done utilizing all available information. The review determined no apparent bias in determining qualification of sales, and that all arm's length sales were made available for the measurement of real property in the county.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The Department conducts a yearly analysis of one-third of the counties within the state to systematically review assessment practices. This review was conducted in Greeley County in 2012. Based on the information available it has been determined that the assessment practices are reliable and applied consistently. It is believed the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner.

Level of Value

There is no information available to indicate that Greeley County has not met an acceptable level of value. Based on the consideration of all available information and acceptable assessment practices, the level of value is determined to be 100% of market value for the commercial class of property.

County 39 - Page 18

2014 Agricultural Assessment Actions for Greeley County

All sales are reviewed through research of the deed, supplemental questionnaires to buyers and sellers and on-site reviews of the property as deemed appropriate. Additional resources such as attorneys and real estate agents are utilized in this process to acquire more accurate information concerning sales. Permits are logged and reviewed for specific property activities and notable changes to the property valuations.

Annually the county conducts a market analysis that includes the qualified agricultural land sales that occurred in the current study period (October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2013). Sales were plotted on a large soil map to assist in the market analysis. The review and analysis is done to identify any adjustments or other assessment actions that are necessary to properly value the agricultural land class of real property. This analysis included a joint review with the field liaison of the sales file for each market area to determine proportionality, representativeness and adequacy of the sales. After completing the analysis, sales were added in conformance with the agricultural land analysis procedure for each market area.

All acres in the Conservation Reserve Program are tracked and valued giving consideration to the individual sub-class. Additionally, other sub-classes of irrigated grass and Wetlands Reserve Program acres and sales are followed and values adjusted as needed according to their own market.

Annually, the county conducts the pick-up of new construction of the agricultural improvements and updates of any known land use changes in a timely manner. Continued working with the Natural Resource Districts in a cooperative effort focused on coordinating the irrigated acres on the records with the corresponding NRD and FSA records, as available.

The county continues to try to review a portion of the county to meet the required 6 year inspection process.

Market Areas 1 & 2 received an increase in irrigated, dry land and grassland values.

2014 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Greeley County

1.	Valuation da	ta collection done by:						
	Assessor staf	f						
2.	List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique.							
	Market Description of unique characteristics Area							
	01	This market area includes the northwesterly portion of Greeley County. The area is typical "sandhills" with excessively drained sandy soils. This area includes center pivot irrigation development which must be approved by county zoning where topography, soils and water table allow irrigated farming. This area is distinctively different to the remainder of the county.						
	02	This market area includes all of Greeley County not included in Market Area 1. It includes the North Loup River valley to the southwest and Cedar River valley to the northeast. This area has a significant amount of uplands, silty soils, with center pivot irrigation development scattered throughout the area. Both the North Loup and Cedar River valleys have been extensively developed for gravity and center pivot irrigation.						
3.	Describe the	process used to determine and monitor market areas.						
	The market characteristic	areas are developed by topography, similar soil characteristics, and geographic s.						
4.	Describe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreational land in the county apart from agricultural land.							
	influences in	ntial/recreational land is identified by size of parcel, residence, and non-agricultural n the market. Questionnaires from buyers/owners are also used to determine the eir land. Value is then based upon selling prices of vacant land.						
5.	Do farm ho the market d	ome sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites? If not, what are lifferences?						
	Yes							
6.	Describe th characteristi							
	County has	aral influences are identified by monitoring and reviewing sales; however, Greeley had little, if any, non-agricultural influence, with the understanding that recreation is use on all classes of property.						
7.		al valuation applications been filed in the county? If a value difference is escribe the process used to develop the uninfluenced value.						
	No							
8.		e, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parcels enrolled in the erve Program.						
		rified and values are set by using the value of current class of grass for the soil type						

County	Mkt Area	1A1	1A	2A1	2A	3A1	3A	4A1	4A	WEIGHTED AVG IRR
Greeley	1	N/A	3,220	3,220	3,220	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,019	3,165
Greeley	2	N/A	4,390	4,235	3,480	3,400	3,300	3,270	3,008	3,678
Garfield	1	N/A	3,520	3,060	2,660	2,610	2,500	1,580	1,530	2,355
Wheeler	1	3,650	3,645	3,400	3,220	3,110	3,095	3,025	2,830	3,024
Boone	1	5,530	5,318	5,115	5,073	4,915	4,919	4,255	3,745	4,927
Boone	2	3,965	3,672	3,635	3,782	3,652	3,275	3,220	2,832	3,324
Nance	1	3,773	3,550	3,435	3,322	3,205	3,032	2,664	2,598	3,254
Howard	7300	4,300	4,300	3,900	3,850	3,400	3,200	2,950	2,950	3,819
Sherman	1	N/A	3,600	3,470	3,470	3,350	3,350	3,270	3,267	3,391
Valley	1	N/A	4,600	4,600	3,480	3,045	3,045	2,400	2,400	3,700
County	Mkt Area	1D1	1D	2D1	2D	3D1	3D	4D1	4D	WEIGHTED AVG DRY
Greeley	1	N/A	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,470	1,470	1,050	840	1,284
Greeley	2	N/A	2,450	2,424	2,350	2,150	1,950	1,748	1,600	2,003
Garfield	1	N/A	1,370	1,215	1,165	1,050	945	845	740	1,020
Wheeler	1	1,555	1,540	1,365	1,300	1,280	1,175	1,105	1,050	1,190
Boone	1	5,005	5,001	4,101	4,063	4,010	4,026	3,550	3,554	4,160
Boone	2	1,950	1,934	1,317	1,446	1,177	1,184	974	921	1,193
Nance	1	2,599	2,600	2,572	2,494	2,453	2,385	2,390	2,250	2,474
Howard	7300	1,900	1,900	1,800	1,800	1,700	1,600	1,500	1,400	1,683
Sherman	1	N/A	1,815	1,725	1,725	1,630	1,630	1,540	1,539	1,619
Valley	1	N/A	1,955	1,955	1,955	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,465	1,709
County	Mkt Area	1G1	1G	2G1	2G	3G1	3G	4G1	4G	WEIGHTED AVG GRASS
Greeley	1	N/A	645	585	554	555	528	510	505	511
Greeley	2	N/A	1,004	943	934	903	874	840	822	838
Garfield	1	N/A	630	630	630	585	555	491	404	436
Wheeler	1	960	945	820	745	770	705	612	521	582
Boone	1	1,248	1,378	1,144	1,116	1,237	1,239	1,062	1,060	1,158
Boone	2	730	786	730	651	663	557	505	520	535
Nance	1	961	968	934	925	924	890	899	865	889
Howard	7300	1,000	1,000	950	950	900	875	850	825	841
Sherman	1	N/A	851	824	821	784	782	771	770	775
Valley	1	N/A	1,091	1,091	1,072	1,090	1,050	805	793	829

Source: 2014 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX

County Overview

Greeley County is a rural area located in the north central portion of the state, near the southeast edge of the Sandhills region. The county is entirely within the Lower Loup Natural Resource District (LLNRD). Certification of irrigated acres is strictly enforced. Two rivers flow through Greeley County, the Cedar River in the northeast corner of the county and the North Loup River in the southwest corner. Gravity irrigated cropland is included in the Twin Loups Irrigation District in the southwest corner of the county. The county is made up of two market areas. Area one is in the northwest portion of the county that is primarily Sandhills. Area two is the remainder of the county, which consists of heavier, silty soils. There are noted differences in the land adjoining Greeley County. Rainfall increases to the east; soils are heavier to the west, south, and east.

Description of Analysis

Analysis of the sales during the three-year study period within the county indicated that the sample was not proportionately distributed for time or majority land use. To ensure a reliable and proportionate sample, the analysis was expanded using comparable sales from surrounding counties to ensure proportionality for both time and majority land use. A total of 66 sales were used in the analysis; sales were proportionately distributed and representative of majority land use.

The assessment actions taken by the assessor reflect adjustments typical for this region in the state and resulted in values that compare well to adjoining counties. The statistics support than an overall acceptable level of value has been attained. There is some disparity in the 95% and 80% medians for grass in market area two; the median drops nearly ten percentage points when two sales are added. The magnitude of change with the addition of so few sales, suggests that the numbers should not be relied upon. The county increased grassland at a rate that was typical in this area, resulting in values that are comparable to all adjoining counties. Grassland in area two is believed to be valued within the acceptable range.

Sales Qualification

A review of the non-qualified sales roster demonstrated no apparent bias exists in the determination of qualified sales, and that all arm's length transactions were made available for the measurement of real property in the county. It has been determined that the county utilized an acceptable portion of available sales and there is no evidence of excessive trimming in the file.

Equalization and Quality of Assessment

The values established by the assessor have created equalization within the county and with the surrounding counties. The quality of assessment of agricultural land has been determined to be in compliance with professionally accepted mass appraisal standards.

Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value of agricultural property in Greeley County is 70%.

Statistical Reports

											Page 1 of 2
39 Greeley				PAD 2014	I R&O Statisti Qua	i cs (Using 20 Ilified	14 Values)				
RESIDENTIAL				Date Range:	10/1/2011 To 9/3	0/2013 Poste	d on: 1/1/2014				
Number of Sales : 51		MED	0IAN: 95			COV: 37.87			95% Median C.I. :	90.50 to 100.10	
Total Sales Price: 2,289,850		WGT. M	EAN: 90			STD: 37.45		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	82.60 to 97.21	
Total Adj. Sales Price: 2,401,849		М	EAN: 99		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 23.79			95% Mean C.I. : 8		
Total Assessed Value: 2,159,350					0						
Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 47,095		C	COD: 25.06		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 272.12					
Avg. Assessed Value: 42,340		F	PRD: 110.00		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 42.95				Printed:3/26/2014	1:24:19PM
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I		-
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	3	98.19	147.48	102.75	67.90	143.53	72.12	272.12	N/A	22,333	22,947
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	5	94.83	100.96	83.62	30.29	120.74	54.53	155.50	N/A	73,000	61,046
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	7	94.10	98.64	91.70	11.81	107.57	76.79	136.16	76.79 to 136.16	80,464	73,786
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	5	98.68	120.36	107.75	24.77	111.70	93.45	192.47	N/A	22,140	23,855
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	9	83.81	84.90	83.06	23.59	102.22	53.80	128.78	62.30 to 107.79	46,765	38,843
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	7	91.31	93.23	102.96	22.68	90.55	42.95	144.64	42.95 to 144.64	42,359	43,614
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	7	72.36	87.74	83.61	29.95	104.94	60.86	132.25	60.86 to 132.25	60,143	50,286
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	8	101.23	96.65	90.55	16.27	106.74	58.68	122.85	58.68 to 122.85	19,688	17,828
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	20	95.97	111.98	91.31	28.96	122.64	54.53	272.12	93.20 to 120.20	55,298	50,492
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	31	94.71	90.45	88.70	22.38	101.97	42.95	144.64	68.16 to 103.49	41,803	37,081
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	26	94.88	98.51	88.41	21.95	111.42	53.80	192.47	83.81 to 106.00	56,148	49,638
ALL	51	94.92	98.89	89.90	25.06	110.00	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 100.10	47,095	42,340
VALUATION GROUPING										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I	• •	-
01	14	100.28	111.83	92.34	35.09	121.11	65.44	272.12	68.16 to 132.25	33,929	
02	10	92.12	87.99	90.41	14.95	97.32	58.68	111.74	66.92 to 107.79	40,339	
03	14	94.95	95.86	94.20	26.49	101.76	42.95	155.50	54.53 to 121.29	52,483	
04	9	98.68	103.74	97.88	15.85	105.99	66.85	136.16	91.31 to 128.78	35,189	34,443
05	4	77.72	80.58	74.98	15.12	107.47	60.86	106.00	N/A	118,000	
ALL	51	94.92	98.89	89.90	25.06	110.00	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 100.10	47,095	42,340
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I		
01	50	94.88	98.45	89.11	25.02	110.48	42.95	272.12	89.32 to 100.10	46,857	
06										,	,. 00
07	1	121.29	121.29	121.29	00.00	100.00	121.29	121.29	N/A	59,000	71,560
ALL	51	94.92	98.89	89.90	25.06	110.00	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 100.10	47,095	42,340

												0
39 Greeley					PAD 2014		i cs (Using 201 Ilified	4 Values)				
RESIDENTIAL					Date Range	10/1/2011 To 9/3	0/2013 Posted	on: 1/1/2014				
Number	of Sales: 51		MED	0IAN: 95			COV: 37.87			95% Median C.I.: 9	0.50 to 100.10	
Total Sal	les Price : 2,289,850)		EAN: 90			STD: 37.45		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 8	2.60 to 97.21	
Total Adj. Sa	les Price : 2,401,849)	M	EAN: 99		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 23.79			95% Mean C.I.: 8		
	ed Value : 2,159,350					0						
Avg. Adj. Sa	les Price: 47,095		C	COD: 25.06		MAX Sales I	Ratio : 272.12					
Avg. Assess	ed Value: 42,340		F	PRD: 110.00		MIN Sales I	Ratio : 42.95				Printed:3/26/2014	1:24:19PM
SALE PRICE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Low \$ Ranges	i											
Less Than	5,000	2	78.68	78.68	61.48	22.65	127.98	60.86	96.50	N/A	57,000	35,045
Less Than	15,000	12	108.07	122.21	91.99	36.86	132.85	42.95	272.12	93.45 to 155.50	17,000	15,639
Less Than	30,000	23	98.19	108.09	92.99	29.61	116.24	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 115.50	18,791	17,474
Ranges Excl. Low	\$											
Greater Than	4,999	49	94.92	99.72	91.32	25.32	109.20	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 102.36	46,691	42,638
Greater Than	14,999	39	94.10	91.72	89.71	19.33	102.24	53.80	144.64	76.79 to 98.68	56,355	50,556
Greater Than	29,999	28	93.96	91.34	89.23	20.25	102.36	53.80	144.64	74.70 to 100.10	70,345	62,766
Incremental Range	es											
0 ТО	4,999	2	78.68	78.68	61.48	22.65	127.98	60.86	96.50	N/A	57,000	35,045
5,000 TO	14,999	10	114.64	130.92	130.65	35.56	100.21	42.95	272.12	93.45 to 192.47	9,000	11,758
15,000 TO	29,999	11	94.10	92.69	93.88	17.06	98.73	62.30	128.78	65.44 to 120.20	20,745	19,476
30,000 TO	59,999	17	94.92	93.55	92.93	19.46	100.67	58.68	136.16	72.12 to 111.74	42,221	39,235
60,000 TO	99,999	7	94.71	92.90	93.97	23.58	98.86	53.80	144.64	53.80 to 144.64	75,701	71,135
100,000 TO	149,999	1	68.16	68.16	68.16	00.00	100.00	68.16	68.16	N/A	112,000	76,335
150,000 TO	249,999	2	77.72	77.72	77.80	01.20	99.90	76.79	78.65	N/A	170,000	132,265
250,000 TO	499,999	1	93.20	93.20	93.20	00.00	100.00	93.20	93.20	N/A	270,000	251,645
	999,999											
1,000,000 +	_											
ALL		51	94.92	98.89	89.90	25.06	110.00	42.95	272.12	90.50 to 100.10	47,095	42,340

											rage rorz
39 Greeley				PAD 201	4 R&O Statist	ics (Using 20 alified	014 Values)				
COMMERCIAL				Date Range	: 10/1/2010 To 9/3		ed on: 1/1/2014				
				Date Hallge					05% Madian C L + 02.0	7 to 116 00	
Number of Sales : 9			DIAN: 71			COV : 142.24			95% Median C.I.: 23.2		
Total Sales Price: 440,501			EAN: 67			STD: 167.18		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 18.8		
Total Adj. Sales Price: 430,500		M	EAN: 118		Avg. Abs	Dev: 81.28			95% Mean C.I.: -10.9	98 to 246.04	
Total Assessed Value : 290,415			COD: 114.82		MAX Sales	Ratio : 554.20					
Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 47,833 Avg. Assessed Value: 32,268			PRD: 174.22			Ratio : 22.33			Pri	nted:3/26/2014	1·24·20PM
Avg. Assessed value : 52,200			PRD. 174.22		MIIN Sales	Ralio . 22.33				100.0/20/2011	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10											
01-JAN-11 To 31-MAR-11	1	92.37	92.37	92.37	00.00	100.00	92.37	92.37	N/A	60,000	55,420
01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11	1	96.68	96.68	96.68	00.00	100.00	96.68	96.68	N/A	51,000	49,305
01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	1	116.00	116.00	116.00	00.00	100.00	116.00	116.00	N/A	18,000	20,880
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	1	22.33	22.33	22.33	00.00	100.00	22.33	22.33	N/A	20,000	4,465
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	2	30.36	30.36	26.91	23.35	112.82	23.27	37.45	N/A	97,500	26,235
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12											
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	1	554.20	554.20	554.20	00.00	100.00	554.20	554.20	N/A	10,000	55,420
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13											
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	2	57.74	57.74	68.57	22.60	84.21	44.69	70.79	N/A	38,250	26,228
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13											
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11	2	94.53	94.53	94.35	02.28	100.19	92.37	96.68	N/A	55,500	52,363
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	4	30.36	49.76	33.40	88.80	148.98	22.33	116.00	N/A	58,250	19,454
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	3	70.79	223.23	124.71	239.92	179.00	44.69	554.20	N/A	28,833	35,958
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11	3	96.68	101.68	97.37	08.15	104.43	92.37	116.00	N/A	43,000	41,868
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	4	30.36	159.31	49.94	449.64	319.00	22.33	554.20	N/A	56,250	28,089
ALL	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268
										Avg. Adj.	Δια
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAY	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg.
								MAX			Assd. Val
01	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268
ALL	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
02	1	96.68	96.68	96.68	00.00	100.00	96.68	96.68	N/A	51,000	49,305
03	8	57.74	120.14	63.53	152.75	189.11	22.33	554.20	22.33 to 554.20	47,438	30,139
04											
ALL	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268
										,	, -

Page 1 of 2

39 Greeley				PAD 201	4 R&O Statisti	cs (Using 20 lified)14 Values)						
COMMERCIAL				Date Range	: 10/1/2010 To 9/3		ed on: 1/1/2014						
Number of Sales: 9		MEL	DIAN: 71	Ũ		COV : 142.24			95% Median C.I.: 23.2	7 to 116 00			
Total Sales Price : 440,501			EAN: 67			STD: 167.18		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 18.8				
Total Adj. Sales Price : 430,500			EAN: 118			Dev: 81.28		50	95% Mean C.I. : -10.9				
Total Assessed Value : 290,415		101			/ (19. / 185.								
Avg. Adj. Sales Price: 47,833		(COD: 114.82		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 554.20							
Avg. Assessed Value: 32,268		l	PRD: 174.22		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 22.33			Pri	nted:3/26/2014	1:24:20PM		
SALE PRICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val		
Low \$ Ranges													
Less Than 5,000	1	70.79	70.79	70.79	00.00	100.00	70.79	70.79	N/A	70,000	49,550		
Less Than 15,000	2	57.74	57.74	68.57	22.60	84.21	44.69	70.79	N/A	38,250	26,228		
Less Than 30,000	4	57.74	63.45	67.95	51.85	93.38	22.33	116.00	N/A	28,625	19,450		
Ranges Excl. Low \$													
Greater Than 4,999	8	68.53	123.37	66.81	133.43	184.66	22.33	554.20	22.33 to 554.20	45,063	30,108		
Greater Than 14,999	7	92.37	134.61	67.22	105.76	200.25	22.33	554.20	22.33 to 554.20	50,571	33,994		
Greater Than 29,999	5	92.37	160.79	67.28	127.78	238.99	23.27	554.20	N/A	63,200	42,523		
Incremental Ranges													
0 TO 4,999	1	70.79	70.79	70.79	00.00	100.00	70.79	70.79	N/A	70,000	49,550		
5,000 TO 14,999	1	44.69	44.69	44.69	00.00	100.00	44.69	44.69	N/A	6,500	2,905		
15,000 TO 29,999	2	69.17	69.17	66.70	67.72	103.70	22.33	116.00	N/A	19,000	12,673		
30,000 TO 59,999	2	67.07	67.07	67.36	44.16	99.57	37.45	96.68	N/A	50,500	34,015		
60,000 TO 99,999	2	323.29	323.29	158.34	71.43	204.17	92.37	554.20	N/A	35,000	55,420		
100,000 TO 149,999	1	23.27	23.27	23.27	00.00	100.00	23.27	23.27	N/A	145,000	33,745		
150,000 TO 249,999													
250,000 TO 499,999													
500,000 TO 999,999													
1,000,000 +													
ALL	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268		
OCCUPANCY CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val		
352	1	96.68	96.68	96.68	00.00	100.00	96.68	96.68	N/A	51,000	49,305		
353	1	22.33	22.33	22.33	00.00	100.00	22.33	22.33	N/A	20,000	4,465		
406	1	44.69	44.69	44.69	00.00	100.00	44.69	44.69	N/A	6,500	2,905		
442	2	93.40	93.40	80.03	24.21	116.71	70.79	116.00	N/A	44,000	35,215		
471	4	64.91	176.82	61.63	225.64	286.91	23.27	554.20	N/A	66,250	40,828		
ALL	9	70.79	117.53	67.46	114.82	174.22	22.33	554.20	23.27 to 116.00	47,833	32,268		

Page 2 of 2

39 Greeley				PAD 2014	4 R&O Statisti		014 Values)				
AGRICULTURAL LAND				Date Range:	Qua 10/1/2010 To 9/3		ed on: 1/1/2014				
Number of Sales: 66		MEC	DIAN: 70			COV : 52.40			95% Median C.I.: 6	2 96 to 77 71	
Total Sales Price : 35,638,6	300		EAN: 68			STD: 41.67		05	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 5		
Total Adj. Sales Price : 36,521,5			EAN: 80			Dev: 25.35		95	95% Mean C.I.: 6		
Total Assessed Value : 24,935,8		IVI	EAN: 80		Avg. Abs.	Dev. 20.00			95% Mean C.I 0	9.48 10 89.58	
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 553,358		C	COD: 35.98		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 318.86					
Avg. Assessed Value : 377,816			PRD: 116.48		MIN Sales F					Printed:3/26/2014	1:24:20PM
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Qrtrs											
01-OCT-10 To 31-DEC-10	11	103.71	103.98	99.58	18.78	104.42	69.42	143.36	70.48 to 142.13	438,858	437,025
01-JAN-11 To 31-MAR-11	6	75.46	85.08	78.55	19.69	108.31	66.57	131.70	66.57 to 131.70	349,104	274,236
01-APR-11 To 30-JUN-11	6	88.71	130.77	95.31	55.86	137.20	75.03	318.86	75.03 to 318.86	332,223	316,657
01-JUL-11 To 30-SEP-11											
01-OCT-11 To 31-DEC-11	5	75.62	92.06	76.64	37.66	120.12	46.69	141.12	N/A	239,993	183,933
01-JAN-12 To 31-MAR-12	8	72.09	77.74	74.42	20.72	104.46	52.95	118.94	52.95 to 118.94	437,233	325,410
01-APR-12 To 30-JUN-12	3	62.96	66.35	63.92	14.07	103.80	54.76	81.33	N/A	373,738	238,899
01-JUL-12 To 30-SEP-12	4	52.50	53.76	46.59	19.10	115.39	42.65	67.38	N/A	650,494	303,056
01-OCT-12 To 31-DEC-12	15	58.60	61.89	62.70	22.76	98.71	45.83	141.85	48.33 to 64.55	894,234	560,660
01-JAN-13 To 31-MAR-13	3	40.47	36.64	44.99	29.87	81.44	16.59	52.85	N/A	1,063,000	478,220
01-APR-13 To 30-JUN-13	2	44.18	44.18	44.38	00.79	99.55	43.83	44.52	N/A	917,500	407,198
01-JUL-13 To 30-SEP-13	3	63.51	62.37	63.18	01.87	98.72	60.01	63.59	N/A	249,224	157,470
Study Yrs											
01-OCT-10 To 30-SEP-11	23	91.06	106.04	93.69	31.88	113.18	66.57	318.86	77.71 to 111.83	387,626	363,158
01-OCT-11 To 30-SEP-12	20	69.61	74.82	64.74	27.21	115.57	42.65	141.12	60.20 to 78.44	421,051	272,593
01-OCT-12 To 30-SEP-13	23	52.85	57.12	58.02	24.71	98.45	16.59	141.85	47.90 to 60.95	834,139	483,972
Calendar Yrs											
01-JAN-11 To 31-DEC-11	17	82.26	103.26	84.44	39.45	122.29	46.69	318.86	72.63 to 131.04	311,054	262,648
01-JAN-12 To 31-DEC-12	30	60.27	65.48	62.72	23.78	104.40	42.65	141.85	52.95 to 67.38	687,819	431,403
ALL	66	70.46	79.53	68.28	35.98	116.48	16.59	318.86	62.96 to 77.71	553,358	377,816
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
1	27	69.42	80.10	71.05	39.61	112.74	16.59	143.36	60.20 to 110.43	532,131	378,103
2	39	70.48	79.13	66.48	33.81	119.03	42.65	318.86	59.80 to 78.44	568,053	377,618
ALL	66	70.46	79.53	68.28	35.98	116.48	16.59	318.86	62.96 to 77.71	553,358	377,816

Page 1 of 2

39 Greeley				PAD 2014	R&O Statisti	ics (Using 20 Ilified	14 Values)				
AGRICULTURAL LAND				Date Range:	10/1/2010 To 9/3		d on: 1/1/2014				
Number of Sales: 66		MED	DIAN: 70			COV: 52.40			95% Median C.I.: 62.9	6 to 77.71	
Total Sales Price: 35,638	3,600	WGT. M	IEAN: 68			STD: 41.67		95	% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 59.5	4 to 77.01	
Total Adj. Sales Price: 36,521	1,599	М	IEAN: 80		Avg. Abs.	Dev: 25.35			95% Mean C.I.: 69.4		
Total Assessed Value : 24,935					0						
Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 553,35	58	(COD: 35.98		MAX Sales F	Ratio : 318.86					
Avg. Assessed Value : 377,81	16		PRD: 116.48		MIN Sales F	Ratio : 16.59			Pri	nted:3/26/2014	1:24:20PM
95%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County	4	75.04	77.89	78.88	07.26	98.74	70.43	91.06	N/A	545,206	430,034
2	4	75.04	77.89	78.88	07.26	98.74	70.43	91.06	N/A	545,206	430,034
Dry	_										
County	2	73.41	73.41	74.67	12.07	98.31	64.55	82.26	N/A	140,000	104,544
2	2	73.41	73.41	74.67	12.07	98.31	64.55	82.26	N/A	140,000	104,544
Grass	20	60.42	70.62	67.40	26 10	116 51	16 50	141 10	60.01 to 101.00	295 702	260 252
County 1	29 17	69.42	78.63 73.28	67.49 66.00	36.10 33.69	116.51	16.59	141.12 119.38	60.01 to 101.00	385,792	260,353
2	17	69.42 78.62	86.20	70.43	34.89	111.03 122.39	16.59 48.33	119.30	48.38 to 110.43 58.60 to 122.43	437,107 313,095	288,486 220,499
ALL	66	70.46	79.53	68.28	35.98	116.48	16.59	318.86	62.96 to 77.71	553,358	377,816
80%MLU By Market Area										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT.MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95%_Median_C.I.	Sale Price	Assd. Val
Irrigated											
County	26	71.16	76.93	67.85	31.82	113.38	40.47	143.36	52.95 to 78.44	752,842	510,832
1	9	71.84	94.80	76.96	52.07	123.18	40.47	143.36	52.85 to 142.13	742,719	571,566
2	17	70.48	67.47	63.13	20.91	106.87	42.65	101.63	45.94 to 78.44	758,201	478,679
Dry			<u> </u>	20.04	10.00	105.05	10.00			171,000	101000
County	4	61.61	63.04	60.01	16.82	105.05	46.69	82.26	N/A	174,808	104,900
2 Grass	4	61.61	63.04	60.01	16.82	105.05	46.69	82.26	N/A	174,808	104,900
Grass County	31	69.42	77.82	66.76	34.36	116.57	16.59	141.12	60.01 to 93.65	410,536	274,078
1	17	69.42	73.28	66.00	33.69	111.03	16.59	141.12	48.38 to 110.43	437,107	274,078
2	14	69.86	83.32	67.83	34.94	122.84	48.33	141.12	58.60 to 122.43	378,272	256,582
ALL	66	70.46	79.53	68.28	35.98	116.48	16.59	318.86	62.96 to 77.71	553,358	377,816

Page 2 of 2

County Reports

Total Real Property Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30		Records : 3,027	,	Value : 694	l,999,395	Gro	wth 3,230,513	Sum Lines 17,	25, & 41
Schedule I : Non-Agricul	tural Records								
	U	rban	Sub	oUrban	[I	Rural	T	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
1. Res UnImp Land	113	433,765	2	41,330	2	54,030	117	529,125	
2. Res Improve Land	738	1,789,880	32	791,795	39	837,995	809	3,419,670	
3. Res Improvements	749	26,108,730	34	3,350,210	61	4,225,340	844	33,684,280	
4. Res Total	862	28,332,375	36	4,183,335	63	5,117,365	961	37,633,075	1,347,256
% of Res Total	89.70	75.29	3.75	11.12	6.56	13.60	31.75	5.41	41.70
5. Com UnImp Land	25	97,835	5	38,805	0	0	30	136,640	
6. Com Improve Land	138	387,080	16	266,125	3	71,455	157	724,660	
7. Com Improvements	146	4,620,230	17	2,541,225	4	1,430,765	167	8,592,220	
8. Com Total	171	5,105,145	22	2,846,155	4	1,502,220	197	9,453,520	722,675
% of Com Total	86.80	54.00	11.17	30.11	2.03	15.89	6.51	1.36	22.37
9. Ind UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0. Ind Improve Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1. Ind Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Ind Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Ind Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	4	39,500	6	184,950	10	224,450	
4. Rec Improve Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5. Rec Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Rec Total	0	0	4	39,500	6	184,950	10	224,450	0
% of Rec Total	0.00	0.00	40.00	17.60	60.00	82.40	0.33	0.03	0.00
Res & Rec Total	862	28,332,375	40	4,222,835	69	5,302,315	971	37,857,525	1,347,256
% of Res & Rec Total	88.77	74.84	4.12	11.15	7.11	14.01	32.08	5.45	41.70
Com & Ind Total	171	5,105,145	22	2,846,155	4	1,502,220	197	9,453,520	722,675
% of Com & Ind Total	86.80	54.00	11.17	30.11	2.03	15.89	6.51	1.36	22.37
7. Taxable Total	1,033	33,437,520	62	7,068,990	73	6,804,535	1,168	47,311,045	2,069,931
% of Taxable Total	88.44	70.68	5.31	14.94	6.25	14.38	38.59	6.81	64.07

County 39 Greeley

Schedule II : Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	1	222,140	1,586,080
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	1	222,140	1,586,080
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II	1				222,140	1,586,080

Schedule III : Mineral Interest Records

Mineral Interest	Records Urba	an _{Value}	Records SubU	rban _{Value}	Records Rura	al Value	Records Tota	al Value	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule IV : Exempt Records : Non-Agricultural

-	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Exempt	136	23	41	200

Schedule V : Agricultural Records

8	Urban		Sut	oUrban	Rural			Γ	otal
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value		Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	9	258,475	52	7,434,505	1,223	348,505,570		1,284	356,198,550
28. Ag-Improved Land	5	248,145	37	10,758,645	509	235,722,605		551	246,729,395
29. Ag Improvements	2	40,830	40	2,165,385	533	42,554,190		575	44,760,405
30. Ag Total							J	1,859	647,688,350

County 39 Greeley

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	ords :Non-Agricu	ıltural Detail					
	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value)
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	1	1.00	12,000	20	22.00	255,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	19	0.00	1,428,060	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	1	0.58	290	6	6.00	13,000	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	5	7.49	13,875	35	134.30	370,790	
37. FarmSite Improvements	2	0.00	40,830	39	0.00	737,325	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches	2	0.76	0	54	140.18	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	Total Acres	Value	Growth
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	10	10.00	106,000	10	10.00	106,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	288	306.78	3,113,360	309	329.78	3,380,360	
33. HomeSite Improvements	285	0.00	13,932,190	304	0.00	15,360,250	29,105
34. HomeSite Total				314	339.78	18,846,610	
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	49	148.31	132,510	56	154.89	145,800	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	484	2,106.47	2,835,095	524	2,248.26	3,219,760	
37. FarmSite Improvements	516	0.00	28,622,000	557	0.00	29,400,155	1,131,477
38. FarmSite Total				613	2,403.15	32,765,715	
39. Road & Ditches	1,233	4,122.28	0	1,289	4,263.22	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
41. Total Section VI				927	7,006.15	51,612,325	1,160,582

Schedule VII : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

		Urban		ſ		SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0

Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban				SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		0	0.00	0
44. Market Value	0	0	0	J	0	0	0

* LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

County 39 Greeley

2014 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
46. 1A	898.95	4.10%	2,894,615	4.17%	3,220.00
47. 2A1	1,876.72	8.56%	6,043,050	8.71%	3,220.01
48. 2A	1,167.36	5.32%	3,758,900	5.42%	3,220.00
49. 3A1	1,599.42	7.29%	5,118,610	7.37%	3,200.29
50. 3A	3,021.90	13.78%	9,670,085	13.93%	3,200.00
51. 4A1	8,728.83	39.81%	27,932,255	40.24%	3,200.00
52. 4A	4,635.68	21.14%	13,996,080	20.16%	3,019.21
53. Total	21,928.86	100.00%	69,413,595	100.00%	3,165.40
Dry					
54. 1D1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
55. 1D	161.38	2.74%	282,420	3.74%	1,750.03
56. 2D1	645.83	10.97%	1,130,210	14.95%	1,750.01
57. 2D	434.00	7.37%	759,505	10.05%	1,750.01
58. 3D1	784.08	13.32%	1,152,620	15.25%	1,470.03
59. 3D	855.10	14.53%	1,256,980	16.63%	1,469.98
50. 4D1	2,160.10	36.70%	2,268,120	30.00%	1,050.01
51. 4D	845.83	14.37%	710,515	9.40%	840.02
52. Total	5,886.32	100.00%	7,560,370	100.00%	1,284.40
Grass					
53. 1G1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
54. 1G	154.43	0.21%	99,560	0.27%	644.69
55. 2G1	832.56	1.15%	486,900	1.31%	584.82
56. 2G	577.82	0.80%	320,165	0.86%	554.09
57. 3 G1	4,390.18	6.05%	2,435,175	6.56%	554.69
58. 3G	2,084.58	2.87%	1,100,645	2.97%	527.99
59. 4G1	15,055.73	20.75%	7,675,125	20.69%	509.78
70. 4G	49,456.50	68.17%	24,976,645	67.33%	505.02
71. Total	72,551.80	100.00%	37,094,215	100.00%	511.28
Irrigated Total	21,928.86	21.85%	69,413,595	60.85%	3,165.40
Dry Total	5,886.32	5.86%	7,560,370	6.63%	1,284.40
Grass Total	72,551.80	72.28%	37,094,215	32.52%	511.28
72. Waste	8.50	0.01%	850	0.00%	100.00
73. Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
74. Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
75. Market Area Total	100,375.48	100.00%	114,069,030	100.00%	1,136.42

County 39 Greeley

2014 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

rrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
5. 1A1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
6. 1A	21,137.90	25.14%	92,795,350	30.01%	4,390.00
7. 2A1	15,082.97	17.94%	63,876,535	20.65%	4,235.01
18. 2A	3,550.69	4.22%	12,356,385	4.00%	3,480.00
19. 3A1	3,797.76	4.52%	12,912,385	4.18%	3,400.00
50. 3A	3,141.16	3.74%	10,365,815	3.35%	3,300.00
51. 4A1	17,360.99	20.65%	56,770,495	18.36%	3,270.00
52. 4A	20,012.57	23.80%	60,189,060	19.46%	3,007.56
53. Total	84,084.04	100.00%	309,266,025	100.00%	3,678.06
Dry					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
54. 1D1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
55. 1D	4,944.12	17.83%	12,111,895	21.80%	2,449.76
56. 2D1	5,450.42	19.65%	13,213,690	23.78%	2,424.34
57. 2D	829.94	2.99%	1,950,360	3.51%	2,350.00
58. 3D1	1,179.33	4.25%	2,535,565	4.56%	2,150.00
59. 3D	560.99	2.02%	1,093,930	1.97%	1,950.00
50. 4D1	6,896.85	24.87%	12,058,335	21.70%	1,748.38
51. 4D	7,871.69	28.38%	12,594,690	22.67%	1,600.00
52. Total	27,733.34	100.00%	55,558,465	100.00%	2,003.31
Grass					
53. 1G1	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
54. 1G	4,010.74	2.87%	4,028,045	3.44%	1,004.31
5. 2G1	4,399.94	3.15%	4,150,130	3.55%	943.22
56. 2G	1,523.42	1.09%	1,423,210	1.22%	934.22
57. 3G1	1,286.36	0.92%	1,161,080	0.99%	902.61
58. 3G	1,751.01	1.25%	1,529,510	1.31%	873.50
59. 4G1	31,301.17	22.41%	26,289,985	22.46%	839.90
70. 4G	95,423.94	68.31%	78,484,970	67.04%	822.49
1. Total	139,696.58	100.00%	117,066,930	100.00%	838.01
Irrigated Total	84,084.04	33.28%	309,266,025	64.16%	3,678.06
Dry Total	27,733.34	10.98%	55,558,465	11.53%	2,003.31
Grass Total	139,696.58	55.29%	117,066,930	24.29%	838.01
2. Waste	1,155.76	0.46%	115,575	0.02%	100.00
73. Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
74. Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
75. Market Area Total	252,669.72	100.00%	482,006,995	100.00%	1,907.66

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Ū	Jrban	Subl	Jrban	Ru	ral	Tota	ıl
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	36.96	133,550	3,187.41	11,958,580	102,788.53	366,587,490	106,012.90	378,679,620
77. Dry Land	96.27	203,905	956.48	1,796,660	32,566.91	61,118,270	33,619.66	63,118,835
78. Grass	169.85	143,000	4,995.44	3,785,830	207,083.09	150,232,315	212,248.38	154,161,145
79. Waste	0.00	0	132.90	13,290	1,031.36	103,135	1,164.26	116,425
80. Other	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
81. Exempt	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
82. Total	303.08	480,455	9,272.23	17,554,360	343,469.89	578,041,210	353,045.20	596,076,025

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	106,012.90	30.03%	378,679,620	63.53%	3,572.01
Dry Land	33,619.66	9.52%	63,118,835	10.59%	1,877.44
Grass	212,248.38	60.12%	154,161,145	25.86%	726.32
Waste	1,164.26	0.33%	116,425	0.02%	100.00
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	353,045.20	100.00%	596,076,025	100.00%	1,688.38

2014 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2013 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

39 Greeley

	2013 CTL County Total	2014 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2014 form 45 - 2013 CTL)	Percent Change	2014 Growth (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	35,485,335	37,633,075	2,147,740	6.05%	1,347,256	2.26%
02. Recreational	224,450	224,450	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	17,547,560	18,846,610	1,299,050	7.40%	29,105	7.24%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	53,257,345	56,704,135	3,446,790	6.47%	1,376,361	3.89%
05. Commercial	8,796,390	9,453,520	657,130	7.47%	722,675	-0.75%
06. Industrial	0	0	0		0	
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	28,538,240	32,765,715	4,227,475	14.81%	1,131,477	10.85%
08. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	37,334,630	42,219,235	4,884,605	13.08%	1,854,152	8.12%
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	90,591,975	98,923,370	8,331,395	9.20%	3,230,513	5.63%
11. Irrigated	280,869,715	378,679,620	97,809,905	34.82%	, D	
12. Dryland	38,689,980	63,118,835	24,428,855	63.14%	, D	
13. Grassland	110,916,340	154,161,145	43,244,805	38.99%	Ď	
14. Wasteland	272,550	116,425	-156,125	-57.28%)	
15. Other Agland	0	0	0			
16. Total Agricultural Land	430,748,585	596,076,025	165,327,440	38.38%)	
17. Total Value of all Real Property (Locally Assessed)	521,340,560	694,999,395	173,658,835	33.31%	3,230,513	32.69%

2013 PLAN OF ASSESSMENT FOR GREELEY COUNTY Assessment Years 2014, 2015 and 2016

Plan of Assessment Requirements:

Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1311.02 (2007), on or before June 15 each year, the assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment, (herein after referred to as the "plan"), which describes the assessment actions planned for the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor shall present the plan to the county board of equalization and the assessor may amend the plan, if necessary, after any changes are made by either the appraiser or county board. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (Reissue 2003).

Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1) 100% of actual value for all classes of real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land;
- 2) 75% of actual value for agricultural land and horticultural land; and
- 3) 75% of special value for agricultural and horticultural land which meets the qualifications for special valuation under §77-1344.

Reference, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-201 (2009).

General Description of Real Property in Greeley County:

Per the 2013 County Abstract, Greeley County consists of 3,005 parcels with the following real property types:

	Parcels	% of Total Parcels	% of Taxable Value Base
Residential	946	31.48%	6.79%
Commercial	192	6.39%	1.96%
Industrial	NA	NA	NA
Recreational	10	.33%	.04%
Agricultural	1,857	61.80%	91.21%
Special Value	NA	NA	NA

Agricultural land - taxable acres: 353,014.14

Other pertinent facts: Approximately 95% acres of the county is agricultural land and of that 61% is grassland, 29% is irrigated cropland and 10% consists of dry cropland and waste.

Current Resources:

A. Staff –one Assessor, one Deputy Assessor, and one Staff Assistant. The assessor is required to obtain 60 hours of continuing education every 4 years. The Deputy is also required to meet the same required education. Both attend workshops and meetings to further their knowledge of the assessment field.

The Assessor is also licensed with the Nebraska Real Property Appraiser Board and is required to obtain 28 hours of continuing education every two years.

B. Cadastral Maps –

The Greeley County cadastral maps were originally done in 1969. The assessment staff maintains the cadastral maps. All changes such as annexation and parcel splits are kept up to date, as well as ownership transfers.

- C. Property Record Cards quantity and quality of property information, current listings, photo, sketches, etc. A concentrated effort towards a "paperless" property record card is in effect. Greeley County Assessment Office went on-line June, 2006 with the property record information.
- D. Software for CAMA, Assessment Administration. Greeley County uses the MIPS software for CAMA and Assessment Administration. Greeley County does have a GIS system.
- E. Web based property record information access Property record information is available at: http://greeley.gisworkshop.com and www.nebraskaassessorsonline.us

F. GIS software is used to measure rural parcels to aid the conversion from old alpha soil symbols to new numeric symbols. This change was completed for tax year 2010. This software program is also beneficial in processing splits of property.

Current Assessment Procedures for Real Property:

- A. <u>Discover, List & Inventory all property</u> Real estate transfers are entered into the computer sales file which changes the ownership on the property record card and ownership changes are made on the cadastral maps as each transfer statement is processed. Sales questionnaires are sent to both the buyer and seller for further sales analysis. Telephone calls are sometimes made to realtors, attorneys and brokers when further information is needed. The appraisal staff reviews the sales, takes new pictures, and checks the accuracy of the data we currently are using, and visits with property owners whenever possible. Current photos are taken and later entered in the CAMA system. Building permits and information statements are received from city and county zoning personnel, individual taxpayers, and from personal knowledge of changes to the property are entered in the computer for later review.
- B. <u>Data Collection</u> In accordance with Neb. Statute 77-1311.03 the county is working to ensure that all parcels of real property are reviewed no less frequently than every six years. Further, properties are reviewed as deemed necessary from analysis of the market conditions with each Assessor Location. These are onsite inspections. The market areas are reviewed annually and compared for equity between like classes of property as well as other classes. If necessary a market boundary will be adjusted to more accurately reflect the market activity. The statistics of the assessor locations are also reviewed annually to determine if new adjustments are necessary to stay current with the sales and building activity that is taking place.

The permit and sales review system offer opportunity for individual property reviews annually. Working with agricultural property owners or tenants with land certification requirements between the Farm Service Agency and the Natural Resource District provides updates for changes.

C. <u>Review assessment sales ratio studies before assessment actions –</u> Sales ratio studies are done on an ongoing basis to stay informed with trends in the market. This information is reviewed several times throughout the year. For each assessor location and market area consideration is given to the number of sales in the study and the time frames of the parcel data. Analysis of this data is reviewed with the assigned Field Liaison and the plan of action for the year is developed.

D. Approaches to Value

1) Market Approach; sales comparisons – Similar properties are studied to determine if and what actions will be necessary for the upcoming year.

2) Cost Approach; cost manual used & date of manual and latest depreciation study-

The MIPS CAMA system is used for costing and applying market depreciation. Marshall & Swift cost manuals are updated when appropriate to revaluing and introducing updated depreciation tables. The latest depreciation study varies by assessor location and property class.

3) Income Approach; income and expense data collection/analysis from the market -

Gather income information as available on commercial properties. Rental income has been requested from residential rental property owners. The income approach generally is not used since income/expense data is not readily available.

4) Land valuation studies, establish market areas, special value for agricultural land -

Sales are plotted on a map indicate to the land use at 80% of each class i.e. irrigation, grassland, or dry cropland with the selling price per acre listed. Analysis is completed for agricultural sales based on but not limited to the following components: Number of sales, time frame of sales, and number of acres sold. Further review is completed in an attempt to make note of any difference in price paid per acre to be classed as special value.

- E. <u>Reconciliation of Final Value and documentation</u> The market is analyzed based on the standard approaches to value with the final valuation based on the most appropriate method.
- F. <u>Review assessment sales ratio studies after assessment actions</u> Sales assessment ratios are reviewed after final values are applied to the sales base within all sub-classes and classes of properties and then applied to the entire population of properties within the sub-classes and classes within the county. Finally a unit of comparison analysis is completed to insure uniformity with the class or sub-class.
- G. <u>Notices and Public Relations</u> Notice of Valuation Changes are mailed to property owners on or before June 1st of each year. These are mailed to the last known address of property owners. The appraisal staff is available to answer any questions or concerns from the taxpayers with support from the assessment staff as needed.

Level of Value, Quality, and Uniformity for assessment year 2013:

Property Class	Median	COD*	PRD*
Residential	97%	24.55	124.44
Commercial	NEI	0	0
Agricultural Land	70%	31.77	111.36
Special Value Agland	N/A	N∖A	N\A

*COD means coefficient of dispersion and PRD means price related differential. For more information regarding statistical measures see 2013 Reports & Opinions.

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2014:

<u>Residential (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete the review and revaluation of the villages of Spalding Greeley and Scotia. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes. And last but not least correct data on the CAMA system to correct errors contained in the conversion and review all data on file.

<u>Commercial (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes. And last but not least correct data on the CAMA system to correct errors contained in the conversion and review all data on file.

<u>Agricultural Land (and/or subclasss)</u>: Update sales to the current study period for the 2014 assessment year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for 2014. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Begin a new cycle of the rural review of Greeley County. This includes onsite inspections and new photos of the houses and outbuildings for assessment year 2014. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes. And last but not least correct data on the CAMA system to correct errors contained in the conversion and review all data on file.

<u>Special Value – Agricultural</u>: Review sales within the current study period for a use other than agricultural. If so determine special value area and steps to implement.

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2015:

<u>Residential (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete the rural review of Greeley County. This includes onsite inspections and new photos of the houses and outbuildings for assessment year 2015. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Commercial (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Agricultural Land (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Special Value – Agricultural</u>: Review sales within the current study period for a use other than agricultural. If so determine special value area and steps to implement.

Assessment Actions Planned for Assessment Year 2016:

<u>Residential (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Commercial (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Agricultural Land (and/or subclasses</u>): Update sales to the current study period for the coming year. Review statistics for any needed changes to remain in compliance for the coming year. Review sales transactions and buyer/seller questionnaires to determine which sales warrant an onsite review. Complete annual pickup work specific to permits, information statements and other relevant notification of property changes.

<u>Special Value – Agricultural</u> – Review sales within the current study period for a use other than agricultural. If so determine special value area and steps to implement.

Other functions performed by the assessor's office, but not limited to:

- 1. Record Maintenance, Mapping updates, & Ownership changes
- 2. Annually prepare and file Assessor Administrative Reports required by law/regulation:
 - a. Abstract of Real Property
 - b. Assessor Survey
 - c. Sales information to PAD rosters & annual Assessed Value Update w/Abstract
 - d. Certification of Value to Political Subdivisions
 - e. School District Taxable Value Report
 - f. Homestead Exemption Tax Loss Report (in conjunction with Treasurer)
 - g. Certificate of Taxes Levied Report
 - h. Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Education Lands & Funds
 - i. Report of all Exempt Property and Taxable Government Owned Property
 - j. Annual Plan of Assessment Report
- 3. Personal Property; administer annual filing of schedules; prepare subsequent notices for incomplete filings or failure to file and penalties applied, as required.
- 4. Permissive Exemptions: administer annual filings of applications for new or continued exempt use, review and make recommendations to county board.
- 5. Taxable Government Owned Property annual review of government owned property not used for public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.
- 6. Homestead Exemptions; administer annual filings of applications, approval/denial process, taxpayer notifications, and taxpayer assistance.
- 7. Centrally Assessed review of valuations as certified by Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division for railroads and public service entities, establish assessment records and tax billing for tax list.
- 8. Tax Districts and Tax Rates management of school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information; input/review of tax rates used for tax billing process.
- 9. Tax Lists; prepare and certify tax lists to county treasurer for real property, personal property, and centrally assessed property.
- 10. Tax List Corrections prepare tax list correction documents for county board approval.
- 11. County Board of Equalization attend county board of equalization meetings for valuation protests assemble and provide information

- 12. Tax Equalization and Review Commission Appeals appraiser prepares information and attends taxpayer appeal hearings before the Commission, defend valuation.
- 13. Tax Equalization and Review Commission Statewide Equalization appraiser attends hearings if applicable to county, defend values, and/or implement orders of the Commission.
- 14. Education: Assessor and/or Appraiser Education attend meetings, workshops, and educational classes to obtain required hours of continuing education to maintain assessor certification and/or appraiser license, etc. Retention of the assessor certification requires 60 hours of approved continuing education every four years. Retention of the appraiser license requires 28 hours of continuing education every two years.

Conclusion:

With all the entities of county government that utilize the assessment records in their operation, it is paramount for this office to constantly work toward perfection in record keeping.

With the continual review of all properties, records will become more accurate, and values will be assessed more equally and fairly across the county. With a well-developed plan in place, this process can flow more smoothly. Sales review will continue to be important in order to adjust for market areas in the county.

Respectfully submitted:

Joan M Goodrich Assessor For Greeley County

A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff:
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff:
	0
3.	Other full-time employees:
	0
4.	Other part-time employees:
	2
5.	Number of shared employees:
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year:
	\$143,097
7.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above:
	\$117,305
8.	Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work:
	\$0
9.	If appraisal/reappraisal budget is a separate levied fund, what is that amount:
	n/a
10.	Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system:
	\$10,700
11.	Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops:
	\$3,850
12.	Other miscellaneous funds:
	\$7,460
13.	Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used:
	\$14,864

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software:
	MIPS
2.	CAMA software:
	MIPS
3.	Are cadastral maps currently being used?
	Yes
4.	If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assessor and staff
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes
6.	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address?
6.	
6. 7.	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address?
	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address? Yes - http://greeley.gisworkshop.com/
	Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address? Yes - http://greeley.gisworkshop.com/ Who maintains the GIS software and maps?

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Scotia, Spalding, Greeley, and Wolbach
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	Spalding - 1998; Scotia and Greeley - 1999; Wolbach - 2008

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services:
	n/a
2.	GIS Services:
	GIS Workshop Inc
3.	Other services:
	n/a

E. Appraisal /Listing Services

1.	Does the county employ outside help for appraisal or listing services?
	No
2.	If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract?
	n/a
3.	What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require?
	None
4.	Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA?
	n/a
5.	Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county?
	n/a

Certification

This is to certify that the 2014 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

One copy by electronic transmission to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission.

One copy by electronic transmission to the Greeley County Assessor.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2014.

Ruth a. Sorensen

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator



County 39 - Page 54