### **Table of Contents**

### **2009 Commission Summary**

### 2009 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

### **Residential Reports**

Preliminary Statistics Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

#### **Residential Correlation**

Residential Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions
- VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

### **Commercial Reports**

Preliminary Statistics Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

#### **Commercial Correlation**

Commercial Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

### **Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports**

Preliminary Statistics
Agricultural Assessment Actions
Agricultural Assessment Survey
R&O Statistics
2009 Special Valuation Methodology

### **Agricultural or Special Valuation Correlation**

Agricultural or Special Valuation Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

### **County Reports**

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

2009 County Agricultural Land Detail

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2008

Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment

Assessment Survey – General Information

#### Certification

### Maps

Market Areas Registered Wells > 500 GPM Geo Codes Soil Classes

### **Valuation History Charts**

## 2009 Commission Summary

### 76 Saline

### **Residential Real Property - Current**

Number of Sales	235	COD	7.31
Total Sales Price	\$23,197,379	PRD	101.30
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$23,199,179	COV	12.20
Total Assessed Value	\$22,040,080	STD	11.74
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$98,720	Avg. Absolute Deviation	7.02
Avg. Assessed Value	\$93,788	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$71,682
Median	96	Wgt. Mean	95
Mean	96	Max	163
Min	37.36		

### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	95.18 to 96.89
95% Mean C.I	94.74 to 97.74
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	93.76 to 96.24
% of Value of the Class of all Re	al Property Value in t
70 Of Value of the Class of all Re	ar i roperty varue in t
% of Records Sold in the Study F	

## **Residential Real Property - History**

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	296	98	9.83	102.15
2007	343	99	13.3	104.03
2006	428	95	18.23	105.49
2005	415	94	16.81	104.9

## 2009 Commission Summary

#### 76 Saline

### **Commercial Real Property - Current**

Number of Sales	32	COD	12.35
Total Sales Price	\$4,514,200	PRD	93.89
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$4,068,765	COV	24.29
Total Assessed Value	\$4,401,530	STD	24.67
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$127,149	Avg. Absolute Deviation	12.20
Avg. Assessed Value	\$137,548	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$211,483
Median	99	Wgt. Mean	108
Mean	102	Max	171
Min	44		

### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	95.48 to 100.44
95% Mean C.I	93.02 to 110.11
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	90.53 to 125.83

% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County

% of Records Sold in the Study Period

4.72

% of Value Sold in the Study Period

3.07

### **Commercial Real Property - History**

Year	<b>Number of Sales</b>	Median	COD	PRD
2008	38	99	14.98	96.32
2007	44	99	19.44	96.52
2006	43	99	21.5	87.68
2005	46	99	16.02	90.28

## 2009 Commission Summary

### 76 Saline

### **Agricultural Land - Current**

Number of Sales	59	COD	16.91
Total Sales Price	\$11,470,823	PRD	104.71
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$11,771,863	COV	23.08
Total Assessed Value	\$8,177,980	STD	16.79
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$199,523	Avg. Absolute Deviation	12.31
Avg. Assessed Value	\$138,610	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$154,643
Median	73	Wgt. Mean	69
Mean	73	Max	134.67
Min	40.31		

### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	67.56 to 76.34
95% Mean C.I	68.46 to 77.03
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	64.00 to 74.94
% of Value of the Class of	f all Real Property Va
% of Records Sold in the S	Study Period
% of Value Sold in the Stu	udy Period

### **Agricultural Land - History**

Year	<b>Number of Sales</b>	Median	COD	PRD
2008	59	72	13.3	100.94
2007	49	72	16.38	104.58
2006	60	75	16.21	103.56
2005	64	77	14.02	101.72

# 2009 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Saline County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within this Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. The resource used regarding the quality of assessment for each class of real property in this county are the performance standards issued by the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

### **Residential Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Saline County is 96.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Saline County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

### **Commercial Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Saline County is 99.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Saline County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

### Agricultural Land or Special Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural or special value land in Saline County is 73.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Saline County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR ADMINISTRATOR

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrato

Kuth a. Sovensen

**Base Stat PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** PAGE:1 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY

76 - SALINE COUNTY				PAD 2009	) Prelim	<u>inary Statistics</u>		Dasc 5	tat		11102.1 01 0
RESIDENTIAL		_			Type: Qualifi	•				State Stat Run	
						age: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	250	MEDIAN:	97					0 . 00 50	
	les Price		,118,479	WGT. MEAN:	91 96	COV:	15.87		Median C.I.: 95.7		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sa			,107,279	MEAN:	97	STD:	15.46		. Mean C.I.: 94.4 % Mean C.I.: 95.		
TOTAL Assess			,169,560	PHI ALV	57	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.77	95	* Mean C.I 95.	50 to 99.34	
AVG. Adj. Sa		•	96,429	COD:	10.05	MAX Sales Ratio:	177.38				
AVG. Assess			92,678	PRD:	101.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 01/22/2	2000 23:02:51
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	42	97.45	95.43	95.31	10.0	8 100.13	37.36	129.54	95.39 to 99.73	90,425	86,183
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	29	98.87	100.07	98.21	7.6		81.22	135.65	94.27 to 99.97	103,431	101,578
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	25	99.80	99.81	99.25	6.5		62.43	117.60	98.26 to 100.63	90,247	89,567
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	41	94.92	93.30	93.59	7.4		59.77	112.71	91.71 to 98.43	101,552	95,046
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	44	94.95	98.08	95.03	12.7	0 103.21	50.34	177.38	92.68 to 99.41	94,520	89,823
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	23	98.35	99.42	96.64	8.2	9 102.87	68.98	142.90	93.57 to 101.94	116,869	112,941
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	19	93.84	97.59	97.32	12.7	2 100.28	64.86	128.72	90.16 to 108.70	83,821	81,573
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	27	98.86	98.82	96.64	13.6	0 102.26	59.65	167.30	87.26 to 105.01	90,762	87,711
Study Years											
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	137	97.70	96.57	96.10	8.3	6 100.49	37.36	135.65	95.70 to 98.92	96,475	92,711
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	113	96.67	98.45	96.12	12.0	9 102.42	50.34	177.38	93.84 to 99.17	96,372	92,637
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	133	97.07	97.16	95.62	9.3	8 101.61	50.34	177.38	94.90 to 98.55	99,749	95,383
ALL											
	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	1	82.27	82.27	82.27			82.27	82.27	N/A	15,000	12,340
AREA 4500	3	79.85	69.71	66.75	22.7		37.36	91.91	N/A	101,000	67,420
AREA 4505	2	79.63	79.63	81.97	14.7	1 97.14	67.91	91.34	N/A	125,000	102,457
AREA 4510	3	85.13	82.74	81.79	9.8	4 101.17	68.98	94.12	N/A	147,500	120,636
CRETE	134	98.14	97.71	97.41	7.5	1 100.31	59.65	177.38	96.35 to 99.17	109,395	106,563
DEWITT	13	96.69	102.82	99.00	12.8		81.22	129.11	92.76 to 125.84	75,192	74,440
OORCHESTER	16	96.33	95.01	95.51	4.9		70.76	105.01	93.01 to 99.37	75,375	71,992
FRIEND	28	94.91	92.86	89.62	15.8	3 103.61	50.34	142.90	83.57 to 99.16	89,657	80,355
SWANTON	1	97.48	97.48	97.47			97.48	97.48	N/A	20,000	19,495
TOBIAS	1	115.55	115.55	115.55			115.55	115.55	N/A	5,500	6,355
WESTERN	5	99.73	102.57	102.74	7.3		92.48	124.35	N/A	33,600	34,522
WILBER	40	99.64	101.97	99.97	11.6		73.76	167.30	93.82 to 101.96	87,585	87,557
Y-B.R.L.	2	100.91	100.91	81.16	34.4	3 124.33	66.16	135.65	N/A	23,165	18,800
Y-CABIN	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	700	700
ALL											
	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678

Base Stat PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics PAGE:2 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY

RESIDENTIAL						<u>mary Stausucs</u>				State Stat Run	
					Type: Qualifie Date Ran	:u ge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUM	BER of Sales	:	250	MEDIAN:	97					2 +- 00 50	
	Sales Price		,118,479	WGT. MEAN:	96	COV:	15.87		Median C.I.: 95.70		(!: Derived)
	.Sales Price		,107,279	MEAN:	97	STD:	15.46		. Mean C.I.: 94.49		
•	sessed Value		,169,560	HIDAIN.	5,	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.77	95	% Mean C.I.: 95.	50 to 99.34	
	Sales Price		96,429	COD:	10.05	MAX Sales Ratio:	177.38				
	sessed Value		92,678	PRD:	101.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 01/22/2	0000 23:02:51
LOCATIONS: URBAN										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	237	97.70	98.15	96.99	9.4		50.34	177.38	96.42 to 98.92	96,724	93,809
2	6	79.91	81.96	72.04	31.0		37.36	135.65	37.36 to 135.65	74,221	53,472
3	7	85.13	85.96	83.41	9.1		68.98	100.00	68.98 to 100.00	105,457	87,966
ALL	,	03.13	03.50	03.11	J. 1.	103.03	00.50	100.00	00.90 00 100.00	103/13/	0,,500
ADD	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
STATUS: IMPROVED				70.11	10.0	101.50	37.30	177.50	75.70 00 70.32	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	87.26	87.26	87.26	20.	110	87.26	87.26	N/A	117,500	102,525
1	239	97.49	97.88	96.50	9.6	4 101.44	50.34	177.38	96.08 to 98.86	99,180	95,706
2	7	94.67	81.75	64.95	15.6		37.36	100.00	37.36 to 100.00	34,071	22,130
3	3	100.00	100.60	81.44	23.1		66.16	135.65	N/A	15,676	12,766
ALL	5	100.00	100.00	01.11	23.1	123.33	00.10	133.03	N/A	13,070	12,700
ADD	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
PROPERTY TYPE *		37.23	77.12	70.11	10.0	101.50	37.30	177.50	75.70 00 70.32	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	245	97.23	97.62	96.40	9.6		50.34	177.38	95.68 to 98.52	97,537	94,029
06	4	83.08	84.79	50.47	39.7		37.36	135.65	N/A	39,507	19,941
07	1	99.97	99.97	99.97	33.7	107.55	99.97	99.97	N/A	52,500	52,485
ALL	_	22.21	33.31	22.21			22.27	22.21	N/ A	52,500	32,103
ADD	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
SCHOOL DISTRICT		77.23	37.12	70.11	10.0	101.50	37.30	177.30	75.70 00 70.52	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	COONT	TIDDITIN	THE LIV	WOI. THERE	20.	110	1111	11111	Journal C.I.		
30-0001	1	82.27	82.27	82.27			82.27	82.27	N/A	15,000	12,340
48-0300	15	97.48	103.90	99.99	12.9	1 103.90	81.22	129.11	92.77 to 124.35	69,300	69,296
48-0303	5	99.73	100.81	96.38	5.6		92.48	115.55	N/A	26,300	25,348
76-0002	140	97.89	97.17	96.74	8.3		37.36	177.38	95.70 to 99.17	107,206	103,714
76-0044	16	96.33	95.01	95.51	4.9		70.76	105.01	93.01 to 99.37	75,375	71,992
76-0044	30	93.79	91.98	88.93	16.0		50.34	142.90	83.57 to 98.52	92,013	81,828
76-0082	43	98.86	100.63	97.93	12.0		68.98	167.30	93.51 to 101.94	91,765	89,865
80-0005	73	20.00	100.03	71.93	12.00	102.73	00.90	107.50	JJ.JI CO 101.94	JI, 105	0,000
NonValid School											
ALL											
АПП	250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	5 101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
	230	31.43	21.42	20.11	10.0	101.30	31.30	111.30	JJ. 10 CO 90.52	JU, 429	24,070

76 - SALINE COUNTY

PAGE: 3 of 5

RESIDENTIAL.

PAGE: 3 of 5

76 - SALINE COUNTY				PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics										
RESIDENT	IAL				State Stat Run									
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009				
	1	NUMBER of Sales	:	250	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	15.87	95%	Median C.I.: 95.7	0 to 98.52	(!: Derived)		
	TOT	TAL Sales Price	: 24	1,118,479	WGT. MEAN:	96	STD:	15.46		. Mean C.I.: 94.49		( Derirea)		
	TOTAL A	Adj.Sales Price	: 24	1,107,279	MEAN:	97	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.77	95	% Mean C.I.: 95.	50 to 99.34			
	TOTAL	Assessed Value	: 23	3,169,560										
	AVG. Ac	dj. Sales Price	:	96,429	COD:	10.05	MAX Sales Ratio:	177.38						
	AVG.	Assessed Value	:	92,678	PRD:	101.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:02:51		
YEAR BUI	LT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val		
0 OR	Blank	15	91.91	86.50	73.20	15.1	118.16	37.36	115.55	70.76 to 100.00	39,246	28,728		
Prior TO	1860													
1860 TO	1899	5	100.63	91.63	100.17	14.1	91.48	64.86	110.37	N/A	87,300	87,445		
1900 TO	1919	50	96.22	97.67	94.68	12.0	103.16	59.65	177.38	93.91 to 99.16	70,599	66,842		
1920 TO	1939	36	99.78	101.81	100.03	7.6	101.78	69.26	135.65	97.89 to 101.75	74,156	74,177		
1940 TO	1949	10	99.27	98.55	98.26	5.9	100.30	81.22	114.73	93.71 to 108.70	76,800	75,460		
1950 TO	1959	20	93.74	97.16	95.27	14.9	101.98	66.16	129.54	85.19 to 105.51	79,021	75,281		
1960 TO	1969	24	97.71	98.77	97.02	7.1	101.81	78.65	129.11	94.12 to 100.06	99,683	96,712		
1970 TO	1979	42	94.57	96.13	94.80	8.7	101.41	65.04	118.15	92.13 to 98.55	119,104	112,910		
1980 TO	1989	14	98.97	104.64	102.52	9.6	102.07	90.37	157.53	94.05 to 110.32	133,525	136,886		
1990 TO	1994	10	98.77	98.76	98.94	3.5	99.81	85.36	106.59	96.88 to 104.96	136,150	134,711		
1995 TO	1999	10	99.55	97.15	97.23	5.5	99.92	85.13	105.01	89.81 to 104.72	161,150	156,684		
2000 TO	Present	14	94.69	92.18	92.95	9.6	99.17	50.34	115.06	83.57 to 101.94	164,064	152,497		
ALL_														
		250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678		
SALE PRI	CE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val		
Low	v \$													
1 1	O	4999 1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	700	700		
5000 TC	) 9	999 2	105.44	105.44	102.73	9.5	102.63	95.32	115.55	N/A	7,500	7,705		
Tota	al \$													
1 1	O	9999 3	100.00	103.62	102.61	6.7	100.99	95.32	115.55	N/A	5,233	5,370		
10000 T	ro 2	9999 14	97.09	109.27	113.02	23.0	96.68	59.77	177.38	89.38 to 142.90	19,571	22,120		
30000 T	ro 5	9999 44	99.05	98.46	98.29	13.0	100.18	59.65	129.11	95.16 to 104.00	46,385	45,590		
60000 I	ro 9	9999 85	98.52	98.14	97.88	8.7	73 100.26	68.83	157.53	95.36 to 99.83	80,140	78,440		
100000 T	ro 14	9999 68	96.46	94.66	94.61	9.0	100.06	37.36	129.54	93.66 to 98.87	124,422	117,710		
150000 T	ro 24	9999 34	94.19	93.91	93.81	4.9	100.11	68.98	104.72	91.60 to 98.26	174,350	163,561		
250000 T	ro 49	9999 2	105.34	105.34	105.02	4.7	78 100.30	100.31	110.37	N/A	288,000	302,470		
ALL_														
		250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678		

			_									
76 - SALINE	COUNTY				PAD 2009	<b>Prelim</b>	inary Statistic	es	Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
RESIDENTIAL			_			Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	250	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV	15.87	95%	Median C.I.: 95.70	) to 98.52	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 24	,118,479	WGT. MEAN:	96	STD	15.46		. Mean C.I.: 94.49		( Bertreu)
TO	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 24	,107,279	MEAN:	97	AVG.ABS.DEV	9.77	95	% Mean C.I.: 95.5	50 to 99.34	
	OTAL Assess			,169,560								
	G. Adj. Sa			96,429	COD:	10.05	MAX Sales Ratio					
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	92,678	PRD:	101.36	MIN Sales Ratio	37.36			Printed: 01/22/2	
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_										4-		
1 TO	4999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	700	700
5000 TO	9999	2	105.44	105.44	102.73	9.5	102.63	95.32	115.55	N/A	7,500	7,705
Total \$ 1 TO	9999	3	100.00	103.62	100 61	6.7	4 100.99	95.32	115 55	N/A	5,233	F 270
10000 TO	29999	14	94.91	92.32	102.61 89.07	11.2		95.32 59.77	115.55 135.65	N/A 82.27 to 100.00	20,809	5,370 18,535
30000 TO	59999	49	97.49	97.95	93.04	16.6		37.36	177.38	93.71 to 100.83	50,124	46,634
60000 TO	99999	88	98.54	97.39	95.56	9.3		50.34	128.72	95.36 to 99.80	83,516	79,805
100000 TO	149999	69	96.58	98.10	97.25	7.6		68.98	157.53	93.84 to 98.92	129,054	125,502
150000 TO	249999	25	97.64	96.10	95.73	4.7		80.62	108.15	93.57 to 99.10	180,556	172,854
250000 TO	499999	2	105.34	105.34	105.02	4.7		100.31	110.37	N/A	288,000	302,470
ALL										,		202,210
		250	97.25	97.42	96.11	10.0	101.36	37.36	177.38	95.70 to 98.52	96,429	92,678
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		15	91.91	86.50	73.20	15.1	.2 118.16	37.36	115.55	70.76 to 100.00	39,246	28,728
10		1	73.76	73.76	73.76			73.76	73.76	N/A	90,000	66,380
20		5	99.17	95.13	96.21	6.5		78.28	102.45	N/A	62,300	59,940
25		6	97.74	98.67	94.41	14.8		66.16	135.65	66.16 to 135.65	49,388	46,625
30		154	97.21	98.13	96.06	10.9		50.34	177.38	94.92 to 98.69	85,235	81,879
35		33	99.07	97.80	97.25	4.0		83.09	115.32	96.35 to 99.72	131,134	127,522
40		32	97.10	98.99	97.35	8.8	101.68	79.85	157.53	92.68 to 101.94	138,531	134,862
45		1	99.37	99.37	99.37			99.37	99.37	N/A	133,000	132,160

0.73

10.05

98.86

37.36

110.37

99.89

101.36

100.31

110.37

177.38

N/A

N/A

95.70 to 98.52

265,500

270,000

96,429

264,695

297,990

92,678

99.59

97.42

110.37

99.70

96.11

110.37

99.59

97.25

110.37

250

50

55

\_ALL\_\_

**Base Stat** PAGE:5 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009 NUMBER of Sales: 250 **MEDIAN:** 97 95% Median C.I.: 95.70 to 98.52 COV: 15.87 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 24,118,479 WGT. MEAN: 96 STD: 15.46 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 94.49 to 97.73 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 24,107,279 MEAN: 97 95% Mean C.I.: 95.50 to 99.34 AVG.ABS.DEV: 9.77 TOTAL Assessed Value: 23,169,560 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 96,429 COD: MAX Sales Ratio: 177.38 10.05 AVG. Assessed Value: 92,678 MIN Sales Ratio: PRD: 101.36 37.36 Printed: 01/22/2009 23:02:51 Avg. Adj. STYLE Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN 95% Median C.I. MEAN MAX (blank) 15 91.91 86.50 73.20 15.12 118.16 37.36 115.55 70.76 to 100.00 39,246 28,728 100 2 83.94 83.94 78.95 19.10 106.32 67.91 99.97 N/A 76,250 60,197 94.86 to 98.36 101 159 96.69 97.67 96.49 9.35 101.23 59.65 157.53 100,398 96,870 102 18 98.14 100.93 97.27 8.78 103.76 80.86 167.30 92.48 to 100.56 112,900 109,821 99.45 104 43 99.64 97.51 10.21 102.18 62.43 177.38 97.23 to 100.19 85,569 83,442 106 6 96.55 96.97 99.66 7.33 97.30 85.88 110.37 85.88 to 110.37 139,250 138,782 111 1 111.04 111.04 111.04 111.04 111.04 N/A 127,000 141,020 302 1 104.51 104.51 104.51 104.51 104.51 N/A 74,000 77,335 304 5 101.95 92.30 91.66 11.73 100.69 50.34 104.96 N/A 130,900 119,985 ALL 250 97.25 97.42 96.11 10.05 101.36 37.36 177.38 95.70 to 98.52 96,429 92,678 Avg. Adj. CONDITION Avg.

COD

15.12

12.00

7.83

3.81

4.65

10.27

10.13

10.05

10.79

PRD

118.16

103.74

101.14

101.81

100.77

101.52

100.10

101.78

101.36

MIN

37.36

78.65

68.83

50.34

88.44

59.65

80.86

69.26

37.36

MAX

115.55

121.22

108.18

167.30

118.15

177.38

108.70

177.38

99.16

95% Median C.I.

70.76 to 100.00

N/A

68.83 to 108.18

95.36 to 99.97

97.08 to 100.08

93.84 to 98.69

89.52 to 97.89

69.26 to 108.70

95.70 to 98.52

RANGE

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

\_ALL\_

(blank)

COUNT

15

4

6

113

25

67

12

250

8

MEDIAN

91.91

99.22

100.51

98.43

99.07

95.39

94.56

96.33

97.25

MEAN

86.50

99.58

97.21

99.23

99.14

97.31

93.36

93.03

97.42

WGT. MEAN

73.20

95.99

96.11

97.47

98.38

95.85

93.27

91.40

96.11

Sale Price

39,246

50,000

72,766

106,410

99,180

96,242

99,908

91,375

96,429

Assd Val

28,728

47,995

69,938

97,574

92,248

93,186

83,519

92,678

103,722

# Saline County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Residential:** For 2009 the county completed a review of the village of Friend, and the Blue River Lodge assessor locations along with updating the subclass of Crete mobile homes. The review in the Friend and the Blue River Lodge assessor locations consisted of new photos, check of measurements along with a review of the property record cards. Interior inspections were completed on as many properties as allowed. The County estimated a compliance of 25% on interior inspections. Door hangars were used when no one was home. The County completed new property record cards. For the Crete mobile homes the County equalized values in the subclass.

The county installed an update from Marshall and Swift which affected the pricing on attached garages that were of low and fair quality in the CAMA system which affected improvement values in the locations of Dorchester, Swanton and Western.

The county completed a sales analysis and adjusted the improvement values in the assessor location of Crete where they decreased the improvements by 3%. In the location of Wilber the improvements received a 4% decrease. The County also completed their permit work and pick up work for the year.

## **2009** Assessment Survey for Saline County

## **Residential Appraisal Information**

(Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	Appraiser and office staff
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contracted Appraiser
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Office and Contracted personnel
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	2004- Tobias, Wilber and Dewitt
	2006- Crete
	2008-Friend, Dorchester, Swanton, and Western
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was
	developed using market-derived information?
	2004- Tobias, Wilber and Dewitt 2006- Crete
	2008-Friend, Dorchester, Swanton and Western
	2000 Thend, Borenester, 5 wanton and Western
6.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	RCNLD based on market based depreciation
7.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	69 neighborhoods
8.	How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
	The neighborhoods are defined by location and property characteristics.
9.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes
10.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg.
	10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real estate property located outside
	of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an
	incorporated city or village.)
	No

11.	Are dwellings on agricultural parcels and dwellings on rural residential parcels
	valued in a manner that would provide the same relationship to the market?
	Explain?
	Yes, They are valued by the same method and during the same assessment cycle

## **Residential Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
89	160	202	451

76 - SALINE COUNTY		[	PAD 2009 R&O Statistics  Base Stat									
RESIDENTIAL		l			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run		
				•	• •	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009			
NUMBER	of Sales	:	235	MEDIAN:	96	COV:	12.20	05%	Median C.I.: 95.18	9 +0 06 90	(1 D : 1)	
TOTAL Sal			,197,379	WGT. MEAN:	95	STD:	12.20		. Mean C.I.: 93.76		(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Adj.Sal			,199,179	MEAN:	96	AVG.ABS.DEV:	7.02	_		74 to 97.74		
TOTAL Assess	sed Value		,040,080			AVG.ABS.DEV.	7.02	93	o Mean C.I 94.	74 (0 97.74		
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price		98,719	COD:	7.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	162.86					
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	93,787	PRD:	101.30	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 03/19/.	2009 14:29:35	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Qrtrs												
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	38	95.66	95.34	94.74	6.9	100.63	37.36	129.11	94.03 to 97.34	89,917	85,191	
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	29	95.85	98.90	96.24	8.2	102.77	81.22	155.80	93.69 to 97.39	103,431	99,543	
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	27	97.35	97.19	96.63	6.1	100.59	61.01	124.26	95.34 to 99.30	88,595	85,607	
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	38	95.28	94.91	94.07	5.1	100.89	83.03	115.25	92.16 to 97.88	104,148	97,976	
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	38	95.55	95.27	93.90	6.4	101.46	69.63	115.55	92.41 to 97.70	100,102	93,994	
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	23	95.82	95.76	94.51	6.1	101.33	68.98	114.04	92.69 to 98.85	116,869	110,449	
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	18	95.68	95.06	95.38	8.5	99.66	63.70	123.27	91.41 to 99.40	85,422	81,479	
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	24	97.82	98.34	95.81	12.4	102.64	69.79	162.86	88.06 to 102.08	100,150	95,951	
Study Years												
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	132	96.04	96.38	95.24	6.6	101.20	37.36	155.80	95.05 to 96.89	96,712	92,109	
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	103	95.92	96.06	94.71	8.2	20 101.42	63.70	162.86	94.35 to 97.74	101,292	95,937	
Calendar Yrs												
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	126	96.20	95.66	94.59	5.9	101.14	61.01	124.26	94.74 to 97.25	101,917	96,401	
ALL												
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.3	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787	
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
AREA 4500	3	79.85	69.71	66.75	22.7		37.36	91.91	N/A	101,000	67,420	
AREA 4505	2	92.05	92.05	91.99	0.7		91.34	92.76	N/A	138,000	126,940	
AREA 4510	3	85.13	82.74	81.79	9.8	101.17	68.98	94.12	N/A	147,500	120,636	
CRETE	128	95.82	95.19	95.14	6.1	100.06	61.01	120.08	94.31 to 96.81	112,112	106,662	
DEWITT	11	99.00	101.75	98.00	11.6	55 103.83	81.22	129.11	83.09 to 125.84	72,409	70,961	
DORCHESTER	17	94.50	93.56	93.98	5.2		70.76	115.25	89.98 to 96.11	74,405	69,927	
FRIEND	26	98.24	99.46	98.45	3.5	101.02	92.01	124.26	96.77 to 99.62	86,707	85,365	
TOBIAS	1	115.55	115.55	115.55			115.55	115.55	N/A	5,500	6,355	
WESTERN	5	97.61	97.16	97.92	2.5		91.58	102.58	N/A	33,600	32,900	
WILBER	36	96.82	98.36	95.98	9.7	78 102.49	69.63	162.86	94.32 to 100.14	91,413	87,735	

23.86

7.31

95.77

37.36

100.00

155.80

100.00

162.86

N/A

N/A

95.18 to 96.89

115.68

101.30

23,165

98,719

700

25,187

93,787

700

Y-B.R.L.

Y-CABIN

\_\_ALL\_

125.79

100.00

95.96

1

235

125.79

100.00

96.24

108.73

100.00

95.00

Base Stat PAD 2009 R&O Statistics PAGE:2 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY

RESIDENTIAL	Type: Qualified State Stat Run										
						eu nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
NUME	BER of Sales	:	235	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	12.20	95%	Median C.I.: 95.18	8 to 96.89	(!: Derived)
TOTAL	Sales Price	: 23	,197,379	WGT. MEAN:	95	STD:	11.74			6 to 96.24	(I. Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price	: 23	,199,179	MEAN:	96	AVG.ABS.DEV:	7.02	_		74 to 97.74	
TOTAL Ass	sessed Value	: 22	,040,080			AVG.ADD.DEV.	7.02	, ,	·	71 60 57.71	
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	98,719	COD:	7.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	162.86				
AVG. Ass	sessed Value	:	93,787	PRD:	101.30	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:29:35
LOCATIONS: URBAN,	SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	224	96.34	96.53	95.66	6.7	5 100.91	61.01	162.86	95.32 to 97.07	98,797	94,513
2	5	92.76	94.72	76.94	26.3	7 123.11	37.36	155.80	N/A	69,066	53,140
3	6	88.24	86.57	83.44	9.7	3 103.75	68.98	100.00	68.98 to 100.00	120,533	100,570
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.3	1 101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
STATUS: IMPROVED,	UNIMPROVE	D & IOLL	J							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	84.11	84.11	84.11			84.11	84.11	N/A	117,500	98,825
1	226	96.20	96.36	95.35	6.8	1 101.06	61.01	162.86	95.18 to 96.90	101,016	96,320
2	5	94.67	80.38	59.37	19.2	2 135.38	37.36	103.79	N/A	41,000	24,343
3	3	100.00	117.19	108.60	20.0	1 107.91	95.77	155.80	N/A	15,676	17,025
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.3	1 101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	230	95.96	96.22	95.25	6.9	1 101.02	61.01	162.86	95.05 to 96.83	99,950	95,201
06	4	97.88	97.23	58.56	31.3	3 166.04	37.36	155.80	N/A	39,507	23,135
07	1	97.53	97.53	97.53			97.53	97.53	N/A	52,500	51,205
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.3	1 101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
SCHOOL DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
30-0001											
48-0300	13	99.00	101.12	97.52	10.6	103.70	81.22	129.11	92.76 to 118.15	74,192	72,349
48-0303	5	97.61	99.76	97.16	5.1	6 102.67	91.58	115.55	N/A	26,300	25,554
76-0002	135	95.81	95.15	94.62	6.9	2 100.56	37.36	155.80	94.31 to 96.81	109,462	103,578
76-0044	17	94.50	93.56	93.98	5.2	7 99.56	70.76	115.25	89.98 to 96.11	74,405	69,927
76-0068	27	97.88	99.16	98.01	3.6	7 101.17	91.34	124.26	96.73 to 99.62	89,051	87,278
76-0082	38	95.91	97.09	94.18	10.3	5 103.09	68.98	162.86	90.60 to 98.55	96,221	90,617
80-0005											
NonValid School											
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.3	1 101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787

Base Stat PAGE:3 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY State Stat Run

RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified

22,040,080

TOTAL Assessed Value:

Typer Quantities												
Date Range: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2008  Posted Before: 01/23/2009												
NUMBER of Sales:	235	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	12.20	95% Median C.I.:	95.18 to 96.89	(!: Derived)				
TOTAL Sales Price:	23,197,379	WGT. MEAN:	95	STD:	11.74	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	93.76 to 96.24	, ,				
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	23,199,179	MEAN:	96	AVG.ABS.DEV:	7.02	95% Mean C.I.:	94.74 to 97.74					

AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 98,719 COD: 7.31 MAX Sales Ratio: 162.86 AVG. Assessed Value: 93,787 PRD: 101.30 MIN Sales Ratio: 37.36 Printed: 03/19/2009 14:29:35

Name	YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
Prior TO 1860   1860 TO 1899   5 97.63	RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1860 TO 1899	0 OR Bla	ank	10	93.29	86.45	69.03	16.52	125.24	37.36	115.55	68.98 to 103.79	46,520	32,111
1900 TO 1919	Prior TO 186	50											
1920 TO 1939   35	1860 TO 189	99	5	97.63	89.12	97.73	14.00	91.19	63.70	107.91	N/A	87,300	85,317
1940 TO 1949	1900 TO 191	L9	48	95.94	95.42	93.94	7.40	101.57	61.01	162.86	93.51 to 97.88	73,185	68,753
1950 TO 1959	1920 TO 193	39	35	97.34	100.50	98.10	7.09	102.45	84.11	155.80	96.29 to 98.55	74,503	73,084
1960 TO 1969	1940 TO 194	19	11	96.46	96.86	96.45	5.05	100.43	81.22	114.04	91.41 to 100.73	76,818	74,088
1970 TO 1979	1950 TO 195	59	17	92.41	94.13	91.73	9.11	102.61	72.07	123.27	83.78 to 102.58	78,760	72,247
1980 TO 1989   13 96.38 97.90 97.10   5.77   100.82 88.06   109.91 91.60 to 106.49   136.873   132.907	1960 TO 196	59	24	96.21	97.71	95.80	7.31	101.99	81.76	129.11	92.96 to 97.70	99,475	95,299
1990 TO 1994	1970 TO 197	79	40	94.75	96.24	94.82	6.64	101.50	82.23	124.26	91.98 to 98.55	119,534	113,341
1995 TO 1999	1980 TO 198	39	13	96.38	97.90	97.10	5.77	100.82	88.06	109.91	91.60 to 106.49	136,873	132,907
2000 TO Present   12   94.84   96.45   96.02   3.83   100.46   91.13   112.15   92.50 to 98.85   172,658   165,778	1990 TO 199	94	10	96.13	96.30	96.43	3.46	99.86	84.49	103.86	94.31 to 102.13	136,150	131,292
ALL	1995 TO 199	99	10	97.41	95.48	95.57	4.71	99.90	85.13	102.08	87.47 to 101.87	161,150	154,015
SALE PRICE *   SALE PRICE *   NETWORK   SALE PRICE *   NETWORK	2000 TO Pre	esent	12	94.84	96.45	96.02	3.83	100.46	91.13	112.15	92.50 to 98.85	172,658	165,778
SALE PRICE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price Assd Val  Low \$	ALL	_											
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price Assd Value of the control of the c			235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.31	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
Low \$	SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
1 TO 4999 1 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 700 5000 TO 9999 2 105.44 105.44 102.73 9.59 102.63 95.32 115.55 N/A 7,500 7,705 7,70	RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
5000 TO 9999 2 105.44 105.44 102.73 9.59 102.63 95.32 115.55 N/A 7,500 7,705  Total \$  1 TO 9999 3 100.00 103.62 102.61 6.74 100.99 95.32 115.55 N/A 5,233 5,370  10000 TO 29999 9 99.83 110.35 110.39 17.05 99.96 86.18 162.86 91.58 to 155.80 18,055 19,932  30000 TO 59999 40 96.60 97.46 97.19 9.58 100.28 61.01 129.11 94.69 to 99.10 47,098 45,773  60000 TO 99999 83 97.35 96.84 96.43 6.32 100.43 69.63 125.84 95.85 to 98.60 79,956 77,102  100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498  150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877  250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225	Low \$_												
Total \$	1 TO	4999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	700	700
1 TO 9999 3 100.00 103.62 102.61 6.74 100.99 95.32 115.55 N/A 5,233 5,370 10000 TO 29999 9 99.83 110.35 110.39 17.05 99.96 86.18 162.86 91.58 to 155.80 18,055 19,932 30000 TO 59999 40 96.60 97.46 97.19 9.58 100.28 61.01 129.11 94.69 to 99.10 47,098 45,773 60000 TO 99999 83 97.35 96.84 96.43 6.32 100.43 69.63 125.84 95.85 to 98.60 79,956 77,102 100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498 150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225	5000 TO	9999	2	105.44	105.44	102.73	9.59	102.63	95.32	115.55	N/A	7,500	7,705
10000 TO 29999 9 99.83 110.35 110.39 17.05 99.96 86.18 162.86 91.58 to 155.80 18,055 19,932 30000 TO 59999 40 96.60 97.46 97.19 9.58 100.28 61.01 129.11 94.69 to 99.10 47,098 45,773 60000 TO 99999 83 97.35 96.84 96.43 6.32 100.43 69.63 125.84 95.85 to 98.60 79,956 77,102 100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498 150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225	Total \$	<b>5</b>											
30000 TO 59999 40 96.60 97.46 97.19 9.58 100.28 61.01 129.11 94.69 to 99.10 47,098 45,773 60000 TO 99999 83 97.35 96.84 96.43 6.32 100.43 69.63 125.84 95.85 to 98.60 79,956 77,102 100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498 150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225	1 TO	9999	3	100.00	103.62	102.61	6.74	100.99	95.32	115.55	N/A	5,233	5,370
60000 TO 99999 83 97.35 96.84 96.43 6.32 100.43 69.63 125.84 95.85 to 98.60 79,956 77,102 100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498 150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225	10000 TO	29999	9	99.83	110.35	110.39	17.05	99.96	86.18	162.86	91.58 to 155.80	18,055	19,932
100000 TO 149999 64 94.68 93.91 94.04 6.65 99.87 37.36 112.15 92.76 to 96.48 124,949 117,498 150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225ALL	30000 TO	59999	40	96.60	97.46	97.19	9.58	100.28	61.01	129.11	94.69 to 99.10	47,098	45,773
150000 TO 249999 34 94.35 92.93 92.85 4.29 100.09 68.98 101.87 91.34 to 95.81 174,350 161,877 250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225ALL	60000 TO	99999	83	97.35	96.84	96.43	6.32	100.43	69.63	125.84	95.85 to 98.60	79,956	77,102
250000 TO 499999 2 102.83 102.83 102.51 4.95 100.31 97.74 107.91 N/A 288,000 295,225ALL	100000 TO	149999	64	94.68	93.91	94.04	6.65	99.87	37.36	112.15	92.76 to 96.48	124,949	117,498
ALL	150000 TO	249999	34	94.35	92.93	92.85	4.29	100.09	68.98	101.87	91.34 to 95.81	174,350	161,877
	250000 TO	499999	2	102.83	102.83	102.51	4.95	100.31	97.74	107.91	N/A	288,000	295,225
	ALL	_											
235 95.96 96.24 95.00 7.31 101.30 37.36 162.86 95.18 to 96.89 98,719 93,787			235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.31	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787

76 - SALINE RESIDENTIAL						Гуре: Qualifi	ed	tatistics 01/2006 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Base St Before: 01/23				State Stat Run	PAGE:4 of 5
	NUMBER o	f Sales:		235	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96		cov:	12.20	95% I	Median	C.I.:	95.18	8 to 96.89	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sale	s Price:	23	,197,379	WGT. MEAN:	95		STD:	11.74	95% Wgt.	. Mean	C.I.:	93.76	6 to 96.24	(112011104)
TOT	TAL Adj.Sale	s Price:	23	,199,179	MEAN:	96		AVG.ABS.DEV:	7.02	959	% Mean	C.I.:	94.	74 to 97.74	
TC	OTAL Assesse	d Value:	2.2	,040,080											
AVG	3. Adj. Sale	s Price:		98,719	COD:	7.31	MAX	Sales Ratio:	162.86						
P	AVG. Assesse	d Value:		93,787	PRD:	101.30	MIN	Sales Ratio:	37.36					Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:29:35
ASSESSED VA	ALUE *													Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% M	Median	C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_															
1 TO	4999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00				100.00	100.00		N/A		700	700
5000 TO	9999	2	105.44	105.44	102.73	9.5	9	102.63	95.32	115.55		N/A		7,500	7,705

Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	700	700
5000 TO	9999	2	105.44	105.44	102.73	9.59	102.63	95.32	115.55	N/A	7,500	7,705
Total \$	5											
1 TO	9999	3	100.00	103.62	102.61	6.74	100.99	95.32	115.55	N/A	5,233	5,370
10000 TO	29999	10	97.03	101.39	98.09	10.50	103.36	86.18	155.80	87.15 to 103.79	19,850	19,471
30000 TO	59999	40	95.86	95.49	91.96	11.98	103.83	37.36	162.86	93.28 to 98.52	49,846	45,840
60000 TO	99999	92	96.99	96.68	95.61	7.02	101.13	69.63	125.84	95.43 to 98.25	82,651	79,019
100000 TO	149999	66	94.68	95.04	94.59	5.30	100.48	68.98	112.15	93.51 to 96.29	133,155	125,950
150000 TO	249999	22	96.13	95.40	95.02	3.64	100.40	82.23	105.47	92.16 to 97.87	182,859	173,757
250000 TO	499999	2	102.83	102.83	102.51	4.95	100.31	97.74	107.91	N/A	288,000	295,225
ALL	_											
		235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.31	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MINAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	DDD	14717	1/17/37	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
KANGE		COONT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	bare frice	
(blank)		10	93.29	MEAN 86.45	69.03	16.52	125.24	MIN 37.36	MAX 115.55	68.98 to 103.79	46,520	32,111
(blank)		10	93.29	86.45	69.03	16.52	125.24	37.36	115.55	68.98 to 103.79	46,520	32,111
(blank) 20		10 5	93.29 96.46	86.45 92.58	69.03 93.61	16.52 6.51	125.24 98.90	37.36 76.11	115.55 99.63	68.98 to 103.79 N/A	46,520 62,300	32,111 58,316
(blank) 20 25		10 5 6	93.29 96.46 96.65	86.45 92.58 104.86	69.03 93.61 96.35	16.52 6.51 13.45	125.24 98.90 108.83	37.36 76.11 88.22	115.55 99.63 155.80	68.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80	46,520 62,300 49,388	32,111 58,316 47,585
(blank) 20 25 30		10 5 6 146	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86	68.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902
(blank) 20 25 30 35		10 5 6 146 33	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96 95.85	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84 95.00	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50 94.58	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85 3.51	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41 100.45	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01 83.03	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86 102.96	68.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25 94.31 to 97.07	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765 131,134	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902 124,021
(blank) 20 25 30 35 40		10 5 6 146 33 31	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96 95.85 97.13	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84 95.00 96.36	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50 94.58 95.73	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85 3.51	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41 100.45	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01 83.03 79.85	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86 102.96 109.91	08.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25 94.31 to 97.07 94.35 to 98.60	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765 131,134 140,096	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902 124,021 134,110
(blank) 20 25 30 35 40 45		10 5 6 146 33 31	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96 95.85 97.13 97.00	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84 95.00 96.36 97.00	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50 94.58 95.73 97.00	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85 3.51 5.04	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41 100.45 100.67	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01 83.03 79.85 97.00	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86 102.96 109.91 97.00	08.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25 94.31 to 97.07 94.35 to 98.60 N/A	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765 131,134 140,096 133,000	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902 124,021 134,110 129,005
(blank) 20 25 30 35 40 45 50		10 5 6 146 33 31 1 2	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96 95.85 97.13 97.00 96.53	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84 95.00 96.36 97.00 96.53	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50 94.58 95.73 97.00 96.72	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85 3.51 5.04	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41 100.45 100.67	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01 83.03 79.85 97.00 95.32	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86 102.96 109.91 97.00 97.74	08.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25 94.31 to 97.07 94.35 to 98.60 N/A N/A	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765 131,134 140,096 133,000 265,500	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902 124,021 134,110 129,005 256,780
(blank) 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	_	10 5 6 146 33 31 1 2	93.29 96.46 96.65 95.96 95.85 97.13 97.00 96.53	86.45 92.58 104.86 96.84 95.00 96.36 97.00 96.53	69.03 93.61 96.35 95.50 94.58 95.73 97.00 96.72	16.52 6.51 13.45 7.85 3.51 5.04	125.24 98.90 108.83 101.41 100.45 100.67	37.36 76.11 88.22 61.01 83.03 79.85 97.00 95.32	115.55 99.63 155.80 162.86 102.96 109.91 97.00 97.74	08.98 to 103.79 N/A 88.22 to 155.80 94.63 to 97.25 94.31 to 97.07 94.35 to 98.60 N/A N/A	46,520 62,300 49,388 85,765 131,134 140,096 133,000 265,500	32,111 58,316 47,585 81,902 124,021 134,110 129,005 256,780

Base Stat PAGE:5 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY State Stat Run

RESIDENTIAL

98,719

COD:

AVG. Adj. Sales Price:

TIAL		Т	Type: Qualified				Siaie Siai Kun	
			Date Range:	07/01/2006 to 06/30/2008	Posted I	Before: 01/23/2009		
NUMBER of Sales:	235	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	cov:	12.20	95% Median C.I.:	95.18 to 96.89	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sales Price:	23,197,379	WGT. MEAN:	95	STD:	11.74	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	93.76 to 96.24	(112011104)
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	23,199,179	MEAN:	96	AVG.ABS.DEV:	7.02	95% Mean C.I.:	94.74 to 97.74	
TOTAL Assessed Value:	22,040,080							

7.31 MAX Sales Ratio: 162.86

	AVG. Assessed Value	:	93,787	PRD:	101.30	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.36			Printed: 03/19/2	009 14:29:35
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	10	93.29	86.45	69.03	16.52	125.24	37.36	115.55	68.98 to 103.79	46,520	32,111
100	1	97.53	97.53	97.53			97.53	97.53	N/A	52,500	51,205
101	152	95.72	96.24	95.08	6.89	101.22	63.70	155.80	94.35 to 96.73	101,597	96,599
102	18	96.62	99.19	95.79	6.90	103.55	87.07	162.86	93.90 to 98.25	112,900	108,146
104	42	97.30	96.51	95.40	7.10	101.16	61.01	125.84	95.32 to 98.55	86,916	82,919
106	6	95.27	96.15	98.85	6.06	97.28	83.03	107.91	83.03 to 107.91	139,250	137,644
111	1	108.09	108.09	108.09			108.09	108.09	N/A	127,000	137,275
302	1	101.90	101.90	101.90			101.90	101.90	N/A	74,000	75,405
304	4	100.08	99.79	99.48	1.74	100.31	96.89	102.13	N/A	129,875	129,205
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.31	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787
CONDITION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	10	93.29	86.45	69.03	16.52	125.24	37.36	115.55	68.98 to 103.79	46,520	32,111
20	4	99.68	99.86	96.19	9.74	103.82	81.76	118.33	N/A	50,000	48,093
25	6	99.09	99.76	98.94	2.47	100.82	95.85	104.45	95.85 to 104.45	72,766	71,996
30	106	96.40	97.46	95.72	8.96	101.82	61.01	162.86	94.74 to 97.74	108,503	103,862
35	24	96.76	96.25	95.75	3.39	100.52	86.12	118.15	93.69 to 97.39	98,270	94,098
40	65	95.55	95.77	95.45	5.55	100.33	69.79	118.69	94.03 to 96.81	97,040	92,625
45	12	94.45	94.31	93.78	3.69	100.57	83.78	100.68	90.89 to 98.60	99,908	93,691
50	8	95.31	94.49	93.28	4.44	101.30	79.85	100.24	79.85 to 100.24	91,375	85,233
ALL											
	235	95.96	96.24	95.00	7.31	101.30	37.36	162.86	95.18 to 96.89	98,719	93,787

### **Residential Real Property**

#### I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The quality statistics along with the measures of central tendency are all within the acceptable range. While the county has utilized an adequate portion of the available sales the percent used is lower than past years. The County is progressive in their approach to utilize the electronic transfer of sales information to the state and is aggressive in the review of sales to gather as much information to establish the usability of transactions. They are developing a consistent approach to valuing properties in the County. There has been an active market and the County is watching a downward trend and adjusting for it in a few assessor locations. There are no indications that would suggest that the qualified median is not the best indication of the level of value in the residential property class.

### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	<b>Percent Used</b>
2009	495	235	47.47
2008	528	296	56.06
2007	558	343	61.47
2006	589	428	72.67
2005	582	415	71.31

RESIDENTIAL:A review of the utilization grid indicates the county has utilized an adequate portion of the available residential sales for the development of the qualified statistics.

### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	97	-0.90	96	96
2008	97.15	0.54	98	97.5
2007	91	5.85	96	99
2006	89	7.24	95	95
2005	92	4.22	96	94

RESIDENTIAL: The relationship between the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O ratio suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

# IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

-1.04	2009	-0.90
1.36	2008	0.54
7.94	2007	5.85
8.63	2006	7.24
2.40	2005	4.22

RESIDENTIAL: The percent change in assessed value for both sold and unsold properties is similar and suggests the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

## V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	96	95	96

RESIDENTIAL:In reviewing the three measures of central tendency they are similar and supportive of the assessment actions in the county. All three measures are within the acceptable range and support the median as the level of value for the residential class.

### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	7.31	101.30
Difference	0.00	0.00

RESIDENTIAL: Table VI shows that the qualitative measures for the residential class of property are both in the acceptable range.

### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	250	235	-15
Median	97	96	-1
Wgt. Mean	96	95	-1
Mean	97	96	-1
COD	10.05	7.31	-2.74
PRD	101.36	101.30	-0.06
Minimum	37.36	37.36	0.00
Maximum	177.38	162.86	-14.52

RESIDENTIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property. The difference in the number of qualified sales is a result of sales sustaining substantial physical changes and being removed from the qualified sales roster.

### VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

In order to be meaningful, statistical inferences must be based on a representative and proportionate sample of the population. If the sales are representative of the population and the sales have been appraised in a similar manner to the unsold properties, statistical inferences should be substantially the same as statistics developed from actual assessed value. This comparison is to provide additional information to the analyst in determining the reliability of the statistical inference.

	<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	<b>Trended Ratio</b>	Difference
Number of Sales	235	235	0
Median	96	96	0
Wgt. Mean	95	105	-10
Mean	96	98	-2
COD	7.31	17.18	-9.87
PRD	101.30	0.94	100.36
Minimum	37.36	34.18	3.18
Maximum	162.86	176.90	-14.04

The table above is a direct comparison of the statistics generated using the 2009 assessed values reported by the assessor to the statistics generated using the assessed value for the year prior to the sale factored by the annual movement in the population.

In Saline County the measures of central tendency are similar suggesting the sales file is representative of the population.

								Base S	tot		PAGE:1 of 5
76 - SALINE COUNTY				PAD 2009	<b>Prelim</b>	inary Statistics	<u> </u>	Dase S	ıaı	State Stat Run	PAGE:I OI 5
COMMERCIAL				,	Гуре: Qualif					State Stat Kun	
					Date Ra	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	34	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	34.56	95%	Median C.I.: 96.08	to 100.44	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	4,758,200	WGT. MEAN:	107	STD:	36.15	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 89.80	to 124.71	(112011104)
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	4,327,765	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.52			l6 to 116.77	
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	4,641,820								
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	127,287	COD:	17.74	MAX Sales Ratio:	244.50				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	136,524	PRD:	97.54	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:03:01
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	3	103.63	102.89	103.81	2.2	29 99.11	98.95	106.08	N/A	260,913	270,843
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06											
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	7	97.96	106.40	99.34	14.1	12 107.11	83.09	168.83	83.09 to 168.83	34,228	34,002
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	3	97.69	97.55	95.84	2.5	101.78	93.72	101.23	N/A	93,108	89,238
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	6	97.69	131.62	145.19	41.6	90.65	84.20	244.50	84.20 to 244.50	145,500	211,256
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	3	98.95	98.33	98.96	0.8	99.36	96.83	99.20	N/A	298,533	295,443
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	92.62	92.62	93.39	6.4	99.17	86.67	98.57	N/A	115,000	107,402
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	4	101.93	118.12	102.07	20.9	97 115.73	93.56	175.06	N/A	99,000	101,047
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	2	74.75	74.75	72.32	34.3	38 103.36	49.05	100.44	N/A	79,500	57,492
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	1	43.80	43.80	43.80			43.80	43.80	N/A	32,500	14,235
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	2	87.55	87.55	90.25	9.7	74 97.01	79.02	96.08	N/A	95,000	85,735
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	11	100.00	104.86	102.22	9.9	97 102.59	83.09	168.83	95.28 to 106.08	115,667	118,231
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	14	98.13	111.61	115.74	19.4	96.44	84.20	244.50	92.22 to 101.23	162,708	188,313
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	9	96.08	93.43	90.66	24.7	74 103.06	43.80	175.06	49.05 to 103.93	86,388	78,320
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	16	97.82	114.20	127.40	22.3	89.64	83.09	244.50	93.72 to 102.25	86,995	110,829
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	11	98.95	100.20	96.41	14.1	14 103.93	49.05	175.06	86.67 to 103.93	152,781	147,300
ALL											
	34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	74 97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
CRETE	12	98.39	94.51	98.16	7.9	99 96.28	49.05	106.08	93.56 to 103.63	211,761	207,868
DEWITT	5	98.95	102.62	161.63	26.4	41 63.49	43.80	173.45	N/A	124,940	201,940
DORCHESTER	3	101.23	117.72	100.55	28.2	23 117.07	83.09	168.83	N/A	36,336	36,536
FRIEND	5	99.93	112.65	107.63	17.6	104.66	92.22	175.06	N/A	22,120	23,808
RURAL	3	98.57	98.28	98.31	1.2	26 99.97	96.28	100.00	N/A	201,666	198,255
TOBIAS	1	244.50	244.50	244.50			244.50	244.50	N/A	3,000	7,335
WILBER	5	93.72	90.80	91.81	7.2	98.90	79.02	100.44	N/A	66,863	61,390

97.54

43.80 244.50 96.08 to 100.44

127,287

136,524

17.74

\_\_ALL\_\_\_\_

34

98.76

104.62

107.26

76 - SAI	INE COUNTY			PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE: 2 of 5	
COMMERCI	IAL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run		
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009			
	NUMBER of Sales	:	34	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	cov:	34.56	95%	Median C.I.: 96.08	to 100.44	(!: Derived	
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	4,758,200	WGT. MEAN:	107	STD:	36.15		. Mean C.I.: 89.80		( Berrea	
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	4,327,765	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.52		% Mean C.I.: 92.4			
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	4,641,820									
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	127,287	COD:	17.74	MAX Sales Ratio:	244.50					
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	136,524	PRD:	97.54	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:03:0	
LOCATIO	NS: URBAN, SUBURBAN	& RURAI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	31	98.95	105.23	108.71	19.2	96.80	43.80	244.50	95.28 to 100.77	120,089	130,550	
2	3	98.57	98.28	98.31	1.2	99.97	96.28	100.00	N/A	201,666	198,255	
ALL												
	34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524	
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE	D & IOI	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	33	98.57	104.76	107.70	18.2	97.26	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	123,568	133,085	
2	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000	
ALL												
	34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524	
SCHOOL	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)												
30-0001												
48-0300	6	98.95	126.27	162.03	46.5	77.93	43.80	244.50	43.80 to 244.50	104,616	169,505	
48-0303												
76-0002	15	98.57	95.26	98.19	6.6	97.02	49.05	106.08	96.08 to 102.25	209,742	205,945	
76-0044	3	101.23	117.72	100.55	28.2	117.07	83.09	168.83	N/A	36,336	36,536	
76-0068	5	99.93	112.65	107.63	17.6	104.66	92.22	175.06	N/A	22,120	23,808	
76-0082	5	93.72	90.80	91.81	7.2	98.90	79.02	100.44	N/A	66,863	61,390	
30-0005												
NonValid	School											
ALL												

97.54

43.80

244.50 96.08 to 100.44

127,287

136,524

98.76

34

104.62

76 - SALINE COUNTY				PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics  Base Stat								PAGE:3 of 5
COMMERCIAL						Type: Qualifi	•				State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBE	R of Sales:	:	34	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	34.56	95%	Median C.I.: 96.08	to 100.44	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL S	ales Price:	: .	4,758,200	WGT. MEAN:	107	STD:	36.15		. Mean C.I.: 89.80		( Deriveu)
TOT	TAL Adj.S	ales Price:	: .	4,327,765	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.52	_	% Mean C.I.: 92.4		
TO	OTAL Asse	ssed Value:	: .	4,641,820								
AVO	G. Adj. Sa	ales Price:	:	127,287	COD:	17.74	MAX Sales Ratio:	244.50				
I	AVG. Asse	ssed Value:	:	136,524	PRD:	97.54	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:03:01
YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blan	nk	2	71.90	71.90	93.53	39.0	76.87	43.80	100.00	N/A	141,250	132,117
Prior TO 186	50											
1860 TO 189	99	2	90.97	90.97	89.46	4.7	73 101.69	86.67	95.28	N/A	74,000	66,200
1900 TO 191	L9	9	100.44	100.29	91.25	17.1	.0 109.90	49.05	168.83	79.02 to 106.08	53,350	48,684
1920 TO 193	39	8	98.95	123.69	100.86	31.2	25 122.64	83.09	244.50	83.09 to 244.50	27,025	27,256
1940 TO 194	19	2	90.94	90.94	94.92	7.4	95.82	84.20	97.69	N/A	53,500	50,780
1950 TO 195	59											
1960 TO 196	59	3	98.57	97.69	96.11	2.3	101.64	93.72	100.77	N/A	101,305	97,365
1970 TO 197	79	3	96.28	98.76	98.92	2.7	99.84	96.08	103.93	N/A	180,000	178,063
1980 TO 198	39	1	97.96	97.96	97.96			97.96	97.96	N/A	25,000	24,490
1990 TO 199	94	1	93.56	93.56	93.56			93.56	93.56	N/A	175,000	163,730
1995 TO 199	99	2	136.33	136.33	129.45	27.2	23 105.31	99.20	173.45	N/A	675,000	873,767
2000 TO Pre	esent	1	103.63	103.63	103.63			103.63	103.63	N/A	700,000	725,375
ALL												
		34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	1	244.50	244.50	244.50			244.50	244.50	N/A	3,000	7,335
5000 TO	9999	2	98.95	98.95	98.95	0.0	100.00	98.95	98.95	N/A	8,600	8,510
Total \$	<u> </u>											
1 TO	9999	3	98.95	147.47	120.57	49.0	122.31	98.95	244.50	N/A	6,733	8,118
10000 TO	29999	8	98.94	114.45	111.85	21.9	102.33	84.20	175.06	84.20 to 175.06	17,450	19,517
30000 TO	59999	4	97.19	84.85	87.84	15.7	96.59	43.80	101.23	N/A	42,377	37,225
60000 TO	99999	8	97.26	89.31	88.77	12.6	100.60	49.05	106.08	49.05 to 106.08	74,142	65,817
100000 TO	149999	3	96.08	93.77	94.34	4.1	.3 99.40	86.67	98.57	N/A	118,333	111,636
150000 TO	249999	4	95.00	96.87	97.03	3.4	99.83	93.56	103.93	N/A	187,578	182,016
250000 TO	499999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000
500000 +		3	103.63	125.43	120.63	23.8	103.98	99.20	173.45	N/A	683,333	824,303
ALL	_											
		34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524

76 - SALINE	COUNTY						inary Statistics	h	Base S	tat	State Stat D	PAGE:4 of 5
COMMERCIAL					T	ype: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	34	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	cov:	34.56	95%	Median C.I.: 96.08	to 100.44	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sal	les Price	: 4	,758,200	WGT. MEAN:	107	STD:	36.15	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 89.80	to 124.71	( =)
TO'	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 4	,327,765	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.52	95	% Mean C.I.: 92.4	l6 to 116.77	
T	OTAL Assess	sed Value	: 4	,641,820								
AV	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	127,287	COD:	17.74	MAX Sales Ratio:	244.50				
į	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	136,524	PRD:	97.54	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:03:01
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
5000 TO	9999	3	98.95	147.47	120.57	49.0	122.31	98.95	244.50	N/A	6,733	8,118
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	3	98.95	147.47	120.57	49.0	122.31	98.95	244.50	N/A	6,733	8,118
10000 TO	29999	9	97.96	106.60	99.00	25.8	107.68	43.80	175.06	84.20 to 168.83	19,122	18,930
30000 TO	59999	6	89.19	84.46	79.81	15.7	105.82	49.05	101.23	49.05 to 101.23	58,168	46,425
60000 TO	99999	6	99.07	98.33	97.54	4.6	100.80	86.67	106.08	86.67 to 106.08	80,190	78,219
100000 TO	149999	2	97.32	97.32	97.35	1.2	99.97	96.08	98.57	N/A	127,500	124,122
150000 TO	249999	4	95.00	96.87	97.03	3.4	99.83	93.56	103.93	N/A	187,578	182,016
250000 TO	499999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000
500000 +		3	103.63	125.43	120.63	23.8	103.98	99.20	173.45	N/A	683,333	824,303
ALL	_											
		34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		2	71.90	71.90	93.53	39.0	76.87	43.80	100.00	N/A	141,250	132,117
LO		14	98.95	114.42	100.42	18.6	113.94	92.22	244.50	95.28 to 106.08	67,661	67,944
.5		7	96.08	86.44	86.30	11.8	100.17	49.05	99.09	49.05 to 99.09	82,428	71,134
20		10	99.57	103.28	100.18	11.9	103.09	83.09	168.83	84.20 to 103.63	197,101	197,446
25		1	173.45	173.45	173.45			173.45	173.45	N/A	550,000	953,955
ALL	_											

34

98.76

104.62

107.26

17.74

97.54

43.80

244.50 96.08 to 100.44

127,287

136,524

	6 - SALINE COUNTY OMMERCIAL			PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistic	S	Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERCI	AL			T	Гуре: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	34	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	34.56	95%	Median C.I.: 96.08	to 100.44	(!: Derived
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	4,758,200	WGT. MEAN:	107	STD:	36.15	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 89.80	to 124.71	(11 2011101
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	4,327,765	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.52	95	% Mean C.I.: 92.4	l6 to 116.77	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	4,641,820								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	127,287	COD:	17.74	MAX Sales Ratio:	244.50				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	136,524	PRD:	97.54	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 23:03:0
OCCUPAN	CY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	3	98.95	80.92	93.69	18.9	3 86.36	43.80	100.00	N/A	97,033	90,915
306	1	100.44	100.44	100.44			100.44	100.44	N/A	72,000	72,315
340	1	93.72	93.72	93.72			93.72	93.72	N/A	160,315	150,250
343	1	99.20	99.20	99.20			99.20	99.20	N/A	800,000	793,580
344	1	92.22	92.22	92.22			92.22	92.22	N/A	18,000	16,600
353	7	96.63	111.78	90.99	30.0	2 122.85	49.05	244.50	49.05 to 244.50	86,142	78,382
380	1	106.08	106.08	106.08			106.08	106.08	N/A	74,140	78,645
384	1	98.95	98.95	98.95			98.95	98.95	N/A	8,600	8,510
406	5	99.93	109.42	97.87	18.4	1 111.80	79.02	168.83	N/A	47,720	46,705
407	1	173.45	173.45	173.45			173.45	173.45	N/A	550,000	953,955
426	2	97.40	97.40	97.08	0.5	8 100.32	96.83	97.96	N/A	56,000	54,365
442	6	97.19	94.83	99.95	6.6	7 94.87	83.09	103.63	83.09 to 103.63	166,168	166,093
470	1	84.20	84.20	84.20			84.20	84.20	N/A	22,000	18,525
526	1	93.56	93.56	93.56			93.56	93.56	N/A	175,000	163,730
528	2	139.50	139.50	109.45	25.5	0 127.45	103.93	175.06	N/A	103,000	112,735
ALL											
	34	98.76	104.62	107.26	17.7	4 97.54	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.44	127,287	136,524
PROPERT	Y TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02											
03	33	98.57	102.53	97.62	16.0	1 105.03	43.80	244.50	96.08 to 100.00	114,477	111,753
04	1	173.45	173.45	173.45			173.45	173.45	N/A	550,000	953,955
ALL											

97.54

43.80

244.50 96.08 to 100.44

127,287

136,524

34

98.76

104.62

# Saline County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

#### **Commercial:**

Saline County conducted a statistical analysis in the class and completed their permit and pick up work in the class. The permit work included work on several large commercial properties which affect the overall change in the class.

## **2009** Assessment Survey for Saline County

#### **Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information**

1.	Data collection done by:
	Contractor and Appraiser
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contractor and Appraiser
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Contractor and Appraiser
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	2000 & 2004-Commercial
	2004-Industrial (The 2006 appraisal used 2004 pricing data) 2007 Crete
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2005- Wilber and Friend
	2006- Crete
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	Income approach was used only in Crete for 2008
7.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	A correlation of Market, RCNLD, and Cost
8.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	38 market areas
9.	How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
	Location and property characteristics
10.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhood/Assessor Location" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes
11.	Do the various subclasses of Commercial Property such as convenience stores, warehouses, hotels, etc. have common value characteristics?
	No, Example, Casey's in Crete is not the same as one in Friend
12.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg.
	10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the

limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an
incorporated city or village.)

There is no market significance to the location suburban, it is used only for classification.

#### **Commercial Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
21	26	183	230

76 - SALINE COUNTY	PAD 2009 R&O Statistics	Base Stat	PAGE:1 of 5
COMMERCIAL	Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	Posted Before: 01/23/2009	State Stat Run

COMMERCIAL			Type: Qualified State Stat Run									
					• • •	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009			
NUMBER	of Sales	:	32	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	24.29	95%	Median C.I.: 95.48	to 100.44	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	4,514,200	WGT. MEAN:		STD:	24.67		. Mean C.I.: 90.53		(:. Derivea)	
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	4,068,765	MEAN:	102	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.20	_	% Mean C.I.: 93.0			
TOTAL Asses	sed Value	:	4,401,530			11/01/120121	12.20			2 00 110.11		
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	127,148	COD:	12.35	MAX Sales Ratio:	170.85					
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	137,547	PRD:	93.89	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:29:47	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIA	N MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Qrtrs												
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	3	103.63	3 102.89	103.81	2.2	9 99.11	98.95	106.08	N/A	260,913	270,843	
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000	
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06												
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	7	97.96	5 106.40	99.34	14.1	2 107.11	83.09	168.83	83.09 to 168.83	34,228	34,002	
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	3	97.69	9 97.55	95.84	2.5	6 101.78	93.72	101.23	N/A	93,108	89,238	
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	6	98.13	3 107.29	144.06	16.4	0 74.47	84.20	170.85	84.20 to 170.85	145,500	209,611	
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	2	99.08	99.08	99.19	0.1	3 99.88	98.95	99.20	N/A	404,300	401,045	
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	92.66	92.66	93.43	6.4	6 99.17	86.67	98.64	N/A	115,000	107,447	
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	4	94.73	1 108.43	92.21	24.1	3 117.60	77.23	167.09	N/A	81,500	75,147	
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	1	100.44	100.44	100.44			100.44	100.44	N/A	72,000	72,315	
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	1	43.80	3 43.80	43.80			43.80	43.80	N/A	32,500	14,235	
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	2	99.42	1 99.41	97.98	3.3	4 101.45	96.08	102.73	N/A	87,500	85,735	
Study Years												
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	11	100.00	104.86	102.22	9.9	7 102.59	83.09	168.83	95.28 to 106.08	115,667	118,231	
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	13	98.64	4 101.52	116.04	9.1	9 87.49	84.20	170.85	92.22 to 100.19	168,532	195,566	
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	8	95.78	97.10	92.26	20.3	5 105.25	43.80	167.09	43.80 to 167.09	75,687	69,826	
Calendar Yrs												
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	16	97.82	2 105.07	126.69	12.8	5 82.94	83.09	170.85	93.72 to 101.23	86,995	110,212	
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	9	98.64	4 101.96	96.75	12.6	6 105.39	77.23	167.09	86.67 to 100.44	159,622	154,432	
ALL												
	32	98.80	0 101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547	
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIA	N MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
CRETE	10	98.39	9 96.34	98.65	5.8	0 97.66	77.23	106.08	86.67 to 103.63	229,714	226,608	
DEWITT	5	98.95	5 102.10	159.35	25.8	8 64.08	43.80	170.85	N/A	124,940	199,088	
DORCHESTER	3	101.23	3 117.72	100.55	28.2	3 117.07	83.09	168.83	N/A	36,336	36,536	
FRIEND	5	95.28		105.66	17.1		92.22	167.09	N/A	22,120	23,373	
RURAL	3	100.00		99.78	0.5		98.64	100.19	N/A	201,666	201,221	
TOBIAS	1	97.1		97.17			97.17	97.17	N/A	3,000	2,915	
WILBER	5	96.63		96.13	5.2	3 99.39	84.20	102.73	N/A	63,863	61,390	
ALL										•	•	
	32	98.80	0 101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547	

	LINE COUNTY			PAD 2	2009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat	g g <del>.</del>	PAGE:2 of
COMMERC:	IAL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	32	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	24.29	95%	Median C.I.: 95.48	3 to 100.44	(!: Derived
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 4	4,514,200	WGT. MEAN:	108	STD:	24.67	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 90.53	3 to 125.83	(112011100
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 4	4,068,765	MEAN:	102	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.20	95	% Mean C.I.: 93.(	)2 to 110.11	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 4	4,401,530								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	127,148	COD:	12.35	MAX Sales Ratio:	170.85				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	137,547	PRD:	93.89	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 03/19/.	2009 14:29:4
LOCATIO	NS: URBAN, SUBURBAN	RURAL	ı							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	29	97.96	101.77	109.65	13.6	2 92.81	43.80	170.85	95.28 to 100.77	119,440	130,960
2	3	100.00	99.61	99.78	0.5	2 99.83	98.64	100.19	N/A	201,666	201,221
ALI											
	32	98.80	101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE	D & IOL	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	77.23	77.23	77.23			77.23	77.23	N/A	120,000	92,680
1	30	98.80	102.43	109.74	12.4		43.80	170.85	96.08 to 100.44	123,292	135,295
2	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000
ALI											
	32	98.80	101.56	108.18	12.3	93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547
	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
30-0001	_										
48-0300	6	98.46	101.28	159.05	21.9	8 63.68	43.80	170.85	43.80 to 170.85	104,616	166,392
48-0303			0.7.00					405.00	05 40 . 400 05	000 044	000 ==0
76-0002	13	99.09	97.09	98.88	4.6		77.23	106.08	95.48 to 102.25	223,241	220,750
76-0044	3	101.23	117.72	100.55	28.2		83.09	168.83	N/A	36,336	36,536
76-0068	5	95.28	109.86	105.66	17.1		92.22	167.09	N/A	22,120	23,373
76-0082	5	96.63	95.54	96.13	5.2	99.39	84.20	102.73	N/A	63,863	61,390
80-0005	l Cabaal										
NonValid											
ALI											

93.89

43.80

170.85 95.48 to 100.44

127,148

137,547

32

98.80

101.56

76 - SALINE	COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
COMMERCIAL						Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER (	of Sales:	:	32	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	cov:	24.29	95%	Median C.I.: 95.48	to 100.44	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sale	es Price:	: .	4,514,200	WGT. MEAN:	108	STD:	24.67	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 90.53	to 125.83	( Derirea)
TOT	TAL Adj.Sal	es Price:	: .	4,068,765	MEAN:	102	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.20	95	% Mean C.I.: 93.0	2 to 110.11	
TC	TAL Assess	ed Value:	:	4,401,530								
AVO	. Adj. Sal	es Price:	:	127,148	COD:	12.35	MAX Sales Ratio:	170.85				
I	VG. Assess	ed Value:	:	137,547	PRD:	93.89	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 03/19/.	2009 14:29:48
YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blan	k	2	71.90	71.90	93.53	39.0	8 76.87	43.80	100.00	N/A	141,250	132,117
Prior TO 186	0											
1860 TO 189	9	2	90.97	90.97	89.46	4.7	3 101.69	86.67	95.28	N/A	74,000	66,200
1900 TO 191	9	8	101.74	109.66	104.59	10.1	104.85	96.63	168.83	96.63 to 168.83	47,268	49,436
1920 TO 193	9	8	95.55	101.08	88.24	15.1	3 114.55	77.23	167.09	77.23 to 167.09	31,150	27,486
1940 TO 194	9	2	90.94	90.94	94.92	7.4	2 95.82	84.20	97.69	N/A	53,500	50,780
1950 TO 195	9											
1960 TO 196	9	3	98.64	97.71	96.14	2.3	8 101.63	93.72	100.77	N/A	101,305	97,395
1970 TO 197	9	2	98.13	98.13	98.73	2.0	9 99.40	96.08	100.19	N/A	175,000	172,770
1980 TO 198	9	1	97.96	97.96	97.96			97.96	97.96	N/A	25,000	24,490
1990 TO 199	4	1	95.48	95.48	95.48			95.48	95.48	N/A	175,000	167,085
1995 TO 199	9	2	135.03	135.03	128.39	26.5	3 105.17	99.20	170.85	N/A	675,000	866,637
2000 TO Pre	sent	1	103.63	103.63	103.63			103.63	103.63	N/A	700,000	725,375
ALL	_											
		32	98.80	101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	1	97.17	97.17	97.17			97.17	97.17	N/A	3,000	2,915
5000 TO	9999	2	98.95	98.95	98.95	0.0	0 100.00	98.95	98.95	N/A	8,600	8,510
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	3	98.95	98.36	98.69	0.6	99.66	97.17	98.95	N/A	6,733	6,645
10000 TO	29999	8	97.29	112.70	110.29	21.5	4 102.19	84.20	168.83	84.20 to 168.83	17,450	19,245
30000 TO	59999	5	99.09	88.43	91.23	13.1	0 96.92	43.80	102.73	N/A	43,902	40,053
60000 TO	99999	5	100.44	97.91	98.34	5.4	9 99.56	83.09	106.08	N/A	70,828	69,653
100000 TO	149999	4	91.38	89.66	90.04	8.4	3 99.57	77.23	98.64	N/A	118,750	106,920
150000 TO	249999	3	95.48	96.46	96.87	2.2	99.58	93.72	100.19	N/A	186,771	180,923
250000 TO	499999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000

12.35

103.86

93.89

99.20

43.80

170.85

170.85

N/A

95.48 to 100.44

683,333

127,148

819,550

137,547

500000 +

\_\_ALL\_\_\_\_

103.63

98.80

32

119.93

108.18

124.56

76 - SALINE	COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat	Cara Cara D	PAGE:4 of 5	
COMMERCIAL					Γ	Гуре: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run		
						Date Rar	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009			
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	32	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	99	COV:	24.29	95%	Median C.I.: 95.48	to 100.44	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 4	1,514,200	WGT. MEAN:	108	STD:	24.67		. Mean C.I.: 90.53		( Berreu)	
TO'	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 4	1,068,765	MEAN:	102	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.20	95	% Mean C.I.: 93.0	2 to 110.11		
T	OTAL Asses	sed Value	: 4	1,401,530									
AV	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	127,148	COD:	12.35	MAX Sales Ratio:	170.85					
;	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	137,547	PRD:	93.89	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:29:48	
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$_													
1 TO	4999	1	97.17	97.17	97.17			97.17	97.17	N/A	3,000	2,915	
5000 TO	9999	2	98.95	98.95	98.95	0.0	0 100.00	98.95	98.95	N/A	8,600	8,510	
Total \$													
1 TO	9999	3	98.95	98.36	98.69	0.6		97.17	98.95	N/A	6,733	6,645	
10000 TO	29999	9	96.63	105.05	97.73	25.3		43.80	168.83	84.20 to 167.09	19,122	18,688	
30000 TO	59999	5	99.09	96.28	95.50	5.1	7 100.83	83.09	102.73	N/A	49,402	47,177	
60000 TO	99999	6	99.07	95.06	92.92	7.9		77.23	106.08	77.23 to 106.08	85,690	79,625	
100000 TO	149999	2	97.36	97.36	97.39	1.3	1 99.97	96.08	98.64	N/A	127,500	124,167	
150000 TO	249999	3	95.48	96.46	96.87	2.2	6 99.58	93.72	100.19	N/A	186,771	180,923	
250000 TO	499999	1	100.00	100.00	100.00		=	100.00	100.00	N/A	250,000	250,000	
500000 +		3	103.63	124.56	119.93	23.0	5 103.86	99.20	170.85	N/A	683,333	819,550	
ALL	_												
		32	98.80	101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547	
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		2	71.90	71.90	93.53	39.0		43.80	100.00	N/A	141,250	132,117	
10		13	98.95	103.58	99.95	7.7		92.22	167.09	95.28 to 100.77	58,250	58,221	
15		6	97.63	96.64	95.87	3.6		86.67	102.73	86.67 to 102.73	79,166	75,893	
20		10	97.34	100.91	99.07	14.5		77.23	168.83	83.09 to 103.63	200,401	198,536	
25		1	170.85	170.85	170.85		=	170.85	170.85	N/A	550,000	939,695	
ALL													

43.80 170.85 95.48 to 100.44

127,148

137,547

32

98.80

101.56

108.18 12.35

76 - SAI	LINE COUNTY			PAD 3	2000 D &	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERCI	[AL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					• • •	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	32	MEDIAN:	99	COV:	24.29	95%	Median C.I.: 95.48	to 100 44	(1 D : 1)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 4	1,514,200	WGT. MEAN:	108	STD:	24.67		. Mean C.I.: 90.53		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 4	1,068,765	MEAN:	102	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.20	_		2 to 110.11	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 4	1,401,530			AVG.ADG.DEV.	12.20	, ,	v 1.0dii 0.1.	2 00 110.11	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	127,148	COD:	12.35	MAX Sales Ratio:	170.85				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	137,547	PRD:	93.89	MIN Sales Ratio:	43.80			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:29:48
OCCUPAN	CY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	3	98.95	80.92	93.69	18.9	3 86.36	43.80	100.00	N/A	97,033	90,915
306	1	100.44	100.44	100.44			100.44	100.44	N/A	72,000	72,315
340	1	93.72	93.72	93.72			93.72	93.72	N/A	160,315	150,250
343	1	99.20	99.20	99.20			99.20	99.20	N/A	800,000	793,580
344	1	92.22	92.22	92.22			92.22	92.22	N/A	18,000	16,600
353	6	97.43	98.33	98.91	1.7	5 99.41	96.08	102.25	96.08 to 102.25	86,000	85,066
380	1	106.08	106.08	106.08			106.08	106.08	N/A	74,140	78,645
384	1	98.95	98.95	98.95			98.95	98.95	N/A	8,600	8,510
406	5	100.77	112.98	104.08	15.6	8 108.55	93.93	168.83	N/A	44,720	46,543
407	1	170.85	170.85	170.85			170.85	170.85	N/A	550,000	939,695
426	1	97.96	97.96	97.96			97.96	97.96	N/A	25,000	24,490
442	6	97.19	94.83	99.95	6.6	7 94.87	83.09	103.63	83.09 to 103.63	166,168	166,093
470	1	84.20	84.20	84.20			84.20	84.20	N/A	22,000	18,525
526	1	95.48	95.48	95.48			95.48	95.48	N/A	175,000	167,085
528	2	122.16	122.16	87.81	36.7	8 139.13	77.23	167.09	N/A	68,000	59,707
ALL	·										
	32	98.80	101.56	108.18	12.3	5 93.89	43.80	170.85	95.48 to 100.44	127,148	137,547
PROPERT	Y TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02											
03	31	98.64	99.33	98.38	10.4	1 100.96	43.80	168.83	95.48 to 100.19	113,508	111,672
04	1	170.85	170.85	170.85			170.85	170.85	N/A	550,000	939,695

93.89

43.80

170.85 95.48 to 100.44

127,148

137,547

\_\_\_ALL\_\_\_\_

32

98.80

101.56

#### **Commerical Real Property**

#### I. Correlation

COMMERCIAL:Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. Of the two qualitative statistics, the price related differential is below the acceptable range while the coefficient of dispersion is in the range. The changes between the preliminary statistical reports and the final R&O reports are consistent with the assessment actions for the class. For the commercial class of property the median is a reliable measure of the level of value.

#### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	<b>Percent Used</b>
2009	89	32	35.96
2008	91	38	41.76
2007	87	44	50.57
2006	70	43	61.43
2005	69	46	66.67

COMMERCIAL: The county has utilized an acceptable portion of the available sales and the measurement of the class of property was done with all arms length sales. When reviewing the history this trend has dipped compared to the last few years but still represents an adequate sample of qualified sales.

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	99	-0.10	99	99
2008	98.26	8.70	107	98.76
2007	99	-0.48	99	99
2006	97	-0.20	97	99
2005	95	0.53	95	99

COMMERCIAL: The relationship between the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O ratio suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

# IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

1.1	2009	-0.10
-2.32	2008	8.70
0.00	2007	-0.48
0.11	2006	-0.20
-1.63	2005	0.53

COMMERCIAL: The percent change in assessed value for both sold and unsold properties is similar and suggests the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	99	108	102

COMMERCIAL: The table above shows that two of the three measures of central tendency are outside the acceptable range. The weighted mean is 9 points above the median and the mean is over 3 points above the median.

#### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	12.35	93.89
Difference	0.00	-4.11

COMMERCIAL: The coefficient of dispersion is in the range while the price related differential is below the range. This could mean that the high value properties are relatively over-assessed. This does not support vertical uniformity.

#### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	34	32	-2
Median	99	99	0
Wgt. Mean	107	108	1
Mean	105	102	-3
COD	17.74	12.35	-5.39
PRD	97.54	93.89	-3.65
Minimum	43.80	43.80	0.00
Maximum	244.50	170.85	-73.65

COMMERCIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property. The difference in the number of qualified sales is a result of sales sustaining substantial physical changes and being removed from the qualified sales roster.

# Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports

	LINE COUNTY				PAD 2009	at	State Stat Run	PAGE:1 of 5				
AGRICULI	TURAL UNIMPRO	VED.			7	Type: Qualifi Data Rar	ed nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	N Postad	Before: 01/22	/2009	Siate Stat Kun	
	NTIMBER	of Sales:		59	MEDIAN:	64	8				2	
(AgLand)		les Price:		,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	<b>04</b> 61	COV:	24.18			3 to 69.09	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sal			,771,863	MEAN:	63	STD:	15.24	_		5 to 65.85	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess			,133,870	MEAN.	03	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.10	95	% Mean C.I.: 59.	13 to 66.91	(!: ag_denom=0)
(AgLanu)	AVG. Adj. Sal			199,523	COD:	18.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13				
	AVG. Assess			120,913	PRD:	104.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Duinted: 01/22	(2000 22.02.22
DATE OF		oca varac.		120,515	TIDI	101.00	MIN BAICS RACIO!	33.27			Avg. Adj.	/2009 23:03:23 Avg.
RANGE	SALE *	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrt	rs											
07/01/05	TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05	TO 12/31/05	8	72.32	69.67	72.16	17.1	.9 96.55	45.43	94.85	45.43 to 94.85	166,883	120,418
01/01/06	TO 03/31/06	2	72.25	72.25	73.21	7.5	98.69	66.78	77.72	N/A	155,274	113,677
04/01/06	TO 06/30/06	5	76.14	80.33	78.78	15.6	101.97	62.18	99.13	N/A	128,691	101,381
07/01/06	TO 09/30/06											
10/01/06	TO 12/31/06	5	59.81	65.82	70.09	14.4	93.90	53.35	81.98	N/A	191,396	134,151
01/01/07	TO 03/31/07	14	65.29	67.42	66.66	11.0	101.15	45.50	90.06	61.14 to 75.25	189,635	126,402
04/01/07	TO 06/30/07	6	57.41	62.27	63.35	13.2	98.29	52.72	75.58	52.72 to 75.58	270,653	171,470
07/01/07	TO 09/30/07	3	36.37	39.51	38.16	14.2	103.55	33.29	48.88	N/A	432,500	165,038
10/01/07	TO 12/31/07	6	47.96	49.05	45.40	18.6	108.03	35.14	69.09	35.14 to 69.09	238,065	108,090
01/01/08	TO 03/31/08	8	56.37	54.60	55.21	18.0	98.89	37.51	74.59	37.51 to 74.59	152,937	84,433
04/01/08	TO 06/30/08	2	59.29	59.29	49.70	18.1	119.29	48.55	70.03	N/A	148,800	73,957
Stu	dy Years											
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	15	73.13	73.57	74.16	15.7	9 99.20	45.43	99.13	62.83 to 80.24	152,605	113,174

21.46

14.97

18.27

18.94

99.40

99.20

106.02

104.00

110.02

45.50

33.29

53.35

33.29

33.29

90.06

74.59

99.13

90.06

99.13

59.81 to 74.24

38.57 to 63.87

59.81 to 81.98

52.72 to 67.93

58.63 to 69.09

209,431

223,525

159,248

241,541

199,523

138,768

103,528

117,084

135,935

120,913

07/01/06 TO 06/30/07

07/01/07 TO 06/30/08

01/01/07 TO 12/31/07

\_\_\_\_ALL\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_Calendar Yrs\_\_\_ 01/01/06 TO 12/31/06 64.30

48.88

72.47

61.14

63.87

25

19

12

29

59

66.26

46.32

73.52

56.28

60.60

65.87

50.96

72.94

59.67

76 - SALINE COUNTY

PAGE: 2 of 5

PAGE: 2 of 5

AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPROVED		Type: Qualified State Stat Run										
						/2000							
	NUMBER of Color		F.0	MEDIAN		nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20		Before: 01/22					
(A.T. D.	NUMBER of Sales		59	MEDIAN:	64	COV:	24.18		Median C.I.: 58		(!: Derived)		
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price		,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	15.24	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 55	3.35 to 65.85	(!: land+NAT=0)		
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price		,771,863	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.10	95	% Mean C.I.: 5	59.13 to 66.91	(!: ag_denom=0)		
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value		,133,870										
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		199,523	COD:	18.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13						
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	120,913	PRD:	104.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/			
GEO COD										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val		
3683	6	61.57	63.28	58.02	20.0		41.42	90.06	41.42 to 90.0		126,575		
3685	3	64.30	64.96	62.32	9.4		56.19	74.38	N/A	410,472	255,791		
3687	5	74.59	71.01	74.49	15.2	9 95.33	38.57	93.49	N/A	195,119	145,338		
3741	1	75.45	75.45	75.45			75.45	75.45	N/A	150,000	113,180		
3743	1	43.83	43.83	43.83			43.83	43.83	N/A	282,000	123,605		
3745	9	69.09	68.41	67.77	6.7	6 100.95	59.70	76.14	60.77 to 74.2	4 138,540	93,885		
3747	3	75.58	77.54	77.04	14.4	1 100.65	62.18	94.85	N/A	307,481	236,870		
3917	1	48.55	48.55	48.55			48.55	48.55	N/A	281,600	136,710		
3919	3	70.70	70.93	69.50	6.3	0 102.05	64.36	77.72	N/A	198,869	138,220		
3921	4	54.35	61.33	54.58	33.3	7 112.38	37.51	99.13	N/A	168,050	91,716		
3923	7	52.08	52.30	45.43	20.9	4 115.12	33.29	76.23	33.29 to 76.2	3 268,785	122,115		
3977	2	43.93	43.93	42.20	20.0	1 104.09	35.14	52.72	N/A	237,695	100,317		
3979	4	61.30	62.52	64.24	15.0	1 97.33	45.50	81.98	N/A	220,298	141,512		
3981	8	59.61	58.94	61.33	14.8	0 96.12	45.32	80.20	45.32 to 80.2	0 83,547	51,236		
3983	2	69.44	69.44	70.00	15.5	6 99.19	58.63	80.24	N/A	98,833	69,185		
ALL													
	59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4 104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.0	9 199,523	120,913		
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val		
1	33	63.87	62.22	57.67	16.5	8 107.90	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.0	9 165,697	95,553		
2	6	56.93	59.45	57.96	26.3	4 102.56	35.14	81.98	35.14 to 81.9	8 200,528	116,235		
3	20	65.54	65.42	64.37	20.4	4 101.63	38.57	94.85	55.05 to 74.5	9 255,033	164,159		
ALL													
	59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4 104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.0	9 199,523	120,913		
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	D & IOLI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val		
0	1	48.55	48.55	48.55			48.55	48.55	N/A	281,600	136,710		
2	58	63.88	63.27	60.90	18.8	5 103.90	33.29	99.13	59.70 to 69.0	9 198,107	120,640		
ALL													

104.00

33.29

99.13

58.63 to 69.09

120,913

199,523

18.94

59

63.87

63.02

Base Stat **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** PAGE:3 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY

AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPR	ROVED	'			Type: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
				Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009								
	NUMBE	R of Sales	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	24.18	95%	Median C.I.: 58.6	i3 to 69 N9	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL S	ales Price	: 11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	15.24		. Mean C.I.: 55.3		(!: Derivea) (!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.S	ales Price	: 11	,771,863	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.10			.13 to 66.91	(!: ag_denom=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asse	ssed Value	: 7	,133,870			1100.1100.000	12.10			.15 60 00.51	(mag_achom o)
, ,	AVG. Adj. S	ales Price	:	199,523	COD:	18.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13				
	AVG. Asse	ssed Value	:	120,913	PRD:	104.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	/2009 23:03:23
SCHOOL I	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
30-0001		2	71.70	71.70	68.99	32.2	9 103.93	48.55	94.85	N/A	252,122	173,942
48-0300		8	57.25	58.20	58.40	23.3	99.65	35.14	81.98	35.14 to 81.98	157,458	91,953
48-0303		8	64.02	62.96	63.96	10.7	9 98.43	45.32	80.24	45.32 to 80.24	112,403	71,895
76-0002		1	75.45	75.45	75.45			75.45	75.45	N/A	150,000	113,180
76-0044		7	73.13	65.66	66.97	19.5	7 98.04	38.57	93.49	38.57 to 93.49	198,228	132,755
76-0068		17	66.78	65.86	63.80	11.8	6 103.23	41.42	90.06	56.35 to 74.24	248,472	158,522
76-0082		16	56.28	59.44	51.92	25.0	3 114.48	33.29	99.13	48.88 to 76.14	209,192	108,615
80-0005												
NonValid	School											
ALL												
		59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4 104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913
ACRES II	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0.01		1	70.03	70.03	70.03			70.03	70.03	N/A	16,000	11,205
10.01		1	53.35	53.35	53.35			53.35	53.35	N/A	37,500	20,005
30.01		7	61.14	58.26	56.62	14.4		38.57	76.14	38.57 to 76.14	62,336	35,296
50.01		31	62.83	63.08	60.28	21.2		33.29	99.13	52.75 to 71.50	158,476	95,536
100.01		16	65.26	65.56	63.71	16.3		41.42	94.85	56.19 to 75.45	309,076	196,911
	TO 330.00	3	64.36	60.90	52.20	23.6	116.67	36.37	81.98	N/A	474,667	247,786
ALL												
		59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4 104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913
	Y LAND USE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		10	65.40	66.58	62.24	19.4		37.51	99.13	52.72 to 80.24	115,922	72,152
DRY-N/A		25	66.21	64.57	60.14	13.8	6 107.38	36.37	81.98	61.46 to 72.45	184,175	110,754
GRASS		1	55.05	55.05	55.05			55.05	55.05	N/A	110,000	60,560
GRASS-N/	A	8	53.29	56.87	58.64	26.6		38.57	94.85	38.57 to 94.85	157,479	92,352
IRRGTD	,_	2	39.49	39.49	39.47	11.0		35.14	43.83	N/A	283,195	111,775
IRRGTD-N		13	64.30	65.33	64.36	21.2	3 101.52	33.29	93.49	52.75 to 75.58	313,232	201,582
ALL												
		59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4 104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913

76 - SALINE COUNTY

PAGE: 4 of 5

PAGE: 4 of 5

76 - SALINE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED		- 1			INGE. I OF 5							
AGRICULT						Type: Qualifi	<u>iinary Statistics</u> <sup>ied</sup>				State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales:	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	24.18	95% 1	Median C.I.: 58.6	3 to 69.09	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sal	es Price:	: 11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	15.24		. Mean C.I.: 55.3		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sal	es Price:	: 11	,771,863	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.10			13 to 66.91	(!: ag_denom=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess	ed Value:	: 7	,133,870								(**************************************
	AVG. Adj. Sal	es Price:	:	199,523	COD:	18.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13				
	AVG. Assess	ed Value:	:	120,913	PRD:	104.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	/2009 23:03:23
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE >	80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		21	67.93	66.17	63.96	15.3	103.45	37.51	99.13	58.63 to 74.24	149,588	95,680
DRY-N/A		14	64.03	63.61	56.48	14.7	73 112.62	36.37	81.98	52.08 to 75.45	187,303	105,790
GRASS		1	55.05	55.05	55.05			55.05	55.05	N/A	110,000	60,560
GRASS-N/A	A	8	53.29	56.87	58.64	26.6	96.97	38.57	94.85	38.57 to 94.85	157,479	92,352
IRRGTD		11	64.30	64.66	63.62	23.5	101.64	35.14	93.49	43.83 to 90.06	321,891	204,796
IRRGTD-N	/A	4	54.55	54.25	53.88	20.5	100.68	33.29	74.59	N/A	274,402	147,840
ALL_												
		59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE >	50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		34	66.50	65.53	60.80	15.1	107.78	36.37	99.13	60.77 to 72.45	164,812	100,206
DRY-N/A		1	52.08	52.08	52.08			52.08	52.08	N/A	160,000	83,335
GRASS		9	55.05	56.67	58.36	22.9	90 97.11	38.57	94.85	41.42 to 64.36	152,204	88,820
IRRGTD		15	59.70	61.89	61.32	24.8	100.93	33.29	93.49	45.50 to 74.59	309,227	189,608
ALL_												
		59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov												
Tota			<b>50.00</b>	=	=			<b></b>	=	/-	4.5.000	44 00=
10000 7		1	70.03	70.03	70.03			70.03	70.03	N/A	16,000	11,205
30000		5	63.87	60.57	60.78	13.0		45.32	76.14	N/A	46,600	28,325
60000		9	67.93	65.47	66.27	18.6		38.57	99.13	45.43 to 76.23	86,551	57,355
100000 7		11	63.89	66.39	65.95	10.9		55.05	80.24	56.38 to 80.20	122,152	80,559
150000 7		20	64.19	64.36	63.97	23.3		33.29	94.85	52.08 to 74.59	205,551	131,482
250000 7		11	61.46	58.74	59.72	19.8		35.14	81.98	41.42 to 75.58	352,904	210,771
500000 -	+	2	46.28	46.28	44.81	21.4	103.29	36.37	56.19	N/A	703,627	315,277

18.94

33.29

104.00

99.13 58.63 to 69.09

199,523

120,913

\_\_\_ALL\_\_\_\_

59

63.87

63.02

76 - SAL	INE COUN	'Y				PAD 2009	Prelim	ina	Base St	at	PAGE:5 of 5			
AGRICULT	URAL UNI	IPROVED					Type: Qualifi		Ty Dutibues				State Stat Run	
							Date Ran	nge: 07	7/01/2005 to 06/30/2009	8 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUN	BER of S	ales:		59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64		COV:	24.18	95% N	Median C.I.: 58.6	3 to 69.09	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAI	Sales P	rice:	11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	61		STD:	15.24	95% Wgt.	Mean C.I.: 55.3	5 to 65.85	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Ad:	.Sales P	rice:	11	,771,863	MEAN:	63		AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.10	959	Mean C.I.: 59.	13 to 66.91	(!: ag_denom=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL AS	sessed V	alue:	7	,133,870									
	AVG. Adj	Sales P	rice:		199,523	COD:	18.94	MAX	K Sales Ratio:	99.13				
AVG. Assessed Value:				120,913	PRD:	104.00	MIN	N Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	2009 23:03:24	
ASSESSED VALUE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE		COT	JNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lor	w \$													
Tota	al \$													
10000	TO 299	99	3	53.35	56.23	52.05	15.4	4	108.04	45.32	70.03	N/A	34,500	17,956
30000	TO 599	99	7	61.14	58.28	56.03	14.3	8	104.02	38.57	76.14	38.57 to 76.14	65,908	36,927
60000	TO 999	99	20	63.36	62.14	57.08	18.8	14	108.86	33.29	99.13	55.05 to 70.70	136,679	78,019
100000	TO 1499	99	14	60.94	61.48	59.47	18.3	9	103.38	43.83	80.20	48.55 to 75.45	210,355	125,100
150000 5	TO 2499	99	9	74.38	75.14	71.65	17.7	6	104.87	41.42	94.85	61.46 to 93.49	265,557	190,265
250000		99	6	60.33	60.32	57.27	17.7	1	105.33	36.37	75.58	36.37 to 75.58	523,069	299,555
ALL	ALL													
			59	63.87	63.02	60.60	18.9	4	104.00	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 69.09	199,523	120,913

76 - SALINE COUNTY

PAGE: 1 of 5

MINIMAL NON-AG

State Stat Run

MINIMAL NON-AG		Type: Qualified State State State									
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	23.67	95%	Median C.I.: 58.6	3 to 67.93	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal	les Price	: 15,7	728,618	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	14.96	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 56.6	5 to 65.45	(!: land+NAT=0)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price	: 16,0	29,658	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.90	95	% Mean C.I.: 59.	69 to 66.76	(!: ag_denom=0)
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	: 9,7	786,050								
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	: 2	232,313	COD:	18.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	: 1	141,826	PRD:	103.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22,	/2009 23:03:35
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	8	72.32	69.67	72.16	17.1	9 96.55	45.43	94.85	45.43 to 94.85	166,883	120,418
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	3	73.58	72.69	74.45	4.9	6 97.64	66.78	77.72	N/A	301,102	224,180
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	6	82.63	81.79	81.60	14.6	7 100.23	62.18	99.13	62.18 to 99.13	132,648	108,245
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	1	64.84	64.84	65.81			64.84	64.84	N/A	227,095	149,460
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	7	65.84	68.18	72.94	14.2	5 93.47	53.35	82.31	53.35 to 82.31	214,361	156,352
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	15	64.36	66.97	65.85	10.8	6 101.69	45.50	90.06	61.14 to 74.38	215,515	141,924
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	8	56.33	60.31	59.91	11.0	5 100.66	52.39	75.58	52.39 to 75.58	345,341	206,900
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	3	36.37	39.51	38.16	14.2	9 103.55	33.29	48.88	N/A	432,500	165,038
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	7	43.88	48.31	45.10	17.4	3 107.13	35.14	69.09	35.14 to 69.09	289,950	130,760
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	8	56.37	54.60	55.21	18.0	2 98.89	37.51	74.59	37.51 to 74.59	152,937	84,433
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	3	55.22	57.93	53.60	12.9	7 108.09	48.55	70.03	N/A	240,551	128,931
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	17	73.58	74.48	75.32	15.1	2 98.89	45.43	99.13	62.83 to 89.11	178,486	134,433
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	31	64.30	65.45	65.10	12.5	1 100.54	45.50	90.06	59.81 to 72.45	249,131	162,193
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	21	48.88	50.82	46.90	20.5	2 108.37	33.29	74.59	41.42 to 56.38	251,062	117,747
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	17	73.58	73.58	74.88	13.6	6 98.27	53.35	99.13	62.18 to 82.31	201,577	150,937
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	33	60.60	58.90	55.72	17.7	0 105.71	33.29	90.06	52.72 to 64.36	282,503	157,408
ALL											

18.63

33.29

99.13

103.56

58.63 to 67.93

232,313

141,826

63.87

69

63.23

Base Stat PAGE:2 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** 

	LINE COUNTI			PAD 2009	Prelim	<u>mary Stausucs</u>			C4 4 C4 4 D			
MINIMAL	NON-AG			7	Гуре: Qualific			State Stat Run				
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted l	Before: 01/22	/2009			
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	23.67	95% 1	Median C.I.: 58.6	3 to 67.93	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sales Price:	15,	,728,618	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	14.96		. Mean C.I.: 56.6		(!: land+NAT=0)	
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	16,	,029,658	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.90	95	k Mean C.I.: 59.	69 to 66.76	(!: ag_denom=0)	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	9,	,786,050									
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		232,313	COD:	18.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13					
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	141,826	PRD:	103.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	/2009 23:03:35	
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
3683	8	61.57	63.21	61.04	19.3	4 103.55	41.42	90.06	41.42 to 90.06	306,223	186,926	
3685	4	60.38	62.83	60.53	10.7	8 103.80	56.19	74.38	N/A	455,551	275,743	
3687	5	74.59	71.01	74.49	15.2	9 95.33	38.57	93.49	N/A	195,119	145,338	
3741	1	75.45	75.45	75.45			75.45	75.45	N/A	150,000	113,180	
3743	1	43.83	43.83	43.83			43.83	43.83	N/A	282,000	123,605	
3745	9	69.09	68.41	67.77	6.7	6 100.95	59.70	76.14	60.77 to 74.24	138,540	93,885	
3747	4	68.88	73.30	71.31	17.2	9 102.80	60.60	94.85	N/A	375,068	267,457	
3917	2	68.83	68.83	64.35	29.4	6 106.97	48.55	89.11	N/A	217,015	139,640	
3919	5	70.70	67.79	62.78	14.6	5 107.99	43.88	82.31	N/A	304,890	191,400	
3921	4	54.35	61.33	54.58	33.3	7 112.38	37.51	99.13	N/A	168,050	91,716	
3923	7	52.08	52.30	45.43	20.9	4 115.12	33.29	76.23	33.29 to 76.23	268,785	122,115	
3977	2	43.93	43.93	42.20	20.0	1 104.09	35.14	52.72	N/A	237,695	100,317	
3979	6	61.30	61.86	62.61	12.8	9 98.79	45.50	81.98	45.50 to 81.98	253,702	158,846	
3981	9	62.83	59.60	62.46	12.8	4 95.41	45.32	80.20	45.43 to 64.84	99,497	62,150	
3983	2	69.44	69.44	70.00	15.5	6 99.19	58.63	80.24	N/A	98,833	69,185	
ALI	<u></u>											
	69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	3 103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.93	232,313	141,826	
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	38	64.03	63.14	59.15	17.1	0 106.75	33.29	99.13	59.81 to 69.09	184,009	108,836	
2	7	55.22	58.84	57.54	23.2	7 102.27	35.14	81.98	35.14 to 81.98	232,460	133,755	
3	24	62.45	64.64	63.62	20.0	6 101.61	38.57	94.85	55.05 to 74.38	308,752	196,415	
ALI	·											
	69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	3 103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.93	232,313	141,826	
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	& IOLL	ı							Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
0	1	48.55	48.55	48.55			48.55	48.55	N/A	281,600	136,710	
1	10	62.72	64.42	62.29	17.0	8 103.42	43.88	89.11	52.39 to 82.31	425,779	265,218	
2	58	63.88	63.27	60.90	18.8	5 103.90	33.29	99.13	59.70 to 69.09	198,107	120,640	
ALI	<u> </u>											
	69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	3 103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.93	232,313	141,826	

Base Stat **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** PAGE:3 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY

MINIMAL	NON-AG		ı		1 AD 2003	Type: Qualifi		State Stat Run					
						• •	eu 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	Before: 01/22					
	NUMBI	ER of Sales	:	69	MEDIAN:	64	COV:	23.67		Median C.I.: 58	0 62 +0 67 02	(1 D : 1)	
		Sales Price		,728,618	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	14.96			5.65 to 65.45	(!: Derived)	
		Sales Price		,029,658	MEAN:	63		11.90	_			(!: land+NAT=0) (!: ag_denom=0)	
	-	essed Value		,786,050	1122	0.5	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.90	95	6 Mean C.I	59.69 to 66.76	(:: ag_aenom=0)	
		Sales Price		232,313	COD:	18.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13					
	_	essed Value		141,826	PRD:	103.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	2009 23:03:35	
SCHOOT, I	DISTRICT *			<del>-</del>							Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	210111101	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)													
30-0001		3	89.11	77.50	74.69	17.3	103.77	48.55	94.85	N/A	218,891	163,485	
48-0300		9	61.14	59.05	59.85	20.2	98.65	35.14	81.98	45.43 to 80.2	164,070	98,197	
48-0303		9	64.17	63.17	64.34	9.6	98.19	45.32	80.24	56.38 to 70.7	0 125,146	80,513	
76-0002		1	75.45	75.45	75.45			75.45	75.45	N/A	150,000	113,180	
76-0044		7	73.13	65.66	66.97	19.5	98.04	38.57	93.49	38.57 to 93.4	9 198,228	132,755	
76-0068		21	64.30	64.89	63.15	12.5	102.76	41.42	90.06	56.46 to 72.4	5 311,116	196,460	
76-0082		19	55.22	59.60	53.61	25.1	.5 111.18	33.29	99.13	48.88 to 76.1	.4 247,315	132,582	
80-0005													
NonValid	School												
ALL													
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9	3 232,313	141,826	
ACRES II	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val	
0.01	TO 10.00	1	70.03	70.03	70.03			70.03	70.03	N/A	16,000	11,205	
10.01	ro 30.00	1	53.35	53.35	53.35			53.35	53.35	N/A	37,500	20,005	
30.01		7	61.14	58.26	56.62	14.4		38.57	76.14	38.57 to 76.1		35,296	
50.01		31	62.83	63.08	60.28	21.2		33.29	99.13	52.75 to 71.5		95,536	
100.01	ro 180.00	23	64.30	64.93	62.68	15.5	103.59	41.42	94.85	56.35 to 73.1	.3 334,017	209,358	
	ro 330.00	6	68.97	63.75	58.78	22.5	108.45	36.37	82.31	36.37 to 82.3	490,767	288,480	
ALL													
·		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9		141,826	
	Y LAND USE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val	
DRY		12	60.29	63.74	56.18	20.6		37.51	99.13	52.72 to 76.2		102,264	
DRY-N/A		29	66.21	66.08	63.04	14.0	104.82	36.37	89.11	62.18 to 74.2		120,158	
GRASS		1	55.05	55.05	55.05			55.05	55.05	N/A	110,000	60,560	
GRASS-N/	A	8	53.29	56.87	58.64	26.6		38.57	94.85	38.57 to 94.8		92,352	
IRRGTD		2	39.49	39.49	39.47	11.0		35.14	43.83	N/A	283,195	111,775	
IRRGTD-N		17	60.60	64.26	63.49	20.0	101.21	33.29	93.49	52.75 to 74.5	375,378	238,314	
ALL													
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9	3 232,313	141,826	

Base Stat PAGE:4 of 5 **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** 76 - SALINE COUNTY

	INE COUNTI				PAD 2009		State Stat Run					
MINIMAL 1	NON-AG				, r	Гуре: Qualifi			State Stat Kun			
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMB	ER of Sales	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	cov:	23.67	95%	Median C.I.: 58	3.63 to 67.93	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL	Sales Price	: 15	5,728,618	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	14.96	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 56	5.65 to 65.45	(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price		5,029,658	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.90	95	% Mean C.I.:	59.69 to 66.76	(!: ag_denom=0)
	TOTAL Ass	essed Value	: 9	786,050								
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	232,313	COD:	18.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13				
AVG. Assessed Value:			141,826	PRD:	103.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	/2009 23:03:35	
MAJORITY	LAND USE	> 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		24	67.07	65.46	62.10	16.7	75 105.40	37.51	99.13	56.38 to 74.2	187,219	116,271
DRY-N/A		17	64.84	65.31	59.69	14.3	109.42	36.37	89.11	53.35 to 75.4	189,337	113,014
GRASS		1	55.05	55.05	55.05			55.05	55.05	N/A	110,000	60,560
GRASS-N/A	Ą	8	53.29	56.87	58.64	26.6	96.97	38.57	94.85	38.57 to 94.8	157,479	92,352
IRRGTD		13	60.60	63.72	62.59	22.1	.0 101.81	35.14	93.49	45.50 to 75.5	362,263	226,736
IRRGTD-N/	/A	6	54.55	57.16	59.30	20.1	.9 96.39	33.29	74.59	33.29 to 74.5	373,065	221,220
ALL_												
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9		141,826
MAJORITY	LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		40	66.03	65.73	61.29	15.7	76 107.25	36.37	99.13	61.46 to 71.5	188,800	115,710
DRY-N/A		1	52.08	52.08	52.08			52.08	52.08	N/A	160,000	83,335
GRASS		9	55.05	56.67	58.36	22.9	97.11	38.57	94.85	41.42 to 64.3	152,204	88,820
IRRGTD		19	59.70	61.65	61.53	21.8	100.19	33.29	93.49	52.39 to 74.3	365,674	224,994
ALL_												
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9		141,826
SALE PRI	CE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v \$											
Tota	al \$											
10000 7	го 29999	1	70.03	70.03	70.03			70.03	70.03	N/A	16,000	11,205
30000		5	63.87	60.57	60.78	13.0		45.32	76.14	N/A	46,600	28,325
60000 1	го 99999	9	67.93	65.47	66.27	18.6	98.80	38.57	99.13	45.43 to 76.2	86,551	57,355
100000 7	го 149999	11	63.89	66.39	65.95	10.9	100.67	55.05	80.24	56.38 to 80.2	10 122,152	80,559
150000 T	го 249999	23	65.84	65.52	65.21	21.3	100.48	33.29	94.85	52.72 to 74.5	9 204,674	133,470
250000 1	го 499999	13	61.46	60.28	61.15	20.1	.7 98.57	35.14	82.31	43.83 to 75.5	356,352	217,918
500000 +	<del>-</del>	7	56.19	54.21	53.92	14.7	100.54	36.37	73.58	36.37 to 73.5	616,845	332,587
ALL_												
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.9	232,313	141,826

76 - SALIN	E COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	Base St	at	PAGE:5 of 5			
MINIMAL NON-AG						Гуре: Qualifi	v			State Stat Run			
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/22	efore: 01/22/2009				
	NUMBER	of Sales:	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	23.67	95% N	Median C.I.: 58.6	3 to 67.93	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sal	les Price:	: 15	,728,618	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	14.96	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 56.6	5 to 65.45	(!: land+NAT=0)	
T	OTAL Adj.Sal	les Price:	: 16	,029,658	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.90	959	Mean C.I.: 59.	69 to 66.76	(!: ag_denom=0)	
•	TOTAL Assess	sed Value:	: 9	,786,050									
A'	VG. Adj. Sal	les Price:	:	232,313	COD:	18.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	99.13					
	AVG. Assess	sed Value:	:	141,826	PRD:	103.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	33.29			Printed: 01/22/	2009 23:03:35	
ASSESSED V	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$	<b>&gt;</b>												
Total	\$												
10000 TO	29999	3	53.35	56.23	52.05	15.4	14 108.04	45.32	70.03	N/A	34,500	17,956	
30000 TO	59999	7	61.14	58.28	56.03	14.3	104.02	38.57	76.14	38.57 to 76.14	65,908	36,927	
60000 TO	99999	20	63.36	62.14	57.08	18.8	108.86	33.29	99.13	55.05 to 70.70	136,679	78,019	
100000 TO	149999	14	60.94	61.48	59.47	18.3	103.38	43.83	80.20	48.55 to 75.45	210,355	125,100	
150000 TO	249999	13	74.24	73.17	70.12	17.6	104.36	41.42	94.85	61.46 to 90.06	262,351	183,957	
250000 TO	499999	12	58.53	60.93	59.14	18.2	103.03	36.37	82.31	52.39 to 73.58	531,305	314,204	
ALL													
		69	63.87	63.23	61.05	18.6	103.56	33.29	99.13	58.63 to 67.93	232,313	141,826	

# Saline County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Agricultural:** A market study was conducted for the entire county. Adjustments were made to classes and subclasses as needed. The market areas in the county were reviewed. The County completed the permit and pick up work for the class.

### **2009** Assessment Survey for Saline County

#### **Agricultural Appraisal Information**

1.	Data collection done by:
	Appraiser and office personnel
2.	Valuation done by:
	Appraiser
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
3.	Appraiser and office personnel
	Applaiser and office personner
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically
	define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	Yes. The County does currently have a policy defining rural residential acreages
	that discusses both predominant use of the parcel and acre size. This policy is still
	being revised and perfected for the county's continued use.
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?
	It is defined by predominant use of the parcel. The County sends out a survey to aid
	in this determination.
5.	When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or
	establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	The income approach was not used.
6.	If the income approach was used, what Capitalization Rate was used?
	NA
7.	What is the date of the soil survey currently used?
	2008
8.	What date was the last countywide land use study completed?
0.	1988, The county is continually working on updating land use.
	1500, The county is continuing in aparing land use.
a.	By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.)
	FSA maps, physical inspections and NRD information
<u>b.</u>	By whom?
	Office staff.
c.	What proportion is complete / implemented at this time?
	The County has updated to the new soil codes as regulated, but not completed a total
	recount of the county.

9.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations in the agricultural property class:
	There are 3 market areas.
10.	How are Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations developed?
	The market areas are defined by topography and accessibility of water.
11.	In the assessor's opinion, are there any other class or subclass groupings, other than LCG groupings, that are more appropriate for valuation?
	No
a.	No  If yes, list.
	If yes, list.
a. 12.	If yes, list.  In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?
	If yes, list.
	If yes, list.  In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?
12.	If yes, list.  In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?  N/A
12.	If yes, list.  In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?  N/A  Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special

### **Agricultural Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
31	124	117	272

76 - SALINE COUNTY	PAD 2009 R&O Statistics	Base Stat	PAGE:1 of 5
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED	Type: Qualified		State Stat Run
	Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Pos	sted Before: 01/23/2009	

AGRICULI	URAL UNIMPROV	VED	L			Type: Qualific	O Staustics			State Stat Run		
							ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted I	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales:		59	MEDIAN:	73					c	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sal			,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	7 <b>3</b> 69	COV:	23.08		Median C.I.: 67.56		(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sal			,771,863	MEAN:	73	STD:	16.79	_	. Mean C.I.: 64.00		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess			,177,980	MEAN.	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.31	95	% Mean C.I.: 68.	46 to 77.03	
(AgLanu)	AVG. Adj. Sal			199,523	COD:	16.91	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
	AVG. Assess			138,609	PRD:	104.71	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Delete d. 02/10	/2000 14.20.20
DATE OF		varue.		130,005	TID	101.71	MIN BAICS RACIO	10.51			Avg. Adj.	/2009 14:30:20 Avg.
RANGE	SALE ^	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
	rs	COONI	HEDIAN	PIEMI	WOI. PLEAN	00.	ם דועם	PILIN	1.11-177	Joe Median C.1.	2	
	TO 09/30/05											
	TO 12/31/05	8	78.93	74.80	76.74	17.7	9 97.48	47.36	101.35	47.36 to 101.35	166,883	128,068
01/01/06	TO 03/31/06	2	83.75	83.75	85.46	11.6	5 97.99	73.99	93.50	N/A	155,274	132,697
04/01/06	TO 06/30/06	5	85.61	89.63	87.52	9.9	6 102.41	75.00	108.66	N/A	128,691	112,625
07/01/06	TO 09/30/06											
10/01/06	TO 12/31/06	5	67.79	72.18	75.83	13.2	8 95.18	61.75	88.07	N/A	191,396	145,143
01/01/07	TO 03/31/07	14	72.76	74.79	73.15	8.0	2 102.23	64.30	90.06	65.91 to 84.89	189,635	138,722
04/01/07	TO 06/30/07	6	70.10	79.09	70.82	27.3	8 111.67	56.84	134.67	56.84 to 134.67	270,653	191,685
07/01/07	TO 09/30/07	3	42.13	45.18	43.08	10.1	2 104.88	40.31	53.10	N/A	432,500	186,315
10/01/07	TO 12/31/07	6	75.60	74.22	73.17	8.8	7 101.43	60.52	88.74	60.52 to 88.74	238,065	174,194
01/01/08	TO 03/31/08	8	60.96	60.58	59.36	18.7	8 102.05	41.31	76.69	41.31 to 76.69	152,937	90,786
04/01/08	TO 06/30/08	2	64.97	64.97	59.33	9.7	2 109.49	58.65	71.28	N/A	148,800	88,287
Stu	dy Years											
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	15	82.90	80.94	80.95	14.7	3 99.98	47.36	108.66	73.99 to 93.50	152,605	123,538
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	25	72.74	75.30	72.92	13.5	7 103.26	56.84	134.67	65.91 to 77.66	209,431	152,717
07/01/07	TO 06/30/08	19	64.67	62.92	59.03	18.5	8 106.58	40.31	88.74	52.23 to 76.34	223,525	131,946
Cal	endar Yrs											
01/01/06	TO 12/31/06	12	82.96	81.38	81.33	13.3	5 100.05	61.75	108.66	67.79 to 93.50	159,248	129,519
01/01/07	TO 12/31/07	29	72.74	72.50	67.05	15.3	2 108.13	40.31	134.67	65.14 to 76.74	241,541	161,942
ALL												

59

72.78

72.74

69.47

16.91

104.71

40.31

134.67

67.56 to 76.34

199,523

138,609

Base Stat PAGE:2 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY PAD 2009 R&O Statistics

72.78

59

72.74

69.47

	DINE COUNTI			PAD 2	<u>009 K&amp;</u>	<u>O Staustics</u>			State Stat Run					
AGRICUL'	TURAL UNIMPROVED			•	Гуре: Qualifi	ed		State Stat Kun						
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	<b>Before: 01/23</b>	3/2009					
	NUMBER of Sales	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	cov:	23.08	95%	Median C.I.: 67.5	6 to 76.34	(!: Derived)			
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	: 11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	16.79		. Mean C.I.: 64.0		(!: land+NAT=0)			
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 11	,771,863	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.31	95	% Mean C.I.: 68.	46 to 77.03	,			
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value		,177,980											
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	199,523	COD:	16.91	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67							
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	138,609	PRD:	104.71	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:30:20			
GEO COD	DE / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
3683	6	75.36	84.00	76.53	21.8	109.76	57.24	134.67	57.24 to 134.67	218,166	166,960			
3685	3	64.30	65.38	62.76	9.4	2 104.19	56.84	75.01	N/A	410,472	257,593			
3687	5	76.69	73.10	76.63	14.1	.8 95.39	41.31	93.92	N/A	195,119	149,529			
3741	1	84.60	84.60	84.60			84.60	84.60	N/A	150,000	126,895			
3743	1	60.52	60.52	60.52			60.52	60.52	N/A	282,000	170,655			
3745	9	77.29	76.70	75.51	7.7	101.57	62.30	85.61	70.68 to 84.89	138,540	104,616			
3747	3	75.58	83.98	81.66	11.6	102.84	75.00	101.35	N/A	307,481	251,085			
3917	1	58.65	58.65	58.65			58.65	58.65	N/A	281,600	165,170			
3919	3	84.94	83.61	80.93	8.2	103.31	72.39	93.50	N/A	198,869	160,951			
3921	4	60.45	68.82	61.47	32.1	.0 111.97	45.75	108.66	N/A	168,050	103,295			
3923	7	72.74	65.85	55.76	22.7	7 118.09	40.31	88.88	40.31 to 88.88	268,785	149,886			
3977	2	62.88	62.88	63.79	7.4	98.57	58.20	67.56	N/A	237,695	151,637			
3979	4	69.35	72.97	73.75	10.7	98.95	65.14	88.07	N/A	220,298	162,473			
3981	8	65.38	65.22	66.82	13.3	97.61	47.36	82.90	47.36 to 82.90	83,547	55,822			
3983	2	76.91	76.91	77.55	15.9	99.17	64.63	89.18	N/A	98,833	76,642			
ALI	<u> </u>													
	59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609			
AREA (M	IARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
1	33	71.50	70.57	65.40	17.2	107.91	40.31	108.66	64.67 to 77.29	165,697	108,360			
2	6	70.17	72.44	72.70	12.5	99.64	58.20	88.07	58.20 to 88.07	200,528	145,786			
3	20	75.30	76.43	73.08	16.8	104.59	41.31	134.67	64.30 to 77.66	255,033	186,368			
ALI														
	59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609			
	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	D & IOL	L .							Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
2	58	73.38	72.95	69.69	16.7	104.68	40.31	134.67	67.79 to 76.34	198,101	138,057			
3	1	60.52	60.52	60.52			60.52	60.52	N/A	282,000	170,655			
ALI														
				CO 45			40 01	404 60		400 -00	400 600			

104.71

40.31

134.67

67.56 to 76.34

199,523

138,609

16.91

Base Stat PAGE:3 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY

59

72.78

72.74

69.47

TIB TOO TREE OF DEATH DIE	~ ~ ~
Type: Qualified	State Stat Run

AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPRO	OVED	•	Type: Qualified State Stat Run								
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER	R of Sales:	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	23.08	95%	Median C.I.: 67.56	5 to 76.34	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	ales Price:	: 11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	16.79		. Mean C.I.: 64.00		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	ales Price:	: 11	,771,863	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.31	_		46 to 77.03	( unu+11A1=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses	ssed Value:	: 8	,177,980			1100.1100.000	12.51			10 00 77.03	
	AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price:		199,523	COD:	16.91	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
	AVG. Asses	ssed Value:		138,609	PRD:	104.71	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:30:20
SCHOOL I	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
30-0001		2	80.00	80.00	77.50	26.6	9 103.22	58.65	101.35	N/A	252,122	195,405
48-0300		8	66.83	68.96	72.36	13.2	8 95.30	47.36	88.07	47.36 to 88.07	157,458	113,931
48-0303		8	71.40	71.85	72.68	12.4	1 98.85	52.23	89.18	52.23 to 89.18	112,403	81,696
76-0002		1	84.60	84.60	84.60			84.60	84.60	N/A	150,000	126,895
76-0044		7	75.91	70.96	72.80	14.2	6 97.47	41.31	93.92	41.31 to 93.92	198,228	144,312
76-0068		17	75.01	76.63	71.99	12.7	7 106.44	56.84	134.67	64.30 to 80.98	248,472	178,884
76-0082		16	70.27	70.09	61.07	23.8	1 114.77	40.31	108.66	53.10 to 88.74	209,192	127,751
80-0005												
NonValid	School											
ALL												
		59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	1 104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609
ACRES I	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0.01	TO 10.00	1	71.28	71.28	71.28			71.28	71.28	N/A	16,000	11,405
10.01	TO 30.00	1	61.75	61.75	61.75			61.75	61.75	N/A	37,500	23,155
30.01	TO 50.00	7	70.41	66.19	63.99	15.5	3 103.44	41.31	85.61	41.31 to 85.61	62,336	39,887
50.01	TO 100.00	31	74.45	74.76	72.16	17.6	9 103.60	42.13	134.67	66.09 to 81.94	158,476	114,349
100.01	TO 180.00	16	75.29	73.58	70.79	14.0	9 103.95	53.10	101.35	58.65 to 84.60	309,076	218,782
180.01	TO 330.00	3	72.39	66.92	57.50	21.9	9 116.38	40.31	88.07	N/A	474,667	272,951
ALL												
		59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	1 104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609
	Y LAND USE >	> 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		10	70.98	75.00	70.96	19.1		45.75	108.66	58.20 to 89.18	115,922	82,256
DRY-N/A		25	75.00	72.83	67.66	12.3	7 107.64	40.31	93.50	66.09 to 80.98	184,175	124,617
GRASS		1	134.67	134.67	134.67			134.67	134.67	N/A	110,000	148,135
GRASS-N/	A	8	71.40	66.82	74.41	19.6		41.31	101.35	41.31 to 101.35	157,479	117,181
IRRGTD		2	64.04	64.04	64.05	5.5		60.52	67.56	N/A	283,195	181,387
IRRGTD-N		13	75.01	71.07	68.56	15.6	9 103.66	42.13	93.92	57.24 to 88.74	313,232	214,740
ALL												

104.71

40.31

134.67 67.56 to 76.34

199,523

138,609

16.91

Base Stat PAGE:4 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY

	INE COUNTI				PAD 2		State Stat Run					
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMP	ROVED			7	Type: Qualifi				State Stat Kun		
						Date Rai	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBI	ER of Sales	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	23.08	95%	Median C.I.: 67.5	5 to 76.34	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL S	Sales Price	: 11	L,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	16.79		. Mean C.I.: 64.0		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.S	Sales Price	: 11	1,771,863	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.31			46 to 77.03	(,
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asse	essed Value	: 8	3,177,980								
	AVG. Adj. S	Sales Price	:	199,523	COD:	16.91	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
	AVG. Asse	essed Value	:	138,609	PRD:	104.71	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	<sup>,</sup> 2009 14:30:20
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE	> 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		21	72.74	74.04	71.72	16.4	103.23	45.75	108.66	64.67 to 84.89	149,588	107,285
DRY-N/A		14	74.72	72.57	64.26	11.7	71 112.93	40.31	88.07	61.75 to 84.60	187,303	120,357
GRASS		1	134.67	134.67	134.67			134.67	134.67	N/A	110,000	148,135
GRASS-N/A	A	8	71.40	66.82	74.41	19.6	89.80	41.31	101.35	41.31 to 101.35	157,479	117,181
IRRGTD		11	67.56	71.56	69.51	13.6	102.94	56.84	93.92	60.52 to 90.06	321,891	223,752
IRRGTD-N,	/A	4	66.97	66.20	63.15	24.6	104.83	42.13	88.74	N/A	274,402	173,278
ALL_												
		59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		34	74.50	73.42	68.15	14.8	107.73	40.31	108.66	66.09 to 81.94	164,812	112,319
DRY-N/A		1	74.45	74.45	74.45			74.45	74.45	N/A	160,000	119,120
GRASS		9	72.39	74.36	79.25	26.7	93.83	41.31	134.67	47.36 to 101.35	152,204	120,621
IRRGTD		15	67.56	70.13	68.01	16.5	103.12	42.13	93.92	60.52 to 76.69	309,227	210,293
ALL_												
		59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609
SALE PR	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
10000 5	TO 29999	1	71.28	71.28	71.28			71.28	71.28	N/A	16,000	11,405
30000	TO 59999	5	70.41	69.27	69.45	13.6	99.73	52.23	85.61	N/A	46,600	32,366
60000 5	TO 99999	9	75.07	73.95	74.87	20.4	18 98.77	41.31	108.66	47.36 to 88.88	86,551	64,803
100000 5	TO 149999	11	73.99	79.67	78.58	14.8	36 101.39	64.67	134.67	66.09 to 89.18	122,152	95,993
150000 5	TO 249999	20	75.01	73.61	72.98	17.8	100.87	42.13	101.35	62.30 to 84.89	205,551	150,009
250000 5	TO 499999	11	67.56	69.35	69.53	11.0	99.75	57.24	88.07	58.65 to 76.74	352,904	245,367
500000 -		2	48.58	48.58	47.35	17.0	102.58	40.31	56.84	N/A	703,627	333,180
ALL_												
		59	72.78	72.74	69.47	16.9	104.71	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 76.34	199,523	138,609

76 - SAL	INE COUNTY				PAD 20	009 R&	O Statistics	Base St	at		PAGE:5 of 5	
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPRO	OVED	•			ype: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	B Posted 1	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales:	:	59	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	23.08	95% N	Median C.I.: 67.56	5 to 76.34	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	les Price:	: 11	,470,823	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	16.79	95% Wgt.	. Mean C.I.: 64.00	) to 74.94	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price:	: 11	,771,863	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.31	958	Mean C.I.: 68.4	46 to 77.03	(,
(AgLand)	Land) TOTAL Assessed Value: AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		: 8	,177,980								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price:		199,523	COD:	16.91	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value:	:	138,609	PRD:	104.71	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14:30:20
ASSESSEI	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lo	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
10000	ro 29999	3	61.75	61.75	58.62	10.2	8 105.34	52.23	71.28	N/A	34,500	20,225
30000	ro 59999	6	71.60	65.64	62.03	17.6	105.81	41.31	85.61	41.31 to 85.61	61,281	38,013
60000	ro 99999	17	73.99	74.26	71.42	14.2	2 103.98	45.75	108.66	64.67 to 84.94	119,357	85,241
100000	го 149999	9	72.74	73.90	68.34	24.5	8 108.14	42.13	134.67	53.10 to 84.60	185,397	126,692
150000 5	ro 249999	16	75.85	78.14	76.51	14.0	0 102.14	58.65	101.35	65.91 to 90.06	235,225	179,968
250000 5	ro 499999	8	69.94	66.87	63.04	17.4	5 106.08	40.31	88.07	40.31 to 88.07	479,927	302,550
ALL												

104.71

40.31 134.67 67.56 to 76.34

199,523

138,609

16.91

59

72.78

72.74 69.47

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY

MINIMAL NON-AG				O Stausucs			State Stat Run				
MINIMAL NON-AG				7	Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Kan	
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales		67	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	22.77	95%	Median C.I.: 67.56	5 to 75.91	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal			,606,523	WGT. MEAN:	70	STD:	16.59	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 64.91	l to 74.27	(!: land+NAT=0)
TOTAL Adj.Sal			,907,563	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.21	95	% Mean C.I.: 68.9	92 to 76.87	
TOTAL Assess			,373,730								
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	222,500	COD:	16.78	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	154,831	PRD:	104.75	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:30:39
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	8	78.93	74.80	76.74	17.7	9 97.48	47.36	101.35	47.36 to 101.35	166,883	128,068
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	3	73.99	80.49	77.88	8.7	9 103.35	73.99	93.50	N/A	305,182	237,686
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	6	89.77	91.60	90.29	10.8	101.45	75.00	108.66	75.00 to 108.66	133,909	120,910
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	1	73.03	73.03	73.03			73.03	73.03	N/A	230,500	168,335
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	7	72.16	75.25	79.24	14.0	94.97	61.75	93.71	61.75 to 93.71	216,682	171,690
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	15	72.74	73.84	70.86	8.6	104.21	60.60	90.06	65.91 to 77.66	216,513	153,422
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	7	64.63	76.56	68.42	26.1	.8 111.90	56.84	134.67	56.84 to 134.67	311,274	212,973
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	3	42.13	45.18	43.08	10.1	.2 104.88	40.31	53.10	N/A	432,500	186,315
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	6	75.60	74.22	73.17	8.8	101.43	60.52	88.74	60.52 to 88.74	238,065	174,194
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	8	60.96	60.58	59.36	18.7	102.05	41.31	76.69	41.31 to 76.69	152,937	90,786
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	3	58.65	61.91	57.24	8.8	108.16	55.80	71.28	N/A	243,400	139,315
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	17	82.90	81.74	80.65	14.9	5 101.35	47.36	108.66	73.99 to 93.92	179,651	144,886
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	30	72.57	74.78	71.96	13.4	103.92	56.84	134.67	65.91 to 75.58	239,129	172,077
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	20	62.60	62.56	58.73	18.9	106.52	40.31	88.74	53.10 to 74.45	233,979	137,417
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	17	80.98	81.82	81.03	13.7	9 100.97	61.75	108.66	72.16 to 93.71	203,899	165,217
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	31	72.39	71.75	66.19	15.4	108.40	40.31	134.67	64.63 to 75.58	262,984	174,072
ALL											
	67	72.78	72.90	69.59	16.7	104.75	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 75.91	222,500	154,831

**Base Stat** PAGE: 2 of 5 76 - SALINE COUNTY PAD 2009 R&O Statistics State Stat Run

MINIMAL NON-AG Type: Qualified

3

ALL\_

1

67

60.52

72.78

60.52

72.90

60.52

69.59

				Date Range:	07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	Posted I	Before: 01/23/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales:	67	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	22.77	95% Median C.I.:	67.56 to 75.91	(!: Derived)
TO	TAL Sales Price:	14,606,523	WGT. MEAN:	70	STD:	16.59	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	64.91 to 74.27	(!: land+NAT=0)
TOTAL	Adj.Sales Price:	14,907,563	MEAN:	73	AVG ARS DEV:	12 21	95% Mean C.I.:	68 92 to 76 87	(

95% Mean C.I.: AVG.ABS.DEV: 12.21 68.92 to 76.87 TOTAL Assessed Value: 10,373,730 MAX Sales Ratio: AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 222,500 COD: 16.78 134.67 AVG. Assessed Value: 154,831 PRD: 104.75 MIN Sales Ratio: 40.31 Printed: 03/19/2009 14:30:39 Avg. Adj. GEO CODE / TOWNSHIP # Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD MIN 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN PRD MAX 73.99 3683 8 79.92 72.50 18.85 110.23 57.24 134.67 57.24 to 134.67 308,625 223,766 3685 3 64.30 65.38 62.76 9.42 104.19 56.84 75.01 N/A 410,472 257,593 3687 5 76.69 73.10 76.63 14.18 95.39 41.31 93.92 N/A 195,119 149,529 3741 1 84.60 84.60 84.60 84.60 84.60 N/A 150,000 126,895 3743 1 60.52 60.52 60.52 60.52 60.52 N/A 282,000 170,655 3745 9 77.29 76.70 75.51 7.74 101.57 62.30 85.61 70.68 to 84.89 138,540 104,616 3747 4 75.29 78.13 73.42 13.72 106.42 60.60 101.35 N/A 378,811 278,118 3917 2 80.05 80.05 74.16 26.74 107.94 58.65 101.46 N/A 220,800 163,755 3919 4 89.22 86.13 85.53 8.37 100.71 72.39 93.71 N/A 232,852 199,150 3921 60.45 68.82 61.47 32.10 111.97 45.75 108.66 N/A 168,050 103,295 3923 7 72.74 65.85 55.76 22.77 118.09 40.31 88.88 40.31 to 88.88 268,785 149,886 3977 2 62.88 62.88 63.79 7.44 98.57 58.20 67.56 N/A 237,695 151,637 3979 6 69.04 69.98 68.47 11.14 102.20 55.80 88.07 55.80 to 88.07 256,465 175,605 9 3981 66.09 66.09 68.41 12.89 96.61 47.36 82.90 52.23 to 76.34 99,875 68,323 3983 2 76.91 76.91 77.55 15.96 99.17 64.63 89.18 N/A 98,833 76,642 ALL 67 72.78 72.90 69.59 16.78 104.75 40.31 134.67 67.56 to 75.91 222,500 154,831 Avg. Adj. Avg. AREA (MARKET) Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. 1 37 72.39 72.14 68.28 17.14 105.64 40.31 108.66 66.09 to 77.29 173,468 118,450 2 7 67.56 70.06 68.23 13.66 102.69 55.80 88.07 55.80 to 88.07 233,681 159,441 3 23 74.45 74.98 71.13 16.50 105.41 41.31 134.67 62.30 to 76.74 297,976 211,955 ALL 67 72.78 72.90 69.59 16.78 104.75 40.31 134.67 67.56 to 75.91 222,500 154,831 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL Avg. Adj. Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. 1 8 72.60 74.02 70.02 15.88 105.70 55.80 101.46 55.80 to 101.46 391,962 274,468 2 58 73.38 72.95 69.69 16.77 104.68 40.31 134.67 67.79 to 76.34 198,101 138,057

104.75

16.78

60.52

40.31

60.52

134.67

N/A

67.56 to 75.91

282,000

222,500

170,655

154,831

Raca Stat DACE+3 of 5

76 - SALINE C	OUNTY			PAD 2009 R&O Statistics  Base Stat								PAGE:3 of 5
MINIMAL NON-A	.G					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/3	30/2008 Poste	d Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER of	Sales	:	67	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	CC	ov: 22.77	95%	Median C.I.: 67.	56 to 75 91	(!: Derived)
ī	TOTAL Sales	Price	: 14	,606,523	WGT. MEAN:	70		D: 16.59		. Mean C.I.: 64.		(!: land+NAT=0)
TOTAL	L Adj.Sales	Price	: 14	,907,563	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DE				3.92 to 76.87	( unu+14A1=0)
TOTA	AL Assessed	Value	: 10	,373,730			1110111111111111	.,			7.72 00 70.07	
AVG.	Adj. Sales	Price	:	222,500	COD:	16.78	MAX Sales Rati	o: 134.67				
AVG	G. Assessed	Value	:	154,831	PRD:	104.75	MIN Sales Rati	.o: 40.31			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:30:40
SCHOOL DISTRI	ICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	С	OUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
30-0001		3	101.35	87.15	83.27	14.0	104.66	58.65	101.46	N/A	221,415	184,383
48-0300		9	67.56	69.31	72.33	12.4	95.83	47.36	88.07	61.75 to 82.90	164,963	119,313
48-0303		9	72.39	71.98	72.75	10.9	98.94	52.23	89.18	64.63 to 84.94	125,525	91,322
76-0002		1	84.60	84.60	84.60			84.60	84.60	N/A	150,000	126,895
76-0044		7	75.91	70.96	72.80	14.2	26 97.47	41.31	93.92	41.31 to 93.92	198,228	144,312
76-0068		20	74.50	74.94	70.08	12.8	106.93	56.84	134.67	64.30 to 76.74	298,841	209,431
76-0082		18	70.27	70.61	63.17	24.1	.6 111.77	40.31	108.66	53.70 to 88.74	228,582	144,396
80-0005												
NonValid Schoo	1											
ALL	_											
		67	72.78	72.90	69.59	16.7	78 104.75	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 75.91		
ACRES IN SALE											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		OUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		Assd Val
	0.00	1	71.28	71.28	71.28			71.28	71.28	N/A	16,000	•
	0.00	1	61.75	61.75	61.75			61.75	61.75	N/A	37,500	
	0.00	7	70.41	66.19	63.99	15.5		41.31	85.61	41.31 to 85.61		
	0.00	31	74.45	74.76	72.16	17.6		42.13	134.67	66.09 to 81.94		
	0.00	22	72.88	72.81	69.10	15.2		53.10	101.46	60.60 to 80.98		
180.01 TO 33	0.00	5	73.99	73.69	66.85	18.6	110.23	40.31	93.71	N/A	472,760	316,053
ALL	_		E0 E0	E0 00	60 50	16.5	104 85	40.21	124 68	CB 56 + B5 01	000 500	154 001
<del></del>		67	72.78	72.90	69.59	16.7	78 104.75	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 75.91	•	<u> </u>
MAJORITY LANI			MEDIAN	1477777	MOD MODE	~~		14777	34777	050 24-31 0 7	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	C	OUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	10.3		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
DRY N/A		11	70.68	73.25	66.84	19.3		45.75	108.66	55.80 to 89.18		
DRY-N/A		29	75.00	74.52	70.61	12.9	105.54	40.31	101.46	71.50 to 81.94	191,540	135,248

19.60

5.50

16.17

16.78

134.67

101.35

67.56

93.92

134.67

N/A

41.31 to 101.35

N/A

60.60 to 76.69

67.56 to 75.91

134.67

41.31

60.52

42.13

40.31

89.80

99.98

103.49

104.75

148,135

117,181

181,387

246,200

154,831

110,000

157,479

283,195

364,051

222,500

134.67

71.40

64.04

69.57

72.78

8

16

67

GRASS

IRRGTD

GRASS-N/A

IRRGTD-N/A

\_ALL\_

134.67

74.41

64.05

67.63

69.59

134.67

66.82

64.04

69.99

72.90

Base Stat PAGE:4 of 5 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 76 - SALINE COUNTY State Stat Run

MINIMAL NON-AG Type: Qualified

67

72.78

72.90

69.59

						Doto Por	eu 19e: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Postad	Before: 01/23	/2000		
			_	68	3.55557.137		ige: 07/01/2005 to 00/50/2					
		R of Sales:		67	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	22.77		Median C.I.: 67.		(!: Derived)
		ales Price		1,606,523	WGT. MEAN:	70	STD:	16.59	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 64.	91 to 74.27	(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL Adj.S			1,907,563	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.21	95	% Mean C.I.: 68	.92 to 76.87	
		ssed Value		,373,730								
	AVG. Adj. S			222,500	COD:	16.78	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67				
		ssed Value	:	154,831	PRD:	104.75	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31				/2009 14:30:40
	LAND USE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.		Assd Val
DRY		23	72.74	74.10	71.84	17.2		45.75	108.66	64.67 to 84.89	•	122,091
DRY-N/A		17	74.45	74.27	67.27	12.1	0 110.41	40.31	101.46	66.09 to 84.60		128,120
GRASS		1	134.67	134.67	134.67			134.67	134.67	N/A	110,000	148,135
GRASS-N/A	L	8	71.40	66.82	74.41	19.6		41.31	101.35	41.31 to 101.35	157,479	117,181
IRRGTD		12	66.35	70.65	68.23	13.6	1 103.53	56.84	93.92	60.60 to 75.91	344,467	235,041
IRRGTD-N/	A	6	67.69	66.70	65.62	19.3	7 101.64	42.13	88.74	42.13 to 88.74	376,268	246,914
ALL_												
		67	72.78	72.90	69.59	16.7	8 104.75	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 75.91	222,500	154,831
MAJORITY	LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		39	73.99	74.16	69.66	15.3	5 106.46	40.31	108.66	67.79 to 81.94	179,141	124,795
DRY-N/A		1	74.45	74.45	74.45			74.45	74.45	N/A	160,000	119,120
GRASS		9	72.39	74.36	79.25	26.7	93.83	41.31	134.67	47.36 to 101.35	152,204	120,621
IRRGTD		18	66.35	69.33	67.31	15.6	103.00	42.13	93.92	60.60 to 75.91	355,067	238,999
ALL_												
		67	72.78	72.90	69.59	16.7	8 104.75	40.31	134.67	67.56 to 75.91	222,500	154,831
SALE PRI	CE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low												
Tota	1 \$											
10000 T	O 29999	1	71.28	71.28	71.28			71.28	71.28	N/A	16,000	11,405
30000 T	O 59999	5	70.41	69.27	69.45	13.6	99.73	52.23	85.61	N/A	46,600	32,366
60000 T	O 99999	9	75.07	73.95	74.87	20.4	8 98.77	41.31	108.66	47.36 to 88.88	86,551	64,803
100000 T	O 149999	11	73.99	79.67	78.58	14.8	6 101.39	64.67	134.67	66.09 to 89.18	122,152	95,993
150000 T	O 249999	23	75.00	74.73	73.91	17.3	0 101.12	42.13	101.46	65.14 to 84.89	205,500	151,879
250000 T	O 499999	13	67.56	70.18	69.99	13.6	100.27	55.80	93.71	58.65 to 76.74	357,642	250,320
500000 +		5	60.60	58.63	57.40	12.6	102.13	40.31	73.99	N/A	632,010	362,790
ALL_												

16.78

104.75

40.31

134.67

67.56 to 75.91

222,500

154,831

76 - SALINE COUNTY					PAD 2	000 R &	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:5 of 5	
MINIMAL NO	MINIMAL NON-AG			Type: Qualified						State Stat Run			
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	Posted Bef	ore: 01/23	/2009			
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	67	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	22.77	95% 1	Median C.I.: 67.	56 to 75.91	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price:	: 14	1,606,523	WGT. MEAN:	70	STD:	16.59	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 64.	91 to 74.27	(!: land+NAT=0)	
-	FOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price:	: 14	1,907,563	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.21	95		.92 to 76.87	(11 14114 11111 0)	
	TOTAL Assess	sed Value:	: 10	373,730									
Ī	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	222,500	COD:	16.78	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.67					
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	154,831	PRD:	104.75	MIN Sales Ratio:	40.31			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14:30:40	
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low	\$												
Total	\$												
10000 TC	29999	3	61.75	61.75	58.62	10.2	28 105.34	52.23	71.28	N/A	34,500	20,225	
30000 TC	59999	6	71.60	65.64	62.03	17.6	105.81	41.31	85.61	41.31 to 85.61	61,281	38,013	
60000 TC	99999	17	73.99	74.26	71.42	14.2	22 103.98	45.75	08.66	64.67 to 84.94	119,357	85,241	
100000 TC	149999	9	72.74	73.90	68.34	24.5	108.14	42.13	34.67	53.10 to 84.60	185,397	126,692	
150000 TC	249999	20	75.01	77.64	75.11	14.7	70 103.37	55.80	01.46	67.56 to 88.74	240,585	180,695	
250000 TC	499999	12	69.15	68.72	65.49	17.2	104.93	40.31	93.71	57.24 to 76.74	493,918	323,478	
AT.T.													

104.75

40.31 134.67 67.56 to 75.91

222,500

154,831

16.78

67

72.78

72.90

69.59

#### **Agricultural Land**

#### I. Correlation

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The County relied on the unimproved statistics in their statistical analysis for class and subclass adjustments. In reviewing both statistics the overall measures of central tendency are in the range with the only difference being the weighted mean.

The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are within the acceptable range indicating this class of property has been valued uniformly and proportionately. The three measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range and relatively similar, suggesting the median is a reliable measure of the level of value in this class of property.

#### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	<b>Percent Used</b>
2009	159	59	37.11
2008	162	59	36.42
2007	160	49	30.63
2006	144	60	41.67
2005	150	64	42.67

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:A review of the utilization grid indicates the county has utilized an adequate portion of the available agricultural sales for the development of the qualified statistics. For 2009 the number of qualified sales is consistent with the average for the past 8 years.

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is 0.924 x 1.063 = 0.982. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	64	10.07	70	73
2008	66.92	13.95	76	71.5
2007	70	4.80	73	72
2006	63	13.80	72	75
2005	73	4.31	76	77

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The relationship between the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O Median level of value suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

### IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

20.27	2000	10.05
28.26	2009	10.07
14.93	2008	13.95
6.05	2007	4.80
25.53	2006	13.80
	2000	13.80
4.97	2005	4.31

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: There is a substantial difference in the percent change in the sales file when compared to the assessed base. This calls into question the representativeness of the sales file. The changes reported by the county had a larger effect on the sales file than the base.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	73	69	73

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The three measures of central tendency are all within the acceptable range.

#### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	16.91	104.71
Difference	0.00	1.71

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: This table shows that the COD is in the acceptable range while the PRD is slightly above the range.

### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	59	59	0
Median	64	73	9
Wgt. Mean	61	69	8
Mean	63	73	10
COD	18.94	16.91	-2.03
PRD	104.00	104.71	0.71
Minimum	33.29	40.31	7.02
Maximum	99.13	134.67	35.54

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property.

% of Taxable Total

86.02

76.04

6.72

Total Real Property
Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30

Records: 9,691

Value: 1,104,378,486

Growth 9,517,840

Sum Lines 17, 25, & 41

	$\mathbf{U}_1$	rban	Sub	Urban		Rural	To	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
01. Res UnImp Land	522	5,155,905	57	654,100	12	317,140	591	6,127,145	
2. Res Improve Land	3,749	46,069,100	203	4,964,705	342	8,717,885	4,294	59,751,690	
3. Res Improvements	3,927	256,438,796	235	19,313,240	366	29,192,275	4,528	304,944,311	
4. Res Total	4,449	307,663,801	292	24,932,045	378	38,227,300	5,119	370,823,146	3,551,555
% of Res Total	86.91	82.97	5.70	6.72	7.38	10.31	52.82	33.58	37.31
5. Com UnImp Land	81	993,730	10	695,520	3	34,770	94	1,724,020	
6. Com Improve Land	499	10,937,405	27	1,404,925	6	114,765	532	12,457,095	
7. Com Improvements	529	58,201,620	34	28,294,495	8	1,427,360	571	87,923,475	
8. Com Total	610	70,132,755	44	30,394,940	11	1,576,895	665	102,104,590	2,427,525
% of Com Total	91.73	68.69	6.62	29.77	1.65	1.54	6.86	9.25	25.50
9. Ind UnImp Land	0	0	3	21,500	0	0	3	21,500	
0. Ind Improve Land	6	659,620	3	813,400	1	615,000	10	2,088,020	
1. Ind Improvements	6	14,584,835	3	10,701,600	1	13,885,000	10	39,171,435	
2. Ind Total	6	15,244,455	6	11,536,500	1	14,500,000	13	41,280,955	2,500,000
% of Ind Total	46.15	36.93	46.15	27.95	7.69	35.13	0.13	3.74	26.27
3. Rec UnImp Land	1	8,380	9	69,775	11	459,290	21	537,445	
4. Rec Improve Land	4	130,260	5	297,355	5	282,275	14	709,890	
5. Rec Improvements	5	238,305	45	1,372,560	27	285,395	77	1,896,260	
6. Rec Total	6	376,945	54	1,739,690	38	1,026,960	98	3,143,595	0
% of Rec Total	6.12	11.99	55.10	55.34	38.78	32.67	1.01	0.28	0.00
les & Rec Total	4,455	308,040,746	346	26,671,735	416	39,254,260	5,217	373,966,741	3,551,553
% of Res & Rec Total	85.39	82.37	6.63	7.13	7.97	10.50	53.83	33.86	37.31
Com & Ind Total	616	85,377,210	50	41,931,440	12	16,076,895	678	143,385,545	4,927,523
% of Com & Ind Total	90.86	59.54	7.37	29.24	1.77	11.21	7.00	12.98	51.77
7. Taxable Total	5,071	393,417,956	396	68,603,175	428	55,331,155	5,895	517,352,286	8,479,08

7.26

10.70

60.83

46.85

89.09

13.26

#### **Schedule II : Tax Increment Financing (TIF)**

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	4	108,955	36,610	0	0	0
19. Commercial	1	13,115	0	0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Records	<b>Rural</b> Value Base	Value Excess	Records	<b>Total</b> Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	4	108,955	36,610
19. Commercial	0	0	0	1	13,115	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				5	122,070	36,610

**Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records** 

Mineral Interest	Records Urb	an Value	Records SubU	rban Value	Records Rura	l Value	Records Tot	al Value	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Producing	428	143	343	914

Schedule V: Agricultural Records

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	15	112,675	345	42,466,010	2,102	273,320,885	2,462	315,899,570
28. Ag-Improved Land	4	176,950	163	28,902,895	1,060	187,463,705	1,227	216,543,550
29. Ag Improvements	17	132,085	171	7,656,215	1,146	46,794,780	1,334	54,583,080
30. Ag Total							3,796	587,026,200

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	cords :Non-Agric	ultural Detail					
	Records	<b>Urban</b> Acres	Value	Records	<b>SubUrban</b> Acres	Value	Y
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	1	1.00	15,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	1	1.00	17,500	93	93.60	1,474,500	
33. HomeSite Improvements	1	1.00	80,325	91	88.60	5,701,040	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	9	71.38	191,850	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	2	2.00	3,000	149	362.15	1,316,820	
37. FarmSite Improvements	16	0.00	51,760	165	0.00	1,955,175	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches	0	2.50	0	0	782.70	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
	Records	<b>Rural</b> Acres	Value	Records	<b>Total</b> Acres	Value	Growth
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	5	5.00	72,500	6	6.00	87,500	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	608	617.30	8,878,775	702	711.90	10,370,775	
33. HomeSite Improvements	597	594.30	29,479,120	689	683.90	35,260,485	1,038,760
34. HomeSite Total				695	717.90	45,718,760	
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	20	35.07	130,295	29	106.45	322,145	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	1,023	2,878.15	8,071,815	1,174	3,242.30	9,391,635	
37. FarmSite Improvements	1,135	0.00	17,315,660	1,316	0.00	19,322,595	0
38. FarmSite Total				1,345	3,348.75	29,036,375	
39. Road & Ditches	0	6,816.45	0	0	7,601.65	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
41. Total Section VI				2,040	11,668.30	74,755,135	1,038,760

#### Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
		Rural			Total	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	2	310.77	206,140	2	310.77	206,140

#### Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
		Rural			Total	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
44. Recapture Value	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	802.82	15.26%	1,384,110	16.78%	1,724.06
46. 1A	2,173.13	41.32%	3,473,520	42.11%	1,598.39
47. 2A1	590.14	11.22%	899,240	10.90%	1,523.77
48. 2A	621.18	11.81%	947,185	11.48%	1,524.82
49. 3A1	395.98	7.53%	593,070	7.19%	1,497.73
50. 3A	51.04	0.97%	76,560	0.93%	1,500.00
51. 4A1	507.79	9.65%	710,910	8.62%	1,400.01
52. 4A	117.49	2.23%	164,485	1.99%	1,399.99
53. Total	5,259.57	100.00%	8,249,080	100.00%	1,568.39
Dry	·				·
54. 1D1	2,797.37	2.51%	3,635,780	2.72%	1,299.71
55. 1D	48,925.33	43.82%	63,525,265	47.58%	1,298.41
56. 2D1	4,516.74	4.05%	5,637,025	4.22%	1,248.03
57. 2D	26,290.93	23.55%	29,573,270	22.15%	1,124.85
58. 3D1	10,057.58	9.01%	11,053,245	8.28%	1,099.00
59. 3D	1,362.50	1.22%	1,497,905	1.12%	1,099.38
60. 4D1	15,882.44	14.22%	16,676,790	12.49%	1,050.01
61. 4D	1,820.51	1.63%	1,906,675	1.43%	1,047.33
62. Total	111,653.40	100.00%	133,505,955	100.00%	1,195.72
Grass					
63. 1G1	367.03	0.00%	290,650	0.99%	791.90
64. 1G	3,386.56	9.31%	3,432,590	11.73%	1,013.59
65. 2G1	1,226.49	3.37%	1,006,945	3.44%	821.00
66. 2G	7,336.55	20.17%	6,869,025	23.48%	936.27
67. 3G1	2,370.26	6.52%	2,058,920	7.04%	868.65
68. 3G	1,959.18	5.39%	1,701,710	5.82%	868.58
69. 4G1	9,521.71	26.18%	7,431,240	25.40%	780.45
70. 4G	10,198.88	28.04%	6,463,575	22.09%	633.75
71. Total	36,366.66	100.00%	29,254,655	100.00%	804.44
	·				
Irrigated Total	5,259.57	3.41%	8,249,080	4.82%	1,568.39
Dry Total	111,653.40	72.48%	133,505,955	78.05%	1,195.72
Grass Total	36,366.66	23.61%	29,254,655	17.10%	804.44
Waste	758.16	0.49%	41,710	0.02%	55.01
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	20.03	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.00

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area 2

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	2,740.99	11.95%	7,103,225	13.38%	2,591.48
46. 1A	9,598.22	41.86%	23,969,750	45.14%	2,497.31
47. 2A1	2,962.38	12.92%	7,068,475	13.31%	2,386.08
48. 2A	2,675.99	11.67%	5,878,380	11.07%	2,196.71
49. 3A1	2,444.77	10.66%	4,874,085	9.18%	1,993.68
50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
51. 4A1	1,865.66	8.14%	3,165,565	5.96%	1,696.75
52. 4A	640.71	2.79%	1,039,720	1.96%	1,622.76
53. Total	22,928.72	100.00%	53,099,200	100.00%	2,315.84
Dry					
54. 1D1	1,953.10	8.22%	3,123,465	9.08%	1,599.23
55. 1D	8,640.23	36.38%	13,804,785	40.13%	1,597.73
56. 2D1	2,625.36	11.05%	3,934,520	11.44%	1,498.66
57. 2D	3,626.94	15.27%	5,069,835	14.74%	1,397.83
58. 3D1	3,174.79	13.37%	4,420,905	12.85%	1,392.50
59. 3D	13.00	0.05%	15,600	0.05%	1,200.00
60. 4D1	2,812.24	11.84%	3,086,770	8.97%	1,097.62
61. 4D	907.27	3.82%	948,065	2.76%	1,044.96
62. Total	23,752.93	100.00%	34,403,945	100.00%	1,448.41
Grass					
63. 1G1	196.97	0.00%	177,070	2.62%	898.97
64. 1G	786.52	9.10%	751,945	11.11%	956.04
65. 2G1	671.11	7.76%	573,995	8.48%	855.29
66. 2G	1,006.65	11.64%	946,010	13.98%	939.76
67. 3G1	534.26	6.18%	472,175	6.98%	883.79
68. 3G	5.00	0.06%	4,500	0.07%	900.00
69. 4G1	1,483.51	17.16%	1,302,455	19.25%	877.95
70. 4G	3,963.21	45.83%	2,538,630	37.52%	640.55
71. Total	8,647.23	100.00%	6,766,780	100.00%	782.54
Irrigated Total	22,928.72	41.10%	53,099,200	56.31%	2,315.84
Dry Total	23,752.93	42.58%	34,403,945	36.48%	1,448.41
Grass Total	8,647.23	15.50%	6,766,780	7.18%	782.54
Waste	456.06	0.82%	29,445	0.03%	64.56
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Market Area Total	55,784.94	100.00%	94,299,370	100.00%	1,690.41
Mai Ket Al Ca I Utal	33,704.74	100.0070	74,277,370	100.0070	1,070.71

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area 3

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	11,105.84	15.66%	28,791,910	16.95%	2,592.50
46. 1A	33,102.51	46.69%	82,715,775	48.71%	2,498.78
47. 2A1	10,117.27	14.27%	24,258,260	14.28%	2,397.71
48. 2A	3,441.75	4.85%	8,247,185	4.86%	2,396.22
49. 3A1	6,302.66	8.89%	13,854,740	8.16%	2,198.24
50. 3A	13.00	0.02%	24,700	0.01%	1,900.00
51. 4A1	5,652.84	7.97%	9,892,600	5.83%	1,750.02
52. 4A	1,166.88	1.65%	2,042,095	1.20%	1,750.05
53. Total	70,902.75	100.00%	169,827,265	100.00%	2,395.21
Dry					
54. 1D1	4,149.65	10.02%	7,245,565	11.85%	1,746.07
55. 1D	14,692.42	35.46%	25,226,290	41.27%	1,716.96
56. 2D1	5,091.52	12.29%	7,626,480	12.48%	1,497.88
57. 2D	5,178.18	12.50%	6,970,830	11.40%	1,346.19
58. 3D1	5,090.16	12.28%	6,103,495	9.98%	1,199.08
59. 3D	433.72	1.05%	477,095	0.78%	1,100.01
60. 4D1	5,605.02	13.53%	6,165,540	10.09%	1,100.00
61. 4D	1,193.64	2.88%	1,313,000	2.15%	1,100.00
62. Total	41,434.31	100.00%	61,128,295	100.00%	1,475.31
Grass					
63. 1G1	625.92	0.00%	587,775	3.69%	939.06
64. 1G	1,534.61	8.09%	1,652,185	10.38%	1,076.62
65. 2G1	1,389.79	7.33%	1,190,090	7.48%	856.31
66. 2G	2,171.94	11.45%	2,255,625	14.17%	1,038.53
67. 3G1	1,874.17	9.88%	1,848,325	11.61%	986.21
68. 3G	407.33	2.15%	365,450	2.30%	897.18
69. 4G1	4,572.82	24.11%	4,050,950	25.45%	885.88
70. 4G	6,389.75	33.69%	3,969,440	24.93%	621.22
71. Total	18,966.33	100.00%	15,919,840	100.00%	839.37
Irrigated Total	70,902.75	53.66%	169,827,265	68.78%	2,395.21
Dry Total	41,434.31	31.36%	61,128,295	24.76%	1,475.31
Grass Total	18,966.33	14.35%	15,919,840	6.45%	839.37
Waste	832.63	0.63%	44,895	0.02%	53.92
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Market Area Total	132,136.02	100.00%	246,920,295	100.00%	1,868.68

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Urban		SubU	Jrban	Ru	ral	Total	
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	27.19	66,875	16,255.43	38,560,465	82,808.42	192,548,205	99,091.04	231,175,545
77. Dry Land	109.98	155,105	17,924.92	24,570,920	158,805.74	204,312,170	176,840.64	229,038,195
78. Grass	53.58	47,145	6,254.45	5,216,625	57,672.19	46,677,505	63,980.22	51,941,275
79. Waste	0.00	0	396.44	22,725	1,650.41	93,325	2,046.85	116,050
80. Other	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
81. Exempt	0.00	0	0.00	0	20.03	0	20.03	0
82. Total	190.75	269,125	40,831.24	68,370,735	300,936.76	443,631,205	341,958.75	512,271,065
					<b></b>			

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	99,091.04	28.98%	231,175,545	45.13%	2,332.96
Dry Land	176,840.64	51.71%	229,038,195	44.71%	1,295.17
Grass	63,980.22	18.71%	51,941,275	10.14%	811.83
Waste	2,046.85	0.60%	116,050	0.02%	56.70
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	20.03	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	341,958.75	100.00%	512,271,065	100.00%	1,498.05

# 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

76 Saline

76 Saline					<u>E3</u>	
	2008 CTL County Total	2009 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2009 form 45 - 2008 CTL)	Percent Change	2009 Growth (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	371,069,290	370,823,146	-246,144	-0.07%	3,551,555	-1.02%
02. Recreational	2,705,110	3,143,595	438,485	16.21%	0	16.21%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	45,740,825	45,718,760	-22,065	-0.05%	1,038,760	-2.32%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	419,515,225	419,685,501	170,276	0.04%	4,590,315	-1.05%
05. Commercial	99,822,465	102,104,590	2,282,125	2.29%	2,427,525	-0.15%
06. Industrial	38,780,255	41,280,955	2,500,700	6.45%	2,500,000	0.00%
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	28,675,045	29,036,375	361,330	1.26%	0	1.26%
08. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	167,277,765	172,421,920	5,144,155	3.08%	4,927,525	0.13%
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	586,792,990	592,107,421	5,314,431	0.91%	9,517,840	-0.72%
11. Irrigated	207,915,410	231,175,545	23,260,135	11.19%	Ó	
12. Dryland	211,133,740	229,038,195	17,904,455	8.48%	o O	
13. Grassland	46,259,835	51,941,275	5,681,440	12.28%	ò	
14. Wasteland	114,005	116,050	2,045	1.79%	)	
15. Other Agland	0	0	0			
16. Total Agricultural Land	465,422,990	512,271,065	46,848,075	10.07%		
17. Total Value of all Real Property	1,052,215,980	1,104,378,486	52,162,506	4.96%	9,517,840	4.05%
(Locally Assessed)						

### Saline County Assessor 3-Year Plan Revised October 2008 (Originally submitted June 2008)

The following is the amended 3 year plan for Saline County.

Total Parcels = 10,647

#### Staff:

- 1 Assessor
- 1 Deputy Assessor
- 2 Full-time Clerk's
- 1 Full-time Appraiser

#### **Contracted Appraiser:**

Saline County contracts with Jon Fritz, a Certified General appraiser, who is responsible for a majority of the commercial properties, pick up work and sales analysis. He also updates the Terra Scan tables with the new pricing.

#### Completed Work Load for Tax Year 2007-2008:

Homestead Applications: 602 Personal Property schedules: 1460 Real Property transfers: 1092 Sales Reviews: approximately 407

Building permits/information sheets: approximately 600

Reappraised Dorchester, Swanton and Western's residential properties

Reappraised Crete commercial properties

Continued work on updating agland records using FSA records in conjunction with GIS

Contracted with a company to attain new oblique photos of rural properties

Established parcel use in Saline County and sent out questionnaires to eight precincts regarding the parcel usage.

#### 2008-2009

In 2008-2009, we will reappraise the residential properties in Friend, Blue River Lodge and the mobile homes throughout the county. It is planned to begin the commercial review and conversion of properties located in Swanton, Tobias and Western. The rest of the county will be reviewed for their use of parcel.

#### 3 Year Plan

#### <u>2010</u>

#### Residential

In 2009-2010, we will review DeWitt and Wilber residential properties for any adjustments that need to be made. We will reappraise rural acreages and farm buildings in Market area 4510 to be made effective January 1, 2011. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### Commercial

DeWitt, Dorchester, Swanton, Tobias and Western commercial properties will be reappraised. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### **Agricultural**

In 2009-2010, we will continue to work on updating agland records using the new soil conversion. A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group and market area will be conducted to determine if any possible value adjustments are needed to comply with State mandated statistical measures of value. If supported by current sales, market areas will be adjusted. Sales reviews and pick up work/ building permits will also be completed for agricultural properties. A policy will be completed for determining if a parcel qualifies as an agricultural or horticultural parcel.

### <u>2011</u>

#### Residential

In 2010-2011, we will review Crete and Tobias residential properties for any adjustments that need to be made. We will reappraise rural acreages and farm buildings in Market Area 4505. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### **Commercial**

Wilber and Friend commercial properties will be reviewed. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### Agricultural

In 2010-2011, we will continue to work on updating agland records using the new soil conversion, if needed. A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group and market area will be conducted to determine if any possible value adjustments are needed to comply with State mandated statistical measures of value. If supported by current sales, market areas will be adjusted. Sales reviews and pick up work/ building permits will also be completed for agricultural properties.

#### <u>2012</u>

#### Residential

In 2011-2012, we will review Dorchester, Swanton and Western residential properties for any adjustments to need to be made. We will review rural acreages and farm buildings in Market area 4500. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### Commercial

Crete commercial properties will be reviewed. Sales reviews and pick up work/building permits will continue to be reviewed.

#### **Agricultural**

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group and market area will be conducted to determine if any possible value adjustments are needed to comply with State mandated statistical measures of value. If supported by current sales, market areas will be adjusted. Sales reviews and pick up work/ building permits will also be completed for agricultural properties.

### **Comments**

The preceding narrative of the Saline County reappraisal is subject to change depending on appraisal needs determined by the Assessor's office staff. During a 6 year reappraisal cycle, there may be years when a class or subclass of property will need appraisal adjustments to comply with statistical measurements as required by law. The appraisal adjustments would be a percentage increase or decrease applied to all properties within a subclass.

### **2009** Assessment Survey for Saline County

### I. General Information

### A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	1
3.	Other full-time employees
	2
4.	Other part-time employees
	0
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	\$224,782
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	\$5,500
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
	\$224,782
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	\$43,122 is for the salary of the staff appraiser
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	\$3,500
11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	\$90,000
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
	0
13.	Total budget
	\$314,782
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used:
	\$5,890.27

### **B.** Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	TerraScan
2.	CAMA software
	TerraScan

3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	Yes
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Office personnel
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes, GIS WorkShop
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	Assessor
7.	Personal Property software:
	TerraScan

### **C. Zoning Information**

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Crete, Dewitt, Dorchester, Friend, and Wilber
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	1981 and updated in 2006

### **D.** Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	Fritz Appraisal Inc.,
2.	Other services
	GIS workshop and Automated Systems, Inc

### Certification

This is to certify that the 2009 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

Four copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.

One copy to the Saline County Assessor, by hand delivery.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

Ruth a. Sorensen