# **Table of Contents**

#### **2009** Commission Summary

#### 2009 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

#### **Residential Reports**

Preliminary Statistics Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

#### **Residential Correlation**

**Residential Real Property** 

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions
- VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

#### **Commercial Reports**

Preliminary Statistics Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

#### **Commercial Correlation**

Commercial Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

#### **Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports**

Preliminary Statistics Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Assessment Survey R&O Statistics 2009 Special Valuation Methodology

#### **Agricultural or Special Valuation Correlation**

Agricultural or Special Valuation Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

#### **County Reports**

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 2009 County Agricultural Land Detail 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment Assessment Survey – General Information

#### Certification

#### Maps

Market Areas Registered Wells > 500 GPM Geo Codes Soil Classes

#### **Valuation History Charts**

Summary

# **2009** Commission Summary

#### 34 Gage

#### **Residential Real Property - Current**

Number of Color	(51	COD	22.00
Number of Sales	654	COD	22.88
Total Sales Price	\$55,677,037	PRD	113.61
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$55,859,437	COV	85.53
Total Assessed Value	\$53,797,660	STD	93.58
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$85,412	Avg. Absolute Deviation	22.17
Avg. Assessed Value	\$82,259	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$73,278
Median	97	Wgt. Mean	96
Mean	109	Max	1,500
Min	19.47		

#### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	96.32 to 97.35			
95% Mean C.I	102.24 to 116.59			
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	94.86 to 97.76			
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in t				
% of Value of the Class of all	Real Property Value in t			
% of Value of the Class of all % of Records Sold in the Stud	1 2			

#### **Residential Real Property - History**

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	709	97	14.4	107.07
2007	827	97	22.94	112.8
2006	888	98	19.78	108.82
2005	818	97	21.54	107.94

# **2009** Commission Summary

#### 34 Gage

#### **Commercial Real Property - Current**

Number of Sales	69	COD	29.43
Total Sales Price	\$10,497,743	PRD	103.76
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$10,185,568	COV	80.72
Total Assessed Value	\$10,840,450	STD	89.14
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$147,617	Avg. Absolute Deviation	29.43
Avg. Assessed Value	\$157,108	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$143,081
Median	100	Wgt. Mean	106
Mean	110	Max	802
Min	33		

#### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	94.74 to 103.82
95% Mean C.I	89.40 to 131.47
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	91.44 to 121.42

% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County	10.21
% of Records Sold in the Study Period	5.63
% of Value Sold in the Study Period	6.18

#### **Commercial Real Property - History**

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	83	96	30.96	109.84
2007	84	97	18.69	99.76
2006	96	97	19.01	100.86
2005	99	98	16.79	100.55

# **2009** Commission Summary

#### 34 Gage

#### **Agricultural Land - Current**

Number of Sales	128	COD	23.61
Total Sales Price	\$24,747,268	PRD	104.53
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$24,747,268	COV	33.91
Total Assessed Value	\$17,974,850	STD	25.75
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$193,338	Avg. Absolute Deviation	17.20
Avg. Assessed Value	\$140,429	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$150,828
Median	73	Wgt. Mean	73
Mean	76	Max	237.71
Min	10.23		

#### **Confidenence Interval - Current**

95% Median C.I	68.96 to 77.81	
95% Mean C.I	71.46 to 80.39	
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	69.02 to 76.25	
% of Value of the Class of	49.44	
% of Records Sold in the S	Study Period	2.28

#### **Agricultural Land - History**

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	135	72	22.5	105.81
2007	131	71	24.81	108.12
2006	127	75	21.1	108.33
2005	116	75	18.38	104.74

Opinions

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within this Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. The resource used regarding the quality of assessment for each class of real property in this county are the performance standards issued by the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

#### **Residential Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Gage County is 97.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Gage County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

#### **Commercial Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Gage County is 100.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Gage County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

#### Agricultural Land or Special Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural or special value land in Gage County is 72.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Gage County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.



Kuth a. Sources

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrato

**Residential Reports** 

34 - GAGE COUNTY		[		PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	!	Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 6
RESIDENTIAL		L		PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Type: Qualified					State Stat Run		
					•• •	ge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	104.81	95%	Median C.I.: 95.8	5 to 96.95	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal	les Price	: 57	,307,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	117.44			3 to 95.43	( Denveu)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price	: 57	,489,552	MEAN:	112	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08	-	% Mean C.I.: 103.		
TOTAL Assess	ed Value	: 53	,423,375			1110111201221	20.00		200.	20 00 220.00	
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:14
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	88	94.74	101.12	93.11	16.7	1 108.61	40.00	500.00	93.85 to 96.12	86,078	80,148
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	73	95.60	103.71	90.78	17.8	0 114.24	36.87	500.00	94.10 to 96.95	76,576	69,519
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	64	96.46	100.33	95.89	13.2	8 104.63	40.05	414.24	94.39 to 97.62	82,041	78,671
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	113	96.41	113.86	97.06	28.3	3 117.31	28.14	865.31	95.44 to 97.28	91,567	88,874
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	104	98.40	144.19	90.10	65.4	1 160.04	9.01	1944.17	96.64 to 99.00	90,261	81,322
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	105	97.69	104.84	94.01	20.5	9 111.51	7.34	308.26	95.87 to 98.67	79,876	75,095
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	55	98.53	132.43	101.13	50.1	4 130.95	34.88	903.05	94.45 to 102.19	61,921	62,622
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	85	91.89	93.31	85.21	23.1	7 109.50	9.83	226.12	86.03 to 97.23	88,786	75,657
Study Years											
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	338	95.88	105.79	94.59	20.2	2 111.85	28.14	865.31	95.27 to 96.56	85,096	80,490
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	349	97.49	118.10	91.27	39.3	6 129.41	7.34	1944.17	96.41 to 98.43	82,311	75,122
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	386	97.10	117.33	94.15	33.9	8 124.62	7.34	1944.17	96.56 to 97.77	86,456	81,399
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763

34 - GAGE COUNTY		[		PAD 2009	) Prelim	inary Statistics	3	Base St	tat		PAGE:2 of 6
RESIDENTIAL		·			Гуре: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
	Date Range: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009										
NUMBER	of Sales	:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	104.81	95%	Median C.I.: 95.8	5 to 96 95	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 57	,307,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	117.44		. Mean C.I.: 90.43		(!: Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 57	,489,552	MEAN:	112	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08		% Mean C.I.: 103.		
TOTAL Asses	sed Value	: 53	,423,375			1100.1100.001	20.00		105.	10 00 120.05	
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:15
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ADAMS	19	99.01	97.59	94.92	13.8	5 102.82	34.88	195.43	95.82 to 99.69	92,031	87,352
BARNESTON	5	201.67	272.94	170.88	75.9	0 159.73	98.86	525.08	N/A	10,510	17,959
BEATRICE	424	96.60	103.73	93.72	15.8	5 110.69	9.01	1500.00	96.07 to 97.13	88,153	82,614
BEATRICE SUBDIVISION	8	92.29	83.11	91.40	16.6	90.93	7.34	110.23	7.34 to 110.23	125,125	114,365
BLUE SPRINGS	18	100.00	188.23	123.66	95.8	4 152.21	50.00	1015.50	96.89 to 141.24	8,490	10,498
CLATONIA	8	97.33	95.51	96.44	3.7	2 99.04	86.64	100.95	86.64 to 100.95	51,250	49,424
CORTLAND	18	97.64	139.38	104.05	54.1	9 133.95	74.13	865.31	88.21 to 108.48	103,883	108,094
ELLIS	1	60.59	60.59	60.59			60.59	60.59	N/A	69,000	41,810
FILLEY	4	86.59	75.73	89.68	27.4	6 84.44	28.14	101.61	N/A	89,750	80,491
HOLMESVILLE	3	50.00	58.19	82.54	29.7	1 70.50	40.00	84.57	N/A	13,000	10,730
ODELL	12	85.35	91.89	88.71	24.9	5 103.59	49.96	196.53	70.33 to 99.32	43,958	38,995
PICKRELL	13	83.41	82.61	80.52	6.8	1 102.60	58.88	94.08	77.18 to 88.18	96,797	77,940
ROCKFORD	1	98.24	98.24	98.24			98.24	98.24	N/A	84,900	83,405
RURAL	56	79.85	94.13	81.47	36.5	8 115.54	11.68	391.67	75.25 to 86.56	132,297	107,786
RURAL SUB NORTH	29	95.79	138.13	99.95	71.2	2 138.19	34.36	414.24	87.50 to 109.44	99,608	99,561
RURAL SUB SOUTH	3	62.21	77.22	66.29	43.1	7 116.49	44.44	125.00	N/A	78,333	51,925
VIRGINIA	8	90.19	92.54	94.98	37.7	97.42	42.82	152.40	42.82 to 152.40	26,400	25,075
WYMORE	57	100.00	164.69	109.12	78.5	8 150.92	50.00	1944.17	96.73 to 106.83	31,515	34,391
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
LOCATIONS: URBAN, S	UBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	591	96.82	113.03	94.50	27.6	9 119.61	9.01	1944.17	96.28 to 97.35	77,760	73,484
2	19	77.37	95.24	81.80	48.9	6 116.42	7.34	391.67	62.21 to 95.46	128,663	105,251
3	77	87.92	108.62	87.96	48.1	9 123.50	11.68	414.24	80.25 to 95.79	118,035	103,821
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
STATUS: IMPROVED, U	NIMPROVE	D & IOLI	J							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN		WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	184.38	184.38	184.38			184.38	184.38	N/A	105,500	194,520
1	616	96.51	101.26	91.72	16.1	9 110.40	9.01	676.67	95.87 to 96.95	89,767	82,332
2	70	94.69	205.92	120.33	154.8	4 171.13	7.34	1944.17	84.75 to 100.00	29,819	35,883
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763

34 - GAGE	COUNTY			PAD 200	9 Prelim	inary Statistic	S	Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 6
RESIDENTIA	L				Type: Qualifi		3			State Stat Run	
						rge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER of Sal	les:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	0					(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sales Pri		57,307,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	COV:	104.81		Median C.I.: 95. . Mean C.I.: 90.		(!: Derived)
Т	OTAL Adj.Sales Pri		57,489,552	MEAN:	112	STD:	117.44				
	TOTAL Assessed Val		53,423,375		110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08	95	% Mean C.I.: 103	.26 to 120.83	
	VG. Adj. Sales Pri		83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
	AVG. Assessed Val		77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/.	2000 22.12.15
PROPERTY 7			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	110	120107		,			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIA	N MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		Assd Val
01	67			92.93	29.3		7.34	1944.17	95.83 to 96.94		78,811
06	0,			,2,,,,	2010	119.90		1911111	20100 00 20121	01,000	, 0, 011
07	1	3 100.0	0 141.64	92.39	69.0	153.31	28.14	500.00	74.13 to 142.59	25,373	23,442
ALL	-	20010		2100	0,00	100.01	20121	500.00	, 1110 00 11010,	20,010	20,112
1		 7 96.4	6 112.05	92.93	30.1	.5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
SCHOOL DIS		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	112100	,2,,,,		120.07			20100 00 20120	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIA	N MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		Assd Val
(blank)		1 226.1		226.12			226.12	226.12	N/A	13,000	29,395
34-0001	8			106.25	84.2	157.48	40.00	1944.17	96.95 to 101.26		31,266
34-0015	47			93.02	19.6		7.34	1500.00	95.61 to 96.80	91,331	84,952
34-0034		9 95.8		85.16	29.5		28.14	391.67	81.79 to 98.24	108,866	92,713
34-0100	1			89.25	22.6		49.96	196.53	73.92 to 99.32	54,000	48,197
48-0300		3 99.9		103.84	16.5		96.67	146.33	N/A	81,833	84,975
55-0160	3	0 93.6		93.56	42.9		38.10	865.31	81.54 to 98.51	120,893	113,111
67-0069	1			90.36	31.9		42.82	152.40	65.50 to 126.33		38,636
76-0002											
76-0082	1	1 97.4	2 95.34	94.65	4.9	100.73	81.79	104.94	86.64 to 100.95	5 77,272	73,135
NonValid Sc	chool	1 226.1	2 226.12	226.12			226.12	226.12	N/A	13,000	29,395
ALL		_									
	68	7 96.4	6 112.05	92.93	30.1	.5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
YEAR BUILT	r *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIA	N MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Bl	ank 7	4 92.5	0 187.24	97.69	141.2	9 191.68	7.34	1944.17	80.00 to 100.00	) 29,345	28,665
Prior TO 18	360	1 97.2	97.28	97.28			97.28	97.28	N/A	58,000	56,420
1860 TO 18	399 1	2 96.3	8 157.16	93.29	75.4	6 168.47	63.26	500.00	88.21 to 127.84	48,225	44,987
1900 TO 19	919 14	4 96.2	8 100.95	90.50	18.0	4 111.55	10.28	298.70	95.20 to 97.14	52,589	47,593
1920 TO 19	939 11	3 96.8	9 111.05	92.95	25.3	119.47	19.47	676.67	95.64 to 98.23	59,137	54,969
1940 TO 19	3 3	6 94.5	92.30	90.04	8.1	7 102.52	52.66	126.64	92.23 to 97.68	70,061	63,081
1950 TO 19	959 5	3 98.4	2 99.75	96.84	6.4	7 103.00	77.25	196.53	96.08 to 98.87	82,674	80,063
1960 TO 19	69 6	6 95.2	8 97.51	87.12	12.2	111.92	9.01	337.20	93.88 to 97.65	105,554	91,956
1970 TO 19	979 7	9 96.6	96.48	95.27	9.8	101.27	28.14	233.31	95.11 to 98.11	106,275	101,249
1980 TO 19	3 3	0 96.6	4 95.93	93.78	9.7	102.29	60.59	184.38	94.94 to 98.54	133,110	124,834
1990 TO 19	994 1	2 97.6	3 97.81	98.10	4.0	99.71	87.53	109.21	94.20 to 102.18	167,416	164,235
1995 TO 19	999 1	6 96.6	5 93.29	90.61	7.1	.1 102.95	72.14	104.94	86.01 to 100.00	150,375	136,258
2000 TO Pr	resent 5	1 96.1	1 114.51	93.96	30.9	121.87	34.36	903.05	94.97 to 97.23	191,200	179,660
ALL		_									
	68	7 96.4	6 112.05	92.93	30.1	.5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763

34 - GAGE	COUNTY		ſ		PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	1	Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 6
RESIDENTIA	г					Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						• •	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	104.81	952	Median C.I.: 95.8		(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sal	es Price	: 57	,307,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	117.44		. Mean C.I.: 90.4		(!: Derived)
T	OTAL Adj.Sal	es Price	: 57	,489,552	MEAN:	112	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08	-	% Mean C.I.: 103.		
ŗ	TOTAL Assess	ed Value	: 53	,423,375			AVG.ADS.DEV.	29.00	23	• Heari C.1.• 105.	20 00 120.05	
A	VG. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
	AVG. Assess	ed Value	:	77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:15
SALE PRICE	E *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$	\$											
1 TO	4999	31	100.00	251.86	210.72	182.2	119.52	19.47	1500.00	95.00 to 250.00	1,776	3,743
5000 TO	9999	17	156.81	290.26	282.61	125.1	.3 102.71	28.14	1944.17	92.90 to 308.26	6,175	17,452
Total	\$											
1 TO	9999	48	104.57	265.46	257.87	182.0	102.94	19.47	1944.17	96.89 to 233.06	3,334	8,598
10000 TO	29999	99	99.29	136.07	131.75	49.3	103.28	7.34	903.05	97.52 to 100.28	20,726	27,307
30000 TO	59999	146	97.18	100.57	99.66	16.4	100.91	36.87	414.24	95.64 to 98.44	44,761	44,610
60000 TO	99999	174	96.12	92.70	92.79	9.2	28 99.91	11.68	146.76	95.04 to 97.28	77,437	71,852
100000 TO	149999	118	94.91	93.95	93.82	6.7	1 100.14	58.88	184.38	93.85 to 96.40	122,915	115,321
150000 TO	249999	90	94.42	88.25	88.10	10.9	100.17	9.83	112.63	92.89 to 95.59	187,661	165,323
250000 TO	499999	11	86.01	82.87	83.25	17.4	99.54	34.36	109.44	62.28 to 99.65	300,700	250,324
500000 +		1	9.01	9.01	9.01			9.01	9.01	N/A	567,000	51,070
ALL												
		687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	.5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
ASSESSED \	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$												
1 TO	4999	31	96.89	141.92	69.35	88.6		7.34	676.67	62.00 to 101.13	2,949	2,045
5000 TO	9999	11	95.58	120.34	52.64	63.6	228.59	11.68	337.20	34.88 to 315.50	15,727	8,279
Total			06.04	100 00	50.40			<b>F 2</b> 4			C 005	2 688
1 TO	9999	42	96.24	136.27	58.42	82.4		7.34	676.67	76.80 to 100.00	6,295	3,677
10000 TO	29999	106	97.37	132.73	77.84	61.2		9.83	1500.00	96.22 to 98.67	26,251	20,435
30000 TO	59999	162	97.00	103.33	90.66	17.1		9.01	278.57	95.61 to 98.31	49,704	45,062
60000 TO	99999 149999	180 117	96.67 95.42	98.42 120.40	93.39	11.9		34.36 56.79	309.20	95.82 to 97.92 94.03 to 96.41	83,962	78,414 122,556
100000 TO 150000 TO	149999 249999	117 74	95.42 95.39	120.40	95.29 94.29	34.0 23.5		56.79 62.28	1944.17 903.05	94.03 to 96.41 94.20 to 96.89	128,616 194,012	122,556
150000 TO 250000 TO	249999 499999	74 6	95.39 97.72	108.82 98.09	94.29 96.80	23.5		62.28 80.25	903.05 109.44	94.20 to 96.89 80.25 to 109.44	194,012 312,000	302,009
250000 TO ALL	477777	0	31.12	98.09	20.00	1.9	·+ 101.34	00.25	109.44	00.25 LO 109.44	312,000	302,009
АЦЦ		687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	.5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
		007	20.40	112.05	22.23	30.1	.5 120.57	1.54	1944.1/	JJ.0J LU JU.95	03,002	11,105

34 - GAG	E COUNTY	ſ		PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	at		PAGE:5 of 6
RESIDENT	IAL	-			Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COA:	104.81	95%	Median C.I.: 95.85	5 to 96.95	(!: Av 101=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	57	,307,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	117.44		. Mean C.I.: 90.43		( Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	57	,489,552	MEAN:	112	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08		% Mean C.I.: 103.2		
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	53	,423,375								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:15
QUALITY										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	20	93.68	129.33	85.91	87.8	9 150.55	7.34	500.00	48.62 to 100.00	83,208	71,481
0	63	93.68	200.89	110.61	147.5	1 181.62	9.83	1944.17	84.75 to 100.00	26,817	29,662
10	8	98.71	104.15	95.62	31.0	9 108.92	19.47	225.00	19.47 to 225.00	16,990	16,246
15	1	92.91	92.91	92.91			92.91	92.91	N/A	49,900	46,360
20	113	98.06	112.43	95.49	24.1	.3 117.74	10.28	676.67	96.89 to 99.26	44,879	42,855
25	13	94.10	93.57	91.05	3.8	1 102.76	72.43	101.31	92.23 to 97.92	58,884	53,613
30	413	96.25	100.83	92.10	15.6	4 109.48	9.01	903.05	95.60 to 96.82	90,395	83,253
35	32	96.28	93.49	93.02	7.0	4 100.51	41.54	112.63	92.89 to 99.18	181,089	168,448
40	22	96.67	94.57	94.35	5.6	8 100.23	67.55	109.21	93.90 to 98.13	197,505	186,351
45	2	85.86	85.86	83.65	27.4	6 102.64	62.28	109.44	N/A	320,000	267,685
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	17	80.01	135.53	81.15	119.8		7.34	500.00	40.05 to 286.03	62,480	50,700
0	64	93.51	199.21	109.08	145.4		9.83	1944.17	84.75 to 100.00	28,898	31,521
100	11	98.06	108.98	90.53	42.0		28.14	337.20	42.28 to 127.80	28,977	26,233
101	418	96.65	102.82	93.11	15.6	110.43	9.01	903.05	95.85 to 97.28	90,880	84,618
102	52	95.22	91.57	89.50	11.9	8 102.31	42.82	164.50	92.17 to 96.65	108,774	97,350
103	11	94.05	91.57	90.76	6.4	1 100.89	74.64	100.02	77.18 to 99.77	122,918	111,558
104	92	96.85	106.92	91.44	23.1	2 116.92	48.90	525.08	95.60 to 98.45	74,995	68,578
106	4	95.66	96.61	96.68	6.5	6 99.92	86.64	108.48	N/A	68,937	66,651
111	10	99.01	96.53	95.44	3.2	8 101.15	77.35	100.55	93.86 to 100.00	110,517	105,473
301	5	98.81	98.09	98.00	0.9	3 100.09	96.63	99.24	N/A	140,480	137,667
304	3	98.84	98.90	98.87	0.4	1 100.03	98.32	99.54	N/A	93,333	92,278
ALL											
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763

34 - GAG RESIDEN	GE COUNTY FIAL				<b>Prelim</b>	inary Statistics		Base St	at	State Stat Run	PAGE:6 of 6
						age: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	687	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	96	COV:	104.81	95% 1	Median C.I.: 95.8	5 to 96.95	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 57,3	807,152	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	117.44	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 90.43	3 to 95.43	( Derricu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 57,4	89,552	MEAN:	112	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.08	95	% Mean C.I.: 103.	26 to 120.83	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 53,4	23,375								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	83,682	COD:	30.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	1944.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	77,763	PRD:	120.57	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.34			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:15
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	20	93.68	129.33	85.91	87.8	9 150.55	7.34	500.00	48.62 to 100.00	83,208	71,481
0	63	93.68	200.89	110.61	147.5	1 181.62	9.83	1944.17	84.75 to 100.00	26,817	29,662
10	16	96.97	136.13	98.86	56.7	6 137.69	19.47	676.67	92.89 to 100.62	17,401	17,204
15	3	96.16	95.47	95.02	0.8	0 100.48	93.98	96.28	N/A	86,633	82,316
20	72	98.12	113.86	79.34	36.2	0 143.50	9.01	525.08	95.83 to 99.29	45,560	36,149
25	61	96.95	100.86	96.32	9.7	6 104.72	62.79	226.12	96.08 to 98.11	48,431	46,646
30	318	96.68	101.78	95.06	13.8	2 107.06	34.36	903.05	96.07 to 97.51	90,260	85,804
35	61	94.58	92.80	91.31	7.7	2 101.63	41.54	141.24	93.57 to 97.35	114,901	104,920
40	70	95.43	95.09	91.04	11.9	5 104.45	56.79	337.20	93.89 to 96.63	154,349	140,518
45	1	62.28	62.28	62.28			62.28	62.28	N/A	350,000	217,995
50	2	87.14	87.14	83.44	7.9	1 104.43	80.25	94.03	N/A	248,250	207,150
ALI	·										
	687	96.46	112.05	92.93	30.1	5 120.57	7.34	1944.17	95.85 to 96.95	83,682	77,763

# Gage County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Residential;** Gage County followed the 3-year plan for 2009 by doing a statistical analysis by assessor location in the residential class. The county conducted a sales analysis for the various locations and adjusted by a percentage the rural residential. The County also reviewed the towns of Odell and Pickrell.

In the Odell review the appraiser drove by all properties to check for additions or updates and corrected the property record card. If additions were noted the improvement was measured. New photos were taken of the major improvement on the property. The market analysis showed that adjustments were necessary one-story homes built prior to 1951. Also the 1-1/2 and two story homes built prior to 1940 were adjusted.

In Pickrell a drive by review was completed by the appraiser where the property record card was updated to reflect changes in the properties. New photos were taken and properties were measured if there was a change to the improvement. In Pickrell and adjustment was necessary to all 1 story homes. This was accomplished by using the information gather in the sales analysis for the location. Tables were set up for year built, quality and condition.

The county completed their annual pick-up and permit work for 2009.

# 2009 Assessment Survey for Gage County

**Residential Appraisal Information** (Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	Staff
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contractor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Urban- Contractor
	Suburban, Rural and Res. Ag- Staff and contractor
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	2007
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2007
6.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	RCNLD Using a market based depreciation.
7.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	Urban-23
	Suburban- 1
	Rural-3
8.	Residential Ag-2 How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
0.	The market areas are defined by location and similar property characteristics.
	The market areas are defined by location and similar property characteristics.
9.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhoods Is /Assessor Locations" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes
10.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real estate property located outside of the limits <i>of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an</i>
	incorporated city or village.)
	There is no market significance.

11.	Are dwellings on agricultural parcels and dwellings on rural residential parcels valued in a manner that would provide the same relationship to the market? Explain?
	No They are treated as two different subclasses. Rural residential and ag-dwellings are not valued in the same assessment cycle.

#### **Residential Permit Numbers:**

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
461			461

34 - GAGE COUNTY		[		PAD 2	000 R <i>&amp;</i> 7	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 6
RESIDENTIAL		L			Cype: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
				-		ge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	654	MEDIAN:	97	COV:	85.53	95%	Median C.I.: 96.3	2 to 97.35	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal	es Price	: 55	,677,037	WGT. MEAN:	96	STD:	93.58			5 to 97.76	(?: Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	es Price	: 55	,859,437	MEAN:	109	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	-		24 to 116.59	
TOTAL Assess	ed Value	: 53	,797,660				22.11		102.		
AVG. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00				
AVG. Assess	ed Value	:	82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:18
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	87	95.00	102.63	95.13	16.7	7 107.87	40.00	500.00	94.03 to 96.39	86,938	82,707
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	71	95.60	107.47	93.08	18.4	6 115.46	65.51	500.00	94.10 to 97.24	78,824	73,369
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	60	96.46	93.59	94.49	5.9	8 99.05	40.05	114.67	94.39 to 97.62	83,091	78,512
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	107	96.18	100.09	94.86	12.9	8 105.52	28.14	298.70	95.44 to 97.28	95,143	90,249
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	96	98.56	125.74	98.63	35.5	2 127.49	19.47	1500.00	97.77 to 99.39	90,209	88,974
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	98	98.02	108.13	97.19	19.7	4 111.26	27.14	539.10	96.89 to 98.81	82,626	80,302
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	50	99.09	136.77	102.77	49.7	3 133.09	42.28	903.05	96.80 to 104.65	61,698	63,405
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	85	95.86	107.85	96.79	28.8	7 111.43	50.00	1007.69	88.81 to 98.43	90,481	87,574
Study Years											
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	325	95.99	101.18	94.51	13.8	7 107.05	28.14	500.00	95.42 to 96.59	87,157	82,376
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	329	98.18	117.55	98.16	31.2	8 119.76	19.47	1500.00	97.41 to 98.67	83,687	82,143
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	361	97.39	108.02	96.41	19.8	6 112.03	19.47	1500.00	96.76 to 97.97	88,430	85,259
ALL											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259

34 - GAGE COUNTY		Г		ΡΔΠ 2	009 R &	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:2 of 6
RESIDENTIAL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						rge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
NUMBER	R of Sales	:	654	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	0					(!: AVTot=0)
	ales Price		,677,037	WGT. MEAN:	<b>97</b> 96	COV:	85.53		Median C.I.: 96.32		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sa			,859,437	MEAN:	109	STD:	93.58	-		5 to 97.76	
TOTAL Asses			,797,660	PIEPAR •	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	95	% Mean C.I.: 102.2	24 to 116.59	
AVG. Adj. Sa			85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00				
AVG. Asses			82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/2	0000 11.02.18
ASSESSOR LOCATION			- ,							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ADAMS	16	99.05	100.07	101.18	3.8	7 98.91	93.08	118.17	95.83 to 99.84	100,958	102,151
BARNESTON	5	201.67	272.94	170.88	75.9	0 159.73	98.86	525.08	N/A	10,510	17,959
BEATRICE	411	96.65	106.98	96.74	17.4	4 110.59	19.47	1500.00	96.08 to 97.23	87,379	84,528
BEATRICE SUBDIVISION	7	92.70	93.93	93.55	5.8		85.50	110.23	85.50 to 110.23	139,428	130,441
BLUE SPRINGS	18	100.00	196.01	124.32	103.6	1 157.67	50.00	1035.50	96.89 to 200.00	8,490	10,554
CLATONIA	8	97.33	95.51	96.44	3.7	2 99.04	86.64	100.95	86.64 to 100.95	51,250	49,424
CORTLAND	17	96.76	95.76	96.53	10.2	2 99.20	74.13	114.67	82.67 to 108.47	109,052	105,269
ELLIS	1	60.59	60.59	60.59			60.59	60.59	N/A	69,000	41,810
FILLEY	4	86.59	75.73	89.68	27.4	6 84.44	28.14	101.61	N/A	89,750	80,491
HOLMESVILLE	3	50.00	58.19	82.54	29.7	1 70.50	40.00	84.57	N/A	13,000	10,730
ODELL	12	99.00	98.61	99.41	5.0	0 99.19	76.80	116.57	96.61 to 99.93	43,958	43,700
PICKRELL	13	94.08	95.59	96.03	6.5	99.54	80.57	109.01	90.05 to 105.17	96,797	92,950
ROCKFORD	1	98.24	98.24	98.24			98.24	98.24	N/A	84,900	83,405
RURAL	54	93.72	103.58	94.52	26.7	9 109.58	27.14	391.67	88.65 to 103.01	143,400	135,540
RURAL SUB NORTH	22	95.37	99.80	90.38	25.9	2 110.43	40.05	269.23	77.52 to 100.00	121,247	109,579
RURAL SUB SOUTH	1	71.37	71.37	71.37			71.37	71.37	N/A	162,000	115,615
VIRGINIA	8	90.19	92.54	94.98	37.7	4 97.42	42.82	152.40	42.82 to 152.40	26,400	25,075
WYMORE	53	98.17	120.42	101.26	35.9	3 118.92	50.00	500.00	95.64 to 105.99	33,278	33,699
ALL											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259
LOCATIONS: URBAN, S	SUBURBAN a	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	571	97.00	110.50	97.11	22.6	113.79	19.47	1500.00	96.56 to 97.52	77,645	75,399
2	19	92.70	109.36	93.95	37.7	116.40	27.14	391.67	76.52 to 100.88	152,035	142,837
3	64	94.12	99.72	92.99	20.9	4 107.24	40.05	269.23	90.86 to 98.68	134,927	125,474
ALL											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259
STATUS: IMPROVED, U	JNIMPROVE	D & IOLL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	601	96.92	105.29	96.34	16.3		19.47	1035.50	96.39 to 97.41	89,887	86,595
2	53	91.67	156.18	95.45	101.8	1 163.63	27.14	1500.00	76.92 to 100.00	34,666	33,089
ALL											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259

34 - GAG	E COUNTY			PAD 2009 R&O Statistics Base Stat									
RESIDENT	IAL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run		
							ge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009			
	NUMBER of	Sales	:	654	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	0					(!: AVTot=0)	
	TOTAL Sales			677,037	WGT. MEAN:	96	COV:	85.53		Median C.I.: 96		(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Adj.Sales			859,437	MEAN:	109	STD:	93.58	_	. Mean C.I.: 94			
	TOTAL Assessed			797,660	MEAN ·	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	95	% Mean C.I.: 10	2.24 to 116.59		
	AVG. Adj. Sales			85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00					
	AVG. Assessed			82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/	2000 14.02.10	
PROPERTY		, varao		02,200	110	110.01	HIR BAIDD HADIO				Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I		Assd Val	
01		642	96.89	108.77	96.31	21.9		19.47	1500.00	96.26 to 97.3		83,316	
06		012	50.05	100.77	J0.J1	21.9	1 112.91	10.17	1900.00	JU.20 CO JT.J	00,510	05,510	
07		12	100.60	144.06	96.47	71.3	3 149.33	28.14	500.00	74.13 to 142.5	9 26,654	25,712	
ALL		12	100.00	111.00	50.17	/1.5	5 119.55	20.11	500.00	/1.15 00 112.3	20,031	23,712	
		654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.3	5 85,411	82,259	
SCHOOT T	DISTRICT *	~J 1	20.20		20.31	22.0			1300.00	JU.J2 CU J7.J	Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I		Assd Val	
(blank)		000111	11001111	111114	WOI: HERE	00		11114	1.1.11	Jot nearan e.i	•		
34-0001		84	98.81	142.14	103.18	59.2	0 137.75	40.00	1035.50	96.89 to 101.1	.3 30,439	31,409	
34-0015		450	96.60	106.09	96.32	17.3		19.47	1500.00	96.00 to 97.1		88,737	
34-0034		44	96.82	102.48	92.49	21.5		28.14	391.67	93.08 to 99.2		111,115	
34-0100		20	99.09	101.19	101.85	11.3		62.00	150.78	96.61 to 105.9		52,910	
48-0300		3	113.89	127.05	117.49	13.2		110.98	156.28	N/A	81,833	96,143	
55-0160		29	93.57	90.44	92.73	13.2		40.05	116.04	83.56 to 98.5		120,639	
67-0069		12	96.18	96.59	97.76	29.2		42.82	152.40	65.50 to 142.1		41,802	
76-0002		10	50.10	50.55	57.70	27.2	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	12.02	152.10	00.00 00 112.1	12,750	11,002	
76-0082		12	97.33	98.63	100.40	6.9	2 98.24	86.64	120.91	91.21 to 100.9	5 82,291	82,620	
NonValid	School											,	
		654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.3	5 85,411	82,259	
YEAR BUI	TT.T *		20.20	107112	50.01	2210			1000100	20102 00 2710	Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I		Assd Val	
	Blank	54	91.10	176.27	101.07	122.1		27.14	1500.00	77.52 to 100.0		32,557	
Prior TO		1	97.28	97.28	97.28			97.28	97.28	N/A	58,000	56,420	
1860 TO		12	96.38	158.38	94.50	74.1	9 167.60	72.38	500.00	88.21 to 127.8		45,572	
1900 TO		141	96.91	105.64	95.48	18.9		40.00	539.10	95.60 to 97.6		49,857	
1920 TO		111	96.89	106.20	95.28	17.8		19.47	525.08	96.15 to 98.2		57,495	
1940 TO		36	94.50	93.49	92.39	6.9		52.66	126.64	92.54 to 97.6		64,729	
1950 TO		52	98.46	98.27	97.00	4.9		77.25	147.86	97.28 to 99.0		78,097	
1960 TO		64	95.94	101.19	96.74	10.5		75.76	337.20	94.61 to 98.0		94,323	
1970 TO		77	97.77	96.41	97.33	7.3		28.14	161.55	96.07 to 98.3		105,775	
1980 TO		29	96.63	94.04	92.86	6.4		60.59	110.23	93.92 to 98.5		128,105	
1990 TO		12	97.63	97.81	98.10	4.0		87.53	109.21	94.20 to 102.1		164,235	
1995 TO		16	98.11	97.50	95.76	5.7		78.28	120.91	93.57 to 101.1		144,003	
	Present	49	96.68	112.69	97.65	22.3		79.68	903.05	95.00 to 97.9		193,093	
											- ,	· · · · ·	
		654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.3	5 85,411	82,259	

34 - GAGE C	OUNTY		Γ		PAD 2	2009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 6
RESIDENTIAL			-			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						• •	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	)08 Posted	Before: 01/23	8/2009		
	NUMBER (	of Sales	:	654	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	0	85.53		Median C.I.: 96.3		(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sale			,677,037	WGT. MEAN:	96	COV: STD:	85.53 93.58		. Mean C.I.: 94.8		(!: Derived)
TO	TAL Adj.Sal	es Price	: 55	,859,437	MEAN:	109	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	_	% Mean C.I.: 94.80		
	)TAL Assess			,797,660			AVG.ABS.DEV.	22.17	20	• Mean C.1.• 102.	24 10 110.59	
AVO	G. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00				
I	AVG. Assess	ed Value	:	82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14.02.19
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	30	100.57	242.37	207.42	172.8	116.85	19.47	1500.00	95.00 to 225.00	1,815	3,766
5000 TO	9999	13	100.00	182.82	178.72	102.4	102.29	28.14	439.10	80.00 to 298.70	6,053	10,819
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	43	100.00	224.36	190.46	152.2	117.80	19.47	1500.00	95.58 to 225.00	3,097	5,898
10000 TO	29999	87	99.26	137.44	133.76	46.8	102.75	42.28	1007.69	97.52 to 100.17	20,721	27,715
30000 TO	59999	135	97.57	96.66	96.70	9.0	99.96	40.05	169.47	95.91 to 98.53	44,854	43,373
60000 TO	99999	172	96.40	95.08	95.02	8.2	100.06	27.14	161.55	95.56 to 97.65	77,380	73,524
100000 TO	149999	116	95.59	95.62	95.59	4.8	100.03	75.76	120.91	94.41 to 96.80	123,008	117,582
150000 TO	249999	89	95.28	93.59	93.57	7.0	100.02	57.53	118.17	93.90 to 96.68	187,745	175,672
250000 TO	499999	12	95.53	94.13	93.75	9.8	100.41	62.28	116.23	83.12 to 100.88	298,367	279,720
ALL	_											
		654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259
ASSESSED VA	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	29	96.89	132.26	88.27	75.2		19.47	500.00	62.00 to 108.00	2,269	2,003
5000 TO	9999	9	97.00	141.91	98.93	59.9	143.44	46.67	337.20	92.15 to 315.50	8,388	8,299
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	38	96.89	134.55	93.97	71.6		19.47	500.00	80.00 to 100.00	3,719	3,494
10000 TO	29999	92	97.80	139.30	94.53	57.9		27.14	1500.00	96.95 to 99.29	22,451	21,224
30000 TO	59999	153	97.28	101.75	96.17	13.9		50.00	278.57	95.47 to 98.44	47,058	45,258
60000 TO	99999	163	97.30	100.96	97.59	9.5		67.55	539.10	96.36 to 98.23	80,213	78,279
100000 TO	149999	121	95.42	95.26	94.10	6.5		57.53	161.55	94.30 to 96.40	129,664	122,016
150000 TO	249999	78	96.29	117.42	96.91	29.2		62.28	1007.69	94.45 to 97.35	192,103	186,169
250000 TO	499999	9	99.65	102.12	101.43	5.6	100.69	94.18	116.23	94.97 to 109.44	300,490	304,777
ALL	_		06.00	100 40	06 21	20.0	112 (1	10 47	1500 00		05 411	00.050
		654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259

34 - GAGE COUNTY		Γ		PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 6
RESIDENT	TIAL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	654	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	85.53	95%	Median C.I.: 96.32	2 to 97.35	(!: Av 101=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	55	,677,037	WGT. MEAN:	96	STD:	93.58			5 to 97.76	( <i>Deriveu)</i>
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	55	,859,437	MEAN:	109	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	95	% Mean C.I.: 102.2		
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	53	,797,660								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:19
QUALITY										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	18	94.85	131.56	92.12	67.6	142.81	27.14	500.00	76.52 to 116.23	109,707	101,064
0	44	90.48	187.53	111.42	134.1	.4 168.31	40.00	1500.00	76.92 to 100.00	20,723	23,090
10	8	98.71	104.15	95.62	31.0	108.92	19.47	225.00	19.47 to 225.00	16,990	16,246
15	1	92.91	92.91	92.91			92.91	92.91	N/A	49,900	46,360
20	110	97.92	107.37	98.67	17.0	108.82	52.66	298.70	96.89 to 99.16	44,612	44,020
25	13	94.10	93.78	92.73	3.5	101.13	83.71	101.31	92.00 to 97.92	58,884	54,604
30	405	96.76	102.90	95.93	14.0	107.27	28.14	903.05	96.08 to 97.42	90,195	86,521
35	31	96.94	97.34	97.42	5.7	99.92	79.68	118.17	94.45 to 99.22	180,640	175,976
40	22	96.79	96.30	96.71	4.1	.4 99.58	67.55	109.21	94.18 to 99.22	197,505	191,007
45	2	85.86	85.86	83.65	27.4	6 102.64	62.28	109.44	N/A	320,000	267,685
ALI											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	15	96.90	139.02	91.16	79.0	152.50	27.14	500.00	62.00 to 142.59	91,516	83,428
0	45	91.67	185.43	108.65	129.4	8 170.66	40.00	1500.00	77.52 to 100.00	23,818	25,880
100	10	99.03	108.61	94.69	42.2	114.69	28.14	337.20	42.28 to 110.86	30,875	29,237
101	409	97.00	101.60	96.50	11.4	1 105.29	19.47	903.05	96.28 to 97.57	90,128	86,973
102	50	95.58	95.80	94.71	9.1	.4 101.15	42.82	164.50	94.30 to 96.95	109,805	103,994
103	11	95.11	94.62	93.81	3.7	100.86	86.19	100.02	87.45 to 99.77	122,918	115,309
104	92	97.07	114.34	96.11	27.6	118.97	57.48	539.10	95.83 to 98.54	76,499	73,524
106	4	95.66	96.61	96.68	6.5	99.92	86.64	108.48	N/A	68,937	66,651
111	10	99.01	97.91	97.40	1.8	100.53	91.12	100.55	93.86 to 100.00	110,517	107,640
301	5	96.89	94.82	94.64	3.9	100.19	82.54	99.24	N/A	140,480	132,953
304	3	98.84	98.90	98.87	0.4	1 100.03	98.32	99.54	N/A	93,333	92,278
ALI	<u> </u>										
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259

34 - GAG	FE COUNTY	[		PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:6 of 6
RESIDENTIAL		_	Type: Qualified					State Stat Run			
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales	:	654	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	85.53	95%	Median C.I.: 96.32	2 to 97.35	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 55	,677,037	WGT. MEAN:	96	STD:	93.58	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 94.80	5 to 97.76	()
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 55	,859,437	MEAN:	109	AVG.ABS.DEV:	22.17	95	% Mean C.I.: 102.	24 to 116.59	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 53	,797,660								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	85,411	COD:	22.88	MAX Sales Ratio:	1500.00				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	82,259	PRD:	113.61	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.47			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:19
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	18	94.85	131.56	92.12	67.6	0 142.81	27.14	500.00	76.52 to 116.23	109,707	101,064
0	44	90.48	187.53	111.42	134.1	4 168.31	40.00	1500.00	76.92 to 100.00	20,723	23,090
10	15	96.95	103.08	104.97	21.7	7 98.20	19.47	225.00	92.89 to 100.00	18,521	19,442
15	2	95.07	95.07	94.76	1.1	5 100.33	93.98	96.16	N/A	107,950	102,292
20	70	98.49	114.98	99.92	29.6	7 115.08	28.14	525.08	97.28 to 99.39	37,124	37,093
25	57	97.08	105.20	98.46	14.0	0 106.84	62.79	539.10	96.08 to 98.30	47,979	47,240
30	315	97.23	102.69	97.14	12.2	1 105.71	60.59	903.05	96.40 to 97.97	90,656	88,063
35	60	95.40	95.54	94.84	6.0	2 100.73	67.67	141.24	93.92 to 97.77	115,629	109,667
40	70	95.61	97.54	94.14	10.1	7 103.61	57.53	337.20	94.45 to 96.89	154,349	145,306
45	1	62.28	62.28	62.28			62.28	62.28	N/A	350,000	217,995
50	2	94.11	94.11	94.15	0.0	8 99.96	94.03	94.18	N/A	248,250	233,717
ALI											
	654	96.90	109.42	96.31	22.8	8 113.61	19.47	1500.00	96.32 to 97.35	85,411	82,259

**Residential Correlation** 

**Residential Real Property** 

#### I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. Although these quality statistics improved since the preliminary statistics, they do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity. In analyzing the measures of central tendency only the mean is outside the range. It is the opinion of the Division that the R&O statistics along with each of these analyses demonstrates that the county has achieved an acceptable level of value that is best represented by the median measure of central tendency.

#### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2009	1,046	654	62.52
2008	1,119	709	63.36
2007	1,208	827	68.46
2006	1,198	888	74.12
2005	1,075	818	76.09

RESIDENTIAL: A review of the utilization grid indicates the county has utilized an acceptable portion of the available residential sales for the development of the qualified statistics.

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	96	2.89	99	97
2008	92.14	6.65	98	96.6
2007	96	0.70	97	97
2006	93	9.64	102	98
2005	92	6.88	99	97

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

RESIDENTIAL: The difference between the preliminary ratio and the R&O ratio is approximately two points. The relationship suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and the assessed base in a similar manner.

### IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

### IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File	% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)	
7.69	2009	2.89
12.54	2008	6.65
3.64	2007	0.70
9.73	2006	9.64
2.88	2005	6.88

RESIDENTIAL: A review of the percent change report reveals an approximate 5 point difference between the assessed base and the sales base. The difference may imply that the assessment actions had more of a pronounced affect on the sales sample when compared to the assessed base. This raises the concern of the representativeness of the sales file.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	97	96	109

RESIDENTIAL: The median ratio and weighted mean ratio are within the acceptable range. The mean is outside the acceptable range.

#### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	22.88	113.61
Difference	7.88	10.61

RESIDENTIAL:Both quality of assessment measurements are outside the acceptable range.

#### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	687	654	-33
Median	96	97	1
Wgt. Mean	93	96	3
Mean	112	109	-3
COD	30.15	22.88	-7.27
PRD	120.57	113.61	-6.96
Minimum	7.34	19.47	12.13
Maximum	1,944.17	1,500.00	-444.17

RESIDENTIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property. The difference in the number of qualified sales is a result of sales sustaining substantial physical changes and being removed from the qualified sales roster.

#### VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

In order to be meaningful, statistical inferences must be based on a representative and proportionate sample of the population. If the sales are representative of the population and the sales have been appraised in a similar manner to the unsold properties, statistical inferences should be substantially the same as statistics developed from actual assessed value. This comparison is to provide additional information to the analyst in determining the reliability of the statistical inference.

	<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	<b>Trended Ratio</b>	Difference
Number of Sales	654	247	407
Median	97	85	12
Wgt. Mean	96	93	3
Mean	109	112	-3
COD	22.88	49.31	-26.43
PRD	113.61	121.26	-7.65
Minimum	19.47	28.45	-8.98
Maximum	1,500.00	459.78	1,040.22

The table above is a direct comparison of the statistics generated using the 2009 assessed values reported by the assessor to the statistics generated using the assessed value for the year prior to the sale factored by the annual movement in the population.

In Gage County the sales file was randomly trimmed to 260 parcels from which parcels where previous years values were not available were removed from the analysis leaving the 247 sales. From the county, parcel counts for each assessor location were gathered to determine the percentage of parcels that were sold out of the total residential parcels in the location and in the county. The goal was to achieve a similar sample from the sales file to aid in replicating the movement in the assessed base.

In Gage County the trended median and R&O median are dissimilar suggesting the sales file may not be representative of the population. The mean came in 3 points higher and the weighted mean is 3 points lower.

**Commercial Reports** 

34 - GAGE COUNTY				PAD 2000	) Prolim	inary Statistic	Base S	PAGE:1 of 5			
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualifi		N			State Stat Run	
						ea 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NTIMPED	of Sales		75	MEDIAN.		0					( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
				MEDIAN:	<b>97</b>	COV:			Median C.I.: 93.09		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal			532,548	WGT. MEAN:	102	STD:			. Mean C.I.: 87.96		
TOTAL Adj.Sal			220,373	MEAN:	106	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.00	95	% Mean C.I.: 86.1	7 to 125.35	
TOTAL Assess			425,820	<b>COD</b> •	20.00	MAN Galas Datis	0.01 07				
AVG. Adj. Sal			149,604	COD:	30.96	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	152,344	PRD:	103.86	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.00			Printed: 01/22/2	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	8	99.30	99.71	95.17	17.2		41.67	140.00	41.67 to 140.00	160,250	152,513
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	6	84.71	97.47	81.80	35.2		59.12	192.31	59.12 to 192.31	47,675	38,996
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	7	100.08	103.13	99.90	8.3		92.98	119.98	92.98 to 119.98	26,660	26,635
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	7	96.01	103.96	96.67	15.7		80.00	171.94	80.00 to 171.94	355,563	343,728
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	96.06	230.92	158.72	156.7	145.49	76.00	801.97	N/A	248,280	394,069
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	9	99.40	98.03	83.05	23.0	118.03	26.00	148.00	84.64 to 131.17	122,264	101,546
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	4	103.69	104.04	107.51	12.9	96.77	90.51	118.26	N/A	152,818	164,293
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	5	56.00	65.05	70.38	38.7	9 92.43	32.50	100.00	N/A	34,200	24,070
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	7	120.55	104.40	103.52	23.8	100.85	36.78	139.25	36.78 to 139.25	91,171	94,376
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	10	101.07	95.70	102.73	15.0	93.16	62.50	121.85	63.08 to 120.38	222,700	228,780
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	5	82.13	83.05	77.00	21.7	107.85	59.85	105.71	N/A	193,000	148,619
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	2	109.29	109.29	114.96	12.2	9 95.07	95.85	122.72	N/A	11,250	12,932
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	28	96.46	101.15	95.36	18.7	106.07	41.67	192.31	93.09 to 101.56	151,557	144,522
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	23	91.51	120.79	117.21	55.8	103.05	26.00	801.97	84.64 to 105.82	135,828	159,208
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	24	102.87	96.74	96.49	22.0	100.26	36.78	139.25	80.00 to 120.55	160,529	154,891
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	28	96.48	124.52	109.16	41.8	114.07	26.00	801.97	92.98 to 105.00	179,191	195,600
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	26	100.00	93.43	102.15	24.7	91.46	32.50	139.25	80.00 to 118.26	140,287	143,306
ALL											
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ADAMS	2	39.23	39.23	40.32	6.2	97.27	36.78	41.67	N/A	45,500	18,347
BEATRICE	45	99.17	113.83	105.46	35.4	9 107.94	26.00	801.97	90.81 to 105.82	176,822	186,479
BEATRICE SUBDIVISION	1	96.90	96.90	96.90			96.90	96.90	N/A	2,185,944	2,118,180
BLUE SPRINGS	1	192.31	192.31	192.31			192.31	192.31	N/A	1,300	2,500
CLATONIA	1	62.40	62.40	62.40			62.40	62.40	N/A	6,250	3,900
CORTLAND	3	84.64	76.26	74.95	19.4	9 101.74	47.32	96.82	N/A	153,441	115,010
ODELL	8	117.82	109.73	107.01	13.4		80.00	139.25	80.00 to 139.25	26,675	28,543
PICKRELL	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	27,000	27,000
ROCKFORD	1	76.00	76.00	76.00			76.00	76.00	N/A	5,000	3,800
RURAL	2	66.29	66.29	97.39	50.9	68.06	32.50	100.08	N/A	12,562	12,235
WYMORE	10	99.72	96.44	98.52	9.6		47.83	118.26	94.74 to 105.71	24,800	24,432
ALL	±0	JJ • 14	20.14	20.32	2.0		1,.05	110.20	21.71 00 103.71	21,000	21,192
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
	15	20.20	103.10	101.03	30.9	103.00	20.00	001.97	JJ.UJ CU 102.14	149,004	132,311

34 - GAG	E COUNTY	Г		PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	2	Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
COMMERCI	AL				Гуре: Qualifi		,			State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		(!: AVTot=0)
	NUMBER of Sales:		75	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	81.86	95%	Median C.I.: 93.09	to 102.14	(!: AV101=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	11,	532,548	WGT. MEAN:	102	STD:	86.57	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 87.96	to 115.70	(
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	11,	,220,373	MEAN:	106	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.00	95	% Mean C.I.: 86.1	7 to 125.35	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	11,	425,820								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		149,604	COD:	30.96	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
	AVG. Assessed Value:		152,344	PRD:	103.86	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.00			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:28
LOCATIO	NS: URBAN, SUBURBAN &	RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	71	99.17	107.16	103.08	30.9	103.96	26.00	801.97	92.98 to 103.82	126,300	130,190
2	3	94.35	74.58	96.82	22.7	75 77.03	32.50	96.90	N/A	742,981	719,376
3	1	100.08	100.08	100.08			100.08	100.08	N/A	24,125	24,145
ALL											
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	& IOLL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	64	98.15	96.71	95.40	21.0	101.37	26.00	192.31	92.98 to 103.59	168,125	160,399
2	11	96.01	158.42	252.05	87.4	9 62.85	32.50	801.97	62.40 to 148.00	41,848	105,480
ALL											
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
SCHOOL	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
34-0001	11	100.00	105.16	99.01	17.1	.8 106.22	47.83	192.31	94.74 to 118.26	22,663	22,438
34-0015	48	99.29	112.90	103.60	33.3	108.98	26.00	801.97	91.51 to 105.60	212,377	220,018
34-0034	4	39.23	46.74	42.08	30.8	111.06	32.50	76.00	N/A	24,250	10,205
34-0100	8	117.82	109.73	107.01	13.4	6 102.55	80.00	139.25	80.00 to 139.25	26,675	28,543
48-0300											
55-0160	3	84.64	76.26	74.95	19.4	9 101.74	47.32	96.82	N/A	153,441	115,010
67-0069											
76-0002											
76-0082	1	62.40	62.40	62.40			62.40	62.40	N/A	6,250	3,900
NonValid	School										
ALL											
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344

34 - GAGE C	OUNTY		[		PAD 2000	Prolim	inary Statistics	N	Base St	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
COMMERCIAL			l			TICIIII Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					1	• •	ea 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2/	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NIIMDED	of Sales		75	MEDIAN.		0					( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
		les Price		,532,548	MEDIAN: WGT. MEAN:	<b>97</b> 102	COV:	81.86		Median C.I.: 93.09		(!: Derived)
ΨOT	TAL Adj.Sal			, 220, 373			STD:	86.57		. Mean C.I.: 87.96		
	TAL Adj.sai			, 425, 820	MEAN:	106	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.00	95	% Mean C.I.: 86.1	.7 to 125.35	
	G. Adj. Sal			149,604	COD:	30.96	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
	5				PRD:	103.86	MAN Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio:	26.00				
	AVG. Assess	sed value	•	152,344	PRD:	103.00	MIN Sales Ratio.	20.00			Printed: 01/22/2 Avg. Adj.	
YEAR BUILT	*	COIDIE	MEDIAN			00	מתת מי	MINT	N/7 37	OF Madian C T	Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	1_	COUNT 7	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
0 OR Blan		/	105.00	192.32	321.93	125.3	59.74	32.50	801.97	32.50 to 801.97	45,011	144,905
Prior TO 186		_	00 18	05 10	05 04	0.5	112 01	45 00	151 04	40.00 . 101.04	00.014	05 050
1860 TO 189		7	99.17	97.18	85.84	26.0		47.83	171.94	47.83 to 171.94	29,214	25,078
1900 TO 191		18	96.33	104.09	93.49	19.6		62.50	192.31	88.94 to 115.08	37,150	34,733
1920 TO 193		7	88.17	85.25	79.95	20.9		47.32	120.55	47.32 to 120.55	79,853	63,845
1940 TO 194		2	101.99	101.99	93.10	20.3		81.25	122.72	N/A	28,000	26,067
1950 TO 195		5	63.08	76.13	70.40	27.2		56.00	105.71	N/A	114,420	80,552
1960 TO 196		5	102.14	84.40	85.67	20.2		26.00	105.93	N/A	272,700	233,632
1970 TO 197		10	101.25	99.32	100.51	14.7		61.97	121.85	76.74 to 120.38	395,074	397,103
1980 TO 198		7	100.00	103.66	105.34	9.8		84.64	128.90	84.64 to 128.90	181,250	190,932
1990 TO 199		3	103.82	110.93	103.60	14.7		91.51	137.45	N/A	407,816	422,498
1995 TO 199		2	68.22	68.22	91.17	38.9		41.67	94.77	N/A	487,000	444,000
2000 TO Pre	sent	2	120.27	120.27	120.35	0.2	99.93	119.98	120.55	N/A	32,288	38,857
ALL	_											
		75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	3	105.00	109.94	115.79	50.7	94.95	32.50	192.31	N/A	1,266	1,466
5000 TO	9999	4	85.93	83.42	84.40	16.5	98.83	62.40	99.43	N/A	6,187	5,222
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	7	95.85	94.78	88.58	33.6	107.00	32.50	192.31	32.50 to 192.31	4,078	3,612
10000 TO	29999	19	109.71	109.42	107.74	21.6	101.55	36.78	171.94	94.74 to 131.17	19,733	21,260
30000 TO	59999	12	98.81	104.37	103.47	15.6	100.87	80.00	137.45	88.94 to 120.55	40,958	42,379
60000 TO	99999	12	84.91	79.74	79.57	20.1	.0 100.21	41.67	105.82	59.12 to 96.01	71,825	57,154
100000 TO	149999	7	103.59	197.35	176.40	103.5	111.87	84.64	801.97	84.64 to 801.97	127,628	225,141
150000 TO	249999	7	63.08	70.07	69.26	36.4	8 101.18	26.00	105.93	26.00 to 105.93	186,892	129,439
250000 TO	499999	6	99.70	98.16	99.07	17.0	99.08	61.97	128.90	61.97 to 128.90	330,483	327,421
500000 +		5	102.14	103.90	101.45	6.6	102.41	94.77	121.85	N/A	1,055,788	1,071,117
ALL												
		75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344

34 - GAG	E COUNTY			PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCI	AL					Гуре: Qualifi	•				State Stat Run		
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	8 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009			
	NUMB	ER of Sales	:	75	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	81.86	95% 1	Median C.I.: 93.09	to 102.14	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)	
	TOTAL	Sales Price	: 11	1,532,548	WGT. MEAN:	102	STD:	86.57		. Mean C.I.: 87.96		(?: Deriveu)	
	TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price	: 11	1,220,373	MEAN:	106	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.00		& Mean C.I.: 86.1			
	TOTAL Ass	essed Value	: 11	1,425,820				50.00			,		
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	149,604	COD:	30.96	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97					
	AVG. Ass	essed Value	:	152,344	PRD:	103.86	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.00			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:28	
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Lov	w\$												
1 5	то 4999	9 5	76.00	93.64	80.40	53.2	7 116.47	32.50	192.31	N/A	3,010	2,420	
5000 T	0 9999	3	95.85	77.35	58.14	21.7	9 133.04	36.78	99.43	N/A	12,833	7,461	
Tota	al \$												
1 5	то 9999	8	85.93	87.53	64.40	41.4	5 135.93	32.50	192.31	32.50 to 192.31	6,693	4,310	
10000 5	то 29999	) 17	100.08	103.76	95.01	19.7	5 109.21	41.67	140.00	94.44 to 122.72	21,937	20,841	
30000 5	то 59999	9 19	93.09	95.34	76.01	26.2	8 125.43	26.00	171.94	80.00 to 120.38	56,157	42,687	
60000 5	то 99999	8	93.26	93.67	84.98	18.8	5 110.22	47.32	137.45	47.32 to 137.45	83,012	70,546	
100000 5	то 149999	9	90.81	88.21	86.16	13.6	6 102.38	59.85	106.67	63.08 to 105.60	145,272	125,171	
150000 5	то 249999	) 3	96.82	88.24	85.38	15.1	3 103.34	61.97	105.93	N/A	224,966	192,086	
250000	то 499999	9 5	100.00	105.40	105.25	12.7	9 100.14	82.13	128.90	N/A	340,000	357,843	
500000 -	+	6	102.98	220.24	114.67	118.7	7 192.07	94.77	801.97	94.77 to 801.97	896,740	1,028,264	
ALL_													
		75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	6 103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344	
COST RAI	NK										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		7	105.00	192.32	321.93	125.3	1 59.74	32.50	801.97	32.50 to 801.97	45,011	144,905	
10		10	99.80	107.68	85.16	28.0	6 126.44	47.32	192.31	76.00 to 139.25	60,310	51,362	
20		56	96.86	94.91	96.20	19.1	1 98.66	26.00	171.94	91.51 to 101.56	167,539	161,168	
30		1	94.77	94.77	94.77			94.77	94.77	N/A	908,000	860,500	
70		1	99.17	99.17	99.17			99.17	99.17	N/A	12,000	11,900	
ALL													
		75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	6 103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344	

34 - GAGE COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	2	Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERCI	IAL				Гуре: Qualifi		3			State Stat Run	
					• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales	:	75	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	81.86	95%	Median C.I.: 93.09	to 102.14	(!: Av 101=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 11	,532,548	WGT. MEAN:	102	STD:	86.57		. Mean C.I.: 87.96		( Derweu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 11	,220,373	MEAN:	106	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.00	95	% Mean C.I.: 86.1	7 to 125.35	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 11	,425,820								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	149,604	COD:	30.96	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	152,344	PRD:	103.86	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.00			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 22:13:28
OCCUPAN	CY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	7	105.00	192.32	321.93	125.3	1 59.74	32.50	801.97	32.50 to 801.97	45,011	144,905
330	1	121.85	121.85	121.85			121.85	121.85	N/A	650,000	792,000
336	1	91.51	91.51	91.51			91.51	91.51	N/A	158,450	145,000
340	1	86.00	86.00	86.00			86.00	86.00	N/A	20,000	17,200
343	3	102.14	101.79	102.42	1.4	4 99.39	99.40	103.82	N/A	653,333	669,111
344	6	88.09	93.85	68.38	36.1	5 137.26	47.32	171.94	47.32 to 171.94	105,583	72,193
346	1	122.00	122.00	122.00			122.00	122.00	N/A	15,000	18,300
349	1	26.00	26.00	26.00			26.00	26.00	N/A	230,000	59,800
350	4	100.28	103.53	113.25	13.8	0 91.41	84.64	128.90	N/A	131,281	148,678
352	10	98.41	97.91	97.22	9.6	9 100.71	80.00	116.56	82.13 to 115.08	241,890	235,171
353	13	93.09	89.08	90.55	21.9	6 98.38	47.83	140.00	59.12 to 105.93	55,057	49,855
384	1	90.51	90.51	90.51			90.51	90.51	N/A	70,000	63,360
406	12	106.65	109.29	90.20	25.5	5 121.17	59.85	192.31	76.00 to 137.45	47,623	42,955
426	3	106.67	104.91	102.93	8.8	9 101.92	89.81	118.26	N/A	76,666	78,916
442	3	88.94	89.65	86.51	7.5	0 103.63	80.00	100.00	N/A	37,000	32,008
470	1	100.08	100.08	100.08			100.08	100.08	N/A	24,125	24,145
494	2	108.73	108.73	97.23	10.8	8 111.82	96.90	120.55	N/A	1,108,484	1,077,790
528	5	94.35	83.11	71.22	23.6	8 116.70	41.67	120.38	N/A	71,000	50,563
ALL	·										
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	6 103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344
PROPERT	Y TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02	5	94.77	92.50	93.70	9.1	7 98.72	80.00	105.60	N/A	344,400	322,696
03	68	98.00	106.49	105.17	32.5	7 101.26	26.00	801.97	92.98 to 103.59	107,318	112,863
04	2	114.04	114.04	97.13	15.0	3 117.40	96.90	131.17	N/A	1,100,374	1,068,800
ALL	·										
	75	96.90	105.76	101.83	30.9	6 103.86	26.00	801.97	93.09 to 102.14	149,604	152,344

# Gage County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

#### **Commercial:**

No changes were reported to the commercial and industrial class of property for 2009. A market analysis was conducted of this class of property and determined that no valuation groupings had a representative number of sales to indicate an adjustment was necessary.

The county also did their annual pick-up work based on permits filed. The county is in the process of updating photos for this class as well as reviewing property record cards for additions or deletions of improvements. Office staff has been updating the photos and doing the drive-by review.

# 2009 Assessment Survey for Gage County

## **Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information**

1.	Data collection done by:
	Contractor and staff
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contractor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Contractor
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	2002
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2004
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	2004
7.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	RCNLD based on market depreciation.
8.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	Commercial-7
	Industrial-2
9.	How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
	The market areas are defined by location.
10.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhood/Assessor Location" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes
11.	Do the various subclasses of Commercial Property such as convenience stores, warehouses, hotels, etc. have common value characteristics?
	No
12.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. <b>10-001.07B?</b> (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the

<i>limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)</i>
There is no market significance. Suburban as defined is used for classification only.

## **Commercial Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
81			81

34 - GAGE COUNTY					PAGE:1 of 5						
COMMERCIAL						O Statistics				State Stat Run	
0011121102112					Type: Qualifi Data Par		008 Dested	Pofonos 01/22	2/2000	~~~~~~~~~~	
171/222	6 9 1		60			nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	Judo Posteu	Before: 01/23			( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	of Sales		69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	COV:	80.72		Median C.I.: 94.74		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sa			497,743	WGT. MEAN:	106	STD:	89.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.44		
TOTAL Adj.Sa			185,568	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.43	95	% Mean C.I.: 89.4	0 to 131.47	
TOTAL Assess			840,450				0.01.05				
AVG. Adj. Sal			147,616	COD:	29.43	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	157,107	PRD:	103.76	MIN Sales Ratio:	32.50			Printed: 03/19/.	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	8	99.30	99.71	95.17	17.2		41.67	140.00	41.67 to 140.00	160,250	152,513
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	б	84.71	97.47	81.80	35.2		59.12	192.31	59.12 to 192.31	47,675	38,996
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	7	100.08	103.13	99.90	8.3		92.98	119.98	92.98 to 119.98	26,660	26,635
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	7	96.01	103.96	96.67	15.7		80.00	171.94	80.00 to 171.94	355,563	343,728
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	96.06	230.92	158.72	156.7		76.00	801.97	N/A	248,280	394,069
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	7	100.00	103.67	97.86	14.4		84.64	148.00	84.64 to 148.00	122,225	119,610
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	4	103.69	104.04	107.51	12.9		90.51	118.26	N/A	152,818	164,293
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	5	56.00	65.05	70.38	38.7		32.50	100.00	N/A	34,200	24,070
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	6	133.06	125.46	108.70	19.9		47.32	167.25	47.32 to 167.25	102,200	111,087
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	10	102.76	98.35	103.50	12.4	8 95.02	62.50	121.85	66.15 to 120.38	222,700	230,501
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	2	105.66	105.66	105.64	0.0	5 100.01	105.60	105.71	N/A	100,000	105,640
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	2	109.29	109.29	114.96	12.2	9 95.07	95.85	122.72	N/A	11,250	12,932
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	28	96.46	101.15	95.36	18.7	6 106.07	41.67	192.31	93.09 to 101.56	151,557	144,522
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	21	91.51	124.84	124.52	55.6	9 100.26	32.50	801.97	84.64 to 105.82	137,107	170,720
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	20	105.66	108.30	104.77	18.9	0 103.38	47.32	167.25	100.00 to 121.85	153,135	160,434
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	26	96.48	128.07	113.15	40.9	3 113.19	76.00	801.97	92.98 to 105.00	183,559	207,698
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	25	102.14	99.10	103.49	24.1	5 95.76	32.50	167.25	90.51 to 118.26	144,898	149,962
ALL											
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ADAMS	1	41.67	41.67	41.67			41.67	41.67	N/A	66,000	27,500
BEATRICE	40	100.00	119.12	112.11	34.5	2 106.25	56.00	801.97	92.98 to 105.93	173,680	194,712
BEATRICE SUBDIVISION	1	96.90	96.90	96.90			96.90	96.90	N/A	2,185,944	2,118,180
BLUE SPRINGS	1	192.31	192.31	192.31			192.31	192.31	N/A	1,300	2,500
CLATONIA	1	62.40	62.40	62.40			62.40	62.40	N/A	6,250	3,900
CORTLAND	3	84.64	76.26	74.95	19.4	9 101.74	47.32	96.82	N/A	153,441	115,010
ODELL	8	117.82	120.02	119.60	17.2	3 100.35	86.00	167.25	86.00 to 167.25	26,675	31,904
PICKRELL	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	27,000	27,000
ROCKFORD	1	76.00	76.00	76.00			76.00	76.00	N/A	5,000	3,800
RURAL	2	66.29	66.29	97.39	50.9	7 68.06	32.50	100.08	N/A	12,562	12,235
WYMORE	10	99.72	96.44	98.52	9.6	9 97.90	47.83	118.26	94.74 to 105.71	24,800	24,432
ALL											
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107

34 - GAGE COUNTY		Г	PAD 2009 R&O Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCI	IAL				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run		
					• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )	
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	COV:	80.72	95%	Median C.I.: 94.74	to 103.82	(!: Av 101=0) (!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sales Price:	: 10,	497,743	WGT. MEAN:	106	STD:	89.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.44		( Derricu)	
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	: 10,	185,568	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.43	95	% Mean C.I.: 89.4	0 to 131.47		
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	: 10,	840,450									
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	147,616	COD:	29.43	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97					
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	157,107	PRD:	103.76	MIN Sales Ratio:	32.50			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:33	
LOCATIO	NS: URBAN, SUBURBAN &	RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	65	100.00	112.25	109.15	30.0	102.84	41.67	801.97	94.77 to 105.00	122,038	133,202	
2	3	94.35	74.58	96.82	22.7	75 77.03	32.50	96.90	N/A	742,981	719,376	
3	1	100.08	100.08	100.08			100.08	100.08	N/A	24,125	24,145	
ALL												
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107	
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	D & IOLL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	59	100.00	101.84	99.58	19.2	102.26	41.67	192.31	94.77 to 105.60	165,085	164,399	
2	10	95.18	161.15	256.07	93.3	62.93	32.50	801.97	62.40 to 148.00	44,552	114,086	
ALL												
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107	
SCHOOL	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)												
34-0001	11	100.00	105.16	99.01	17.1	106.22	47.83	192.31	94.74 to 118.26	22,663	22,438	
34-0015	43	100.00	117.71	108.42	32.1	108.57	56.00	801.97	93.09 to 105.82	213,588	231,577	
34-0034	3	41.67	50.06	43.92	34.8	113.96	32.50	76.00	N/A	24,000	10,541	
34-0100	8	117.82	120.02	119.60	17.2	100.35	86.00	167.25	86.00 to 167.25	26,675	31,904	
48-0300												
55-0160	3	84.64	76.26	74.95	19.4	19 101.74	47.32	96.82	N/A	153,441	115,010	
67-0069												
76-0002												
76-0082	1	62.40	62.40	62.40			62.40	62.40	N/A	6,250	3,900	
NonValid	l School											
ALL	·											
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107	

34 - GAGE COUNTY					PAD 2009 R&O Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCIAL						Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run			
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009				
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	69	MEDIAN:	100	8					( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )		
		les Price		),497,743	WGT. MEAN:	100 106	COV:			Median C.I.: 94.74		(!: Derived)		
יר∩יד	CAL Adj.Sa			0,185,568	MGI. MEAN: MEAN:	100	STD:	89.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.44				
	)TAL Asses			),840,450	MEAN ·	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.43	95	% Mean C.I.: 89.4	10 to 131.47			
	G. Adj. Sa			147,616	COD:	29.43	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97						
	AVG. Asses			157,107	PRD:	103.76	MIN Sales Ratio:	32.50			Delete d. 02/10/	000 11 00 00		
		seu vaiue	•	157,107	PRD:	103.70	MIN Sales Ratio.	32.30			Printed: 03/19/2 Avg. Adj.	2009 14:02:33 Avg.		
YEAR BUILT RANGE	*	COUNT	MEDIAN		WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val		
	1-			MEAN				MIN						
0 OR Blan		5	105.00	235.66	358.09	157.4	6 65.81	32.50	801.97	N/A	55,054	197,145		
Prior TO 186		7	00 17	07 10	05 04		112 01	47 02	171 04		00 014	05 070		
1860 TO 189			99.17	97.18	85.84	26.0		47.83	171.94	47.83 to 171.94	29,214	25,078		
1900 TO 191		18	98.41	106.94	96.08	20.2		62.50	192.31	89.81 to 115.08	37,150	35,693		
1920 TO 193		7	88.17	89.67	81.67	25.9		47.32	151.50	47.32 to 151.50	79,853	65,216		
1940 TO 194		2	101.99	101.99	93.10	20.3		81.25	122.72	N/A	28,000	26,067		
1950 TO 195		4	81.08	80.97	76.53	24.5		56.00	105.71	N/A	98,750	75,570		
1960 TO 196		3	105.82	104.63	103.54	1.1		102.14	105.93	N/A	276,166	285,953		
1970 TO 197		9	105.60	103.47	103.49	11.0		76.74	121.85	90.51 to 120.38	407,538	421,746		
1980 TO 198	9	7	100.00	103.75	105.54	9.7	98.30	84.64	128.90	84.64 to 128.90	181,250	191,299		
1990 TO 199	4	3	103.82	110.85	103.59	14.6	107.01	91.51	137.22	N/A	407,816	422,460		
1995 TO 199	9	2	68.22	68.22	91.17	38.9	74.83	41.67	94.77	N/A	487,000	444,000		
2000 TO Pre	sent	2	120.27	120.27	120.35	0.2	99.93	119.98	120.55	N/A	32,288	38,857		
ALL	_													
		69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107		
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.		
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val		
Low \$_														
1 TO	4999	3	105.00	109.94	115.79	50.7	94.95	32.50	192.31	N/A	1,266	1,466		
5000 TO	9999	4	85.93	83.42	84.40	16.5	98.83	62.40	99.43	N/A	6,187	5,222		
Total \$														
1 TO	9999	7	95.85	94.78	88.58	33.6	107.00	32.50	192.31	32.50 to 192.31	4,078	3,612		
10000 TO	29999	17	109.71	114.06	113.67	20.6	100.34	47.83	171.94	94.74 to 140.00	19,713	22,407		
30000 TO	59999	12	102.47	108.88	107.78	15.9	101.02	81.25	151.50	93.09 to 120.55	40,958	44,143		
60000 TO	99999	12	84.91	79.74	79.57	20.1	.0 100.21	41.67	105.82	59.12 to 96.01	71,825	57,154		
100000 TO	149999	7	103.59	197.35	176.40	103.5	111.87	84.64	801.97	84.64 to 801.97	127,628	225,141		
150000 TO	249999	5	91.51	81.55	82.76	19.5		47.32	105.93	N/A	180,230	149,159		
250000 TO	499999	4	108.28	111.37	110.49	10.5	100.80	100.00	128.90	N/A	348,750	385,321		
500000 +		5	102.14	103.90	101.45	6.6		94.77	121.85	N/A	1,055,788	1,071,117		
ALL							- · · <del>-</del>				, ,	, , ,		
		69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107		

34 - GAG	E COUNTY		[		PAD 2	009 R&	<b>O</b> Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
COMMERCIA	AL					<b>Fype:</b> Qualifie					State Stat Run	
							ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBEI	R of Sales	:	69	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	COV:	80.72	95%	Median C.I.: 94.74	to 103 82	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sa	ales Price	: 10	,497,743	WGT. MEAN:	106	STD:	89.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.44		(1. Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sa	ales Price	: 10	,185,568	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.43	_		0 to 131.47	
	TOTAL Asses	ssed Value	: 10	,840,450								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price	:	147,616	COD:	29.43	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
	AVG. Asses	ssed Value	:	157,107	PRD:	103.76	MIN Sales Ratio:	32.50			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:33
ASSESSED	O VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v \$											
1 1	го 4999	5	76.00	93.64	80.40	53.2	7 116.47	32.50	192.31	N/A	3,010	2,420
5000 TC	9999	2	97.64	97.64	97.70	1.8	3 99.93	95.85	99.43	N/A	6,750	6,595
Tota	al \$											
1 1	го 9999	7	95.85	94.78	88.58	33.6	6 107.00	32.50	192.31	32.50 to 192.31	4,078	3,612
10000 1	го 29999	15	100.00	99.56	90.81	17.7	1 109.64	41.67	140.00	94.44 to 119.98	22,541	20,469
30000 I	го 59999	19	96.06	105.64	94.05	27.1	0 112.32	56.00	171.94	81.25 to 120.55	45,105	42,420
60000 I	го 99999	8	93.26	93.64	84.97	18.8	2 110.21	47.32	137.22	47.32 to 137.22	83,012	70,531
100000 T	TO 149999	8	91.16	92.14	90.77	10.6	4 101.51	66.15	106.67	66.15 to 106.67	141,293	128,257
150000 I	TO 249999	2	101.38	101.38	102.28	4.4	9 99.11	96.82	105.93	N/A	196,000	200,472
250000 1	го 499999	4	108.28	111.37	110.49	10.5	0 100.80	100.00	128.90	N/A	348,750	385,321
500000 +	÷	б	102.98	220.24	114.67	118.7	7 192.07	94.77	801.97	94.77 to 801.97	896,740	1,028,264
ALL_												
		69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107
COST RAN	1K										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		5	105.00	235.66	358.09	157.4	6 65.81	32.50	801.97	N/A	55,054	197,145
10		10	99.80	110.48	86.09	30.8	6 128.33	47.32	192.31	76.00 to 167.25	60,310	51,922
20		52	100.00	98.90	100.91	17.0	2 98.01	41.67	171.94	94.35 to 103.82	161,292	162,751
30		1	94.77	94.77	94.77			94.77	94.77	N/A	908,000	860,500
70		1	99.17	99.17	99.17			99.17	99.17	N/A	12,000	11,900
ALL_												
		69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107

34 - GAG	FE COUNTY	Γ		DAD 2	000 D 8.	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERCI		L			<b>UUP KA</b> Fype: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
					• •	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted l	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	69	MEDIAN:	100	COV:	80.72	95%	Median C.I.: 94.74	to 103.82	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	: 10	,497,743	WGT. MEAN:	106	STD:	89.14	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 91.44	to 121.42	( Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	: 10	,185,568	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	29.43	95	% Mean C.I.: 89.4	0 to 131.47	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	: 10	,840,450								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	147,616	COD:	29.43	MAX Sales Ratio:	801.97				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	157,107	PRD:	103.76	MIN Sales Ratio:	32.50			Printed: 03/19/2	2009 14:02:33
OCCUPAN	CY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	5	105.00	235.66	358.09	157.4	6 65.81	32.50	801.97	N/A	55,054	197,145
330	1	121.85	121.85	121.85			121.85	121.85	N/A	650,000	792,000
336	1	91.51	91.51	91.51			91.51	91.51	N/A	158,450	145,000
340	1	86.00	86.00	86.00			86.00	86.00	N/A	20,000	17,200
343	3	102.14	101.99	102.55	1.2		100.00	103.82	N/A	653,333	669,968
344	5	99.43	100.23	73.54	30.8	9 136.28	47.32	171.94	N/A	70,120	51,569
346	1	122.00	122.00	122.00			122.00	122.00	N/A	15,000	18,300
350	4	100.28	103.53	113.25	13.8	0 91.41	84.64	128.90	N/A	131,281	148,678
352	9	100.00	99.67	99.40	8.6	1 100.27	80.00	116.56	88.17 to 115.08	234,877	233,468
353	13	93.09	89.08	90.55	21.9	6 98.38	47.83	140.00	59.12 to 105.93	55,057	49,855
384	1	90.51	90.51	90.51			90.51	90.51	N/A	70,000	63,360
406	11	109.71	116.31	105.22	25.2	7 110.54	62.50	192.31	76.00 to 167.25	35,852	37,722
426	3	106.67	104.91	102.93	8.8	9 101.92	89.81	118.26	N/A	76,666	78,916
442	3	100.00	97.44	97.04	4.8	1 100.41	88.94	103.37	N/A	37,000	35,903
470	1	100.08	100.08	100.08			100.08	100.08	N/A	24,125	24,145
494	2	124.20	124.20	97.66	21.9	8 127.17	96.90	151.50	N/A	1,108,484	1,082,590
528	5	94.35	83.72	72.77	23.0	2 115.05	41.67	120.38	N/A	71,000	51,667
ALL	<u> </u>										
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107
PROPERT	Y TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02	4	97.38	95.09	96.19	7.9		80.00	105.60	N/A	354,250	340,745
03	64	100.00	111.60	111.80	31.2	0 99.83	32.50	801.97	94.44 to 105.00	102,853	114,988
04	1	96.90	96.90	96.90			96.90	96.90	N/A	2,185,944	2,118,180
ALL	·										
	69	100.00	110.43	106.43	29.4	3 103.76	32.50	801.97	94.74 to 103.82	147,616	157,107

**Commercial Correlations** 

**Commerical Real Property I. Correlation** 

COMMERCIAL: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a median level of value within the acceptable range. While the percent change in assessed value for sold and unsold properties are dissimilar the removal of sales as required by the substantially changed directive may have impacted the change in the weighted mean which is used in the calculation. A review of the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O ratio shows the two statistics are similar and it appears that the assessment practices in the County treat both the sold and the assessed base in a similar fashion. Based on the tables and the assessment actions of the County this class of property has been valued uniformly and proportionately.

## II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2009	162	69	42.59
2008	162	83	51.23
2007	166	84	50.60
2006	184	96	52.17
2005	186	99	53.23

COMMERCIAL:A review of the utilization grid indicates the county has utilized an acceptable portion of the available commercial sales for the development of the qualified statistics. The decrease in percent used can be attributed to land use changes and being coded out as substantially changed.

### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	97	0.08	97	100
2008	96.06	-0.27	96	96.17
2007	98	-0.13	97	97
2006	97	-0.09	97	97
2005	98	0.26	98	98

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

COMMERCIAL:After review of the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O median, it is apparent that the two statistics are similar and support a level of value within the acceptable range.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File		% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)
9.38	2009	0.08
0.04	2008	-0.27
-1.72	2007	-0.13
0.13	2006	-0.09
3.06	2005	0.26

COMMERCIAL: A review of the table shows an approximate 9 point difference between the percent change of the sold and the unsold properties. There were six sales that were removed from the sales file between the time of preliminary and the final R&O statistical reports. The removal of those sales because of the substantially changed directive may have impacted the weighted mean comparison used in this table.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

## V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	100	106	110

COMMERCIAL:Of the three measures of central tendency only the median is in the range. The weighted mean is 6 points above the range and the mean is 10 points above the range.

## VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	29.43	103.76
Difference	9.43	0.76

COMMERCIAL: The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. The price related differential is outside the range by less than one point.

## VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	75	69	-6
Median	97	100	3
Wgt. Mean	102	106	4
Mean	106	110	4
COD	30.96	29.43	-1.53
PRD	103.86	103.76	-0.10
Minimum	26.00	32.50	6.50
Maximum	801.97	801.97	0.00

COMMERCIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property. The difference in the number of qualified sales is a result of sales sustaining substantial physical changes and being removed from the qualified sales roster as required by the department for use in the statistical analysis.

Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports

24 626			ſ				• • • • •		Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
	SE COUNTY FURAL UNIMPRO	מער	l				inary Statistics		Dase 5	tat	State Stat Run	11102112 02 5
AGRICODI	IORALI UNIMPRO					Type: Qualifi	ed 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	9 Destad	Before: 01/22	/2000	State Stat Ran	
		6 9 1		1.2.0			ige: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	o Posted				
		of Sales		130	MEDIAN:	64	COV:	35.87			l to 68.16	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)		les Price		,423,344	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	23.30	-		5 to 65.78	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,704,344	MEAN:	65	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.37	95	% Mean C.I.: 60.9	93 to 68.94	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses			,469,930	<b>205</b> ·	05 50		000 00				
	AVG. Adj. Sa			190,033	COD:	25.70	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value		118,999	PRD:	103.70	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	
DATE OF	SALE *	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~									Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	ASSO VAL
Qrt	rs TO 09/30/05	5	60.56	90.48	64.17	71.8	1 141.00	42.01	203.36	N/A	160,355	102,898
	TO 12/31/05	17	66.37	68.17	69.37	18.1		39.00	108.32	57.69 to 77.12	237,481	164,738
	TO 03/31/06	16	72.88	78.22	74.35	19.8		51.41	116.28	62.06 to 90.34	195,289	145,195
	TO 06/30/06	13	68.34	63.49	63.18	13.8		39.06	76.45	52.86 to 73.72	190,805	120,545
	TO 09/30/06	5	72.26	76.50	72.94	12.0		63.47	102.39	N/A	96,685	70,521
	TO 12/31/06	11	77.01	82.29	76.92	19.7		54.41	111.24	65.71 to 102.06	184,895	142,227
	TO 03/31/07	13	63.40	63.80	58.02	18.3		29.08	90.85	54.49 to 76.62	211,720	122,833
	TO 06/30/07	- 3	71.01	68.02	65.05	16.4		43.94	82.07	43.94 to 82.07	151,661	98,662
	TO 09/30/07	5	47.60	58.29	46.89	35.9		32.79	106.34	N/A	75,353	35,331
	TO 12/31/07	14	48.94	47.86	51.25	24.7		15.44	74.00	36.42 to 62.54	171,028	87,652
	TO 03/31/08	9	48.41	48.73	51.46	18.6		21.40	72.00	41.94 to 60.79	254,069	130,755
	TO 06/30/08	14	45.08	49.46	50.77	24.1		20.86	74.39	40.17 to 65.13	194,253	98,630
Stu	dy Years											
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	51	69.67	72.32	68.99	22.6	6 104.83	39.00	203.36	62.44 to 72.60	204,785	141,279
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	37	71.28	71.93	66.38	18.3	4 108.36	29.08	111.24	66.58 to 76.62	175,214	116,303
07/01/07	TO 06/30/08	42	47.78	49.82	50.94	24.6	97.81	15.44	106.34	45.05 to 55.36	185,174	94,319
Cal	endar Yrs											
	TO 12/31/06	45	72.26	74.77	71.50	18.0	4 104.57	39.06	116.28	68.34 to 76.22	180,497	129,051
01/01/07	TO 12/31/07	40	57.22	58.38	56.26	25.2	103.77	15.44	106.34	50.96 to 66.66	168,420	94,748
ALL												
		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999

34 - GAG	E COUNTY	[		PAD 2009	) Prelim	inary Statistics		Base St	tat	State Stat Run	PAGE:2 of 5
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPROVED	I			Type: Qualifi						
					• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Posted Before: 01/22/2009			
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	130	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	35.87	95% 1	Median C.I.: 58.51	L to 68.16	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price:	24	,423,344	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	23.30			5 to 65.78	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	: 24	,704,344	MEAN:	65	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.37	95	% Mean C.I.: 60.9	93 to 68.94	(
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value:	: 15	,469,930								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	190,033	COD:	25.70	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	118,999	PRD:	103.70	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:13:54
GEO COD										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3925	6	59.56	63.20	54.46	23.5		40.17	106.34	40.17 to 106.34	138,257	75,299
3927	9	61.12	60.25	59.22	12.8		42.01	77.12	46.46 to 72.75	221,375	131,100
3929	4	52.87	50.04	57.28	31.6		21.40	73.02	N/A	172,575	98,848
3931	4	45.49	43.81	45.29	12.5		32.79	51.46	N/A	220,467	99,840
3969	5	43.94	46.99	41.70	23.4		29.08	73.72	N/A	220,842	92,091
3973	2	60.43	60.43	61.16	9.8		54.49	66.37	N/A	243,143	148,717
3975	5	68.44	50.58	68.00	35.1		15.44	81.05	N/A	145,800	99,138
4163	8	53.88	52.40	54.16	13.2		39.00	66.07	39.00 to 66.07	213,597	115,678
4165	3	65.71	63.87	56.15	21.3		41.94	83.95	N/A	189,600	106,458
4167	8	70.76	69.72	61.78	21.3		37.93	107.56	37.93 to 107.56	184,352	113,895
4209	5	71.07	81.20	74.08	31.0		48.41	116.28	N/A	225,000	166,683
4211	2	84.32	84.32	77.01	21.0		66.58	102.06	N/A	272,000	209,470
4215	7	71.16	73.24	69.22	11.9		55.98	90.85	55.98 to 90.85	258,003	178,598
4401	12	67.94	67.08	66.97	19.8		39.06	101.28	52.86 to 74.45	228,837	153,254
4403	7	81.75	72.15	63.93	28.7		36.42	108.32	36.42 to 108.32	195,745	125,135
4405	8	68.47	76.17	69.42	48.5		20.86	203.36	20.86 to 203.36	139,362	96,750
4407	5	53.81	60.14	57.60	23.7		45.10	90.34	N/A	137,028	78,925
4455	3	72.00	72.29	72.40	2.3		69.94	74.93	N/A	174,525	126,356
4457	13	62.06	68.79	65.91	22.0		50.96	111.24	54.80 to 76.22	179,469	118,291
4459	6	74.44	76.49	78.06	11.4		63.40	98.40	63.40 to 98.40	125,541	97,995
4461	8	63.62	61.29	60.05	16.7	102.07	43.18	78.58	43.18 to 78.58	154,637	92,866
ALL											
	130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999
AREA (M									0.50	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	117	62.54	64.26	61.97	26.0		15.44	203.36	57.92 to 68.06	191,201	118,485
2	13	69.94	71.04	68.86	22.9	103.17	45.10	116.28	48.41 to 90.34	179,516	123,623
ALL		<o. 1<="" =="" td=""><td><i></i></td><td>~~ ~~</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></o.>	<i></i>	~~ ~~							
	130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033 Avg. Adj.	118,999
	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED				~~~		MIN	147.57	OF& Madian C T	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
0	1	55.96	55.96	55.96	0F 5	1 102 60	55.96	55.96	N/A	303,600	169,900
2	129	63.94	65.01	62.70	25.7	103.68	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.34	189,153	118,604
ALL		62 71	C1 01		0F 7	102 70	1 5 / 4	202 26	E0 E1 +- C0 1C	100 000	110 000
	130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999

34 - GAGE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED		[		PAD 2000	Prolim	inary Statistics	ı	Base St	at		PAGE:3 of 5	
		OVED	L			Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
				Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009								
	NUMBER	of Sales:		130	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	35.87		Median C.I.: 5	50 E1 to 60 16	
(AgLand)		les Price:		,423,344	WGT. MEAN:	<b>6</b> 3	STD:	23.30		. Mean C.I.: 5		(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price:		,704,344	MEAN:	65	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.37		Mean C.I.:	60.93 to 68.94	(:: unu + NAT = 0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses	sed Value:	15	,469,930			AVG.ADD.DEV.	10.57	20		00.95 00 00.91	
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price:		190,033	COD:	25.70	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value:		118,999	PRD:	103.70	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:13:54
SCHOOL 1	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
34-0001		21	74.39	76.88	74.72	29.2	7 102.89	20.86	203.36	56.06 to 82.	77 141,936	106,058
34-0015		21	62.44	62.77	61.61	18.6		39.00	90.85	54.49 to 71.		141,453
34-0034		17	47.60	53.28	51.83	26.8		29.08	76.45	40.63 to 71.		117,195
34-0100		35	68.16	67.68	64.73	21.1		36.42	111.24	57.92 to 72.		127,248
48-0300		7	60.79	53.07	63.77	30.7		15.44	81.05	15.44 to 81.		121,699
55-0160		9	60.56	55.55	58.19	18.5		21.40	73.02	42.01 to 72.		109,418
67-0069		15	63.94	69.65	65.88	26.8	6 105.72	45.10	116.28	51.41 to 82.	07 167,219	110,166
76-0002 76-0082		5	58.33	63.68	52.60	27.9	6 121.07	40.17	106.34	N/A	128,133	67,395
NonValid	School	5	50.55	03.00	52.00	27.9	0 121.07	40.17	100.34	N/A	120,133	07,395
ALL												
1		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.	16 190,033	118,999
ACRES I	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
0.01	TO 10.00	2	18.79	18.79	18.10	11.0	5 103.81	16.71	20.86	N/A	10,500	1,900
10.01	TO 30.00	7	48.07	48.62	39.35	41.7	9 123.57	15.44	106.34	15.44 to 106	.34 46,513	18,302
30.01	TO 50.00	22	55.71	63.39	54.56	33.7	9 116.19	32.79	203.36	45.05 to 69.	67 79,873	43,580
50.01	TO 100.00	40	66.88	67.72	64.92	20.2	6 104.32	42.01	107.56	60.56 to 73.	72 148,934	96,685
100.01	TO 180.00	53	66.07	66.92	62.27	22.9	4 107.46	29.08	116.28	57.75 to 72.		165,830
180.01	TO 330.00	5	69.77	68.55	67.16	9.4	9 102.08	54.80	81.05	N/A	380,798	255,728
330.01	TO 650.00	1	71.07	71.07	71.07			71.07	71.07	N/A	625,000	444,180
ALL												
		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.		118,999
	Y LAND USE >		MEDIAN	5 ATT 7		~~~		14737	1 # T T T	OF . M. 14 C	Avg. Adj. .I. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C		
! zeroe	5:	1	203.36	203.36	203.36	16 7	7 100 GE	203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY DRY_N/A		35 61	62.06 65.13	62.35 67.57	61.95 63.84	16.7 25.8		40.17 32.79	90.34 116.28	58.33 to 69. 55.98 to 73.		123,823 122,069
DRY-N/A GRASS		61 7	43.94	67.57 41.70	63.84 52.94	42.2		32.79 16.71	116.28 77.01	16.71 to 77.		51,512
GRASS-N/.	Δ	14	43.94 58.95	41.70 56.34	51.23	42.2 30.4		15.44	102.06	39.00 to 72.		63,305
IRRGTD		3	57.92	69.89	66.01	22.1		56.66	95.09	N/A	260,000	171,631
IRRGTD-N	/A	9	71.07	71.55	67.25	14.1		47.95	106.34	57.75 to 82.		212,656
ALL		,		,1.33	07.20					57.75 60 02.		212,000
		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.	16 190,033	118,999

34 - GAG	E COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistic	S	Base St	tat	a c -	PAGE:4 of 5
AGRICULT	URAL UNI	IPROVED			7	Type: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUI	MBER of Sales	:	130	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	64	COV:	35.87	95%	Median C.I.: 58.5	1 to 68.16	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL	Sales Price	: 24	1,423,344	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	23.30		. Mean C.I.: 59.4		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Ad	j.Sales Price	: 24	1,704,344	MEAN:	65	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.37			93 to 68.94	(
(AgLand)	TOTAL AS	ssessed Value	: 15	5,469,930								
	AVG. Adj	. Sales Price	:	190,033	COD:	25.70	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. As	ssessed Value	:	118,999	PRD:	103.70	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	
	Y LAND US										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	203.36	203.36	203.36			203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY		65	65.71	65.95	63.13	20.4		40.17	116.28	59.17 to 69.67	184,809	116,663
DRY-N/A		31	58.56	65.08	63.13	28.3		32.79	111.24	52.86 to 74.98	214,440	135,383
GRASS		10	41.47	41.63	49.95	45.6		15.44	77.01	16.71 to 69.94	86,105	43,006
GRASS-N/A	A	11	62.54	60.39	52.70	25.1		29.08	102.06	39.06 to 82.07	140,906	74,254
IRRGTD	13	8	71.28	74.89	69.23	19.6		56.66	106.34	56.66 to 106.34	263,829	182,636
IRRGTD-N/		4	67.77	63.64	63.86	9.0	3 99.65	47.95	71.07	N/A	378,850	241,927
ALL_		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999
MAJORITY	Y LAND US	E > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	203.36	203.36	203.36			203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY		94	64.27	65.39	63.06	22.1	9 103.70	32.79	116.28	58.51 to 69.67	195,688	123,399
DRY-N/A		2	78.86	78.86	67.95	34.8	1 116.06	51.41	106.31	N/A	132,787	90,225
GRASS		19	55.36	53.29	57.92	34.6	8 92.00	15.44	102.06	39.00 to 69.94	98,128	56,838
GRASS-N/A	A	2	34.07	34.07	30.54	14.6	5 111.56	29.08	39.06	N/A	273,285	83,460
IRRGTD		11	68.44	71.14	66.13	18.5	2 107.58	47.95	106.34	56.66 to 95.09	272,821	180,420
IRRGTD-N/	/A	1	71.07	71.07	71.07			71.07	71.07	N/A	625,000	444,180
ALL_												
		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov												
5000 TC		9 2	112.11	112.11	112.11	81.3	9 100.00	20.86	203.36	N/A	7,000	7,847
Tota			110 11	110 11	110 11	01 0	0 100 00	20.00	000 00	7. ( 7		
1 1		99 2	112.11	112.11	112.11	81.3		20.86	203.36	N/A	7,000	7,847
10000 7			58.33	60.46	67.94	51.2		16.71	106.34	N/A	19,322	13,126
30000 7			66.66	64.30	63.85	22.6		39.00	102.39	39.00 to 102.39	48,493	30,960
60000 T 100000 T			55.36 74.33	57.81 71.57	57.28 71.20	29.2 22.5		15.44 32.79	106.31 116.28	45.25 to 68.34 63.47 to 81.75	79,018 123,322	45,264 87,809
150000 1			74.33 68.11	68.73		22.5 19.0		32.79 42.01		63.47 to 81.75 60.79 to 74.39		87,809 131,698
250000 T			57.92	68.73 58.54	67.92 57.81	19.0		42.01 29.08	108.32 82.77	54.41 to 66.37	193,909 334,021	193,098
250000 H				58.54 62.94	63.69					54.41 to 66.37 N/A	334,021 571,734	
500000 4 ALL		2	62.94	02.94	03.09	12.9	5 90.0L	54.80	71.07	IN / A	5/1,/34	364,160
A		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	0 103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999

	E COUNTY URAL UNIMPRO	OVED	[	PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics       Base Stat         Type: Qualified         Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008       Posted Before: 01/22/2009								PAGE:5 of 5
(AgLand) (AgLand) (AgLand)	TOTAL Sa TOTAL Adj.Sa TOTAL Asses	sed Value	: 24 : 24 : 15	130 ,423,344 ,704,344 ,469,930	MEDIAN: WGT. MEAN: MEAN:	64 63 65	COV: STD: AVG.ABS.DEV:	35.87 23.30 16.37	95% 1 95% Wgt	Median C.I.: 58.51 . Mean C.I.: 59.46	L to 68.16 5 to 65.78 93 to 68.94	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
	AVG. Adj. Sa AVG. Asses			190,033 118,999	COD: PRD:	25.70 103.70	MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio:	203.36 15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:13:55
ASSESSE	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lo	w \$											
1 '	TO 4999	2	18.79	18.79	18.10	11.0	5 103.81	16.71	20.86	N/A	10,500	1,900
Tota	al \$											
1 '	TO 9999	2	18.79	18.79	18.10	11.0	5 103.81	16.71	20.86	N/A	10,500	1,900
10000 '	то 29999	8	49.93	67.97	42.80	74.0	8 158.80	15.44	203.36	15.44 to 203.36	41,574	17,793
30000	TO 59999	26	55.21	57.13	53.81	23.0	3 106.17	32.79	102.39	45.25 to 66.66	82,318	44,291
60000 '	TO 99999	19	65.71	67.78	62.39	25.4	5 108.64	42.01	106.31	47.59 to 82.07	137,518	85,798
100000 '	TO 149999	31	68.78	68.36	63.54	17.6	6 107.59	29.08	116.28	62.06 to 74.39	190,026	120,742
150000 '	TO 249999	37	61.12	66.73	62.46	23.5	4 106.82	37.93	111.24	57.69 to 69.77	290,045	181,172
250000 '	TO 499999	7	71.28	71.36	70.44	8.6	9 101.31	54.80	82.77	54.80 to 82.77	425,016	299,380
ALL	ALL											
		130	63.71	64.94	62.62	25.7	103.70	15.44	203.36	58.51 to 68.16	190,033	118,999

34 - GAGE COUNTY	ſ	PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat								PAGE:1 of 5		
MINIMAL NON-AG	-			Type: Qualifie			State Stat Run					
				Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009								
NUMBER	of Sales	:	146	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	61	cov:	35.67	95%	Median C.I.: 57.69	) to 66.07	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Sales Price:		29	,358,059	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	22.63			3 to 63.81	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)	
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	29	,626,559	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	15.95	-		78 to 67.12	( unu+11711-0)	
TOTAL Asses	sed Value	18	,056,075			1100.1100.001	10.95			0 00 07.12		
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	202,921	COD:	26.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36					
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	123,671	PRD: 104.10 M		MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/2009 22:14:07		
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Qrtrs												
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	б	55.93	83.95	60.52	67.5	7 138.70	42.01	203.36	42.01 to 203.36	188,995	114,383	
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	19	66.07	65.77	68.79	19.6	3 95.61	33.68	108.32	57.07 to 72.41	220,066	151,388	
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	18	72.02	74.82	69.59	21.7	0 107.52	39.52	116.28	59.15 to 83.95	210,371	146,402	
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	13	68.34	63.49	63.18	13.8	9 100.50	39.06	76.45	52.86 to 73.72	190,805	120,545	
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	72.26	76.50	72.94	12.0	2 104.89	63.47	102.39	N/A	96,685	70,521	
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	13	74.98	78.79	73.84	20.5	3 106.70	46.26	111.24	65.71 to 101.93	195,142	144,094	
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	15	59.51	62.41	57.84	18.7	7 107.90	29.08	90.85	54.49 to 73.17	226,068	130,756	
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	9	67.09	67.27	64.36	16.4	5 104.53	43.94	82.07	47.59 to 81.75	165,910	106,775	
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	6	47.98	56.63	49.15	29.9	6 115.22	32.79	106.34	32.79 to 106.34	208,071	102,270	
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	16	46.55	46.78	48.63	24.8	9 96.19	15.44	74.00	37.56 to 56.06	189,862	92,331	
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	11	49.10	50.37	53.19	18.3	6 94.68	21.40	72.00	41.94 to 62.92	265,964	141,479	
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	15	45.10	49.81	51.08	23.9	1 97.50	20.86	74.39	40.63 to 59.17	195,190	99,712	
Study Years												
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	56	67.36	70.10	67.04	24.1	5 104.56	33.68	203.36	60.56 to 71.59	206,828	138,661	
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	42	69.36	70.20	65.13	19.0	0 107.78	29.08	111.24	63.47 to 74.93	188,202	122,575	
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	48	48.16	49.78	50.72	23.3	4 98.14	15.44	106.34	45.05 to 55.06	211,243	107,143	
Calendar Yrs												
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	49	71.59	73.04	69.21	18.8	7 105.53	39.06	116.28	66.58 to 74.45	189,539	131,187	
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	46	56.36	57.17	54.67	24.5	8 104.58	15.44	106.34	47.60 to 63.40	199,357	108,983	
ALL												
	146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	6 104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671	

34 - GAGE COUNTY MINIMAL NON-AG				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	Base St	a c -	PAGE:2 of 5		
					State Stat Run						
					Type: Qualifi Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	146	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	61	COV:	35.67		Median C.I.: 57.6		(1 D · D
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 29	,358,059	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	22.63		. Mean C.I.: 58.0		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price		,626,559	MEAN:	63						(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL Assessed Value		,056,075	112121	00	AVG.ABS.DEV:	15.95	95	6 Meall C.1 59.	78 to 67.12	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		202,921	COD:	26.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. Assessed Value		123,671	PRD:	104.10	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2000 22.11.07
GEO COD			110,071	110	101110	hin barbb habro	10.11			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3925	8	54.81	59.86	52.16	23.0		40.17	106.34	40.17 to 106.34	254,174	132,571
3927	10	60.14	59.80	58.80	12.6		42.01	77.12	46.46 to 72.75	229,265	134,813
3929	5	45.18	46.77	56.20	34.7		21.40	73.02	N/A	144,660	81,302
3931	4	45.49	43.81	45.29	12.5		32.79	51.46	N/A	220,467	99,840
3969	+ 7	47.13	49.06	46.05	20.9		29.08	73.72	29.08 to 73.72	230,310	106,060
3909 3971	1	54.70	49.00 54.70	55.14	20.9		29.08 54.70	54.70	29.08 CO 73.72 N/A	208,310	114,865
3973	2	60.43	60.43	61.16	9.8	98.80	54.49	66.37	N/A	243,143	148,717
3975	5	68.44	50.58	68.00	35.1		15.44	81.05	N/A	145,800	99,138
4163	8	53.88	52.40	54.16	13.2		39.00	66.07	39.00 to 66.07	213,597	115,678
4165	3	65.71	63.87	56.15	21.3		41.94	83.95	N/A	189,600	106,458
4165	8	70.76	69.72		21.3				37.93 to 107.56		113,895
				61.78			37.93	107.56	48.41 to 116.28	184,352	
4209	6 3	67.51 72.05	77.18	72.66	30.6		48.41	116.28		206,012	149,689
4211	8	72.85	80.50	75.87	16.2		66.58	102.06	N/A	273,368	207,398
4215		70.47	68.78	63.07	16.5		37.56	90.85	37.56 to 90.85	280,528	176,933
4401	13	67.09	66.50	66.31	19.4		39.06	101.28	52.86 to 74.45	242,818	161,020
4403	7	81.75	72.15	63.93	28.7		36.42	108.32	36.42 to 108.32	195,745	125,135
4405	10	54.40	69.64	62.34	55.8		20.86	203.36	40.81 to 82.77	154,699	96,436
4407	5	53.81	60.14	57.60	23.7		45.10	90.34	N/A	137,028	78,925
4455	4	70.97	64.10	59.07	13.2		39.52	74.93	N/A	221,337	130,737
4457	13	62.06	68.79	65.91	22.0		50.96	111.24	54.80 to 76.22	179,469	118,291
4459	7	72.26	73.06	71.64	14.0		52.52	98.40	52.52 to 98.40	145,324	104,104
4461	9	62.92	61.48	60.89	15.0	100.95	43.18	78.58	45.05 to 71.59	179,117	109,072
ALI											
	146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671
AREA (M										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	131	60.79	62.92	60.55	26.0		15.44	203.36	56.66 to 66.07	204,732	123,972
2	15	65.07	68.01	64.70	25.2	105.13	39.52	116.28	48.41 to 74.93	187,104	121,047
ALI											
	146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671
	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED		5							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	55.96	55.96	55.96			55.96	55.96	N/A	303,600	169,900
1	14	53.61	52.59	52.74	14.9	99.73	37.56	72.85	40.81 to 61.31	325,501	171,658
2	131	63.47	64.66	62.52	26.0	103.43	15.44	203.36	58.33 to 68.16	189,052	118,190
ALI											
	146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671

34 - GAGE COUNTY						PAGE:3 of 5						
MINIMAL NON-AG				State Stat Run								
						Type: Qualifi Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales:		146	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	61	COV:	35.67	95% 1	Median C.I.: 5	7.69 to 66.07	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price: TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:		29	,358,059	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	22.63		. Mean C.I.: 58		(!: land+NAT=0)
			29	,626,559	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	15.95			59.78 to 67.12	(
	TOTAL Asses	sed Value:	18	,056,075								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price:		202,921	COD:	26.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value:		123,671	PRD:	104.10	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:14:07
	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
34-0001		23	74.00	73.98	70.84	30.4		20.86	203.36	55.36 to 81.7		105,112
34-0015		22	60.47	61.62	59.62	20.2		37.56	90.85	51.79 to 71.1		142,536
34-0034		22	53.08	54.49	53.70	22.7		29.08	76.45	43.94 to 68.3		120,779
34-0100		38	67.58	66.94	64.15	20.7		36.42	111.24	57.92 to 72.2		133,878
48-0300		8	59.27	52.84	61.37	29.6		15.44	81.05	15.44 to 81.0		127,963
55-0160		10	59.86	53.36	57.72	21.4		21.40	73.02	33.68 to 72.7		99,588
67-0069		16	63.00	67.77	62.59	27.9	108.27	39.52	116.28	48.41 to 82.0	179,379	112,273
76-0002		7	FF 99	<u> </u>	F1 00	00.4	116 12	40 17	100 24	40 17 +- 100	24 250 042	124 505
76-0082 NonValid	Cabool	/	55.77	60.36	51.98	23.4	14 116.13	40.17	106.34	40.17 to 106.	34 258,943	134,597
ALL												
ALLL_		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.0	202,921	123,671
ACRES IN	J SALE		01100	00.10		2010	1011110	10.11	200.00	0,10, 00,001	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val
0.01 1	TO 10.00	2	18.79	18.79	18.10	11.0	103.81	16.71	20.86	N/A	10,500	1,900
10.01 1	TO 30.00	8	43.54	46.76	38.83	44.5	120.42	15.44	106.34	15.44 to 106.	34 44,824	17,403
30.01	TO 50.00	22	55.71	63.39	54.56	33.7	116.19	32.79	203.36	45.05 to 69.6	79,873	43,580
50.01 1	TO 100.00	44	63.97	66.08	63.16	21.4	104.63	40.81	107.56	57.07 to 72.7	152,474	96,303
100.01 1	TO 180.00	60	63.00	65.56	61.32	23.4	106.90	29.08	116.28	57.69 to 71.2	28 271,854	166,711
180.01 1	TO 330.00	9	62.92	60.54	59.04	16.6	102.53	39.52	81.05	48.36 to 72.0	427,175	252,225
330.01 1	ro 650.00	1	71.07	71.07	71.07			71.07	71.07	N/A	625,000	444,180
ALL_												
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.0		123,671
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE >	<b>9</b> 5%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	203.36	203.36	203.36			203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY		38	60.96	61.30	60.02	17.3	102.13	40.17	90.34	55.96 to 68.7	219,953	132,026
DRY-N/A		71	61.31	65.35	61.40	26.6		32.79	116.28	54.80 to 71.5		124,579
GRASS		7	43.94	41.70	52.94	42.2		16.71	77.01	16.71 to 77.0		51,512
GRASS-N/A	Α	16	58.95	55.33	53.14	29.7		15.44	102.06	39.00 to 69.9		71,006
IRRGTD		3	57.92	69.89	66.01	22.1		56.66	95.09	N/A	260,000	171,631
IRRGTD-N/		10	69.76	70.35	66.58	14.6	105.67	47.95	106.34	57.75 to 82.7	325,662	216,812
ALL_												
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.0	07 202,921	123,671

34 - GAGE COUNTY MINIMAL NON-AG					PAD 2009		PAGE:4 of 5					
				1110 2007	State Stat Run							
					-	Type: Qualifi Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NU	MBER of Sales	:	146	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	61	COV:	35.67	95%	Median C.I.: 57.6	9 to 66 07	(I. Dominad)
	TOTA	L Sales Price	: 29	,358,059	WGT. MEAN:	61	STD:	22.63		. Mean C.I.: 58.0		(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL Ad	j.Sales Price	: 29	,626,559	MEAN:	63	AVG.ABS.DEV:	15.95			78 to 67.12	( unu +1(AI = 0)
	TOTAL A	ssessed Value	: 18	3,056,075				10.00			/0 00 0/122	
	AVG. Adj	. Sales Price	:	202,921	COD:	26.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36				
	AVG. A	ssessed Value	:	123,671	PRD:	104.10	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:14:07
MAJORITY	Y LAND US	SE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	203.36	203.36	203.36			203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY		72	62.92	64.46	60.90	21.7		37.56	116.28	58.33 to 68.34	202,708	123,444
DRY-N/A		37	57.07	62.93	60.89	26.3		32.79	111.24	52.86 to 71.59	220,782	134,436
GRASS		12	41.47	42.74	53.58	43.9		15.44	77.01	20.86 to 63.94	105,750	56,658
GRASS-N/A	A	11	62.54	60.39	52.70	25.1		29.08	102.06	39.06 to 82.07	140,906	74,254
IRRGTD	/ 7	8 5	71.28 67.09	74.89 62.81	69.23	19.6 9.5		56.66 47 05	106.34	56.66 to 106.34	263,829 385,198	182,636 244,384
IRRGTD-N/		5	07.09	02.01	63.44	9.5	5 99.00	47.95	71.07	N/A	202,190	244,304
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	6 104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671
MAJORITY	Y LAND US	SE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	203.36	203.36	203.36			203.36	203.36	N/A	7,000	14,235
DRY		107	61.12	63.66	60.81	23.0	6 104.69	32.79	116.28	56.06 to 66.58	210,265	127,866
DRY-N/A		2	78.86	78.86	67.95	34.8	1 116.06	51.41	106.31	N/A	132,787	90,225
GRASS		21	55.36	52.81	58.52	33.8	9 90.25	15.44	102.06	39.00 to 66.66	108,210	63,322
GRASS-N/A	A	2	34.07	34.07	30.54	14.6	5 111.56	29.08	39.06	N/A	273,285	83,460
IRRGTD		12	67.77	70.17	65.62	18.2	4 106.93	47.95	106.34	57.75 to 82.77	284,302	186,569
IRRGTD-N/		1	71.07	71.07	71.07			71.07	71.07	N/A	625,000	444,180
ALL_												
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	6 104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671
SALE PRI	ICE *	COLDIE	MEDIAN	MEAN		00		MINT	N/7 37	OF& Median C T	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	bare fried	Abbu vui
Low 5000 TC			112.11	112.11	112.11	81.3	9 100.00	20.86	203.36	N/A	7,000	7,847
Tota		<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	112,11	112.11	112.11	01.5	100.00	20.00	203.30	N/A	7,000	7,017
1 T		999 2	112.11	112.11	112.11	81.3	9 100.00	20.86	203.36	N/A	7,000	7,847
10000 T			58.33	60.46	67.94	51.2		16.71	106.34	N/A	19,322	13,126
30000 T			59.22	60.47	61.17	29.2		33.68	102.39	33.68 to 102.39	46,556	28,480
60000 T		999 21	55.36	57.81	57.28	29.2		15.44	106.31	45.25 to 68.34	79,018	45,264
100000 T	TO 1499	999 27	73.72	71.03	70.77	22.7	3 100.37	32.79	116.28	57.07 to 81.75	122,868	86,954
150000 T	TO 2499	999 38	65.57	66.47	65.58	20.8	9 101.35	40.81	108.32	59.15 to 72.60	196,352	128,770
250000 T	TO 4999	999 44	57.84	57.77	57.14	16.9	7 101.11	29.08	82.77	52.52 to 62.92	334,744	191,279
500000 +	+	3	54.80	58.08	57.83	13.8	1 100.43	48.36	71.07	N/A	671,709	388,430
ALL_												
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	6 104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671

34 - GAGE COUNTY MINIMAL NON-AG							inary Statistics		Base St	tat	PAGE:5 of State Stat Run		
MINIMAL NOI	A-AG			Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009									
	NUMBER	of Sales:		146	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	61	COV:	35.67	95% 1	Median C.I.: 57.69	to 66.07	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price:	29,	358,059	WGT. MEAN: 61		STD:	22.63	95% Wgt		to 63.81	(!: land+NAT=0)	
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: TOTAL Assessed Value:		,	626,559 056,075	MEAN:	63			95% Mean C.I.: 59.78 to 67.1			(		
AV	G. Adj. Sa	les Price:		202,921	COD:	26.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	203.36					
	AVG. Asses	sed Value:		123,671	PRD:	104.10	MIN Sales Ratio:	15.44			Printed: 01/22/	2009 22:14:07	
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$													
1 TO	4999	2	18.79	18.79	18.10	11.0	5 103.81	16.71	20.86	N/A	10,500	1,900	
5000 TO	9999	1	15.44	15.44	15.44			15.44	15.44	N/A	75,000	11,580	
Total	\$												
1 TO	9999	3	16.71	17.67	16.02	10.8	1 110.29	15.44	20.86	N/A	32,000	5,126	
10000 TO	29999	8	49.93	70.25	48.83	69.5	1 143.87	21.40	203.36	21.40 to 203.36	36,324	17,735	
30000 TO	59999	27	55.06	56.77	53.42	22.7	4 106.27	32.79	102.39	45.25 to 66.66	84,454	45,118	
60000 TO	99999	33	68.06	67.36	61.34	23.9	9 109.81	37.93	107.56	52.52 to 77.01	157,558	96,645	
100000 TO	149999	33	62.44	65.40	60.05	23.9	8 108.90	29.08	116.28	54.70 to 72.60	229,736	137,962	
150000 TO	249999	36	62.12	64.71	62.37	17.5	9 103.74	42.13	108.32	56.66 to 68.16	309,556	193,079	
250000 TO	499999	6	71.18	67.50	65.49	11.0	3 103.06	48.36	82.77	48.36 to 82.77	505,816	331,284	
ALL													
		146	61.22	63.45	60.95	26.0	6 104.10	15.44	203.36	57.69 to 66.07	202,921	123,671	

# Gage County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

#### Agricultural:

An analysis of agricultural/horticultural sales did not indicate a need for re-alignment of agricultural/horticultural neighborhoods or areas within the county for tax year 2009. Gage County continues to consist of two neighborhood or areas for valuation purposes. In general, Gage County experienced increases in values in both agricultural/horticultural neighborhoods or areas in all land capability groups except for neighborhood or area 2 where a slight decrease in the irrigated classification occurred. Gage County is a special (greenbelt) value county and has developed both recapture and special (greenbelt) values. Our current review, analysis and sales verification has indicated that the non agricultural/horticultural influences that previously existed no longer exists and that recapture values and special (greenbelt) values no longer show any significant difference.

**Irrigated 2009 value adjustments** – Recapture/Special irrigated values experienced various percentage increases/decreases in the various land capability groups averaging approximately an 18% increase.

**DRYLAND 2009 value adjustments** – Recapture/Special dryland values experienced various percentage increases in the various land capability groups averaging an increase of approximately 17.8%

<u>**GRASSLAND 2009 value adjustments**</u> – Recapture/Special grassland values experienced various percentage increases in the various land capability groups averaging an increase of approximately 32.6%.

<u>WASTE 2009 value adjustments</u> – Waste values in both neighborhoods 1 and 2 were increased from \$45.00 to \$100.00.

## 2009 Assessment Survey for Gage County

### Agricultural Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	Staff
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contractor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Staff and contractor
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	There is no written policy at this time to define agricultural land versus residential
	acreages. The county uses a questionnaire to aid in the classification and use of
	agricultural and residential parcels in the rural areas.
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?
	It is defined by statute and predominant use of the parcel.
5.	When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	The income approach was not used.
6.	If the income approach was used, what Capitalization Rate was used?
	NA
7.	What is the date of the soil survey currently used?
	2008
8.	What date was the last countywide land use study completed?
	2007
a.	By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.)
	GIS, FSA, and physical inspection
b.	By whom?
	Staff
c.	What proportion is complete / implemented at this time?
	100% complete with ongoing updates.
9.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations in the agricultural property class:
	2 market areas

10.	How are Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations developed?
	The market areas are defined by location and soil makeup and market.
11.	In the assessor's opinion, are there any other class or subclass groupings, other than LCG groupings, that are more appropriate for valuation?
	Yes or No
	No
a.	If yes, list.
12.	In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?
	NA
13.	Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special valuation for agricultural land within the county?
	The entire county has been implemented with special value. The recapture and special value are the same for 2009.

### Agricultural Permit Numbers:

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
285			285

			г						Base St	tot		PAGE:1 of 5
34 - GAGI			L				O Statistics		Dase 5	lai	State Stat Run	FAGE:I OI 5
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPRO	IVED				Type: Qualifi					Sinte Sint Kun	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
		of Sales		128	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	33.91	95% 1	Median C.I.: 68.96	5 to 77.81	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)		les Price		,747,268	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD:	25.75	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 69.02	2 to 76.25	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,747,268	MEAN:	76	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.20	95	% Mean C.I.: 71.4	16 to 80.39	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess			,974,850								
	AVG. Adj. Sa			193,338	COD:	23.61	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	140,428	PRD:	104.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	
DATE OF	SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtr												
	TO 09/30/05	5	67.07	103.99	72.80	74.4		48.49	237.71	N/A	160,355	116,746
10/01/05	TO 12/31/05	17	74.80	77.78	77.99	18.0		47.30	122.96	62.49 to 88.93	237,481	185,208
01/01/06	TO 03/31/06	15	82.60	88.99	82.94	22.4	4 107.29	56.65	139.27	68.60 to 111.27	186,974	155,076
	TO 06/30/06	13	79.54	73.39	73.74	11.7		46.93	86.08	61.92 to 84.41	190,805	140,698
07/01/06	TO 09/30/06	7	77.02	79.71	74.70	17.1	4 106.70	61.30	115.43	61.30 to 115.43	110,775	82,752
10/01/06	TO 12/31/06	13	87.02	89.74	83.91	20.8	8 106.94	62.20	131.43	67.53 to 115.97	188,914	158,527
01/01/07	TO 03/31/07	14	69.81	70.68	63.35	20.0	8 111.57	23.63	101.72	61.01 to 87.99	209,455	132,685
04/01/07	TO 06/30/07	8	82.02	77.83	74.08	15.4	0 105.07	53.89	94.00	53.89 to 94.00	151,661	112,344
07/01/07	TO 09/30/07	2	49.36	49.36	49.43	9.0	0 99.86	44.92	53.80	N/A	118,900	58,772
10/01/07	TO 12/31/07	13	66.19	61.51	65.88	23.8	0 93.37	10.23	96.58	42.54 to 79.03	159,569	105,124
01/01/08	TO 03/31/08	8	70.01	67.55	67.88	11.5	3 99.51	50.88	86.24	50.88 to 86.24	277,765	188,552
04/01/08	TO 06/30/08	13	52.02	62.44	62.62	27.5	2 99.71	45.58	100.38	46.89 to 77.81	208,608	130,638
Stud	ly Years											
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	50	78.08	82.62	77.91	23.1	9 106.05	46.93	237.71	70.51 to 82.60	202,481	157,749
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	42	77.21	79.45	73.15	19.9	2 108.60	23.63	131.43	71.56 to 86.79	175,642	128,487
07/01/07	TO 06/30/08	36	63.94	62.52	64.74	22.1	5 96.57	10.23	100.38	52.02 to 70.51	201,284	130,302
Cale	endar Yrs											
01/01/06	TO 12/31/06	48	79.55	83.61	79.79	19.4	1 104.79	46.93	139.27	75.13 to 86.03	177,425	141,569
01/01/07	TO 12/31/07	37	67.92	67.85	65.66	22.7	0 103.33	10.23	101.72	61.91 to 78.42	174,536	114,608
ALL_												
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428

34 - GAG	E COUNTY			<b>PAD 2</b>	000 <b>P</b> 87	O Statistics		Base St	at		PAGE:2 of 5
	URAL UNIMPROVED				Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
						eu 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	128	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	33.91	95% ]	Median C.I.: 68.9	5 to 77.81	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	: 24	,747,268	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD:	25.75			2 to 76.25	(!: <i>Derived</i> ) (!: <i>land+NAT=0</i> )
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 24	,747,268	MEAN:	76	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.20	-		46 to 80.39	( unu+1171 = 0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 17	,974,850			1100.1100.001	17.20		,,,.,	10 00 00.33	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	193,338	COD:	23.61	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	140,428	PRD:	104.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14.03.04
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3925	7	61.91	62.14	61.73	9.9	7 100.67	46.89	72.75	46.89 to 72.75	184,659	113,992
3927	9	69.44	68.19	66.70	13.2	7 102.23	48.49	88.93	53.38 to 82.60	221,375	147,667
3929	3	67.07	68.04	69.74	15.2	4 97.57	53.19	83.86	N/A	208,600	145,471
3931	3	50.92	51.68	53.31	9.3	5 96.94	44.92	59.20	N/A	262,290	139,836
3969	5	53.89	52.76	44.62	26.5	4 118.26	23.63	84.41	N/A	220,842	98,532
3973	2	70.06	70.06	71.04	11.2		62.15	77.97	N/A	243,143	172,727
3975	6	64.41	52.68	63.54	31.7	5 82.91	10.23	77.05	10.23 to 77.05	132,666	84,290
4163	8	62.37	60.62	62.03	12.5		47.07	75.74	47.07 to 75.74	213,597	132,502
4165	3	76.91	74.42	66.14	19.3		50.88	95.48	N/A	189,600	125,408
4167	8	81.86	79.74	70.95	19.9		45.71	119.97	45.71 to 119.97	184,352	130,803
4209	5	72.91	93.57	81.14	36.9		61.44	139.27	N/A	225,000	182,572
4211	2	95.36	95.36	86.43	22.7		73.68	117.04	N/A	272,000	235,097
4215	6	90.43	90.30	87.76	10.7		79.15	101.72	79.15 to 101.72	247,670	217,344
4401	12	74.46	76.08	76.55	17.7		46.93	113.48	61.92 to 84.46	228,837	175,172
4403	7	91.14	84.99	78.61	25.1		42.54	122.96	42.54 to 122.96	195,745	153,882
4405	7	89.67	105.66	85.55	32.4		61.09	237.71	61.09 to 237.71	158,271	135,399
4407	5	68.96	72.97	70.52	25.2		50.63	111.27	N/A	137,028	96,635
4455	3	85.61	82.96	85.14	3.5		77.02	86.24	N/A	174,525	148,595
4457	13	78.42	82.95	79.74	18.9	5 104.02	56.65	131.43	66.19 to 96.58	179,469	143,116
4459	6	87.39	89.23	90.98	10.5		73.42	116.18	73.42 to 116.18	125,541	114,214
4461	8	71.32	71.13	70.89	11.0		52.02	88.30	52.02 to 88.30	154,556	109,566
ALL											
	128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	115	72.44	75.10	71.98	23.3	4 104.34	10.23	237.71	67.92 to 77.81	194,900	140,287
2	13	77.02	83.20	78.92	25.1	6 105.42	50.63	139.27	61.44 to 111.27	179,516	141,678
ALL											
	128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428
	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428
ALL											
	128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428

34 - GAG	E COUNTY				ΡΔΠ 2	000 R <i>&amp;</i>	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
	URAL UNIMPR	ROVED				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBE	R of Sales:		128	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	0				68.96 to 77.81	
(AgLand)		ales Price:		,747,268	WGT. MEAN:	73	COV: STD:	33.91 25.75			69.02 to 76.25	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.S	ales Price:		,747,268	MEAN:	76	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.20		% Mean C.I.:		(:: unu + NAT = 0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asse	ssed Value:	17	,974,850			AVG.ADS.DEV.	17.20	23		/1.40 00 00.55	
	AVG. Adj. S	ales Price:		193,338	COD:	23.61	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Asse	ssed Value:		140,428	PRD:	104.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14:03:04
SCHOOL 1	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
34-0001		20	88.35	96.33	88.87	23.5		61.09	237.71	78.42 to 90		132,131
34-0015		20	73.13	73.63	73.00	19.6		47.07	101.72	62.15 to 83		164,328
34-0034		16	57.75	61.47	57.82	25.4		23.63	86.08	45.71 to 79		135,492
34-0100		35	77.40	78.84	76.56	18.6		42.54	131.43	71.05 to 84		150,486
48-0300		8	65.01	56.41	64.46	25.5		10.23	77.05	10.23 to 7		113,043
55-0160		8	68.26	67.84	67.74	13.2		48.49	83.86	48.49 to 8		137,828
67-0069		15	75.13	81.32	77.47	26.6	2 104.96	50.63	139.27	61.44 to 94	4.00 167,219	129,548
76-0002 76-0082		6	61.66	60.38	59.85	8.7	5 100.89	46.89	70.51	46.89 to 70	0.51 183,956	110,090
NonValid	School	0	01.00	00.38	59.05	0.7	5 100.89	40.09	70.51	40.89 10 //	103,950	110,090
ALL												
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 7'	7.81 193,338	140,428
ACRES I	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
0.01 '	TO 10.00	1	25.14	25.14	25.14			25.14	25.14	N/A	14,000	3,520
10.01 '	TO 30.00	4	51.79	43.59	39.31	28.6	2 110.87	10.23	60.55	N/A	54,281	21,340
30.01 '	TO 50.00	21	72.17	76.44	65.70	29.9	8 116.35	42.54	237.71	52.02 to 7	9.55 79,153	52,001
50.01 '	TO 100.00	44	73.78	76.18	72.94	19.5	7 104.45	48.49	119.97	64.89 to 83	2.60 150,146	109,511
100.01	TO 180.00	53	75.13	78.45	72.94	22.2	6 107.55	23.63	139.27	68.96 to 83	3.05 264,877	193,205
180.01 '		4	82.95	82.72	80.80	12.7	7 102.38	64.60	100.38	N/A	395,998	319,958
	TO 650.00	1	72.91	72.91	72.91			72.91	72.91	N/A	625,000	455,700
ALL												
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 7		140,428
	Y LAND USE			አለተን አ እተ	ኬ/ርጣ አ/መላክ	CO	D PRD	MTN	N/7 V	95% Median	Avg. Adj. C.I. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE		COUNT 1	MEDIAN 237.71	MEAN 237.71	WGT. MEAN 237.71	CO	D PRD	MIN 237.71	MAX 237.71	95% Median N/A	7,000	16,640
! zeroe: DRY	5:	34	74.41	73.27		14.8	2 102 13		111.27	66.34 to 79		148,976
DRI DRY-N/A		54 62	75.16	78.69	71.75 75.15	25.0		45.58 42.54	139.27	66.19 to 8		140,567
GRASS		5	56.27	59.40	66.51	29.3		25.14	93.11	N/A	121,920	81,093
GRASS-N/	A	15	70.51	65.88	59.55	27.3		10.23	117.04	47.30 to 79		74,311
IRRGTD		3	62.50	75.35	71.17	22.1		61.01	102.55	N/A	260,000	185,048
IRRGTD-N	/A	8	73.85	74.87	74.50	6.5		62.49	89.67	62.49 to 89		262,821
ALL											,	. ,
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 7	7.81 193,338	140,428

34 - GAGE COUNTY					PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
AGRICULT	URAL UNIM	PROVED				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					,		rge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUM	BER of Sales	:	128	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV				68.96 to 77.81	
(AgLand)		Sales Price		1,747,268	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD				69.02 to 76.25	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price		1,747,268	MEAN:	76	AVG.ABS.DEV			% Mean C.I.:	71.46 to 80.39	(:: una + NAT = 0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL As	sessed Value	: 17	7,974,850			AVG.ADS.DEV	. 17.20	25	o neur c.r.	/1.40 20 00.55	
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	193,338	COD:	23.61	MAX Sales Ratio	237.71				
	AVG. As	sessed Value	:	140,428	PRD:	104.53	MIN Sales Ratio	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14:03:04
MAJORITY	LAND USE	E > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	3!	1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
DRY		66	75.44	76.81	73.40	19.0	104.65	45.58	139.27	68.96 to 79	9.66 187,936	137,940
DRY-N/A		30	70.62	76.69	74.79	27.5	102.54	42.54	131.43	62.20 to 87		155,876
GRASS		8	55.08	53.95	60.68	35.9		10.23	93.11	10.23 to 93		59,883
GRASS-N/A	7	12	70.78	71.13	61.53	23.4		23.63	117.04	56.30 to 86		86,755
IRRGTD		7	77.05	76.10	74.42	15.5		61.01	102.55	61.01 to 10		221,855
IRRGTD-N/		4	72.68	73.09	72.90	1.0	100.26	72.21	74.80	N/A	378,850	276,181
ALL_			<b>FO</b> 00		<b>FO CO</b>	0.0	1 104 50	10.00	000 01		102 220	140,400
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 75	7.81 193,338 Avg. Adj.	140,428
	LAND USE		MEDIAN	MT 7 N		00		MINT	M7 37	OF & Madian		Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	- 1	COUNT 1	MEDIAN 237.71	MEAN 237.71	WGT. MEAN 237.71	CO	D PRD	MIN 237.71	MAX 237.71	95% Median N/A	7,000	16,640
! zeroes DRY	5:	94	74.41	76.47	73.81	21.0	103.60	42.54	139.27	67.92 to 79		144,412
DRY-N/A		2	91.13	91.13	77.42	37.8		56.65	125.61	07.92 CO 75	132,787	102,810
GRASS		18	69.57	67.48	70.93	25.5		10.23	117.04	56.27 to 79		76,242
GRASS-N/A	4	2	35.28	35.28	27.04	33.0		23.63	46.93	N/A	273,285	73,885
IRRGTD	-	10	73.62	75.21	73.96	12.3		61.01	102.55	62.49 to 89		220,201
IRRGTD-N/	/A	1	72.91	72.91	72.91			72.91	72.91	N/A	625,000	455,700
ALL												
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 75	7.81 193,338	140,428
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v\$											
5000 TC	9999	) 1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
Tota	al \$											
1 Т	FO 999	9 1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
10000 T	го 2999	9 1	25.14	25.14	25.14			25.14	25.14	N/A	14,000	3,520
30000 I	ro 5999	9 7	77.02	74.54	74.01	21.6		47.30	115.43	47.30 to 11		35,889
60000 I			72.17	72.59	71.64	25.7		10.23	125.61	61.92 to 82		56,551
100000 T			84.41	81.56	81.16	22.6		44.92	139.27	61.44 to 93		100,652
150000 T			74.97	78.27	77.77	18.5		48.49	122.96	68.60 to 81		150,318
250000 T			67.92	67.89	67.05	17.3		23.63	100.38	62.20 to 75		224,503
500000 +		2	68.76	68.76	69.14	6.0	99.44	64.60	72.91	N/A	571,734	395,315
ALL_			<b>TO OO</b>		<b>TO CO</b>		1 104 50	10.00	000 01		1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	140 400
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 75	7.81 193,338	140,428

34 - GAG	E COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	<b>O</b> Statistics		Base St	at		PAGE:5 of 5
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPI	ROVED				Гуре: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBE	R of Sales	:	128	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	73	COV:	33.91	95% I	Median C.I.: 68.9	6 to 77.81	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL S	Sales Price	: 24	,747,268	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD:	25.75	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 69.0	2 to 76.25	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.S	Sales Price	: 24	,747,268	MEAN:	76	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.20	95	& Mean C.I.: 71.	46 to 80.39	(
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asse	essed Value	: 17	,974,850								
	AVG. Adj. S	Sales Price	:	193,338	COD:	23.61	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Asse	essed Value	:	140,428	PRD:	104.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:03:04
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lor	w\$											
1 5	TO 4999	1	25.14	25.14	25.14			25.14	25.14	N/A	14,000	3,520
5000 T	0 9999	1	10.23	10.23	10.23			10.23	10.23	N/A	75,000	7,670
	al \$											
1 1	TO 9999	2	17.69	17.69	12.57	42.1		10.23	25.14	N/A	44,500	5,595
10000	TO 29999	3	56.27	113.76	64.69	112.8		47.30	237.71	N/A	32,508	21,028
30000 5	TO 59999	17	63.70	65.11	60.73	21.5	1 107.21	42.54	115.43	46.93 to 77.02	75,504	45,855
60000	TO 99999	18	76.98	74.92	71.50	17.2	5 104.79	46.89	115.97	61.41 to 82.92	108,127	77,306
100000 5	TO 149999	35	74.80	76.34	70.03	20.6	9 109.00	23.63	125.61	67.07 to 84.41	170,091	119,120
150000 5	TO 249999	42	75.44	79.90	74.40	22.9	8 107.39	45.71	139.27	67.92 to 84.46	258,899	192,626
250000	TO 499999	11	77.40	78.06	77.05	10.4	5 101.31	62.49	100.38	64.60 to 89.67	409,444	315,456
ALL_												
		128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6	1 104.53	10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428

34 - GAGE COUNTY		ſ			000 D 8	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
MINIMAL NON-AG		l			<b>UU9 K &amp;</b> Type: Qualifi	O Statistics				State Stat Run	
						ea 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	144	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72	0					
-	les Price		,123,563	WGT. MEAN:	72 71	COV:	33.10		Median C.I.: 67.53		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sa			,111,063	MGI. MEAN: MEAN:	71	STD:	24.77	-		3 to 74.52	(!: land+NAT=0)
TOTAL Asses			,470,205	1411/14	75	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.61	95	% Mean C.I.: 70."	79 to 78.88	
AVG. Adj. Sa			209,104	COD:	23.10	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
AVG. Asses			149,098	PRD:	104.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	2000 11.02.21
DATE OF SALE *	bed varue		117,070		101100		10125			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	6	78.83	101.76	78.05	57.7	130.38	48.49	237.71	48.49 to 237.71	189,462	147,870
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	18	73.85	77.10	77.65	17.9	99.29	47.30	122.96	65.62 to 83.05	230,588	179,053
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	17	79.15	85.88	79.00	23.3	108.71	56.65	139.27	64.26 to 111.27	204,140	161,278
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	13	79.54	73.39	73.74	11.7	99.53	46.93	86.08	61.92 to 84.41	190,805	140,698
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	7	77.02	79.71	74.70	17.1	.4 106.70	61.30	115.43	61.30 to 115.43	110,775	82,752
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	15	82.83	86.67	81.25	21.9	4 106.67	50.66	131.43	67.53 to 102.55	197,526	160,496
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	17	65.65	68.82	62.73	19.1	.7 109.70	23.63	101.72	59.33 to 84.17	234,467	147,087
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	9	78.42	77.08	73.50	15.3	104.86	53.89	94.00	56.30 to 91.14	165,921	121,957
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	3	53.80	51.88	55.36	7.4	3 93.72	44.92	56.92	N/A	380,483	210,631
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	15	64.18	60.68	63.14	23.3	96.11	10.23	96.58	52.68 to 72.17	181,493	114,591
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	10	71.75	70.13	70.52	11.6	99.45	50.88	86.24	56.65 to 85.45	286,952	202,349
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	14	57.40	62.46	62.64	24.5	99.73	45.58	100.38	46.89 to 77.81	208,707	130,723
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	54	77.51	81.71	77.25	22.9	105.78	46.93	237.71	69.65 to 81.63	208,115	160,758
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	48	76.69	77.53	71.44	19.9	108.53	23.63	131.43	66.20 to 84.46	192,032	137,183
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	42	63.24	62.90	64.26	21.3	97.88	10.23	100.38	56.65 to 68.96	229,887	147,723
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	52	79.35	82.16	78.00	19.5	105.33	46.93	139.27	73.68 to 84.41	186,330	145,337
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	44	65.92	66.58	63.67	21.5	104.57	10.23	101.72	61.01 to 73.42	212,343	135,201
ALL											
	144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	.0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098

34 - GAG	F COUNTY			<b>PAD 2</b>	000 <b>P</b> 87	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
MINIMAL					Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
						ea ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales:		144	MEDIAN							
	TOTAL Sales Price:		123,563	MEDIAN:	72	COV:	33.10		Median C.I.: 67.53		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:			WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	24.77		. Mean C.I.: 68.08		(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL Assessed Value:		111,063 470,205	MEAN:	75	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.61	95	% Mean C.I.: 70.7	79 to 78.88	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		209,104	COD:	23.10	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Assessed Value:		149,098	PRD:	104.95	MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Defects of 02/10	0000 11 00 00
<u></u>		•	149,090	FILD·	104.95	MIN Sales Racio.	10.25			Printed: 03/19/ Avg. Adj.	2009 14:03:22 Avg.
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP # COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3925	9	61.91	64.72	63.83	13.8		46.89	90.59	56.65 to 72.75	281,251	179,531
3925	10	67.88	67.80	66.38	12.9		48.49	88.93	53.38 to 82.60	229,403	152,285
3929	3	67.07	68.04	69.74	15.2		53.19	83.86	N/A	208,600	145,471
3931	3	50.92	51.68	53.31	9.3		44.92	59.20	N/A	262,290	139,836
3969	5	55.41	55.75	50.75	22.8		23.63	84.41	23.63 to 84.41	231,172	117,311
3971	, 1	62.78	62.78	62.78	22.0		62.78	62.78	23.05 CO 04.41 N/A	210,000	131,835
3973	2	70.06	70.06	71.04	11.2	9 98.62	62.15	77.97	N/A	243,143	172,727
3975	6	64.41	52.68	63.54	31.7		10.23	77.05	10.23 to 77.05	132,666	84,290
4163	8	62.37	60.62	62.03	12.5		47.07	75.74	47.07 to 75.74	213,597	132,502
4165	3	76.91	74.42	66.14	19.3		50.88	95.48	N/A	189,600	125,408
4167	8	81.86	79.74	70.95	19.9		45.71	119.97	45.71 to 119.97	184,352	130,803
4209	6	70.76	88.91	79.72	33.4		61.44	139.27	61.44 to 139.27	206,400	164,545
4211	3	82.83	91.18	85.21	17.4		73.68	117.04	N/A	274,333	233,760
4215	7	83.05	84.92	79.74	15.2		52.68	101.72	52.68 to 101.72	275,146	219,409
4401	13	72.44	75.28	75.08	17.6		46.93	113.48	61.92 to 84.46	244,096	183,271
4403		91.14	84.99	78.61	25.1		42.54	122.96	42.54 to 122.96	195,745	153,882
4405	9	82.92	94.24	76.67	35.8		50.66	237.71	57.91 to 96.06	171,544	131,527
4407	5	68.96	72.97	70.52	25.2		50.63	111.27	N/A	137,028	96,635
4455	4	81.32	77.44	75.20	10.4		60.90	86.24	N/A	221,921	166,883
4457	13	78.42	82.95	79.74	18.9		56.65	131.43	66.19 to 96.58	179,469	143,116
4459		86.12	85.02	81.14	12.1		59.33	116.18	59.33 to 116.18	176,703	143,383
4461	- 9	71.59	71.60	71.95	10.3		52.02	88.30	63.70 to 78.19	179,538	129,178
ALL										,	,
	144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098
AREA (M										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	129	71.59	74.17	70.81	22.9		10.23	237.71	66.34 to 76.91	211,626	149,862
2	15	72.91	80.54	76.05	25.1		50.63	139.27	61.44 to 86.24	187,415	142,532
ALL	1										
	144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	16	63.52	66.09	65.17	14.1		50.66	90.59	56.92 to 75.40	335,237	218,459
2	128	72.83	75.92	72.63	23.6		10.23	237.71	68.96 to 77.81	193,338	140,428
ALL											
	144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098

34 - GAG	E COUNTY				PAD 2	000 <b>P</b> &	O Statistics		Base St	at		PAGE:3 of 5
MINIMAL			I			<b>UUS IXX</b> Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
					1		ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	08 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBI	ER of Sales:	:	144	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72	0			Median C.I.: 6	7 52 55 76 01	(1 <b>D</b> 1 <b>D</b>
		Sales Price:		,123,563	WGT. MEAN:	71	COV: STD:	33.10 24.77		. Mean C.I.: 6		(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
		Sales Price:		,111,063	MEAN:	75	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.61			70.79 to 78.88	(!: <i>lana+NA1=0</i> )
	TOTAL Asse	essed Value:	21	,470,205			AVG.ADS.DEV.	10.01	25		10.19 00 10.00	
	AVG. Adj. S	Sales Price:	:	209,104	COD:	23.10	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG. Asse	essed Value:	:	149,098	PRD:	104.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	/2009 14:03:22
SCHOOL I	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
34-0001		22	86.53	92.51	84.42	25.3		50.66	237.71	72.17 to 96.		130,844
34-0015		21	70.51	72.64	71.19	20.5		47.07	101.72	60.55 to 83.		167,541
34-0034		21	62.78	62.92	60.29	21.3		23.63	86.08	53.19 to 76.		139,701
34-0100		39	76.48	78.08	75.42	18.2		42.54	131.43	67.92 to 84.		161,419
48-0300		9	67.53	60.21	69.50	25.6		10.23	90.59	25.14 to 77.		134,204
55-0160		8	68.26	67.84	67.74	13.2		48.49	83.86	48.49 to 83.		137,828
67-0069		16	72.04	80.04	75.37	27.2	6 106.19	50.63	139.27	60.90 to 94.	00 179,525	135,310
76-0002 76-0082		8	61.66	60.43	59.28	8.0	5 101.95	46.89	70 E1	46.89 to 70.	51 288,631	171,091
NonValid	School	0	01.00	00.43	59.20	0.03	5 101.95	40.09	70.51	40.09 10 70.	200,031	1/1,091
ALL												
1		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.	91 209,104	149,098
ACRES IN	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
0.01 7	TO 10.00	1	25.14	25.14	25.14			25.14	25.14	N/A	14,000	3,520
10.01 7	TO 30.00	4	51.79	43.59	39.31	28.6	2 110.87	10.23	60.55	N/A	54,281	21,340
30.01 7	TO 50.00	21	72.17	76.44	65.70	29.9	8 116.35	42.54	237.71	52.02 to 79.	55 79,153	52,001
50.01 7	TO 100.00	48	71.04	74.77	71.42	20.1	4 104.69	48.49	119.97	62.78 to 79.	03 153,454	109,597
100.01 7	FO 180.00	60	73.06	77.25	72.13	22.0	8 107.10	23.63	139.27	68.60 to 80.	21 271,139	195,577
180.01 7		9	75.40	74.89	71.70	16.9	6 104.45	56.92	100.38	59.33 to 90.		315,364
	ro 650.00	1	72.91	72.91	72.91			72.91	72.91	N/A	625,000	455,700
ALL_												
		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.		149,098
<b>MAJORITY</b> RANGE	Y LAND USE	> 95% COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	Avg. Adj. I. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
! zeroes	a I	1	237.71	237.71	237.71	CO		237.71	MAX 237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
DRY		37	73.68	72.55	70.03	15.6		45.58	111.27	66.34 to 79.2		160,109
DRI-N/A		73	70.51	72.55	73.10	25.0		42.54	139.27	64.60 to 80.2	,	147,741
GRASS		5	56.27	59.40	66.51	29.3		25.14	93.11	N/A	121,920	81,093
GRASS-N/A	Α	16	70.78	66.47	62.22	25.9		10.23	117.04	47.30 to 79.0		87,546
IRRGTD		3	62.50	75.35	71.17	22.1		61.01	102.55	N/A	260,000	185,048
IRRGTD-N/	/A	9	72.91	73.85	73.34	7.0		62.49	89.67	65.65 to 77.		264,781
ALL_												
		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.	91 209,104	149,098

34 - GAGI	E COUNT	Y			ΡΔΟ 2	000 <b>R</b> &	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
MINIMAL 1	NON-AG					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					ļ		rge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted l	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	N	UMBER of Sales	:	144	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72	0			Median C.I.: 67.53		
		AL Sales Price		,123,563	WGT. MEAN:	71	COV: STD:	33.10 24.77		. Mean C.I.: 68.08		(!: Derived)
		dj.Sales Price		,111,063	MEAN:	75	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.61	_		79 to 78.88	(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL 2	Assessed Value		,470,205			AVG.ADS.DEV.	10.01	25	70.	19 10 10.00	
	AVG. Ad	j. Sales Price	2:	209,104	COD:	23.10	MAX Sales Ratio:	237.71				
	AVG.	Assessed Value	2:	149,098	PRD:	104.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.23			Printed: 03/19/	2009 14:03:22
MAJORITY	Y LAND U	SE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	s!	1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
DRY		74	73.55	75.40	71.14	19.7		45.58	139.27	67.07 to 79.15	208,438	148,282
DRY-N/A		36	68.59	75.20	73.64	25.9	1 102.11	42.54	131.43	62.20 to 86.03	216,365	159,341
GRASS		9	56.27	56.33	65.46	35.0		10.23	93.11	25.14 to 77.02	129,883	85,016
GRASS-N/A	Α	12	70.78	71.13	61.53	23.4		23.63	117.04	56.30 to 86.79	140,997	86,755
IRRGTD		7	77.05	76.10	74.42	15.5		61.01	102.55	61.01 to 102.55	298,128	221,855
IRRGTD-N/		5	72.44	71.60	71.31	2.7	2 100.42	65.65	74.80	N/A	388,520	277,038
ALL_			<b>F1</b> 00	<b>F</b> 4 00	<b>F1</b> 20	00.1	0 104.05	10.00	000 01		000 104	140.000
		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104 Avg. Adj.	149,098
	Y LAND U	SE > 50%	MEDIAN	MEAN		CO	D PRD	MIN	MAV	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE ! zeroes	- 1	COUNT 1	MEDIAN 237.71	MEAN 237.71	WGT. MEAN 237.71	CU	D PRD	MIN 237.71	MAX 237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
DRY	5:	108	71.88	75.04	71.92	21.3	4 104.34	42.54	139.27	66.34 to 78.19	212,482	152,810
DRY-N/A		2	91.13	91.13	77.42	37.8		56.65	125.61	N/A	132,787	102,810
GRASS		19	70.51	67.90	71.66	24.2		10.23	117.04	56.27 to 79.03	121,807	87,286
GRASS-N/A	A	2	35.28	35.28	27.04	33.0		23.63	46.93	N/A	273,285	73,885
IRRGTD		11	72.44	74.34	72.92	12.2		61.01	102.55	62.49 to 89.67	309,500	225,680
IRRGTD-N/	/A	1	72.91	72.91	72.91			72.91	72.91	N/A	625,000	455,700
ALL_												
		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	w\$											
5000 TC	D 99	99 1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
Tota	al \$											
1 T	ro 9	9999 1	237.71	237.71	237.71			237.71	237.71	N/A	7,000	16,640
10000 T	FO 29	9999 1	25.14	25.14	25.14			25.14	25.14	N/A	14,000	3,520
30000 T	TO 59	9999 7	77.02	74.54	74.01	21.6		47.30	115.43	47.30 to 115.43	48,493	35,889
60000 T		9999 19	72.17	72.59	71.64	25.7		10.23	125.61	61.92 to 82.92	78,941	56,551
100000 T		999 28	83.51	80.99	80.65	22.8		44.92	139.27	65.62 to 91.14	123,635	99,715
150000 T		9999 42	72.17	76.22	75.50	19.7		48.49	122.96	67.07 to 79.88	195,832	147,846
250000 T		9999 43	67.92	68.57	67.63	16.8		23.63	100.38	62.49 to 75.40	337,601	228,320
500000 +		3	64.60	64.81	63.75	8.2	5 101.67	56.92	72.91	N/A	682,372	434,993
ALL_			<b>F</b> 1 00		<b>F1</b> 00	<u> </u>	0 104.05	10.00	000 01		000 101	140.000
		144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	0 104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76.91	209,104	149,098

34 - GAG	E COUN	TY				PAD 2	009 R&	zO Sta	tistics		Base St	tat			PAGE:5 of 5
MINIMAL	NON-AG	ļ					Type: Qualifi						Stat	te Stat Run	
							Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2	2005 to 06/30/2008	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009			
		NUMBER	of Sales:		144	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72		COV:	33.10	95% N	Median C.I.:	67.53 to	76.91	(!: Derived)
	TC	OTAL Sal	es Price:	30	,123,563	WGT. MEAN:	71		STD:	24.77	95% Wgt		68.08 to		(!: land+NAT=0)
	TOTAL	Adj.Sal	es Price:	30	,111,063	MEAN:	75	AV	G.ABS.DEV:	16.61	95	% Mean C.I.:	70.79 to	78.88	(
	TOTAL	l Assess	ed Value:	21	,470,205										
	AVG. A	Adj. Sal	es Price:		209,104	COD:	23.10	MAX Sa	les Ratio:	237.71					
	AVG.	. Assess	ed Value:		149,098	PRD:	104.95	MIN Sa	les Ratio:	10.23			Prin	ted: 03/19,	/2009 14:03:22
ASSESSEI	D VALUI	E *											A	vg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	L.I. Sa	le Price	Assd Val
Lov	w\$														
1 1	то	4999	1	25.14	25.14	25.14				25.14	25.14	N/A		14,000	3,520
5000 TC	0	9999	1	10.23	10.23	10.23				10.23	10.23	N/A		75,000	7,670
Tota	al \$														
1 1	ТО	9999	2	17.69	17.69	12.57	42.1	L5	140.66	10.23	25.14	N/A		44,500	5,595
10000 1	ТО	29999	3	56.27	113.76	64.69	112.8	30	175.87	47.30	237.71	N/A		32,508	21,028
30000 1	ТО	59999	17	63.70	65.11	60.73	21.5	51	107.21	42.54	115.43	46.93 to 77	.02	75,504	45,855
60000 1	ТО	99999	19	76.91	74.43	71.17	17.1	L3	104.57	46.89	115.97	61.41 to 82	.92	108,405	77,153
100000 1	то 1	49999	39	71.59	74.32	68.29	21.7	73	108.83	23.63	125.61	62.78 to 82	.60	175,210	119,657
150000 1	то 2	49999	49	72.21	78.21	72.96	22.9	91	107.20	45.71	139.27	67.53 to 83	.05	269,365	196,518
250000 1	то 4	99999	14	76.40	77.88	76.88	10.8	34	101.30	62.49	100.38	65.65 to 89	.67	403,249	310,003
500000 -	+		1	56.92	56.92	56.92				56.92	56.92	N/A		903,650	514,350
ALL_															
			144	71.88	74.83	71.30	23.1	LO	104.95	10.23	237.71	67.53 to 76	.91	209,104	149,098

**Agricultural Correlation** 

#### Agricultural Land I. Correlation

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:Gage County concluded in 2009 that non-agricultural or horticultural influences on sales no longer exist and that sales of agricultural/horticultural land in Gage are as if the lands only available use is for agricultural/horticultural purposes. The County analyzed sales in adjoining Counties using the same methodology that they used in Gage County and developed a range of values for each land capability grouping. Base on these values and comparing with the market values in Gage County the indication was that there was no significant difference between the market values and the special values for Gage County.

In the analysis more weight was given to the statistical reports for the minimally improved agricultural sales. The additional 18 sales in the minimal statistics support a level of value that more closely resembles the values in the adjoining counties. In an examination of market area two the sample includes two additional sales. Both sales have very minimal improvements (1325, 335) which in the opinion the County have no contributory value to the sale amount. With the inclusion of those two sales the median for market area 2 comes in at 72.19 whereas for just the unimproved sales in area 2 the median is77.02. The division will not recommend an adjustment where the result would cause a larger discrepancy when looking across the County line into Pawnee County. In the 2009 R&O statistics for the minimal improved non-ag all three measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range.

Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. Both quality statistics improved since the preliminary statistics, but they do not support quality vertical assessment uniformity. It is the opinion of the Property Tax Administrator that the R&O statistics along with each of these analyses demonstrates that the county has achieved an acceptable level of value that is best represented by the median measure of central tendency and that the minimally improved agricultural statistics better define the overall level of value.

#### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	Percent Used
2009	320	128	40.00
2008	310	135	43.55
2007	278	131	47.12
2006	267	127	47.57
2005	264	116	43.94

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: A review of the utilization grid indicates the county has utilized an acceptable portion of the available agricultural sales for the development of the qualified statistics.

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	64	26.47	81	73
2008				
2007				
2006				
2005				

### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The relationship between the trended prelim ratio and the R&O ratio show a disparity of almost 8 points and show little support for each other. The omissions in the table for years 2005-2008 reflect that in those years the County was measured using the 994 analysis.

### IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

### IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File		% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)
27.45	2009	26.47
23.41	2008	10.98
6.81	2007	5.27
	2006	
	2005	

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: Table IV shows that the percentage change in the sales file closely follow that of the change in the assessed base. This lends support to the theory that the county has shown no bias to the sold property compared to the assessed base.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	73	73	76

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The median and weighted mean are within the acceptable range, while the mean is outside the acceptable range. The range for the three measures is only 3 points.

#### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	23.61	104.53
Difference	3.61	1.53

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are both slightly outside the acceptable range. In the recent changes in the rapidly increasing agricultural market the higher COD causes little concern in analyzing the agricultural unimproved land.

### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	130	128	-2
Median	64	73	9
Wgt. Mean	63	73	10
Mean	65	76	11
COD	25.70	23.61	-2.09
PRD	103.70	104.53	0.83
Minimum	15.44	10.23	-5.21
Maximum	203.36	237.71	34.35

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property.

Total Real Property Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30		Records : 16,29	17	Value : 1,7 <sup>,</sup>	15,932,610	Grov	wth 16,168,460	Sum Lines 17,	25, & 41
Schedule I : Non-Agricult	tural Records								
	U	rban	Sul	bUrban		Rural	Т	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
01. Res UnImp Land	1,222	7,658,590	88	879,195	119	1,814,420	1,429	10,352,205	
2. Res Improve Land	6,762	66,666,405	251	4,979,120	885	19,421,900	7,898	91,067,425	
3. Res Improvements	6,839	440,682,490	278	34,489,935	895	115,591,150	8,012	590,763,575	
4. Res Total	8,061	515,007,485	366	40,348,250	1,014	136,827,470	9,441	692,183,205	9,101,785
% of Res Total	85.38	74.40	3.88	5.83	10.74	19.77	57.93	40.34	56.29
5. Com UnImp Land	201	2,670,905	12	125,745	3	29,775	216	2,826,425	
6. Com Improve Land	872	19,617,250	23	423,890	29	531,380	924	20,572,520	
7. Com Improvements	895	104,197,175	28	4,316,255	42	11,451,645	965	119,965,075	
98. Com Total	1,096	126,485,330	40	4,865,890	45	12,012,800	1,181	143,364,020	2,766,680
% of Com Total	92.80	88.23	3.39	3.39	3.81	8.38	7.25	8.35	17.11
9. Ind UnImp Land	8	248,865	5	32,530	1	2,110	14	283,505	
0. Ind Improve Land	14	618,065	13	470,085	3	224,760	30	1,312,910	
1. Ind Improvements	14	7,477,400	13	16,881,935	3	5,954,970	30	30,314,305	
2. Ind Total	22	8,344,330	18	17,384,550	4	6,181,840	44	31,910,720	83,990
% of Ind Total	50.00	26.15	40.91	54.48	9.09	19.37	0.27	1.86	0.52
13. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	1	640	1	640	
4. Rec Improve Land	0	0	0	0	1	40,000	1	40,000	
5. Rec Improvements	0	0	1	5,205	4	24,025	5	29,230	
6. Rec Total	0	0	1	5,205	5	64,665	6	69,870	0
% of Rec Total	0.00	0.00	16.67	7.45	83.33	92.55	0.04	0.00	0.00
Res & Rec Total	8,061	515,007,485	367	40,353,455	1,019	136,892,135	9,447	692,253,075	9,101,785
% of Res & Rec Total	85.33	74.40	3.88	5.83	10.79	19.77	57.97	40.34	56.29
Com & Ind Total	1,118	134,829,660	58	22,250,440	49	18,194,640	1,225	175,274,740	2,850,670
% of Com & Ind Total	91.27	76.92	4.73	12.69	4.00	10.38	7.52	10.21	17.63
17. Taxable Total	9,179	649,837,145	425	62,603,895	1,068	155,086,775	10,672	867,527,815	11,952,455
% of Taxable Total	86.01	74.91	3.98	7.22	10.01	17.88	65.48	50.56	73.92

#### County 34 Gage

#### Schedule II : Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	244	3,852,050	4,293,870	0	0	0
19. Commercial	77	1,815,435	17,472,670	0	0	0
20. Industrial	4	233,725	62,055,800	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Records	<b>Rural</b> Value Base	Value Excess	Records	<b>Total</b> Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	244	3,852,050	4,293,870
19. Commercial	0	0	0	77	1,815,435	17,472,670
20. Industrial	0	0	0	4	233,725	62,055,800
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				325	5,901,210	83,822,340

#### Schedule III : Mineral Interest Records

<b>Mineral Interest</b>	Records Urb	an <sub>Value</sub>	Records SubU	J <b>rban</b> Value	Records Rura	al <sub>Value</sub>	Records Tot	al <sub>Value</sub>	Growth
23. Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24. Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25. Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Schedule IV : Exempt Records : Non-Agricultural

-	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Producing	980	137	157	1,274

#### Schedule V : Agricultural Records

8	Urban		SubUrban			Rural	Total	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	5	47,540	505	43,710,365	3,398	435,996,910	3,908	479,754,815
28. Ag-Improved Land	1	33,645	187	23,701,645	1,393	212,318,725	1,581	236,054,015
29. Ag Improvements	1	50,525	195	16,335,870	1,521	116,209,570	1,717	132,595,965
<b>30. Ag Total</b>							5,625	848,404,795

### County 34 Gage

### 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	ords :Non-Agricu	ultural Detail					
	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value	) (
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	2	2.00	20,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	1	1.00	10,000	129	135.00	1,333,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	1	1.00	50,525	139	132.00	13,787,640	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	0	0.00	0	12	31.87	43,165	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	0	0.00	0	166	363.01	503,190	
37. FarmSite Improvements	0	0.00	0	182	0.00	2,548,230	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches	0	1.35	0	0	889.59	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	<b>Total</b> Acres	Value	Growth
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	56	56.00	560,000	58	58.00	580,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	963	1,003.04	10,009,400	1,093	1,139.04	11,352,400	
33. HomeSite Improvements	1,033	979.04	92,818,385	1,173	1,112.04	106,656,550	4,216,005
34. HomeSite Total				1,231	1,197.04	118,588,950	
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	89	214.00	324,550	101	245.87	367,715	
36. FarmSite Improv Land	1,221	3,032.37	3,855,265	1,387	3,395.38	4,358,455	
37. FarmSite Improvements	1,462	0.00	23,391,185	1,644	0.00	25,939,415	0
38. FarmSite Total				1,745	3,641.25	30,665,585	
39. Road & Ditches	0	10,382.53	0	0	11,273.47	0	
40. Other- Non Ag Use	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	
41. Total Section VI				2,976	16,111.76	149,254,535	4,216,005

#### Schedule VII : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

		Urban			SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	6	0.00	280,200	0	0.00	0
		Rural			Total	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
42. Game & Parks	0	0.00	0	6	0.00	280,200

#### Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban				SubUrban	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	0	0.00	0		514	39,512.22	54,704,270
44. Recapture Value N/A	0	0.00	0		514	39,512.22	54,704,270
		Rural				Total	
	Records	Acres	Value		Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value	3,840	393,854.66	531,976,650		4,354	433,366.88	586,680,920
44. Recapture Value	0	0	0	$\square$	0	0	0

\* LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

### County 34 Gage

### 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

rrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
5. 1A1	5,232.28	9.90%	13,120,185	10.80%	2,507.55
6. 1A	19,746.11	37.37%	49,853,075	41.02%	2,524.70
7. 2A1	4,154.82	7.86%	9,391,570	7.73%	2,260.40
8. 2A	10,980.82	20.78%	24,828,265	20.43%	2,261.06
9. 3A1	3,559.37	6.74%	7,177,585	5.91%	2,016.53
50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
51. 4A1	7,714.70	14.60%	14,447,775	11.89%	1,872.76
52. 4A	1,446.49	2.74%	2,703,975	2.23%	1,869.34
53. Total	52,834.59	100.00%	121,522,430	100.00%	2,300.05
Dry					
54. 1D1	10,500.09	3.62%	19,845,210	4.50%	1,890.00
5. 1D	68,800.34	23.75%	130,032,680	29.50%	1,890.00
6. 2D1	13,644.94	4.71%	22,309,560	5.06%	1,635.01
57. 2D	79,646.64	27.50%	121,461,490	27.55%	1,525.00
58. 3D1	50,837.00	17.55%	70,663,465	16.03%	1,390.00
i9. 3D	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
60. 4D1	57,350.70	19.80%	66,240,340	15.03%	1,155.00
51. 4D	8,880.08	3.07%	10,256,590	2.33%	1,155.01
2. Total	289,659.79	100.00%	440,809,335	100.00%	1,521.82
Grass					
<b>3.</b> 1G1	999.01	0.00%	716,915	0.99%	717.63
4. 1G	4,570.92	4.99%	4,207,690	5.82%	920.53
5. 2G1	3,264.61	3.56%	2,625,830	3.63%	804.33
6. 2G	11,657.62	12.72%	10,062,905	13.91%	863.20
67. 3G1	30,031.43	32.77%	25,398,715	35.12%	845.74
8. 3G	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
9. 4G1	19,237.30	20.99%	15,033,835	20.79%	781.49
/0. 4G	21,870.83	23.87%	14,283,695	19.75%	653.09
'1. Total	91,631.72	100.00%	72,329,585	100.00%	789.35
rrigated Total	52,834.59	11.95%	121,522,430	19.12%	2,300.05
Dry Total	289,659.79	65.51%	440,809,335	69.37%	1,521.82
Grass Total	91,631.72	20.72%	72,329,585	11.38%	789.35
Waste	8,009.39	1.81%	800,950	0.13%	100.00
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	668.24	0.15%	0	0.00%	0.00
Market Area Total	442,135.49	100.00%	635,462,300	100.00%	1,437.26

### County 34 Gage

### 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

15. 1A1       73.03       9.18%       122.690       10.88%       16.79.99         16. 1A       132.29       16.64%       222.415       19.19%       1.680.00         72. A1       17.00       2.14%       25.670       2.21%       1.510.00         8. 2A       198.00       24.8%       298.980       25.79%       1.510.00         9. 3A1       152.00       19.19%       203.680       17.57%       1.340.00         9. 3A       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00%       0.00         13. 41       149.00       18.73%       190.720       16.45%       1.280.00         23. 4A       74.24       9.33%       95.025       8.20%       1.279.97         35. 101       2.877.38       2.91%       1.158.510       2.21.4%       1.350.00         5. 10       8.204.79       18.53%       1.155.510       2.21.4%       1.350.00         5. 10       1.82.917       18.53%       1.955.55       3.88%       1.315.00         5. 10       1.28.927       3.001%       1.757.543       3.467%       1.315.00         5. 201       1.485.60       3.35%       1.0075.225       19.99%       1.000.00         8	edule IX : Agricultural R	ecorus : Ag Lanu Mark	ci Area Delali	Market Are	ea 2	
46. 1A         13.2.39         16.64%         222,415         19,19%         1,680.00           47. 2A.1         17,00         2.14%         25,670         2.21%         1,510.00           48. 2A         198,00         24.8%         208,880         27,7%         1,340.00           49. 3A.1         152,00         19,10%         203,680         17,73%         1,340.00           49. 3A.1         149,00         18,73%         190,720         16,45%         1,280.00           51. 4A.1         149,00         18,73%         190,720         16,45%         1,280.00           52. 4A         74,24         9,33%         95,025         8,20%         1,299.7           53. Total         795,66         100,00%         1,159,180         100,00%         1,458.88           Dry	Irrigated			Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
47. 2A1       17.00       2.14%       25.670       2.21%       1.510.00         48. 2A       198.00       24.89%       298.980       25.79%       1.510.00         49. 3A1       152.00       19.10%       203.680       17.57%       1.340.00         50. 3A       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00%       205.680       17.57%       1.280.00         51. 4A1       149.00       18.73%       190.720       16.45%       1.280.00       1.279.97         52. 4A       74.24       9.33%       95.052       8.20%       1.279.97         53. Total       795.66       100.00%       1.159.180       100.00%       1.456.88         Dry       51.101       1.287.38       2.91%       1.750.830       3.47%       1.359.09         55. 1D       8.204.79       18.53%       1.158.510       2.14%       1.360.00         56. 2D1       1.485.60       3.35%       1.953.565       3.88%       1.315.00         57. 2D       13.289.27       30.01%       1.475.430       34.67%       1.315.00         58.3D1       9.781.78       2.20%       10.075.225       19.99%       1.030.00         64.01       8.111.58       18.3	45. 1A1	73.03	9.18%	122,690	10.58%	1,679.99
48. 2A         198.00         24.8%         298.980         25.7%         1,510.00           49. 3A1         152.00         19.10%         203.680         17.57%         1.340.00           50.3A         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           51. 4A1         149.00         18.73%         190.720         16.45%         1.280.00           52. 4A         74.24         9.33%         95.025         8.20%         1.279.97           53. Total         795.66         100.00%         1.159.180         100.00%         1.456.88           Dry	46. 1A	132.39	16.64%	222,415	19.19%	1,680.00
49, 3.11       152.00       19.10%       203, 680       17.57%       1.340.00         50.3A       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00%         51.4A1       149.00       18.73%       190,720       16.45%       1.280.00         52.4A       74.24       9.33%       95.025       8.20%       1.279.97         53. Total       795.66       100.00%       1.159,180       100.00%       1.456.88         Dry       5.101       1.287.38       2.91%       1.750.830       3.47%       1.359.99         55. 1D       8.204.79       18.53%       1.953,555       3.88%       1.315.00         57. 2D       1.289.27       30.01%       1.747,540       3.46.7%       1.315.00         57. 2D       1.289.27       30.01%       10.075,225       19.99%       1.030.00         58.3D1       9.781.78       22.09%       10.075,225       19.99%       1.030.00         61.4D       2.127.28       4.80%       1.659,270       3.29%       780.00         62. Total       4.287.68       10.00%       49.90.05       0.41%       761.66         62. Cotal       6.434       0.00%       49.90.05       0.41%       789.28       65	47. 2A1	17.00	2.14%			1,510.00
50. 3A         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           S1. 4A1         149.00         18.73%         190,720         16.45%         1,280.00           S2. 4A         74.24         9.33%         95,025         8.20%         1,279.97           S3. Total         795.66         100.00%         1,159,180         100.00%         1,456.88           Dry		198.00		298,980	25.79%	1,510.00
51. 4A1         149.00         18.73%         190.720         16.45%         1.280.00           52. 4A         74.24         9.33%         95.025         8.20%         1.279.97           53. Total         795.66         100.00%         1.159.180         100.00%         1.456.88           Dry	49. 3A1	152.00	19.10%	203,680		1,340.00
52.4A         74.24         9.33%         95.025         8.20%         1,279.97           53. Total         795.66         100.00%         1,159,180         100.00%         1,456.88           Dry	50. 3A	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
53. Total         795.66         100.00%         1,159,180         100.00%         1,456.88           Dry	51. 4A1	149.00	18.73%	190,720	16.45%	1,280.00
Dry         100         1,287.38         2.91%         1,750.830         3.47%         1,359.99           54, 101         1,287.38         2.91%         1,158,510         22.14%         1,360.00           56. 201         1,485.60         3.35%         1,953,565         3.88%         1,315.00           57. 20         13,289.27         30.01%         17,475,430         34.67%         1,315.00           58. 301         9.781.78         22.09%         10,075,225         19.99%         1,030.00           59. 3D         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           60.401         8,111.58         18.32%         6,527.035         12.55%         780.00           62. Total         44,287.68         100.00%         50.399,865         100.00%         1,38.01           Grass	52. 4A	74.24	9.33%	95,025	8.20%	1,279.97
54. ID1       1,287,38       2,91%       1,750,830       3,47%       1,359,99         55. ID       8,204,79       18,53%       1,158,510       22,14%       1,360,00         56. ID1       1,485,60       3,35%       1,953,565       3,88%       1,315,00         57. 2D       13,289,27       30,01%       17,475,430       34,67%       1,315,00         58. 3D1       9,781,78       22,09%       10,075,225       19,99%       0,00         60. 4D1       8,111,58       18,32%       6,327,035       12,55%       780,00         61. 4D       2,127,28       4,80%       1,659,270       3,29%       780,00         62. Total       44,287,68       100,00%       50,399,865       100,00%       1,138,01         Grass	53. Total	795.66	100.00%	1,159,180	100.00%	1,456.88
55. ID         8,204.79         18,53%         11,158,510         22.14%         1,360.00           56. DI         1,485.60         3.35%         1,953,565         3.88%         1,315.00           57. D         13,289.27         30.01%         17,475,430         34.67%         1,315.00           58. JD1         9,781.78         22.09%         10.075,225         19.99%         1.030.00           59. JD         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           61.4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           61.4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           61.4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.40%         1,138.01           Gras	Dry					
56. 2D1       1,485.60       3.35%       1,953,565       3.88%       1,315.00         57. 2D       13,289.27       30.01%       17,475,430       34.67%       1,315.00         58. 3D1       9,781.78       22.09%       10,075,225       19.99%       1,030.00         59. 3D       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         64. 4D       2,127.28       4.80%       1,659,270       3.29%       780.00         61. 4D       2,127.28       4.80%       50,399,865       100.00%       1,138.01         Grass       63.1G1       64.34       0.00%       49,005       0.41%       761.66         64.1G       666.39       3.71%       525,970       4.40%       789.28       65.2G       2,192.08       12.21%       1,573,860       13.16%       717.98       66.26       2,192.08       12.21%       1,573,860       13.16%       717.98       66.36       66.37       52.970       4.60%       69.97.82       66.36       68.36       0.00       0.00%       0.00       0.00%       0.00       60.26       61.64       69.78.22       64.24       69.78       66.26       2,192.08       12.21%       1,573,860       13.16%       71.798       67.361	54. 1D1	1,287.38	2.91%	1,750,830	3.47%	1,359.99
57. 2D         13,289,27         30,01%         17,475,430         34,67%         1,315,00           58. 3D1         9,781,78         22,09%         10,075,225         19,99%         1,030,00           59. 3D         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0,00           64.01         8,11158         18,32%         6,327,035         12,55%         780,00           61.4D         2,127,28         4,80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780,00           62. Total         44,287,68         100,00%         50,399,865         100,00%         1,138,01           Grass         Grass	55. 1D	8,204.79	18.53%	11,158,510	22.14%	1,360.00
58. 3D1         9,781.78         22.09%         10,075,225         19.99%         1,030.00           59. 3D         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           60. 4D1         8,111.58         18.32%         6,327,035         12.55%         780.00           61. 4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           62. Total         44,287.68         100.00%         50,399,865         100.00%         1,138.01           Grass	56. 2D1	1,485.60	3.35%	1,953,565	3.88%	1,315.00
59.3D         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00%           60.4D1         8,111.58         18.32%         6,327,035         12.55%         780.00           61.4D         2,127,28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           62.1otal         44,287.68         100.00%         50,399,865         100.00%         1,138.01           Grass	57. 2D	13,289.27	30.01%	17,475,430	34.67%	1,315.00
60.4D1         8,111.58         18.32%         6,327,035         12.55%         780.00           61.4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           62. Total         44,287.68         100.00%         50,399,865         100.00%         1,138.01           Grass	58. 3D1	9,781.78	22.09%	10,075,225	19.99%	1,030.00
61.4D         2,127.28         4.80%         1,659,270         3.29%         780.00           62. Total         44,287.68         100.00%         50,399,865         100.00%         1,138.01           Grass	59. 3D	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
62. Total         44,287.68         100.00%         50,399,865         100.00%         1,138.01           Grass	60. 4D1	8,111.58	18.32%	6,327,035	12.55%	780.00
Grass         Control         Control <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> <thcon< td=""><td>61. 4D</td><td>2,127.28</td><td>4.80%</td><td>1,659,270</td><td>3.29%</td><td>780.00</td></thcon<></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>	61. 4D	2,127.28	4.80%	1,659,270	3.29%	780.00
63. 1G1         64.34         0.00%         49,005         0.41%         761.66           64. 1G         666.39         3.71%         525,970         4.40%         789.28           65. 2G1         792.43         4.42%         554,525         4.64%         699.78           66. 2G         2,192.08         12.21%         1,573,860         13.16%         717.98           67. 3G1         7,182.24         40.02%         4,940,110         41.30%         68.782           68. 3G         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00         0.00%           69. 4G1         3,526.67         19.65%         2,192,310         18.33%         621.64           70. 4G         3,523.78         19.63%         2,124,340         17.76%         602.86           71. Total         17,947.93         100.00%         11,960,120         100.00%         666.38           UTrigated Total         795.66         1.23%         11,159,180         1.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         44,287.68         68.43%         50,399,865         79.14%         1,138.01           Grass Total         17,947.93         27.73%         11,960,120         18.78%         666.38 </td <td>62. Total</td> <td>44,287.68</td> <td>100.00%</td> <td>50,399,865</td> <td>100.00%</td> <td>1,138.01</td>	62. Total	44,287.68	100.00%	50,399,865	100.00%	1,138.01
64. 1G         666.39         3.71%         525,970         4.40%         789.28           65. 2G1         792.43         4.42%         554,525         4.64%         699.78           66. 2G         2,192.08         12.21%         1,573,860         13.16%         717.98           67. 3G1         7,182.24         40.02%         4,940,110         41.30%         687.82           68. 3G         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           69. 4G1         3,526.67         19.65%         2,192,310         18.33%         621.64           70. 4G         3,523.78         19.63%         2,124,340         17.76%         602.86           71. Total         17,947.93         100.00%         11,960,120         18.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         795.66         1.23%         1,159,180         1.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         44,287.68         68.43%         50,399,865         79.14%         1,138.01           Grass Total         17,947.93         27.73%         11,960,120         18.78%         666.38           Waste         1,687.96         2.61%         168,795         0.27%         100.00           Other	Grass					
65. 2G1         792.43         4.42%         554,525         4.64%         699.78           66. 2G         2,192.08         12.21%         1,573,860         13.16%         717.98           67. 3G1         7,182.24         40.02%         4,940,110         41.30%         687.82           68. 3G         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           69. 4G1         3,526.67         19.65%         2,192,310         18.33%         621.64           70. 4G         3,523.78         19.63%         2,124,340         17.76%         602.86           71. Total         17,947.93         100.00%         11,960,120         100.00%         666.38           Trrigated Total         795.66         1.23%         1,159,180         1.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         44,287.68         68.43%         50,399,865         79.14%         1,138.01           Grass Total         17,947.93         27.73%         11,960,120         18.78%         666.38           Waste         1,687.96         2.61%         168,795         0.27%         100.00           Other         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00	63. 1G1	64.34	0.00%	49,005	0.41%	761.66
66. 2G         2,192.08         12,21%         1,573,860         13.16%         717.98           67. 3G1         7,182.24         40.02%         4,940,110         41.30%         687.82           68. 3G         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           69. 4G1         3,526.67         19.65%         2,192,310         18.33%         621.64           70. 4G         3,523.78         19.63%         2,124,340         17.76%         602.86           71. Total         17,947.93         100.00%         11,960,120         100.00%         666.38           Irrigated Total         795.66         1.23%         1,159,180         1.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         44,287.68         68.43%         50,399,865         79.14%         1,138.01           Grass Total         17,947.93         27.73%         11,960,120         18.78%         666.38           Waste         1,687.96         2.61%         168,795         0.27%         100.00           Other         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00	64. 1G	666.39	3.71%	525,970	4.40%	789.28
67. 3G1       7,182.24       40.02%       4,940,110       41.30%       687.82         68. 3G       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         69. 4G1       3,526.67       19.65%       2,192,310       18.33%       621.64         70. 4G       3,523.78       19.63%       2,124,340       17.76%       602.86         71. Total       17,947.93       100.00%       11,960,120       100.00%       666.38         Irrigated Total       795.66       1.23%       1,159,180       1.82%       1,456.88         Dry Total       44,287.68       68.43%       50,399,865       79.14%       1,138.01         Grass Total       17,947.93       27.73%       11,960,120       18.78%       666.38         Waste       1,687.96       2.61%       168,795       0.27%       100.00         Other       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         Exempt       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00	65. 2G1	792.43	4.42%	554,525	4.64%	699.78
68.3G         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           69.4G1         3,526.67         19.65%         2,192,310         18.33%         621.64           70.4G         3,523.78         19.63%         2,124,340         17.76%         602.86           71. Total         17,947.93         100.00%         11,960,120         100.00%         666.38           Irrigated Total         795.66         1.23%         1,159,180         1.82%         1,456.88           Dry Total         44,287.68         68.43%         50,399,865         79.14%         1,138.01           Grass Total         17,947.93         27.73%         11,960,120         18.78%         666.38           Waste         1,687.96         2.61%         168,795         0.27%         100.00           Other         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00	66. 2G	2,192.08	12.21%	1,573,860	13.16%	717.98
69. 4G1       3,526.67       19.65%       2,192,310       18.33%       621.64         70. 4G       3,523.78       19.63%       2,124,340       17.76%       602.86         71. Total       17,947.93       100.00%       11,960,120       100.00%       666.38         Irrigated Total       795.66       1.23%       1,159,180       1.82%       1,456.88         Dry Total       44,287.68       68.43%       50,399,865       79.14%       1,138.01         Grass Total       17,947.93       27.73%       11,960,120       18.78%       666.38         Waste       1,687.96       2.61%       168,795       0.27%       100.00         Other       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         Exempt       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00	67. 3G1	7,182.24	40.02%	4,940,110	41.30%	687.82
70. 4G       3,523.78       19.63%       2,124,340       17.76%       602.86         71. Total       17,947.93       100.00%       11,960,120       100.00%       666.38         Irrigated Total       795.66       1.23%       1,159,180       1.82%       1,456.88         Dry Total       44,287.68       68.43%       50,399,865       79.14%       1,138.01         Grass Total       17,947.93       27.73%       11,960,120       18.78%       666.38         Waste       1,687.96       2.61%       168,795       0.27%       100.00         Other       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         Exempt       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00%	68. 3G	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
71. Total       17,947.93       100.00%       11,960,120       100.00%       666.38         Irrigated Total       795.66       1.23%       1,159,180       1.82%       1,456.88         Dry Total       44,287.68       68.43%       50,399,865       79.14%       1,138.01         Grass Total       17,947.93       27.73%       11,960,120       18.78%       666.38         Waste       1,687.96       2.61%       168,795       0.27%       100.00         Other       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00         Exempt       0.00       0.00%       0       0.00%       0.00	69. 4G1	3,526.67	19.65%	2,192,310	18.33%	621.64
Irrigated Total795.661.23%1,159,1801.82%1,456.88Dry Total44,287.6868.43%50,399,86579.14%1,138.01Grass Total17,947.9327.73%11,960,12018.78%666.38Waste1,687.962.61%168,7950.27%100.00Other0.000.00%00.00%0.00Exempt0.000.00%00.00%0.00%	70. 4G	3,523.78	19.63%	2,124,340	17.76%	602.86
Dry Total44,287.6868.43%50,399,86579.14%1,138.01Grass Total17,947.9327.73%11,960,12018.78%666.38Waste1,687.962.61%168,7950.27%100.00Other0.000.00%00.00%0.00Exempt0.000.00%00.00%0.00%	71. Total	17,947.93	100.00%	11,960,120	100.00%	666.38
Dry Total44,287.6868.43%50,399,86579.14%1,138.01Grass Total17,947.9327.73%11,960,12018.78%666.38Waste1,687.962.61%168,7950.27%100.00Other0.000.00%00.00%0.00Exempt0.000.00%00.00%0.00%	Irrigated Total	795.66	1.23%	1,159,180	1.82%	1,456.88
Grass Total17,947.9327.73%11,960,12018.78%666.38Waste1,687.962.61%168,7950.27%100.00Other0.000.00%00.00%0.00Exempt0.000.00%00.00%0.00	Dry Total					
Waste1,687.962.61%168,7950.27%100.00Other0.000.00%00.00%0.00Exempt0.000.00%00.00%0.00	Grass Total					
Other         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00           Exempt         0.00         0.00%         0         0.00%         0.00	Waste					
<b>Exempt</b> 0.00 0.00% 0 0.00% 0.00%	Other					
	Exempt			0		
	Market Area Total			63,687,960		984.07

#### Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Urban		SubUrban		Ru	ral	Total	
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	0.00	0	4,783.88	10,915,270	48,846.37	111,766,340	53,630.25	122,681,610
77. Dry Land	33.85	54,475	31,661.62	47,462,705	302,252.00	443,692,020	333,947.47	491,209,200
78. Grass	24.73	16,110	9,518.53	7,036,715	100,036.39	77,236,880	109,579.65	84,289,705
79. Waste	6.00	600	979.55	97,965	8,711.80	871,180	9,697.35	969,745
80. Other	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
81. Exempt	95.13	0	30.36	0	542.75	0	668.24	0
82. Total	64.58	71,185	46,943.58	65,512,655	459,846.56	633,566,420	506,854.72	699,150,260

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	53,630.25	10.58%	122,681,610	17.55%	2,287.54
Dry Land	333,947.47	65.89%	491,209,200	70.26%	1,470.92
Grass	109,579.65	21.62%	84,289,705	12.06%	769.21
Waste	9,697.35	1.91%	969,745	0.14%	100.00
Other	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00
Exempt	668.24	0.13%	0	0.00%	0.00
Total	506,854.72	100.00%	699,150,260	100.00%	1,379.39

### 2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

#### Gage 34 F3 Percent Change 2008 CTL 2009 Form 45 Value Difference Percent 2009 Growth excl. Growth **County Total County Total** (2009 form 45 - 2008 CTL) Change (New Construction Value) 01. Residential 663,874,595 692,183,205 28,308,610 2.89% 4.26% 9.101.785 02. Recreational 69.870 69.870 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling 117,002,835 118,588,950 1,586,115 1.36% 4,216,005 -2.25% 04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3) 810,842,025 29,894,725 13,317,790 780,947,300 3.83% 2.12% 05. Commercial 140,454,905 143,364,020 2,909,115 2.07% 2,766,680 0.10% 31,827,230 31,910,720 83,990 06. Industrial 83,490 0.26% 0.00% 07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings 28,848,250 30,665,585 1,817,335 6.30% 0 6.30% 08. Minerals 0 0 0 0 09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8) 4,809,940 0.97% 201,130,385 205,940,325 2.39% 2,850,670 **10. Total Non-Agland Real Property** 16,168,460 982,077,685 1,016,782,350 34,704,665 3.53% 1.89% 11. Irrigated 122,681,610 31.72% 93,137,430 29,544,180 12. Dryland 398,531,190 92,678,010 491,209,200 23.25% 13. Grassland 60,639,450 84,289,705 23,650,255 39.00% 14. Wasteland 506,955 969,745 462,790 91.29% 15. Other Agland 0 0 0 16. Total Agricultural Land 552,815,025 699,150,260 146,335,235 26.47% 17. Total Value of all Real Property 1,534,892,710 181,039,900 11.79% 16,168,460 10.74% 1,715,932,610 (Locally Assessed)

#### Gage County 3-Year Plan June 2008

#### **COUNTY DESCRIPTION**

	Parcel/Acre Count	% Parcel	Total Value	% Value	Land Only	Improvement
Residential/Recreation	9450		\$ 665,451,905		\$ 100,815,385	\$ 564,636,520
Commercial/Industrial	1219		\$ 173,407,630		\$ 24,965,470	\$ 148,442,160
Agricultural	5621/ 507,063.27		\$ 698,759,775		\$ 569,548,895	\$ 129,210,880
Total	16,290		\$1,537,619,310		\$695,329,750	\$842,289,560

#### **Budget, Staffing, and Contracts**

#### **Budget**

2008 Proposed Budget =\$222,492.56 (including salaries) \$10,000 is allotted for education, lodging, and other travel related expenses.

Appraisal Maintenance \$40,000 (Contracted)

#### **Budget Comments**

I would like to hire a full time appraiser for Gage County. We have completed our 5-year plan for reappraising all classes of property. In my estimation an appraiser's salary would run in the range of \$40,000 to \$45,000.

#### <u>Staff</u>

Assessor: assumes responsibility for all functions within the office and prepares all necessary reports and documents

Deputy Assessor: assists the Assessor with all functions within the office and also helps in the building of the GIS system.

Real Property Appraisal Technician: responsible for all 521's, updating and developing the GIS system. Creates Sales File.

Personal Property Clerk: responsible for all personal property filed in the county, also assists in updating real estate records including sketching, and entering data for the reappraisals. Keeps all records concerning building permits filed. General office duties. Assisting taxpayers.

Clerk: responsible for assisting taxpayer and maintaining homestead exemption records, permissive exemption records, sending out sales review questionnaires. She assists with data entry within the CAMA system, answers phones, and performs other general office duties.

Appraiser Assistant: Performs all appraisal maintenance and pickup work.

#### Part-time County Appraiser

Bob Thoma is now a county employee who works for approximately 240 hours. His responsibilities include developing valuation studies, for agricultural properties.

#### Contract Appraiser

Darrell Stanard is contracted for 4 days a month. His responsibilities include sales verification, appraisal maintenance and pricing pickup work and developing valuation studies.

#### 2008 R & O Statistics

Property Class	Median	COD	<u>PRD</u>
Residential	97	14.02	107.42
Commercial	96	30.96	109.84
Agricultural Special Value	72	N/A	N/A
Agricultural Recapture	71	23.07	105.14

#### **Statistical Definitions**

Median Ratio: the middle ratio of the arrayed sample data set. If there is an even number of ratios, the median is the average of the two middle ratios.

Coefficient of Dispersion (COD): a measurement of assessment uniformity. It is the average absolute deviation calculated about the median expressed as a percentage of the median.

Average Absolute Deviation (AVG.ABS.DEV.): the arithmetic mean of the total absolute deviations from a measure of central tendency such as the median. It is used in calculating the coefficient of dispersion (COD).

Price Related Differential (PRD): a measure of assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). It measures the relative treatment of properties based upon the selling price of the properties. It is calculated by dividing the mean ratio by the weighted mean ratio.

Mean Ratio: the ratio that is the result of the total of all assessment/sales ratios in the sample data set divided by the number of ratios in the sample data set.

Weighted mean ratio: the ratio that is the result of the total of all assessed values of all properties in the sample data set divided by the total of all sale prices of all properties in the sample data set.

#### 3 Year Appraisal Plan

#### Appraisal Definitions

50-001.02 <u>Appraisal</u> shall mean a written opinion of value of real property. An appraisal shall set forth an opinion of value of an adequately described property, as of a specified date, and shall be supported by an analysis of relevant data. For the purposes of property taxation, appraisal, reappraisal, and mass appraisal are interchangeable terms; except, reappraisal may mean a subsequent or second appraisal needed to correct an error in an appraisal. For purposes of these regulations the term appraisal shall be used, unless the context requires otherwise. All appraisals shall meet the standards as promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, effective as currently updated, including Standard 6, Mass Appraisal and Reporting in conjunction with existing "Statements on Appraisal Standards" and "Advisory Opinions". A copy of the Uniform Stanards of Professional Appraisal Practice is on file at the office of the Property Tax Administrator.

Reg 50-001.22 <u>Appraisal or assessed value adjustment</u> shall mean an action taken by the assessor, Tax Equalization and Review Commission, Agricultural and Horticultural Land Valuation Board or other lawful body that changes the valuation of a class or subclass of property by a percentage, and is based primarily on the analysis of an assessment sales ratio study. This contrasts to an appraisal update which is a change or model calibration based on appraisal process and rooted in the analysis of the market.

Reg 50-001.06 <u>Appraisal maintenance</u>, or pick-up work, is the collection of specific data relating to new construction, remodeling, additions, alterations, and removals of existing buildings or structures. Pick-up work may also include: changes in zoning, use or annexation, the addition, deletion or change in characteristics of encumbrances such as leases, easements, or special programs (eg., Conservation Reserve Program); and the addition, deletion or change in characteristics external to the property, including, but not limited to, amenities such as paving, utilities and proximity to favorable or unfavorable influences, such as schools, libraries, city dumps, sewage treatment facilities, or meatpacking plants. The data shall be gathered in a systematic process so that all properties are treated uniformly. The value of property analyzed in an appraisal maintenance project shall be equalized with comparable properties.

Reg 50-001-.03 <u>Appraisal process</u> shall mean a systematic analysis of the factors that affect the value of real property. It is a documented, orderly program by which the problem is defined, the work necessary to solve the problem is planned, and the necessary data gathered, classified, analyzed, and interpreted into a written opinion of value. In the assessment process, it is the function for determining assessed value. For purposes of property taxation, it shall include the

grouping of similar properties so that all properties within a class or subclass are collectively examined and valued.

Reg 50-001-.05 <u>Appraisal update</u> shall mean an appraisal in which all or a part of the data collection process is determined to be unnecessary (a limited appraisal) but there is a need to adjust values on all of the properties within a defined class or subclass. This includes, but is not limited to recalibration of a market model or cost model involving implementation of more current cost data or adjustments to value by a percentage, and applied uniformly to all property within a defined class or subclass of property.

Reg 50-001.19 <u>Market Analysis</u> is a study of general real estate market conditions that affect the competitive supply, demand, and prices for particular types of facilities of properties.

#### <u>2009</u>

#### Residential

For 2009 a plan for an appraisal maintenance will be done for all the residential properties. Review in- house preliminary statistical information received from the Nebraska Property Assessment Division and analyze for any possible subclass adjustments needed to comply with statistical measures as required by law. Sales reveiw and pickup work will also be completed.

#### Commercial

For 2009 the county will begin a new cycle for a maintenance reappraisal of all commercial properties. New digital photos will be taken, gather current income information, analyze current sales along with continued sales review and pick up work. The office staff will be involved to cut down on the cost of the appraisal.

#### Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. Sales will be plotted on a map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. The market analysis is conducted in house by Bob Thoma by utilizing the county's current CAMA system. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed for agricultural properties. Rural residential properties will also be reviewed and analyzed for any adjustments needed to comply with statistical measures required by law.

#### 2010

#### Residential

For 2010, the county will begin a new cycle for a maintenance reappraisal of the small town residential properties. A new digital photo will be taken and any changes that may have occurred to the property will be updated. All other residential properties may be adjusted after preliminary statistical information is received from the Nebraska Property Assessment Division to comply with statistical measures as required by law. Sale review and pick up work will also be completed.

#### Commercial

There will only be an appraisal maintenance for the commercial properties in 2010, since all commercial and industrial properties were reappraised in 2009. However, it is possible that appraisal adjustments may be needed in order to comply with statistical measures required by law. An appraisal adjustment would be a percentage increase or decrease applied to all properties within a subclass of the commercial class. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed for commercial properties.

#### Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. Sales will also be plotted on a map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. The market analysis is conducted in-house by an appraiser by utilizing the county's current CAMA system. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed for agricultural properties. Rural residential properties will be reviewed and analyzed for any adjustments needed to comply with statistical measures required by law.

#### <u>2011</u>

#### Residential

For 2011 a plan for an appraisal maintenance will be done for all residential properties. Review in-house preliminary statistical information received from the Nebraska Department of Assessment Division and analyze for any possible subclass adjustments needed to comply with statistical measures as required by law. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed.

#### Commercial

There will be an appraisal maintenance for the commercial properties in 2011. It is possible that appraisal adjustments may be needed in order to comply with statistical measures required by law. An appraisal adjustment would be a percentage increase or decrease applied to all properties within a subclass of the commercial class. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed for commercial properties.

#### Agricultural

For 2011 the county will begin a new cycle for an appraisal maintenance of all rural residential properties (homes and outbuildings). A new digital photo will be taken and any changes that may have occurred to the property will be updated. All other residential properties may be adjusted after preliminary statistical information is received from the Nebraska Department of Assessment Division to comply with statistical measures as required by law. A market analysis of agricultural by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustments to comply with statistical measures. Sales will also be plotted on a map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. The market analysis is conducted in-house by an appraiser by utilizing the county's current CAMA system. Sales review and pick-up work will also be completed for agricultural properties.

### 2009 Assessment Survey for Gage County

### I. General Information

### A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	0
3.	Other full-time employees
	4
4.	Other part-time employees
	0
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	\$231,492
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	\$20,000
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
	\$211,992
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	4,000
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	\$5,000
11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	\$40,000
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
13.	Total budget
	\$251,992
<u>a.</u>	Was any of last year's budget not used:
	Yes- a nominal amount was not used.

### **B.** Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	Terra Scan
2.	CAMA software

	Terra Scan
3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	No
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	N/A
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Yes
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	Staff
7.	Personal Property software:
	Terra Scan

### **C. Zoning Information**

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Adams, Beatrice, Clatonia, Cortland, Odell, Pickrell, and Wymore
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	2000

### **D.** Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	Standard Appraisal Services
2.	Other services
	Robert Thoma-statistical analysis of Ag
	Land.

Certification

This is to certify that the 2009 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

Four copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.

One copy to the Gage County Assessor, by hand delivery.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.



Ruth a. Sorensen

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

**Map Section** 

Valuation History Charts