Table of Contents

2009 Commission Summary

2009 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator

Residential Reports

Preliminary Statistics Residential Assessment Actions Residential Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

Residential Correlation

Residential Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions
- VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

Commercial Reports

Preliminary Statistics Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Assessment Survey R&O Statistics

Commercial Correlation

Commercial Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports

Preliminary Statistics
Agricultural Assessment Actions
Agricultural Assessment Survey
R&O Statistics
2009 Special Valuation Methodology

Agricultural or Special Valuation Correlation

Agricultural or Special Valuation Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratio
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

County Reports

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

2009 County Agricultural Land Detail

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2008

Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment

Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Maps

Market Areas Registered Wells > 500 GPM Geo Codes Soil Classes

Valuation History Charts

2009 Commission Summary

08 Boyd

Residential Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	39	COD	21.69
Total Sales Price	\$797,920	PRD	110.39
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$787,795	COV	27.24
Total Assessed Value	\$722,020	STD	27.56
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$20,200	Avg. Absolute Deviation	21.50
Avg. Assessed Value	\$18,513	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$17,307
Median	99	Wgt. Mean	92
Mean	101	Max	164
Min	50.95		

Confidenence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	85.67 to 108.00					
95% Mean C.I	92.52 to 109.82					
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	82.45 to 100.85					
% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the Coun						
% of Value of the Class of all l	Real Property Value in					
% of Value of the Class of all I % of Records Sold in the Study	1 2					

Residential Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	40	94	31.4	121.6
2007	43	96	23.1	116.93
2006	42	97	8.67	105
2005	53	99	15.19	103.47

2009 Commission Summary

08 Boyd

Commercial Real Property - Current

Number of Sales	8	COD	42.58
Total Sales Price	\$82,408	PRD	135.36
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$82,408	COV	64.18
Total Assessed Value	\$71,980	STD	75.87
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$10,301	Avg. Absolute Deviation	43.24
Avg. Assessed Value	\$8,998	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$27,892
Median	102	Wgt. Mean	87
Mean	118	Max	294
Min	51		

Confidenence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	50.98 to 294.00
95% Mean C.I	54.79 to 181.67
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	47.12 to 127.58

% of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County
2.69
% of Records Sold in the Study Period
3.88

% of Value Sold in the Study Period 1.25

Commercial Real Property - History

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	4	125	48.69	134.83
2007	2	111	7.76	104.14
2006	2	99	2.65	98.9
2005	5	92	18.12	89.15

2009 Commission Summary

08 Boyd

Agricultural Land - Current

Number of Sales	16	COD	28.12
Total Sales Price	\$2,471,680	PRD	111.26
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$2,471,680	COV	42.60
Total Assessed Value	\$1,702,925	STD	32.66
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$154,480	Avg. Absolute Deviation	20.38
Avg. Assessed Value	\$106,433	Average Assessed Value of the Base	\$87,568
Median	72	Wgt. Mean	69
Mean	77	Max	169.46
Min	39.77		

Confidenence Interval - Current

95% Median C.I	59.90 to 82.14	
95% Mean C.I	59.26 to 94.05	
95% Wgt. Mean C.I	56.40 to 81.40	
% of Value of the Class of	of all Real Property Value in the County	87.28
% of Records Sold in the	Study Period	0.75
% of Value Sold in the St	to de Denie d	5.70

Agricultural Land - History

Yea	r Number of Sa	ales Media	n COD	PRD
200	8 19	71	23.53	106.41
200	7 26	71	22.5	108.73
200	6 26	75	17.67	97.72
200	5 17	76	15.67	95.69

2009 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Boyd County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within this Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. The resource used regarding the quality of assessment for each class of real property in this county are the performance standards issued by the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Residential Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Boyd County is 99.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Boyd County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Commercial Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Boyd County is 100.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Boyd County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Agricultural Land or Special Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural or special value land in Boyd County is 72.00% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Boyd County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR ADMINISTRATOR

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrato

Kuth a. Sovensen

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** 08 - BOYD COUNTY State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/22/2009 NUMBER of Sales: 38 **MEDIAN:** 108 95% Median C.I.: 89.34 to 116.70 COV: 29.59 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 772,920 WGT. MEAN: 98 STD: 32.52 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 86.14 to 109.47 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 762,795 MEAN: 110 95% Mean C.I.: 99.58 to 120.26 AVG.ABS.DEV: 25.42 TOTAL Assessed Value: 746,065 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: MAX Sales Ratio: 183.80 20,073 COD: 23.63 AVG. Assessed Value: 19,633 PRD: 112.38 MIN Sales Ratio: 47.42 Printed: 01/22/2009 21:19:16 Avg. Adj. DATE OF SALE * Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE WGT. MEAN COD 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEDIAN MEAN PRD MIN MAX Ortrs_ 07/01/06 TO 09/30/06 6 97.55 106.13 81.25 31.39 130.62 47.42 161.90 47.42 to 161.90 29,050 23,604 10/01/06 TO 12/31/06 5 89.34 110.79 108.31 27.26 102.29 85.37 176.50 N/A 8,660 9,380 01/01/07 TO 03/31/07 1 87.30 87.30 87.30 87.30 87.30 N/A 15,000 13,095 04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 5 86.70 107.08 100.74 33.25 106.30 67.08 161.41 N/A 23,075 23,245 07/01/07 TO 09/30/07 119.75 120.11 92.94 24.11 129.23 64.61 183.80 64.61 to 183.80 20,785 19,318 10/01/07 TO 12/31/07 6 110.55 117.46 123.37 16.32 95.21 90.25 149.57 90.25 to 149.57 20,470 25,253 01/01/08 TO 03/31/08 2 95.56 95.56 91.49 21.26 104.44 75.24 115.87 N/A 18,750 17,155 04/01/08 TO 06/30/08 6 112.90 104.47 98.31 10.37 106.26 59.11 118.85 59.11 to 118.85 18,166 17,860 _Study Years_ 07/01/06 TO 06/30/07 17 89.34 106.68 91.34 30.52 116.79 47.42 176.50 85.21 to 147.25 20,469 18,696 07/01/07 TO 06/30/08 21 113.02 112.54 103.23 18.03 109.02 59.11 183.80 99.90 to 119.75 19,753 20,391 Calendar Yrs 01/01/07 TO 12/31/07 19 108.08 114.12 104.36 24.76 109.35 64.61 183.80 87.30 to 143.92 20,983 21,898 ALL 38 107.58 109.92 97.81 23.63 112.38 47.42 183.80 89.34 to 116.70 20,073 19,633 Avg. Adj. Avg. ASSESSOR LOCATION Sale Price Assd Val COUNT MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN 95% Median C.I. RANGE MEAN MAX BUTTE 9 99.90 105.16 93.42 23.63 112.56 67.08 183.80 75.24 to 119.75 8,788 8,210 9 115.87 113.99 110.78 10.88 102.90 85.21 143.92 87.77 to 135.02 23,841 26,411 LYNCH NAPER 1 147.25 147.25 147.25 147.25 147.25 N/A 2,000 2,945 RURAL 2 66.28 66.28 64.18 28.45 103.27 47.42 85.13 N/A 45,000 28,880 SON-SHINE ACRES 1 87.30 87.30 87.30 87.30 87.30 N/A 15,000 13,095 SPENCER 16 107.49 114.84 99.60 26.75 115.30 59.11 176.50 86.70 to 152.29 22,632 22,541

,	,										
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	35	112.27	113.06	102.65	21.82	110.14	59.11	183.80	94.00 to 118.85	18,794	19,291
3	3	85.13	73.28	67.48	15.62	108.60	47.42	87.30	N/A	35,000	23,618
ALL											
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.63	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
STATUS: IMPROVED,	, UNIMPROVE	ED & IOLL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.63	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
ALL											
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.63	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633

112.38

47.42

183.80

89.34 to 116.70

23.63

19,633

Avg.

20,073

Avg. Adj.

ALL

38

LOCATIONS: URBAN, SUBURBAN & RURAL

107.58

109.92

97.81

08 - BOYD COUNTY			PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat								PAGE:2 of 4
RESIDENTIAL				Type: Qualified							
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
NUMB	ER of Sales	:	38	MEDIAN:	108	COV:	29.59	95%	Median C.I.: 89.34	to 116.70	(!: Derived)
TOTAL	Sales Price	:	772,920	WGT. MEAN:	98	STD:	32.52		. Mean C.I.: 86.14		(Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price	:	762,795	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.42			58 to 120.26	
TOTAL Ass	essed Value	:	746,065								
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	20,073	COD:	23.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	183.80				
AVG. Ass	essed Value	:	19,633	PRD:	112.38	MIN Sales Ratio:	47.42			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:16
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	32	106.98	109.56	97.69	23.4	2 112.15	47.42	183.80	87.77 to 119.75	20,571	20,097
06	1	87.30	87.30	87.30			87.30	87.30	N/A	15,000	13,095
07	5	116.14	116.72	100.39	21.2	2 116.27	59.11	176.50	N/A	17,900	17,970
ALL											
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	3 112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
SCHOOL DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	11	113.02	105.51	98.19	16.7	1 107.46	47.42	143.92	85.21 to 135.02	25,415	24,955
08-0050	27	106.89	111.71	97.59	25.7	0 114.48	59.11	183.80	86.70 to 125.50	17,897	17,465
52-0100											
NonValid School											
ALL											
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
YEAR BUILT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blank	1	119.75	119.75	119.75			119.75	119.75	N/A	2,000	2,395
Prior TO 1860											
1860 TO 1899	3	147.25	133.13	126.58	16.2	2 105.18	90.25	161.90	N/A	4,333	5,485
1900 TO 1919	16	103.99	105.30	97.99	21.5	107.46	47.42	161.41	86.06 to 125.50	16,776	16,438
1920 TO 1939	2	96.10	96.10	90.66	11.4	2 106.00	85.13	107.07	N/A	26,750	24,252
1940 TO 1949	1	143.92	143.92	143.92			143.92	143.92	N/A	12,000	17,270
1950 TO 1959	2	94.38	94.38	91.72	20.2	102.90	75.24	113.53	N/A	19,750	18,115
1960 TO 1969	5	112.27	117.04	101.12	22.6	2 115.74	85.21	183.80	N/A	19,915	20,139
1970 TO 1979	7	94.00	107.13	91.19	35.5	5 117.49	59.11	176.50	59.11 to 176.50	32,114	29,283
1980 TO 1989											
1990 TO 1994											
1995 TO 1999											
2000 TO Present	1	113.02	113.02	113.02			113.02	113.02	N/A	50,000	56,510
ALL											
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	3 112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633

08 - BOYD COUNTY RESIDENTIAL			PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat								PAGE:3 of 4	
						State Stat Run						
						Гуре: Qualifi Date Raı	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	;:	38	MEDIAN:	108	COV:	29.59	95%	Median C.I.: 89.34	to 116 70	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	772,920	WGT. MEAN:	98	STD:	32.52		. Mean C.I.: 86.14		(:: Derivea)
TO	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	762,795	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.42	_	% Mean C.I.: 99.5		
TO	OTAL Asses	sed Value	:	746,065			1100.1120.221	23.12		,,,	70 00 120.20	
AVO	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	20,073	COD:	23.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	183.80				
Ī	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	19,633	PRD:	112.38	MIN Sales Ratio:	47.42			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:17
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	6	117.50	115.40	112.98	11.2	25 102.14	89.34	147.25	89.34 to 147.25	2,850	3,220
5000 TO	9999	8	130.66	130.65	125.08	30.8	104.46	85.37	183.80	85.37 to 183.80	6,562	8,208
Total \$	5											
1 TO	9999	14	117.50	124.12	122.10	24.4	101.65	85.37	183.80	89.34 to 161.90	4,971	6,070
10000 TO	29999	15	108.08	106.59	103.52	19.4	102.96	59.11	152.29	85.21 to 125.50	18,379	19,026
30000 TO	59999	7	87.77	97.41	94.84	25.3	33 102.71	47.42	149.57	47.42 to 149.57	39,642	37,597
60000 TO	99999	2	79.31	79.31	80.35	18.5	98.70	64.61	94.00	N/A	70,000	56,247
ALL	_											
		38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	6	108.62	112.25	107.57	14.2	104.34	89.34	147.25	89.34 to 147.25	2,883	3,101
5000 TO	9999	8	104.55	121.23	108.05	37.3	112.19	67.08	183.80	67.08 to 183.80	7,100	7,671
Total \$	5											
1 TO	9999	14	108.62	117.38	107.94	26.6		67.08	183.80	86.06 to 161.90	5,292	5,713
10000 TO	29999	16	107.58	105.83	93.83	22.1		47.42	161.41	85.21 to 125.50	20,293	19,041
30000 TO	59999	7	112.27	106.62	100.66	20.5	105.91	64.61	149.57	64.61 to 149.57	41,285	41,560
60000 TO	99999	1	94.00	94.00	94.00			94.00	94.00	N/A	75,000	70,500
ALL	_											
		38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	53 112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	119.75	119.75	119.75			119.75	119.75	N/A	2,000	2,395
10		1	101.09	101.09	101.09			101.09	101.09	N/A	2,300	2,325
20		20	110.81	114.83	105.87	22.5		59.11	183.80	89.34 to 135.02	12,656	13,398
30		16	100.54	103.71	93.67	27.7	76 110.72	47.42	176.50	75.24 to 125.50	31,585	29,585
ALL	_											
		38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	53 112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633

08 - BOYD COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistic	S	Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 4
RESIDEN	TIAL		Type: Qualified State St.							State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	38	MEDIAN:	108	COV:	29.59	95%	Median C.I.: 89.34	to 116.70	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	772,920	WGT. MEAN:	98	STD:	32.52	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 86.14	to 109.47	(Berreu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	762,795	MEAN:	110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.42	95	% Mean C.I.: 99.5	8 to 120.26	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	746,065								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	20,073	COD:	23.63	MAX Sales Ratio:	183.80				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	19,633	PRD:	112.38	MIN Sales Ratio:	47.42			Printed: 01/22/2	009 21:19:17
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	1	119.75	119.75	119.75			119.75	119.75	N/A	2,000	2,395
100	6	114.58	111.82	98.51	22.1	.2 113.51	59.11	176.50	59.11 to 176.50	17,416	17,157
101	21	101.09	111.40	99.88	25.8	111.54	64.61	183.80	86.70 to 143.92	21,323	21,297
102	1	67.08	67.08	67.08			67.08	67.08	N/A	13,000	8,720
104	9	115.87	108.85	94.50	17.7	75 115.18	47.42	147.25	85.13 to 135.02	21,722	20,528
AL1	<u> </u>										
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	1	119.75	119.75	119.75			119.75	119.75	N/A	2,000	2,395
10	4	117.50	120.83	119.73	10.4	100.92	101.09	147.25	N/A	2,825	3,382
15	5	152.29	130.97	124.31	24.6	105.35	67.08	183.80	N/A	8,900	11,064
20	4	94.62	98.00	103.43	10.8	94.75	86.06	116.70	N/A	8,450	8,740
30	22	106.98	104.79	94.16	23.3	111.28	47.42	176.50	85.21 to 115.87	27,486	25,881
40	2	110.86	110.86	105.95	21.7	104.63	86.70	135.02	N/A	33,250	35,230
AL1	<u> </u>										
	38	107.58	109.92	97.81	23.6	112.38	47.42	183.80	89.34 to 116.70	20,073	19,633

Boyd County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Residential

For assessment year 2009 the Marshall-Swift costing was updated to December 2006 for all residential improvements. For residential outbuildings the Marshall-Swift costing was updated to May 2007. By implementing the new costing the qualified statistics were well above the acceptable range. To bring the level of value into the acceptable range the assessor applied a 30% roll back to all residential improvements. A new depreciation study will be done for assessment year 2010 as there wasn't enough time to start this project and complete it thoroughly.

Home sites were raised to \$3,000 and building sites raised to \$1,000.

The rural review that was done in 2008 of the rural residences and outbuildings has all been entered into the computer system for 2009.

All residential sales that have taken place in the county are mapped, color coded and available for public view for each town.

The Boyd County Assessor reviews all residential sales by sending questionnaires to the seller and buyer to gather as much information about the sale as possible.

Pick up work was completed and placed on the 2009 assessment roll.

2009 Assessment Survey for Boyd County

Residential Appraisal Information

(Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt. On occasion the Assessor and Deputy will do the data collecting.
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt, however
	some of the pickup work is done by the Assessor and Deputy.
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are
	used to value this property class?
	December 2006 Marshall-Swift
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2003
6.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	The Cost Approach is used as well as a market analysis of the qualified sales to estimate the market value of properties.
7.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	9 Assessor Locations – Bristow, Butte, Lynch, Monowi, Naper, Spencer, Anoka, Gross and Rec
8.	How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
	These assessor locations are defined by location, specifically by town and rec
9.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes, Assessor Locations are a unique usable valuation grouping

10.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real estate property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)
	There is no market significance of the suburban location as this location is only a geographic grouping based on the REGS
11.	Are dwellings on agricultural parcels and dwellings on rural residential parcels valued in a manner that would provide the same relationship to the market? Explain?
	Yes, the dwellings are valued in a manner that would provide the same relationship to the market. Both use the same costing and depreciation.

Residential Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
5	0	11	16

Base Stat PAD 2009 R&O Statistics
Type: Qualified PAGE:1 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY State Stat Run

RESIDENTIAL

				Ţ	i ype: Quaiiii Date Ran	ea 19e: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Refore: 01/23	3/2009	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
NUMBER	of Sales	s:	39	MEDIAN:	99	COV:	27.24		Median C.I.: 85.67	to 100 00	(1 D : 1)
TOTAL Sa	les Price	e:	797,920	WGT. MEAN:	92	STD:	27.24		. Mean C.I.: 82.45		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	e:	787,795	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.50	_	% Mean C.I.: 92.43		
TOTAL Asses	TOTAL Assessed Value:		722,020			AVG.ADD.DEV.	21.50	, ,	0 Hear C.1. 92.9	2 00 109.02	
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	e:	20,199	COD:	21.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	163.50				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	e:	18,513	PRD:	110.39	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.95			Printed: 03/10/2	:009 16:23:24
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	6	94.30	104.61	80.97	29.5	8 129.20	55.34	154.90	55.34 to 154.90	29,050	23,520
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	5	84.34	93.12	95.59	12.6	1 97.41	81.71	109.21	N/A	8,660	8,278
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	75.87	75.87	75.87			75.87	75.87	N/A	15,000	11,380
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	5	79.98	98.52	92.10	35.0	7 106.97	62.92	151.24	N/A	23,075	21,251
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	8	118.75	114.53	94.91	18.8	9 120.67	68.35	163.50	68.35 to 163.50	21,312	20,228
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	6	97.41	107.20	110.39	16.3	3 97.11	85.67	139.58	85.67 to 139.58	20,470	22,595
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	2	89.68	89.68	86.04	20.2	8 104.23	71.49	107.87	N/A	18,750	16,132
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	6	98.77	90.88	84.59	11.6	6 107.43	50.95	104.06	50.95 to 104.06	18,166	15,367
Study Years											
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	17	87.30	97.75	86.26	25.8	0 113.32	55.34	154.90	75.87 to 125.19	20,469	17,655
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	22	100.52	103.82	95.92	18.9	6 108.24	50.95	163.50	88.71 to 120.50	19,991	19,175
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	20	100.52	106.39	97.96	24.7	0 108.61	62.92	163.50	81.72 to 125.19	21,184	20,751
ALL											
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BUTTE	9	93.10	98.17	87.48	22.4	7 112.21	62.92	163.50	71.49 to 120.50	8,788	7,688
LYNCH	9	98.41	101.05	97.08	15.0	7 104.09	73.26	130.00	81.72 to 125.19	23,841	23,144
NAPER	1	141.50	141.50	141.50			141.50	141.50	N/A	2,000	2,830
RURAL	3	87.30	88.32	81.02	25.5	7 109.01	55.34	122.32	N/A	38,333	31,056
SON-SHINE ACRES	1	75.87	75.87	75.87			75.87	75.87	N/A	15,000	11,380
SPENCER	16	100.42	104.40	93.10	22.6	8 112.13	50.95	154.90	81.71 to 139.58	22,632	21,071
ALL											
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
LOCATIONS: URBAN, S	UBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	35	99.74	103.00	93.87	21.0	8 109.72	50.95	163.50	87.51 to 108.00	18,794	17,642
3	4	81.59	85.21	80.42	24.0	3 105.95	55.34	122.32	N/A	32,500	26,137
ALL											
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
STATUS: IMPROVED, U	NIMPROVI	ED & IOL	<u>. </u>							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6		50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
ALL										•	•
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
	~ ~								=======================================	==,=>>	,

08 - BOYD COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 4			
RESIDENTIAL					Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run				
						nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009					
NUMB	BER of Sales	;:	39	MEDIAN:	99	COV:	27.24	95%	Median C.I.: 85.67	to 108.00	(!: Derived)			
TOTAL	Sales Price	: :	797,920	WGT. MEAN:	92	STD:	27.56		. Mean C.I.: 82.45		(Deriveu)			
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:		787,795	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.50		% Mean C.I.: 92.5						
TOTAL Ass	sessed Value	:	722,020											
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	20,199	COD:	21.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	163.50							
AVG. Ass	sessed Value	:	18,513	PRD:	110.39	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.95			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:24			
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
01	33	99.74	103.43	93.16	22.7	2 111.03	55.34	163.50	85.67 to 117.00	20,705	19,288			
06	1	75.87	75.87	75.87			75.87	75.87	N/A	15,000	11,380			
07	5	98.41	91.30	82.80	13.4	2 110.26	50.95	108.00	N/A	17,900	14,822			
ALL														
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513			
SCHOOL DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
(blank)														
08-0036	12	96.75	96.92	91.25	19.2	1 106.21	55.34	130.00	75.87 to 122.32	25,381	23,160			
08-0050	27	99.74	103.06	91.90	22.7	8 112.14	50.95	163.50	84.34 to 117.00	17,897	16,447			
52-0100														
NonValid School														
ALL														
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513			
YEAR BUILT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
0 OR Blank	1	120.50	120.50	120.50			120.50	120.50	N/A	2,000	2,410			
Prior TO 1860														
1860 TO 1899	3	141.50	127.36	120.88	16.3		85.67	154.90	N/A	4,333	5,238			
1900 TO 1919	17	99.74	100.83	95.84	19.8	5 105.20	55.34	151.24	81.71 to 122.32	17,260	16,542			
1920 TO 1939	2	94.30	94.30	90.83	7.4	2 103.82	87.30	101.30	N/A	26,750	24,297			
1940 TO 1949	1	130.00	130.00	130.00			130.00	130.00	N/A	12,000	15,600			
1950 TO 1959	2	87.75	87.75	85.48	18.5		71.49	104.00	N/A	19,750	16,882			
1960 TO 1969	5	88.71	101.12	86.33	24.1	1 117.14	73.26	163.50	N/A	19,915	17,192			
1970 TO 1979	7	87.51	90.62	85.06	25.5	4 106.54	50.95	139.58	50.95 to 139.58	32,114	27,315			
1980 TO 1989														
1990 TO 1994														
1995 TO 1999														
2000 TO Present	1	95.08	95.08	95.08			95.08	95.08	N/A	50,000	47,540			
ALL														
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	9 110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513			

08 - BOYD COUNTY RESIDENTIAL					PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:3 of 4
				Type: Qualified State Stat Run								
					,		nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER (of Sales	s:	39	MEDIAN:	99	COV:	27.24	95%	Median C.I.: 85.67	to 108.00	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sale	es Price	e:	797,920	WGT. MEAN:	92	STD:	27.56		. Mean C.I.: 82.45		(Denveu)
T	TOTAL Adj.Sale	es Price	: :	787,795	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.50		% Mean C.I.: 92.5		
	TOTAL Assesse	ed Value	:	722,020								
P	AVG. Adj. Sale	es Price	:	20,199	COD:	21.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	163.50				
	AVG. Assesse	ed Value	:	18,513	PRD:	110.39	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.95			Printed: 03/10/2	009 16:23:24
SALE PRIC	E *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	\$											
1 TO	4999	6	102.58	108.32	104.85	13.3	103.30	84.34	141.50	84.34 to 141.50	2,850	2,988
5000 TO	9999	8	100.55	115.06	111.88	29.1	102.84	81.71	163.50	81.71 to 163.50	6,562	7,341
Total	-											
1 TO	9999	14	102.58	112.17	110.15	22.0		81.71	163.50	84.34 to 151.24	4,971	5,476
10000 TO	29999	16	102.65	99.49	97.19	19.6	102.36	50.95	141.54	73.26 to 122.32	18,793	18,265
30000 TO	59999	7	87.30	89.67	87.59	17.4	102.38	55.34	139.58	55.34 to 139.58	39,642	34,721
60000 TO	99999	2	77.93	77.93	78.61	12.2	99.13	68.35	87.51	N/A	70,000	55,030
ALL												
		39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE Low	ė	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Eow 1 TO	-	7	101.09	106.14	102.19	12.7	75 103.86	84.34	141.50	84.34 to 141.50	3,157	3,226
5000 TO	9999	7	85.67	105.57	95.01	33.2		62.92	163.50	62.92 to 163.50	7,428	7,057
Total		,	03.07	103.37	93.01	33.2	.0 111.12	02.52	103.30	02.92 00 103.50	7,420	7,037
1 TO	-	14	99.75	105.86	97.15	21.8	35 108.96	62.92	163.50	82.32 to 141.50	5,292	5,142
10000 TO		16	100.52	98.10	87.88	22.0		50.95	151.24	73.26 to 117.00	20,293	17,834
30000 TO		8	91.90	100.81	95.24	21.4		68.35	139.58	68.35 to 139.58	39,250	37,380
60000 TO		1	87.51	87.51	87.51			87.51	87.51	N/A	75,000	65,635
ALL											•	,
		39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	120.50	120.50	120.50			120.50	120.50	N/A	2,000	2,410
10		1	101.09	101.09	101.09			101.09	101.09	N/A	2,300	2,325
20		20	99.44	105.67	97.24	22.6	108.68	50.95	163.50	84.34 to 125.19	12,656	12,306
30		17	88.71	94.74	88.84	22.9	106.65	55.34	141.54	71.49 to 117.00	31,198	27,715
ALL												
		39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513

08 - BOYD COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 4			
RESIDEN	TIAL			Type: Qualified State Stat Run										
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2006 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009					
	NUMBER of Sales	:	39	MEDIAN:	99	COV:	27.24	95%	Median C.I.: 85.67	to 108.00	(!: Derived)			
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	797,920	WGT. MEAN:	92	STD:	27.56	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 82.45	to 100.85	(Berrea)			
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	787,795	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.50	95	% Mean C.I.: 92.5	2 to 109.82				
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	722,020											
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	20,199	COD:	21.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	163.50							
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	18,513	PRD:	110.39	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.95			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:24			
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
(blank)	1	120.50	120.50	120.50			120.50	120.50	N/A	2,000	2,410			
100	6	96.75	88.73	81.81	15.2	108.46	50.95	108.00	50.95 to 108.00	17,416	14,248			
101	21	88.71	103.43	92.57	26.3	33 111.72	68.35	163.50	81.72 to 130.00	21,323	19,740			
102	1	62.92	62.92	62.92			62.92	62.92	N/A	13,000	8,180			
104	10	108.54	105.80	95.87	15.8	110.35	55.34	141.50	87.30 to 125.19	22,050	21,139			
AL1	<u></u>													
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513			
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.			
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val			
(blank)	1	120.50	120.50	120.50			120.50	120.50	N/A	2,000	2,410			
10	4	102.58	111.27	108.98	11.2	102.09	98.41	141.50	N/A	2,825	3,078			
15	5	141.54	120.97	115.36	23.4	104.87	62.92	163.50	N/A	8,900	10,267			
20	4	88.72	92.09	97.12	10.2	94.83	81.71	109.21	N/A	8,450	8,206			
30	23	95.08	95.73	88.61	21.0	108.03	50.95	154.90	81.72 to 107.87	27,378	24,259			
40	2	102.59	102.59	97.99	22.0	104.69	79.98	125.19	N/A	33,250	32,582			
ALI	<u></u>													
	39	99.13	101.17	91.65	21.6	110.39	50.95	163.50	85.67 to 108.00	20,199	18,513			

Residential Real Property

I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: The opinion of the Division is that the level of value is within the acceptable range, and it is best measured by the median measure of central tendency. The median measure was calculated using a sufficient number of sales, and because the County applies assessment practices to the sold and unsold parcels in a similar manner, the median ratio calculated from the sales file accurately reflects the level of value for the population.

The assessment actions for 2009 were applied to the population by the County and the statistics indicate all subclasses with a sufficient number of sales are valued within the statutory range.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2009	82	39	47.56
2008	71	40	56.34
2007	80	43	53.75
2006	90	42	46.67
2005	98	53	54.08

RESIDENTIAL:A brief review of table II indicates 48% (rounded) of qualified sales were used for the sales study period. The number of total sales increased while the percent used decreased. Further analysis of the non-qualified sales roster shows that the majority of these sales were between family members and non-arm's length.

The Boyd County Assessor reviews all residential sales by sending questionnaires to the seller and buyer to gather as much information about the sale as possible.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	108	-5.97	102	99
2008	93.58	-0.09	94	93.56
2007	97	1.14	98	96
2006	100	2.49	102	97
2005	99	-1.09	98	99

RESIDENTIAL: The Trended Preliminary Ratio and the R&O Median Ratio are showing a 2.42 percent difference rounded to two decimal points. There is no reason to believe the R&O Median is not the best indication of the level of value for the residential class of property.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

-6.8	2009	-5.97
4.47	2008	-0.09
-1.37	2007	1.14
6.90	2006	2.49
-2.78	2005	-1.09

RESIDENTIAL: The percent change in assessed value for both sold and unsold properties is similar and suggests the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	99	92	101

RESIDENTIAL:Both the median and weighted mean measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range while the mean measure is slightly above the range. However one outlier sale is causing this measure to be above the range.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	21.69	110.39
Difference	6.69	7.39

RESIDENTIAL: The coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. These quality statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	38	39	1
Median	108	99	-9
Wgt. Mean	98	92	-6
Mean	110	101	-9
COD	23.63	21.69	-1.94
PRD	112.38	110.39	-1.99
Minimum	47.42	50.95	3.53
Maximum	183.80	163.50	-20.30

RESIDENTIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported for this class of property by the County. The change in the number of sales is attributable to one sale being added to the residential sales file as this sale was reclassified from improved agricultural to rural residential.

VIII. Trended Ratio Analysis

In order to be meaningful, statistical inferences must be based on a representative and proportionate sample of the population. If the sales are representative of the population and the sales have been appraised in a similar manner to the unsold properties, statistical inferences should be substantially the same as statistics developed from actual assessed value. This comparison is to provide additional information to the analyst in determining the reliability of the statistical inference.

	R&O Statistics	Trended Ratio	Difference
Number of Sales	39	37	2
Median	99	102	-3
Wgt. Mean	92	91	1
Mean	101	104	-3
COD	21.69	25.70	-4.01
PRD	110.39	114.44	-4.05
Minimum	50.95	45.59	5.36
Maximum	163.50	241.99	-78.49

In comparing the two sets of statistics in the above table you will notice the Trended Statistics have two less sales than the R&O Statistics. The sales were removed from the analysis as they were split off from the original parcel. These sales did not have a prior year value, thus the reason for not figuring them into the Trended Statistics.

It appears the two sets of statistics are fairly similar. There is no reason to believe the sales file is not representative of the population, or the sold properties have been treated differently than the unsold properties.

08 - BOYD COUNTY			PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat								PAGE:1 of
COMMERCIAL		-			Гуре: Qualifie	•				State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	65.03	95%	Median C.I.: 46.22	to 294.00	
TOTAL Sa	TOTAL Sales Price:			WGT. MEAN:	86	STD:	76.49		. Mean C.I.: 42.45		
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.84	_	% Mean C.I.: 53.6		
TOTAL Asses	sed Value	:	70,550								
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	10,301	COD:	43.17	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	8,818	PRD:	137.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.22			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:2
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06											
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06											
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,15
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07											
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	178.00	178.00	76.50	65.1	7 232.68	62.00	294.00	N/A	4,000	3,06
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	2	93.48	93.48	91.30	7.6	5 102.38	86.33	100.63	N/A	1,150	1,05
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,42
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	1	46.22	46.22	46.22			46.22	46.22	N/A	30,000	13,86
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08											
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	3	130.14	162.05	106.44	59.4	2 152.24	62.00	294.00	N/A	6,036	6,42
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	4	93.48	83.91	67.72	18.8	7 123.91	46.22	102.47	N/A	12,325	8,34
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,15
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	5	100.63	129.09	93.92	49.3	2 137.44	62.00	294.00	N/A	5,460	5,12
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,81
ASSESSOR LOCATION	_									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BRISTOW	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4	6 95.01	62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,90
BUTTE	5	100.63	125.93	69.99	52.4	5 179.93	46.22	294.00	N/A	9,960	6,97
SPENCER	1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,81
LOCATIONS: URBAN, ST	UBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,81
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,81

08 - BOYD COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	S	Base S	tat	G G D	PAGE: 2 of
COMMERCIAL					Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
NUM	BER of Sales	3:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	65.03	95%	Median C.I.: 46.22	2 to 294.00	
TOTAL	Sales Price	e:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	86	STD:	76.49		. Mean C.I.: 42.45		
TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price	e:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.84		% Mean C.I.: 53.6		
TOTAL As	sessed Value	e:	70,550								
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	e:	10,301	COD:	43.17	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
AVG. As	sessed Value	e:	8,818	PRD:	137.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.22			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:2.
STATUS: IMPROVED	, UNIMPROVE	ED & IOLI	_							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	6	110.87	125.68	85.45	50.0	2 147.09	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	13,351	11,408
2	2	93.48	93.48	91.30	7.6	5 102.38	86.33	100.63	N/A	1,150	1,050
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
SCHOOL DISTRICT	*									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4	6 95.01	62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,902
08-0050	6	101.55	124.82	81.40	46.3	7 153.35	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,800	8,790
52-0100											
NonValid School											
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
YEAR BUILT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blank	1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
Prior TO 1860											
1860 TO 1899											
1900 TO 1919	3	119.27	166.53	121.50	58.0	4 137.06	86.33	294.00	N/A	5,666	6,885
1920 TO 1939											
1940 TO 1949											
1950 TO 1959											
1960 TO 1969	1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,420
1970 TO 1979	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4	6 95.01	62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,902
1980 TO 1989											
1990 TO 1994	1	46.22	46.22	46.22			46.22	46.22	N/A	30,000	13,86
1995 TO 1999											
2000 TO Present											
ALL											
	8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818

Base Stat PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics
Type: Qualified PAGE:3 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY State Stat Run COMMERCIAL

-5F Q	
Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	Posted Before: 01/22/2009

					•	Date Ran	rge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	3:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	65.03	95% 1	Median C.I.: 46.22	to 294.00	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	e:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	86	STD:	76.49	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 42.45	to 128.77	
TOT	AL Adj.Sa	les Price	e:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.84	95	% Mean C.I.: 53.6	7 to 181.59	
TO	TAL Asses	sed Value	e:	70,550								
AVG	. Adj. Sa	les Price	e:	10,301	COD:	43.17	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
A	VG. Asses	sed Value	e:	8,818	PRD:	137.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.22			Printed: 01/22/2	009 21:19:24
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	3	100.63	160.32	127.50	68.7	9 125.74	86.33	294.00	N/A	933	1,190
5000 TO	9999	1	62.00	62.00	62.00			62.00	62.00	N/A	7,500	4,650
Total \$_												
1 TO	9999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
10000 TO	29999	3	119.27	117.29	115.10	7.7	3 101.91	102.47	130.14	N/A	14,036	16,155
30000 TO	59999	1	46.22	46.22	46.22			46.22	46.22	N/A	30,000	13,865
ALL	_											
		8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
ASSESSED VA	LUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
Total \$_												
1 TO	9999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
10000 TO	29999	4	110.87	99.52	86.44	22.7	1 115.14	46.22	130.14	N/A	18,027	15,582
ALL	_											
-		8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
10		2	102.80	102.80	116.27	16.0		86.33	119.27	N/A	8,250	9,592
20		5	102.47	126.97	77.66	61.6	6 163.50	46.22	294.00	N/A	13,021	10,112
ALL	_											
		8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
OCCUPANCY C	ODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
123		1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,155
139		1	46.22	46.22	46.22			46.22	46.22	N/A	30,000	13,865
140		2	190.17	190.17	138.25	54.6	0 137.55	86.33	294.00	N/A	1,000	1,382
170		1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,420
186		1	62.00	62.00	62.00			62.00	62.00	N/A	7,500	4,650
344		1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,890
ALL	-											
		8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818

08 - BOYD COUNTY COMMERCIAL	[Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat	State Stat Run	PAGE:4 of 4
				Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	08 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
NUMBER of Sales	:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	65.03	95%	Median C.I.: 46.22	to 294.00	
TOTAL Sales Price	:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	86	STD:	76.49		. Mean C.I.: 42.45		
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.84	_		7 to 181.59	
TOTAL Assessed Value	:	70,550			11101111111111111	13.01		33.0	, 00 101.03	
AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	10,301	COD:	43.17	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
AVG. Assessed Value	:	8,818	PRD:	137.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.22			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:24
PROPERTY TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02										
03 8	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	.7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818
04										
ALL										
	101.55	117.63	85.61	43.1	.7 137.40	46.22	294.00	46.22 to 294.00	10,301	8,818

Boyd County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Commercial

The only changes to Commercial values for 2009 were three commercial buildings were given a 15% functional depreciation due to no longer being in operation. Any changes found through pickup work and/or sales verification were updated.

The Boyd County Assessor reviews all commercial sales by sending questionnaires to the seller and buyer to gather as much information about the sale as possible.

Pick up work was completed and placed on the 2009 assessment roll.

2009 Assessment Survey for Boyd County

Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt. On occasion the Assessor and Deputy will do the data collecting.
	die 1255e5551 und 2 op utt die die Galle College
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt, however some of the pickup work is done by the Assessor and Deputy.
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	2000
5.	What was the last year a depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2005
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	The income approach has not been utilized
7.	What approach to value is used in this class or subclasses to estimate the market value of properties?
	The Cost Approach is used as well as a market analysis of the qualified sales to estimate the market value of properties.
8.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations?
	6 Assessor Locations- Bristow, Butte, Lynch, Naper, Spencer, and Rural
9.	How are these Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations defined?
	These assessor locations are defined by location, specifically by town and rural
10.	Is "Market Area/Neighborhood/Assessor Location" a unique usable valuation grouping? If not, what is a unique usable valuation grouping?
	Yes, Assessor Locations are a unique usable valuation grouping

11.	Do the various subclasses of Commercial Property such as convenience stores, warehouses, hotels, etc. have common value characteristics?
	Yes
12.	Is there unique market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)
	There is no market significance of the suburban location as this location is only a geographic grouping based on the REGS

Commercial Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
0	0	8	8

08 - BOYD COUNTY COMMERCIAL			PAD 2009 R&O Statistics Base Stat						PAGE:1 of		
				ŗ	Гуре: Qualific	ed				State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	8	MEDIAN:	102	cov:	64.18	95%	Median C.I.: 50.98	to 294.00	
TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	75.87	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 47.12	to 127.58	
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.24	95	% Mean C.I.: 54.7	'9 to 181.67	
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	71,980								
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	10,301	COD:	42.58	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	8,997	PRD:	135.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.98			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:3
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06											
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06											
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,15
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07											
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	178.00	178.00	76.50	65.1		62.00	294.00	N/A	4,000	3,06
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07	2	93.48	93.48	91.30	7.6	5 102.38	86.33	100.63	N/A	1,150	1,05
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07	1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,42
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	1	50.98	50.98	50.98			50.98	50.98	N/A	30,000	15,29
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08											
Study Years		110 00	110 05	110 00			110 00	110 05	27./2	15 000	15.00
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	1	119.27	119.27	119.27	FO 4	0 150 04	119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	3 4	130.14 93.48	162.05 85.10	106.44 70.62	59.4 17.5		62.00	294.00	N/A	6,036	6,42
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08Calendar Yrs	4	93.40	05.10	70.62	17.5	9 120.51	50.98	102.47	N/A	12,325	8,70
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,15
01/01/00 TO 12/31/00 01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	5	100.63	129.09	93.92	49.3	2 137.44	62.00	294.00	N/A	5,460	5,12
ALL	J	100.03	120.00	23.22	40.5	2 137.44	02.00	254.00	IV/ A	3,400	3,12
	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,99
ASSESSOR LOCATION		101.00	110.13	07.55	12.5		30.70	271.00	30.70 00 271.00	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BRISTOW	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4		62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,90
BUTTE	5	100.63	126.88	72.86	51.5		50.98	294.00	N/A	9,960	7,25
SPENCER	1	119.27	119.27	119.27	- , -	•	119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,89
ALL									•		,
<u> </u>	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,99
LOCATIONS: URBAN, SU	JBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,99
ALL											
	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,99

08 - BOYD COUNTY	-			PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 4
COMMERCIAL		'			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
NU	MBER of Sales	ş:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	64.18	95%	Median C.I.: 50.98	+- 204 00	
	L Sales Price		82,408	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	75.87		. Mean C.I.: 47.12		
TOTAL Ad	lj.Sales Price	:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.24	_	% Mean C.I.: 47.12		
TOTAL A	ssessed Value	:	71,980			AVG.ADD.DEV.	43.24	, ,	5 Hear C.1. 54.7	J 00 101.07	
AVG. Adj	. Sales Price	<u>:</u>	10,301	COD:	42.58	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
AVG. A	ssessed Value	:	8,997	PRD:	135.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.98			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:36
STATUS: IMPROVE	D, UNIMPROVE	D & IOLI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	6	110.87	126.48	87.23	49.3	144.99	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	13,351	11,646
2	2	93.48	93.48	91.30	7.6	102.38	86.33	100.63	N/A	1,150	1,050
ALL											
	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
SCHOOL DISTRICT	*									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4	95.01	62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,902
08-0050	6	101.55	125.61	83.60	45.5	150.25	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,800	9,029
52-0100											
NonValid School											
ALL											
	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
YEAR BUILT *									050 31	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blank	1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
Prior TO 1860											
1860 TO 1899 1900 TO 1919	2	119.27	166.53	121.50	58.0	137.06	86.33	294.00	N/A	5,666	6,885
1900 TO 1919 1920 TO 1939	3	119.27	100.55	121.50	30.0	137.00	00.33	294.00	N/A	5,000	0,005
1940 TO 1949											
1950 TO 1959											
1960 TO 1969	1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,420
1970 TO 1979	2	96.07	96.07	101.12	35.4	95.01	62.00	130.14	N/A	8,804	8,902
1980 TO 1989	2	20.07	50.07	101.12	55.1	.0 ,3.01	02.00	150.11	11/11	0,001	3,302
1990 TO 1994	1	50.98	50.98	50.98			50.98	50.98	N/A	30,000	15,295
1995 TO 1999	_		22.20						,	22,300	,
2000 TO Present											
ALL											

135.36

50.98

294.00 50.98 to 294.00

10,301

8,997

42.58

8 101.55

118.23

COMMERCIAL					7	Type: Qualifie					Sittle Sitt Kun	
						Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	s:	8	MEDIAN:	102	cov:	64.18	95%	Median C.I.: 50.98	to 294.00	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	75.87	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 47.12	to 127.58	
TOT	AL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.24	95	% Mean C.I.: 54.7	9 to 181.67	
TO	TAL Asses	sed Value	:	71,980								
AVG	. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	10,301	COD:	42.58	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
A	.VG. Asses	sed Value	:	8,997	PRD:	135.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.98			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:36
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	3	100.63	160.32	127.50	68.79	9 125.74	86.33	294.00	N/A	933	1,190
5000 TO	9999	1	62.00	62.00	62.00			62.00	62.00	N/A	7,500	4,650
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
10000 TO	29999	3	119.27	117.29	115.10	7.73	3 101.91	102.47	130.14	N/A	14,036	16,155
30000 TO	59999	1	50.98	50.98	50.98			50.98	50.98	N/A	30,000	15,295
ALL	_											
		8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.58	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
ASSESSED VA	LUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
Total \$_												
1 TO	9999	4	93.48	135.74	79.81	65.8	7 170.09	62.00	294.00	N/A	2,575	2,055
10000 TO	29999	4	110.87	100.72	88.42	21.6	4 113.90	50.98	130.14	N/A	18,027	15,940
ALL	_											
		8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.58	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
10		2	102.80	102.80	116.27	16.02	2 88.41	86.33	119.27	N/A	8,250	9,592
20		5	102.47	127.92	79.85	60.73	3 160.19	50.98	294.00	N/A	13,021	10,398
ALL	_											
		8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.58	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
OCCUPANCY C	ODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		1	100.63	100.63	100.63			100.63	100.63	N/A	800	805
123		1	130.14	130.14	130.14			130.14	130.14	N/A	10,108	13,155
139		1	50.98	50.98	50.98			50.98	50.98	N/A	30,000	15,295
140		2	190.17	190.17	138.25	54.60	0 137.55	86.33	294.00	N/A	1,000	1,382
170		1	102.47	102.47	102.47			102.47	102.47	N/A	17,000	17,420
186		1	62.00	62.00	62.00			62.00	62.00	N/A	7,500	4,650
344		1	119.27	119.27	119.27			119.27	119.27	N/A	15,000	17,890
ALL		_								,	,	,
* *****	_	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.58	8 135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
		O	101.33	110.23	07.55	12.0	155.50	30.70	271.00	33.30 00 231.00	10,301	0,001

08 - BOYD COUNTY COMMERCIAL				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat	Canta Cant Davis	PAGE:4 of 4
				7	Гуре: Qualifi Date Raı	ified Sange: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/23/2009				State Stat Run	
	NUMBER of Sales	s:	8	MEDIAN:	102	COV:	64.18	95%	Median C.I.: 50.98	to 294.00	
	TOTAL Sales Price	e:	82,408	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	75.87		. Mean C.I.: 47.12		
TOT	AL Adj.Sales Price	e:	82,408	MEAN:	118	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.24	_	% Mean C.I.: 54.7		
TO	TAL Assessed Value	e:	71,980								
AVG	G. Adj. Sales Price	e:	10,301	COD:	42.58	MAX Sales Ratio:	294.00				
A	VG. Assessed Value	e:	8,997	PRD:	135.36	MIN Sales Ratio:	50.98			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:36
PROPERTY TY	PE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02											
03	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997
04											
ALL											
	8	101.55	118.23	87.35	42.5	135.36	50.98	294.00	50.98 to 294.00	10,301	8,997

Commerical Real Property

I. Correlation

COMMERCIAL: With only eight qualified sales in the commercial sales file it is believed that with the diversity of the sales, the representativeness of the sample to the population is unreliable. There is no other information available that would indicate that the County has not met an acceptable level of value for the commercial class of property for assessment year 2009.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2009	22	8	36.36
2008	22	4	18.18
2007	20	2	10.00
2006	20	2	10.00
2005	15	5	33.33

COMMERCIAL: The assessor used 36% of all commercial sales qualified for the sales study period. Further review of the non qualified sales reveals nothing that would indicate excessive trimming. All sales are reviewed to determine if they are indeed arms-length transactions.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is 0.924 x 1.063 = 0.982. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	102	-0.75	101	102
2008	124.71	-0.04	125	124.71
2007	102	-0.62	101	111
2006	97	1.34	98	99
2005	92	-3.69	89	92

COMMERCIAL: The relationship between the trended preliminary median and the R&O median suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

4.41	2009	-0.75
0.00	2008	-0.04
0.00	2007	-0.62
-10.31	2006	1.34
0.00	2005	-3.69

COMMERCIAL: The percent change in total assessed value in the sales file compared to the percent change in assessed value (excl. growth) is showing a 5.03 percent different. The difference can be attributed to one sale in the sales file being slightly updated do to pickup work.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	102	87	118

COMMERCIAL:All three measures of central tendency are outside the acceptable range based on eight qualified sales.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	42.58	135.36
Difference	22.58	32.36

COMMERCIAL:Both qualities of assessment measures for the commercial class were well above the acceptable range based on eight qualified sales.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	8	8	0
Median	102	102	0
Wgt. Mean	86	87	1
Mean	118	118	0
COD	43.17	42.58	-0.59
PRD	137.40	135.36	-2.04
Minimum	46.22	50.98	4.76
Maximum	294.00	294.00	0.00

COMMERCIAL: There were very minimal changes between the preliminary and R&O Statistics and coincide with the assessors reported action.

Agricultural or Special Valuation Reports

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 3 PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics 08 - BOYD COUNTY

08 - BOAD	COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	<u>inary Statistic</u>	S	Dasc 5	ıaı		11102.1 01 0
AGRICULTUR	RAL UNIMPRO	OVED				Type: Qualifi	•				State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	16	MEDIAN:	67	COV:	44.21	95%	Median C.I.: 53.60	n +o 74 40	(In Daring d)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	2,471,680	WGT. MEAN:	62	STD:			. Mean C.I.: 50.48		(!: Derived)
. •	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	2,471,680	MEAN:	70	AVG.ABS.DEV:		_		17 to 85.93	
(8)	TOTAL Asses			1,535,165			AVG.ABS.DEV.	19.23	23	o mean c.i 55.	17 60 65.93	
(8)	AVG. Adj. Sa			154,480	COD:	28.84	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
	AVG. Asses			95,947	PRD:	111.98	MIN Sales Ratio:				Printed: 01/22/.	2000 21,10,42
DATE OF S		Dea varae		30,751.		111.70	THE DUTOD HOUSE	31.07			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	ALE "	COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	bare rrice	noba vai
Qrtrs	 O 09/30/05		66.69	66.69	66.78	0 3	6 00 06	66.45	66.93	NT / 7	253,000	168,960
		2				0.3	99.86			N/A		
	0 12/31/05	1	158.15	158.15	158.15			158.15	158.15	N/A	45,600	72,115
	0 03/31/06	1	100 45	102.45	102.45			102 45	100 45	NT / B	66.000	60.000
	0 06/30/06	1	103.45	103.45	103.45			103.45	103.45	N/A	66,000	68,280
	0 09/30/06									:		
	0 12/31/06	1	69.62		69.62			69.62	69.62	N/A	105,000	73,105
	0 03/31/07	1	68.62		68.62			68.62	68.62	N/A	189,000	129,700
04/01/07 T	06/30/07	2	69.28	69.28	85.12	46.8	5 81.38	36.82	101.73	N/A	86,000	73,205
07/01/07 T	0 09/30/07											
10/01/07 T	0 12/31/07											
01/01/08 T	0 03/31/08	4	54.00	50.17	47.49	11.2	7 105.64	34.57	58.13	N/A	212,950	101,137
04/01/08 T	06/30/08	4	65.16	60.10	56.52	16.5	7 106.34	35.67	74.40	N/A	134,070	75,771
Study	Years											
07/01/05 T	0 06/30/06	4	85.19	98.75	77.45	37.6	3 127.50	66.45	158.15	N/A	154,400	119,578
07/01/06 T	0 06/30/07	4	69.12	69.20	74.94	23.8	4 92.34	36.82	101.73	N/A	116,500	87,303
07/01/07 T	06/30/08	8	56.26	55.14	50.98	18.8	0 108.15	34.57	74.40	34.57 to 74.40	173,510	88,454
Calen	ıdar Yrs											
01/01/06 To	0 12/31/06	2	86.54	86.54	82.68	19.5	5 104.66	69.62	103.45	N/A	85,500	70,692
	0 12/31/07	3	68.62		76.48	31.5		36.82	101.73	N/A	120,333	92,036
ALL											.,	,
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947
GEO CODE	/ TOWNSHIP	#									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	, 10112121	COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
13		1	158.15		158.15			158.15	158.15	N/A	45,600	72,115
179		1	74.40		74.40			74.40	74.40	N/A	105,000	78,125
183		1	53.60		53.60			53.60	53.60	N/A	160,000	85,760
189		2	62.29		61.98	6.6	8 100.50	58.13	66.45	N/A	168,750	104,587
191		3	36.82		41.40	17.9		34.57	54.39	N/A	184,766	76,493
		1				17.9	101.27					
219 221		2	66.93 52.15		66.93	31.5	9 100.08	66.93 35.67	66.93 68.62	N/A N/A	350,000 189,500	234,255 98,737
					52.10							
233		2	102.59		102.31	0.8	100.27	101.73	103.45	N/A	97,000	99,245
34		1	67.39		67.39	F ^	100.35	67.39	67.39	N/A	119,680	80,650
7		2	66.28	66.28	66.04	5.0	4 100.37	62.94	69.62	N/A	113,300	74,820
ALL												
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947

State State State State State State State State State St	(!: Derived /2009 21:19:43
NUMBER of Sales	
(AgLand) TOTAL Sales Price: 2,471,680 WGT. MEAN: 62 STD: 30.75 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 53.80 to 74.40 (AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 2,471,680 MEAN: 70 AVG.ABS.DEV: 19.23 95% Mean C.I.: 53.17 to 85.93 (AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 154,480 COD: 28.84 MAX Sales Ratio: 158.15 AVG. Assessed Value: 95,947 PRD: 111.98 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.57 Printed: 01/22/AREA (MARKET) RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 14.480 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL AVG. AGG. 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL AVG. AGG. GEV. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL AVG. AGG. GEV. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL AVG. AGG. GEV. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	
CAGLAND TOTAL Sales Price: 2,471,680 WGT. MEAN: 62 STD: 30.75 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 50.48 to 73.74	
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 2,471,680 MEAN: 70 AVG.ABS.DEV: 19.23 95% Mean C.I.: 53.17 to 85.93 (AgLand) TOTAL Assessed Value: 1,535,165 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 154,480 COD: 28.84 MAX Sales Ratio: 158.15 AVG. Assessed Value: 95,947 PRD: 111.98 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.57 Printed: 01/22/AREA (MARKET) RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 1 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 AGJ. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 AGJ. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 AGJ. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 AGJ. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 AGJ. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 3 AVG. Adj. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 3 AVG. Adj. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 3 AVG. Adj.	'2009 21:19:43
AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 154,480 COD: 28.84 MAX Sales Ratio: 158.15 AVG. Assessed Value: 95,947 PRD: 111.98 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.57 AREA (MARKET) RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 1 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL	′2009 21:19:43
AVG. Assessed Value: 95,947 PRD: 111.98 MIN Sales Ratio: 34.57 AREA (MARKET) RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 1 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL	′2009 21:19:43
AREA (MARKET) RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 1 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL	²⁰⁰⁹ 21:19:43
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 1 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL	
16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL	Avg.
ALL	Assd Val
The color of the	95,947
STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 SCHOOL DISTRICT * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	95,947
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price 2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 SCHOOL DISTRICT * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Avg.
2 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 ALL 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 SCHOOL DISTRICT * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
ALL 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480 SCHOOL DISTRICT * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	95,947
The color of the colo	33,31,
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	95,947
	Avg.
(blank)	Assd Val
(blank)	
08-0036 8 56.26 52.82 53.48 22.13 98.76 34.57 68.62 34.57 to 68.62 198,060	105,921
08-0050 8 72.01 86.29 77.52 32.13 111.31 53.60 158.15 53.60 to 158.15 110,900	85,974
52-0100	
NonValid School	
ALL 16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154.480	05.045
	95,947 Avg.
ACRES IN SALE RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
30.01 TO 50.00 1 36.82 36.82 36.82 36.82 36.82 36.82 N/A 44,000	16,200
100.01 TO 180.00 8 68.51 78.15 65.98 33.94 118.44 35.67 158.15 35.67 to 158.15 114,110	75,293
180.01 TO 330.00 6 62.29 63.98 58.58 24.00 109.22 34.57 101.73 34.57 to 101.73 194,133	113,727
330.01 TO 650.00 1 66.93 66.93 66.93 66.93 66.93 N/A 350,000	234,255
ALL	•
16 66.69 69.55 62.11 28.84 111.98 34.57 158.15 53.60 to 74.40 154,480	
MAJORITY LAND USE > 95% Avg. Adj.	95,947
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Avg.
DRY-N/A 5 68.62 66.73 65.91 6.71 101.23 53.60 74.40 N/A 135,736	
GRASS 4 69.28 69.14 58.12 48.28 118.96 34.57 103.45 N/A 140,575	Avg.
GRASS-N/A 7 62.94 71.81 61.84 32.53 116.13 35.67 158.15 35.67 to 158.15 175,814	Avg. Assd Val

111.98

34.57

158.15

53.60 to 74.40

154,480

95,947

28.84

___ALL____

16

66.69

69.55

Base Stat PAGE:3 of 3 **PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics** 08 - BOYD COUNTY

ACD TOUT TO	D COUNTI	OTTED	l				<u>mary Stausucs</u>	<u> </u>			State Stat Run	
AGRICULI	URAL UNIMPRO	OVED			,	Type: Qualifi					Sittle Sittle Kun	
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	2/2009		
		R of Sales		16	MEDIAN:	67	COV:	44.21	95%	Median C.I.: 53.6	0 to 74.40	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)		ales Price		,471,680	WGT. MEAN:	62	STD:	30.75	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 50.4	8 to 73.74	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	ales Price	: 2	,471,680	MEAN:	70	AVG.ABS.DEV:	19.23	95	% Mean C.I.: 53.	17 to 85.93	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses			,535,165								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price	:	154,480	COD:	28.84	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
	AVG. Asses	ssed Value	:	95,947	PRD:	111.98	MIN Sales Ratio:	34.57			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:43
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE >	80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		1	68.62	68.62	68.62			68.62	68.62	N/A	189,000	129,700
DRY-N/A		4	68.51	66.25	64.87	8.4	102.14	53.60	74.40	N/A	122,420	79,410
GRASS		8	60.42	62.50	57.72	36.6	108.28	34.57	103.45	34.57 to 103.45	180,537	104,208
GRASS-N/	A	3	62.94	93.07	72.89	52.9	7 127.69	58.13	158.15	N/A	116,233	84,720
ALL												
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE >	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		5	68.62	66.73	65.91	6.7	1 101.23	53.60	74.40	N/A	135,736	89,468
GRASS		11	62.94	70.84	60.67	40.0	3 116.76	34.57	158.15	35.67 to 103.45	163,000	98,893
ALL												
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947
SALE PR	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lo	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
30000 5	ro 59999	2	97.49	97.49	98.57	62.2	3 98.90	36.82	158.15	N/A	44,800	44,157
60000	го 99999	1	103.45	103.45	103.45			103.45	103.45	N/A	66,000	68,280
100000	го 149999	5	69.62	75.22	75.72	13.1	6 99.34	62.94	101.73	N/A	115,856	87,725
150000 5	го 249999	6	56.26	56.14	55.87	14.6	8 100.50	35.67	68.62	35.67 to 68.62	177,083	98,928
250000 5	го 499999	2	50.75	50.75	51.37	31.8	8 98.80	34.57	66.93	N/A	337,150	173,187
ALL												
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947
ASSESSEI	O VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lo	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
10000		1	36.82	36.82	36.82			36.82	36.82	N/A	44,000	16,200
60000		8	68.51	78.15	65.98	33.9	4 118.44	35.67	158.15	35.67 to 158.15	114,110	75,293
100000		6	62.29	63.98	58.58	24.0		34.57	101.73	34.57 to 101.73	194,133	113,727
150000 5		1	66.93	66.93	66.93			66.93	66.93	N/A	350,000	234,255
ALL											•	
		16	66.69	69.55	62.11	28.8	4 111.98	34.57	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	154,480	95,947
											- ,	- ,

08 - BOYD COUNTY MINIMAL NON-AG						inary Statistics		Base St	tat	State Stat Run	PAGE:1 of 4
MINIMU NON IN					Type: Qualific	ea .ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/200	Q Dogtod	Before: 01/22	/2000	2000 2000	
NUMBER	of Sales		26	MEDIAN							
			26	MEDIAN:	65	COV:	40.06		Median C.I.: 54.3		(!: Derived)
	les Price		,332,610	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	27.24	_	. Mean C.I.: 54.7		
TOTAL Adj.Sa			,332,610	MEAN:	68	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.06	95	% Mean C.I.: 57.	00 to 79.01	
TOTAL Assess			,729,890	gop.	0.5.00		150 15				
AVG. Adj. Sa			166,638	COD:	27.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	104,995	PRD:	107.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	34.57			Printed: 01/22/2	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	3	66.93	82.47	73.28	23.7		66.45	114.04	N/A	192,718	141,225
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	4	66.13	84.31	67.82	45.2	4 124.31	46.85	158.15	N/A	190,181	128,986
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06											
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	2	78.50	78.50	69.19	31.7	9 113.45	53.54	103.45	N/A	114,345	79,115
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	1	70.56	70.56	71.85			70.56	70.56	N/A	119,815	86,085
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	1	69.62	69.62	69.62			69.62	69.62	N/A	105,000	73,105
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	68.62	68.62	68.62			68.62	68.62	N/A	189,000	129,700
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	3	87.24	75.26	89.06	24.8	0 84.51	36.82	101.73	N/A	140,995	125,575
07/01/07 TO 09/30/07											
10/01/07 TO 12/31/07											
01/01/08 TO 03/31/08	4	54.00	50.17	47.49	11.2		34.57	58.13	N/A	212,950	101,137
04/01/08 TO 06/30/08	7	56.04	55.89	52.20	18.9	3 107.07	35.67	74.40	35.67 to 74.40	153,777	80,267
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	9	66.93	82.41	70.04	36.0	5 117.66	46.85	158.15	53.54 to 114.04	174,174	121,983
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	6	70.09	72.43	79.54	20.0	9 91.06	36.82	101.73	36.82 to 101.73	139,466	110,935
07/01/07 TO 06/30/08	11	55.94	53.81	50.12	16.5	5 107.37	34.57	74.40	35.67 to 67.39	175,294	87,856
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	4	70.09	74.29	69.99	18.1	4 106.14	53.54	103.45	N/A	113,376	79,355
01/01/07 TO 12/31/07	4	77.93	73.60	82.75	26.8	0 88.94	36.82	101.73	N/A	152,996	126,606
ALL											

27.92

107.93

34.57

158.15

54.39 to 70.31

166,638

104,995

26

64.69

68.01

Base Stat PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics
Type: Qualified PAGE:2 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY

MINIMAL NON-AG

ualified	State Stat Ku	n

MINIMAL	NON-AG				Type: Qualific		000 D4-J	D-f 01/22	72000	Siate Siat Kun	
	MINIDED of Col.		26	MEDIAN		nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2		Before: 01/22			
	NUMBER of Sale		26	MEDIAN:	65	COV:	40.06		Median C.I.: 54.39		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Pric		4,332,610	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	27.24	_	. Mean C.I.: 54.74		
	TOTAL Adj. Sales Pric		4,332,610	MEAN:	68	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.06	95	% Mean C.I.: 57.0	00 to 79.01	
	TOTAL Assessed Value		2,729,890	dob.	27 02	MAY Calas Datis	150 15				
	AVG. Adj. Sales Pric		166,638	COD:	27.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
	AVG. Assessed Valu	ie:	104,995	PRD:	107.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	34.57			Printed: 01/22/2	
	E / TOWNSHIP #	MEDIAM	MEDAN	LICE MEAN	90	D DDD	MIN	147.77	050 M-31 C T	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	COUNT		MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
11	1		56.04	56.20	16.0	1 101 51	56.04	56.04	N/A	123,650	69,490
13	2		136.10	134.07	16.2		114.04	158.15	N/A	58,877	78,935
179	2		80.82	86.64	7.9	4 93.28	74.40	87.24	N/A	177,992	154,220
183	1		53.60	53.60			53.60	53.60	N/A	160,000	85,760
187	1		61.94	62.23	14 5	6 105 04	61.94	61.94	N/A	532,475	331,355
189	6		60.04	57.16	14.5		38.85	70.56	38.85 to 70.56	164,245	93,884
191	4		43.16	42.09	17.8	4 102.53	34.57	54.39	N/A	156,325	65,802
219	1		66.93	66.93	20 5	1 00 15	66.93	66.93	N/A	350,000	234,255
221	3		52.61	53.06	20.5		35.67	68.62	N/A	180,563	95,808
233	2		102.59	102.31	0.8	4 100.27	101.73	103.45	N/A	97,000	99,245
34	1		67.39	67.39		4 400 05	67.39	67.39	N/A	119,680	80,650
7	2	66.28	66.28	66.04	5.0	4 100.37	62.94	69.62	N/A	113,300	74,820
ALL		64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
ALL											
	26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
	IMPROVED, UNIMPROV									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT		MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	8	58.94	66.12	64.37	29.3	9 102.71	38.85	114.04	38.85 to 114.04	203,203	130,811
2	18	66.69	68.85	62.19	26.8	2 110.71	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	150,387	93,522
ALL											
	26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	10		52.29	53.41	20.0		34.57	68.62	35.67 to 67.39	181,817	97,105
08-0050	16	69.97	77.83	69.95	28.0	9 111.26	38.85	158.15	56.04 to 101.73	157,152	109,927
52-0100											
NonValid											
ALL											
	26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995

O8 - BOYD COUNTY

PAD 2009 Preliminary Statistics

PAGE: 3 of 4

08 - BOYD COUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics	S	Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 4	
MINIMAL NON-AG						Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUM	BER of Sales	:	26	MEDIAN:	65	COV:	40.06	95%	Median C.I.: 54.3	9 to 70.31	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL	Sales Price	: 4	1,332,610	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	27.24		. Mean C.I.: 54.74		(Denveu)
	TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price	: 4	1,332,610	MEAN:	68	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.06	_		00 to 79.01	
	TOTAL As	sessed Value	: 2	2,729,890								
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	166,638	COD:	27.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
	AVG. As	sessed Value	:	104,995	PRD:	107.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	34.57			Printed: 01/22/.	2009 21:19:52
ACRES IN	1 SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
30.01 7	ro 50.00	1	36.82	36.82	36.82			36.82	36.82	N/A	44,000	16,200
50.01	го 100.00	1	46.85	46.85	47.51			46.85	46.85	N/A	71,000	33,730
100.01	ro 180.00	13	67.39	70.53	60.91	27.7	79 115.81	35.67	158.15	53.60 to 74.40	129,577	78,920
180.01 7	ro 330.00	8	62.29	68.93	61.31	30.1	112.44	34.57	114.04	34.57 to 114.04	174,955	107,258
330.01	ro 650.00	2	77.09	77.09	77.30	13.1	L7 99.72	66.93	87.24	N/A	300,492	232,285
650.01	+	1	61.94	61.94	62.23			61.94	61.94	N/A	532,475	331,355
ALL_												
		26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	92 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
	LAND US	E > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		2	63.25	63.25	63.49	11.5		55.94	70.56	N/A	134,757	85,560
DRY-N/A		6	69.12	67.32	66.57	5.9		53.60	74.40	53.60 to 74.40	131,721	87,680
GRASS		4	69.28	69.14	58.12	48.2		34.57	103.45	N/A	140,575	81,702
GRASS-N/A		13	61.94	70.95	65.54	31.1	108.25	35.67	158.15	53.54 to 87.24	187,973	123,200
IRRGTD-N/	/A	1	38.85	38.85	39.08			38.85	38.85	N/A	266,810	104,265
ALL_												
		26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	92 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
	LAND US										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		3	68.62	65.04	65.61	7.1		55.94	70.56	N/A	152,838	100,273
DRY-N/A		5	69.62	67.06	65.92	6.8		53.60	74.40	N/A	120,266	79,277
GRASS		10	53.97	60.04	57.05	34.3		34.57	103.45	35.67 to 101.73	167,799	95,734
GRASS-N/A		7	62.94	85.50	73.13	41.6	51 116.92	56.04	158.15	56.04 to 158.15	189,709	138,725
IRRGTD-N/		1	38.85	38.85	39.08			38.85	38.85	N/A	266,810	104,265
ALL_												
		26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	92 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
	LAND US										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		8	69.12	66.31	65.78	7.1		53.60	74.40	53.60 to 74.40	132,480	87,150
GRASS		17	61.94	70.52	64.15	36.5	109.93	34.57	158.15	46.85 to 101.73	176,820	113,436
IRRGTD		1	38.85	38.85	39.08			38.85	38.85	N/A	266,810	104,265

107.93

34.57

158.15

54.39 to 70.31

166,638

104,995

27.92

___ALL____

26

64.69

68.01

08 - BOYD C	OUNTY				PAD 2009	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 4
MINIMAL NON	-AG					Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008	Posted 1	Before: 01/22	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	26	MEDIAN:	65	COV:	40.06	95% 1	Median C.I.: 54.39	9 to 70.31	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 4	1,332,610	WGT. MEAN:	63	STD:	27.24		. Mean C.I.: 54.74		(Derivea)
TOT	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 4	1,332,610	MEAN:	68	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.06	95	% Mean C.I.: 57.0	00 to 79.01	
TC	OTAL Assess	sed Value	: 2	2,729,890								
AVO	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	166,638	COD:	27.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	158.15				
I	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	104,995	PRD:	107.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	34.57			Printed: 01/22/2	2009 21:19:53
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
Total \$												
30000 TO	59999	2	97.49	97.49	98.57	62.2	3 98.90	36.82	158.15	N/A	44,800	44,157
60000 TO	99999	3	103.45	88.11	89.77	21.6	5 98.15	46.85	114.04	N/A	69,718	62,588
100000 TO	149999	8	69.97	71.62	72.02	10.9		56.04	101.73	56.04 to 101.73	116,799	84,118
150000 TO	249999	8	55.17	55.79	55.90	11.7		35.67	68.62	35.67 to 68.62	171,861	96,069
250000 TO	499999	4	52.89	56.90	57.12	38.1		34.57	87.24	N/A	298,023	170,238
500000 +		1	61.94	61.94	62.23			61.94	61.94	N/A	532,475	331,355
ALL	_											
-		26	64.69	68.01	63.01	27.9	2 107.93	34.57	158.15	54.39 to 70.31	166,638	104,995
ASSESSED VA	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
Total \$												
10000 TO	29999	1	36.82	36.82	36.82			36.82	36.82	N/A	44,000	16,200
30000 TO	59999	1	46.85	46.85	47.51			46.85	46.85	N/A	71,000	33,730
60000 TO	99999	14	68.51	74.69	66.41	28.7		35.67	158.15	53.60 to 103.45	118,038	78,386
100000 TO	149999	7	58.13	60.39	54.95	26.7		34.57	101.73	34.57 to 101.73	204,515	112,375
150000 TO	249999	2	77.09	77.09	77.30	13.1		66.93	87.24	N/A	300,492	232,285
250000 TO	499999	1	61.94	61.94	62.23			61.94	61.94	N/A	532,475	331,355
ALL	_											

64.69

26

68.01

63.01

27.92

107.93

34.57

158.15

54.39 to 70.31

166,638

104,995

Boyd County 2009 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Agricultural

For the assessment year 2009, the Boyd County Assessor completed a spreadsheet analysis of the unimproved agricultural land sales and made adjustments accordingly. Irrigated values were increased by 20%. The top two classes of dry land were increased by 13%. The top two classes of grass land increased by 38% while the bottom four classes increased by 15%.

All agricultural sales that have taken place in the county are mapped, color coded and available for public view.

On July 22, 2008 the county board approved a 3 year Agreement with GIS workshop, Marcus Tooze, President. The GIS workshop will supply Boyd County with both the old & new NRCS soils layers and assist Boyd County in identifying where soils have changed & assist in using the GIS and GISW software tools to calculate the new acreage counts per the State of Nebraska requirements for 2010.

The Assessor reviews all agricultural sales by sending questionnaires to the seller and buyer to gather as much information about the sale as possible.

All pickup work was completed and placed on the 2009 assessment roll.

2009 Assessment Survey for Boyd County

Agricultural Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt.
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The assessor has a verbal agreement with an appraiser Jerry Hanefeldt, however the
	Assessor and Deputy do perform some of the pickup work as well.
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	At this time the County does not have a written policy, but plans to develop one for
	future use
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?
	Agricultural land is defined according to Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1359.
5.	When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	The income approach has never been utilized
6.	If the income approach was used, what Capitalization Rate was used?
	N/A
7.	What is the date of the soil survey currently used?
	1976
8.	What date was the last countywide land use study completed?
	1990
a.	By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.)
	FSA maps and physical inspection
b.	By whom?
	Assessor staff
c.	What proportion is complete / implemented at this time?
	100%

9.	Number of Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations in the
	agricultural property class:
	1 Market Area
10.	How are Market Areas/Neighborhoods/Assessor Locations developed?
	Boyd County has determined there are not different market areas for agricultural land in the county
11.	In the assessor's opinion, are there any other class or subclass groupings, other than LCG groupings, that are more appropriate for valuation?
	Yes or No
	No
a.	If yes, list.
	N/A
12.	In your opinion, what is the level of value of these groupings?
	Between sixty-nine and seventy-five percent
13.	Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special
	valuation for agricultural land within the county?
	No

Agricultural Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
15	1	15	31

34

__ALL____

73.02

71.06

72.46

16

73.02

71.06

76.66

73.02

70.91

68.90

08 - BOYD COUNTY			PAD 2	000 R&	O Statistics		Base S		PAGE:1 of 3		
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
NI	UMBER of Sales	:	16	MEDIAN:	72	8				50 00 to 00 14	
	AL Sales Price		2,471,680	WGT. MEAN:	7 <i>2</i> 69	COV:				59.90 to 82.14	(!: Derived)
(8)	dj.Sales Price		2,471,680	MEAN:	77	STD:			% Mean C.I.:	56.40 to 81.40	
(8)	Assessed Value		1,702,925	TILLIA .	, ,	AVG.ABS.DEV:	20.38	95	6 Mean C.I	59.26 to 94.05	
(8)	j. Sales Price		154,480	COD:	28.12	MAX Sales Ratio:	169.46				
	Assessed Value		106,432	PRD:	111.26	MIN Sales Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/.	2000 16:22:57
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median		Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30)/05 2	75.71	75.71	75.27	1.5	100.58	74.57	76.85	N/A	253,000	190,440
10/01/05 TO 12/31	./05 1	169.46	169.46	169.46			169.46	169.46	N/A	45,600	77,275
01/01/06 TO 03/31											
04/01/06 TO 06/30)/06 1	112.18	112.18	112.18			112.18	112.18	N/A	66,000	74,040
07/01/06 TO 09/30)/06										
10/01/06 TO 12/31	./06 1	73.02	73.02	73.02			73.02	73.02	N/A	105,000	76,675
01/01/07 TO 03/31	./07 1	71.90	71.90	71.90			71.90	71.90	N/A	189,000	135,900
04/01/07 TO 06/30)/07 2	78.66	78.66	96.42	46.2	26 81.57	42.27	115.04	N/A	86,000	82,925
07/01/07 TO 09/30)/07										
10/01/07 TO 12/31	./07										
01/01/08 TO 03/31	./08 4	61.63	56.75	53.85	11.2	22 105.37	39.77	63.96	N/A	212,950	114,681
04/01/08 TO 06/30	0/08 4	71.06	66.05	62.20	16.2	22 106.19	39.97	82.14	N/A	134,070	83,395
Study Years_											
07/01/05 TO 06/30	0/06 4	94.52	108.27	86.17	34.4	125.64	74.57	169.46	N/A	154,400	133,048
07/01/06 TO 06/30	0/07 4	72.46	75.56	81.21	25.4	93.04	42.27	115.04	N/A	116,500	94,606
07/01/07 TO 06/30	0/08 8	63.66	61.40	57.08	16.7	107.57	39.77	82.14	39.77 to 82	2.14 173,510	99,038
Calendar Yrs	s										
01/01/06 TO 12/31	./06 2	92.60	92.60	88.14	21.1	105.06	73.02	112.18	N/A	85,500	75,357
01/01/07 TO 12/31	./07 3	71.90	76.40	83.59	33.7	91.41	42.27	115.04	N/A	120,333	100,583
ALL											
	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	.2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82	2.14 154,480	106,432
GEO CODE / TOWN	SHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
13	1	169.46	169.46	169.46			169.46	169.46	N/A	45,600	77,275
179	1	82.14	82.14	82.14			82.14	82.14	N/A	105,000	86,245
183	1	59.90	59.90	59.90			59.90	59.90	N/A	160,000	95,835
189	2	70.41	70.41	69.92	9.1	.5 100.70	63.96	76.85	N/A	168,750	117,982
191	3	42.27	48.47	47.88	18.6	101.22	39.77	63.36	N/A	184,766	88,470
219	1	74.57	74.57	74.57			74.57	74.57	N/A	350,000	260,995
221	2	55.94	55.94	55.89	28.5	100.07	39.97	71.90	N/A	189,500	105,917
233	2	113.61	113.61	114.07	1.2	99.60	112.18	115.04	N/A	97,000	110,645

100.20

111.26

2.77

28.12

73.02

69.09

39.77

73.02

73.02

169.46

N/A

N/A

59.90 to 82.14

119,680

113,300

154,480

87,390

80,342

106,432

		Г		D. D. C	1000 D 0	0.01.11.11		Base S	fat		PAGE:2 of 3
08 - BOYD COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED				PAD 2	ıaı	State Stat Run	11.01.2 01 3				
AGRICULIURAL UNIMP	KOAFD			'	Type: Qualifi		000 D4 1	D -f 01/22	2/2000	Sime Sim Run	
,	nn -		1.0	3.4515-7.45-		nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	JUS Posted	Before: 01/23			
	ER of Sales		16	MEDIAN:	72	COV:	42.60	95%	Median C.I.: 59.90) to 82.14	(!: Derived)
(8)	Sales Price		,471,680	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	32.66	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 56.40	to 81.40	
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.			,471,680	MEAN:	77	AVG.ABS.DEV:	20.38	95	% Mean C.I.: 59.	26 to 94.05	
(8)	essed Value		,702,925								
AVG. Adj.			154,480	COD:	28.12	MAX Sales Ratio:	169.46				
AVG. Ass	essed Value	e:	106,432	PRD:	111.26	MIN Sales Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/2	
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
ALL											
	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
STATUS: IMPROVED,	UNIMPROVE		<u> </u>							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
ALL											
	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
SCHOOL DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	8	63.66	58.60	59.43	19.2	6 98.60	39.77	74.57	39.77 to 74.57	198,060	117,713
08-0050	8	79.50	94.71	85.80	31.4	4 110.38	59.90	169.46	59.90 to 169.46	110,900	95,151
52-0100											
NonValid School											
ALL											
	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
ACRES IN SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
30.01 TO 50.00	1	42.27	42.27	42.27			42.27	42.27	N/A	44,000	18,600
100.01 TO 180.00	8	73.02	84.85	72.01	33.3	5 117.82	39.97	169.46	39.97 to 169.46	114,110	82,175
180.01 TO 330.00	6	67.93	71.81	65.76	23.7	3 109.21	39.77	115.04	39.77 to 115.04	194,133	127,654
330.01 TO 650.00	1	74.57	74.57	74.57			74.57	74.57	N/A	350,000	260,995
ALL											
	16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
MAJORITY LAND USE	> 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY-N/A	5	73.02	72.00	71.03	6.4	0 101.36	59.90	82.14	N/A	135,736	96,409
GRASS	4	77.23	77.32	65.60	47.0	0 117.86	39.77	115.04	N/A	140,575	92,213
	_										

114.99

111.26

39.97

39.77

169.46

169.46

39.97 to 169.46

59.90 to 82.14

175,814

154,480

121,717

106,432

31.76

28.12

GRASS-N/A

__ALL____

7

16

69.09

72.46

79.61

76.66

69.23

Base Stat PAGE:3 of 3 PAD 2009 R&O Statistics 08 - BOYD COUNTY

AGRICULT	URAL UNIMP	ROVED	,			Type: Qualifi	od				State Stat Run	
						<i>,</i> ,	eu 1ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	3/2009		
	NUMB	ER of Sales	s:	16	MEDIAN:	72	COV:	42.60		Median C.I.: 59.90	1 +0 92 14	(1 D : 1)
(AgLand)	TOTAL	Sales Price	e: 2	,471,680	WGT. MEAN:	69	STD:	32.66) to 82.14	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.:			,471,680	MEAN:	77	AVG.ABS.DEV:	20.38	_		26 to 94.05	
(AgLand)	-	essed Value		,702,925			AVG.ABS.DEV.	20.36	93	6 Mean C.1 59.2	20 00 94.05	
(8)	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price		154,480	COD:	28.12	MAX Sales Ratio:	169.46				
	-	essed Value		106,432	PRD:	111.26	MIN Sales Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:23:58
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE			-							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		1	71.90	71.90	71.90			71.90	71.90	N/A	189,000	135,900
DRY-N/A		4	73.02	72.02	70.69	7.6	101.88	59.90	82.14	N/A	122,420	86,536
GRASS		8	68.97	70.50	65.33	35.0	3 107.92	39.77	115.04	39.77 to 115.04	180,537	117,939
GRASS-N/A	A	3	69.09	100.84	79.54	50.9	0 126.77	63.96	169.46	N/A	116,233	92,455
ALL_												
		16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
MAJORITY	Y LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		5	73.02	72.00	71.03	6.4	0 101.36	59.90	82.14	N/A	135,736	96,409
GRASS		11	69.09	78.77	68.09	39.3	1 115.69	39.77	169.46	39.97 to 115.04	163,000	110,989
ALL_												
		16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
SALE PR	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lot	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
30000 5	TO 59999	2	105.87	105.87	107.00	60.0	7 98.94	42.27	169.46	N/A	44,800	47,937
60000 5	ro 99999	1	112.18	112.18	112.18			112.18	112.18	N/A	66,000	74,040
100000 5	ro 149999	5	73.02	82.46	83.13	15.0	8 99.19	69.09	115.04	N/A	115,856	96,314
150000 5	ro 249999	6	63.66	62.66	62.26	12.9	5 100.64	39.97	76.85	39.97 to 76.85	177,083	110,246
250000 5	ro 499999	2	57.17	57.17	57.83	30.4	4 98.86	39.77	74.57	N/A	337,150	194,980
ALL_												
		16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
10000 5	TO 29999	1	42.27	42.27	42.27			42.27	42.27	N/A	44,000	18,600
60000 5	ro 99999	8	73.02	84.85	72.01	33.3	5 117.82	39.97	169.46	39.97 to 169.46	114,110	82,175
100000	ro 149999	6	67.93	71.81	65.76	23.7	3 109.21	39.77	115.04	39.77 to 115.04	194,133	127,654
250000 5	TO 499999	1	74.57	74.57	74.57			74.57	74.57	N/A	350,000	260,995
ALL												
		16	72.46	76.66	68.90	28.1	2 111.26	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	154,480	106,432

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY PAD 2009 R&O Statistics State Stat Run MINIMAL NON-AG Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2008 Posted Before: 01/23/2009 NUMBER of Sales: 25 **MEDIAN:** 69 95% Median C.I.: 59.90 to 74.57 COV: 37.95 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 4,243,930 WGT. MEAN: 67 STD: 27.31 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 59.31 to 74.50 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 4,243,930 MEAN: 72 95% Mean C.I.: 60.69 to 83.24 AVG.ABS.DEV: 16.68 TOTAL Assessed Value: 2,839,510 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 169,757 COD: MAX Sales Ratio: 169.46 24.15 MIN Sales Ratio: AVG. Assessed Value: 113,580 PRD: 107.56 39.77 Printed: 03/10/2009 16:24:08 Avg. Adj. Avg. DATE OF SALE * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN MAX Ortrs_ 07/01/05 TO 09/30/05 2 75.71 75.71 75.27 1.51 100.58 74.57 76.85 N/A 253,000 190,440 10/01/05 TO 12/31/05 4 72.27 91.59 74.34 42.83 123.20 52.34 169.46 N/A 191,150 142,101 01/01/06 TO 03/31/06 04/01/06 TO 06/30/06 3 76.40 82.71 75.36 22.97 109.75 59.54 112.18 N/A 150,950 113,758 07/01/06 TO 09/30/06 1 73.39 73.39 73.39 73.39 73.39 N/A 122,000 89,535 10/01/06 TO 12/31/06 1 73.02 73.02 73.02 73.02 73.02 N/A 105,000 76,675 01/01/07 TO 03/31/07 1 71.90 71.90 71.90 71.90 71.90 N/A 189,000 135,900 04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 2 78.66 78.66 96.42 46.26 81.57 42.27 115.04 N/A 86,000 82,925 07/01/07 TO 09/30/07

105.37

106.84

113.64

106.88

105.71

91.41

107.56

94.39

39.77

39.97

52.34

42.27

39.77

59.54

42.27

39.77

63.96

82.14

169.46

115.04

112.18

115.04

169.46

82.14

N/A

39.97 to 82.14

59.54 to 112.18

N/A

39.97 to 73.02

N/A

N/A

59.90 to 74.57

212,950

154,382

191,494

117,600

175,680

135,970

120,333

169,757

114,681

143,395

93,592

98,271

101,497

100,583

113,580

88,895

11.22

18.40

26.38

20.34

15.77

15.27

33.74

24.15

10/01/07 TO 12/31/07 01/01/08 TO 03/31/08

04/01/08 TO 06/30/08

07/01/06 TO 06/30/07

07/01/07 TO 06/30/08

01/01/07 TO 12/31/07

ALL

_____Calendar Yrs____ 01/01/06 TO 12/31/06

____Study Years____ 07/01/05 TO 06/30/06 4

7

9

5

5

3

25

11

61.63

61.96

75.61

73.02

61.96

73.39

71.90

69.09

56.75

61.52

85.10

75.12

59.79

78.91

76.40

71.97

53.85

57.58

74.88

79.59

55.94

74.65

83.59

Base Stat PAD 2009 R&O Statistics
Type: Qualified PAGE:2 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY State Stat Run

MINIMAL NON-AG

: Qualified	State S

MINIMAL	NON-AG				Type: Qualifie	ed ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2008 Posted	Before: 01/23	8/2009	State Stat Kun	
	NUMBER of Sales	3:	25	MEDIAN:	69	cov:			Median C.I.: 59.90	0 +0 74 57	(I. Davis J)
	TOTAL Sales Price	e: 4	1,243,930	WGT. MEAN:	67	STD:				1 to 74.50	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	e: 4	1,243,930	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:		_		69 to 83.24	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	e: 2	2,839,510			11,011120.22	10.00			03 00 03.21	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	e:	169,757	COD:	24.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	169.46				
	AVG. Assessed Value	e:	113,580	PRD:	107.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:24:08
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
11	1	61.96	61.96	61.96			61.96	61.96	N/A	124,000	76,830
13	1	169.46	169.46	169.46			169.46	169.46	N/A	45,600	77,275
179	1	82.14	82.14	82.14			82.14	82.14	N/A	105,000	86,245
183	1	59.90	59.90	59.90			59.90	59.90	N/A	160,000	95,835
187	1	68.93	68.93	68.93			68.93	68.93	N/A	535,000	368,760
189	6	68.68	65.71	62.71	13.9	4 104.79	45.57	76.85	45.57 to 76.85	165,316	103,673
191	5	52.34	54.83	55.65	22.0	6 98.53	39.77	76.40	N/A	169,030	94,060
219	1	74.57	74.57	74.57			74.57	74.57	N/A	350,000	260,995
221	3	59.54	57.14	57.01	17.8	8 100.22	39.97	71.90	N/A	182,333	103,955
233	2	113.61	113.61	114.07	1.2	6 99.60	112.18	115.04	N/A	97,000	110,645
34	1	73.02	73.02	73.02			73.02	73.02	N/A	119,680	87,390
7	2	71.06	71.06	70.91	2.7	7 100.20	69.09	73.02	N/A	113,300	80,342
ALL		60.00		66.01	0.4.1	105.56	20 55	160 46	50 00 . 54 55	160 858	112 500
	25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580
AREA (M	•				a a.				050 w 1'	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE 1	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO:		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
ALL	25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580
АЬЬ		69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580
STATIIS.	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	7	59.54	62.15	63.47	14.8		45.57	76.40	45.57 to 76.40	219,464	139,295
2	18	72.46	75.78	68.86	26.0		39.77	169.46	61.96 to 76.85	150,426	103,580
ALL										,	,
		69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580
SCHOOL	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
08-0036	11	63.36	59.74	61.01	18.0	7 97.91	39.77	76.40	39.97 to 74.57	185,757	113,330
08-0050	14	73.21	81.57	72.38	26.0	8 112.70	45.57	169.46	59.90 to 112.18	157,185	113,777
52-0100											
NonValid	School										
ALL											
	25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580

Base Stat PAD 2009 R&O Statistics PAGE:3 of 4 08 - BOYD COUNTY

MINIMAL NON-AG				Type: Qualified State Stat Run									
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	008 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009			
	NUMBE	R of Sales:	:	25	MEDIAN:	69	COV:	37.95	95%	Median C.I.: 59.90) to 74 57	(1 D : 1)	
TOTAL Sales Price:		:	4,243,930	WGT. MEAN:	67	STD:	27.31		. Mean C.I.: 59.31		(!: Derived)		
TO	OTAL Adj.S	Sales Price:	:	4,243,930	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	16.68	_		69 to 83.24		
-	TOTAL Asse	essed Value	:	2,839,510			AVO.ADD.DEV.	10.00	, ,	00.	09 00 05.21		
		Sales Price:		169,757	COD:	24.15	MAX Sales Ratio:	169.46					
	AVG. Asse	ssed Value	:	113,580	PRD:	107.56	MIN Sales Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:24:08	
ACRES IN S	SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
30.01 TO	50.00	1	42.27	42.27	42.27			42.27	42.27	N/A	44,000	18,600	
50.01 TO	100.00	1	52.34	52.34	52.34			52.34	52.34	N/A	72,000	37,685	
100.01 TO	180.00	13	73.02	76.48	66.24	26.3	38 115.45	39.97	169.46	58.90 to 82.14	130,098	86,177	
180.01 TO	330.00	8	67.93	70.85	66.58	20.9	106.41	39.77	115.04	39.77 to 115.04	193,956	129,145	
330.01 TO	650.00	1	74.57		74.57			74.57	74.57	N/A	350,000	260,995	
650.01 +		1	68.93		68.93			68.93	68.93	N/A	535,000	368,760	
ALL													
		25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580	
MAJORITY I	LAND USE	> 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
DRY		2	66.15	66.15	65.35	10.9	95 101.21	58.90	73.39	N/A	137,000	89,535	
DRY-N/A		7	73.02	73.14	72.70	5.7	100.61	59.90	82.14	59.90 to 82.14	144,218	104,847	
GRASS		4	77.23	77.32	65.60	47.0	117.86	39.77	115.04	N/A	140,575	92,213	
GRASS-N/A		11	63.96	72.73	67.40	25.8	33 107.91	39.97	169.46	52.34 to 76.85	193,609	130,484	
IRRGTD-N/A		1	45.57	45.57	45.57			45.57	45.57	N/A	268,400	122,320	
ALL													
		25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580	
MAJORITY I	LAND USE	> 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
DRY		3	71.90	68.06	68.03	6.7	72 100.05	58.90	73.39	N/A	154,333	104,990	
DRY-N/A		6	74.32	73.35	72.88	6.3	33 100.64	59.90	82.14	59.90 to 82.14	136,755	99,672	
GRASS		10	61.45	67.59	64.19	33.8	105.29	39.77	115.04	39.97 to 112.18	168,430	108,123	
GRASS-N/A		5	68.93	86.68	71.74	32.6	120.82	61.96	169.46	N/A	201,540	144,591	
IRRGTD-N/A		1	45.57	45.57	45.57			45.57	45.57	N/A	268,400	122,320	
ALL													
		25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580	
MAJORITY I	LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
DRY		9	73.02		71.13	6.6	100.64	58.90	82.14	59.90 to 76.40	142,614	101,445	
GRASS		15	63.96	73.95	67.02	34.0	110.34	39.77	169.46	52.34 to 76.85	179,466	120,279	
IRRGTD		1	45.57	45.57	45.57			45.57	45.57	N/A	268,400	122,320	
ALL													
		25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	107.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580	

08 - BOYD (COUNTY				PAD 2	009 R&	O Statis	tics		Base St	at		PAGE:4 of 4
MINIMAL NON-AG			PAD 2009 R&O Statistics Type: Qualified Base Stat								State Stat Run		
						Date Rar	ge: 07/01/2005	to 06/30/200	8 Posted	Before: 01/23	/2009		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	25	MEDIAN:	69		cov:	37.95	95% 1	Median C.I.: 59.9) to 74.57	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	4,243,930	WGT. MEAN:	67		STD:	27.31	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 59.3	1 to 74.50	(Berreu)
TC	OTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	4,243,930	MEAN:	72	AVG.A	BS.DEV:	16.68	95		69 to 83.24	
7	TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	2,839,510									
/A	VG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	169,757	COD:	24.15	MAX Sales	Ratio:	169.46				
	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	113,580	PRD:	107.56	MIN Sales	Ratio:	39.77			Printed: 03/10/2	2009 16:24:08
SALE PRICE	₹ *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$	5												
Total	\$												
30000 TO	59999	2	105.87	105.87	107.00	60.0	7 9	3.94	42.27	169.46	N/A	44,800	47,937
60000 TO	99999	2	82.26	82.26	80.96	36.3	7 10	1.61	52.34	112.18	N/A	69,000	55,862
100000 TO	149999	8	73.21	77.91	78.16	11.8	0 9	9.67	61.96	115.04	61.96 to 115.04	117,160	91,577
150000 TO	249999	9	63.36	63.42	63.59	12.4	2 9	9.74	39.97	76.85	58.90 to 76.40	177,927	113,138
250000 TO	499999	3	45.57	53.30	54.34	25.4	6 9	3.09	39.77	74.57	N/A	314,233	170,760
500000 +		1	68.93	68.93	68.93				68.93	68.93	N/A	535,000	368,760
ALL													
		25	69.09	71.97	66.91	24.1	5 10	7.56	39.77	169.46	59.90 to 74.57	169,757	113,580
ASSESSED V	/ALUE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$	S												
Total	\$												
10000 TO	29999	1	42.27	42.27	42.27				42.27	42.27	N/A	44,000	18,600
30000 TO	59999	1	52.34	52.34	52.34				52.34	52.34	N/A	72,000	37,685
60000 TO	99999	12	73.02	79.05	70.14	25.4	5 11	2.71	39.97	169.46	59.90 to 82.14	118,573	83,165
100000 TO	149999	8	63.66	67.00	61.72	23.4	7 10	3.55	39.77	115.04	39.77 to 115.04	200,150	123,534
150000 TO	249999	1	76.40	76.40	76.40				76.40	76.40	N/A	218,850	167,205

3.93

24.15

100.83

107.56

68.93

39.77

74.57

169.46

N/A

59.90 to 74.57

442,500

169,757

314,877

113,580

250000 TO

__ALL____

499999

71.75

69.09

71.75

71.97

71.16

66.91

2

25

Agricultural Land

I. Correlation

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:Based on the analysis in the proceeding tables, the opinion of the Division is that the level of value is within the acceptable range and it is best measured by the median measure of central tendency of the Agricultural Unimproved sample. The valuation methodology the County uses to analyze sales and determine a schedule of values assures the sold and unsold parcels are treated in a similar manner. The statistics confirm that the agricultural properties in the county are valued within the acceptable range.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2009	51	16	31.37
2008	48	19	39.58
2007	53	26	49.06
2006	51	26	50.98
2005	47	17	36.17

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:A brief review of table II indicates 31% (rounded) of qualified sales were used for the sales study period. The number of total sales increased while the percent used decreased. Further analysis of the non-qualified sales roster shows that the majority of these sales were between family members and non-arm's length.

The Assessor reviews all agricultural sales by sending questionnaires to the seller and buyer to gather as much information about the sale as possible.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels (sales chasing) is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2009	67	11.16	74	72
2008	69.35	3.66	72	70.55
2007	68	3.27	70	71
2006	60	13.86	68	75
2005	72	4.62	75	76

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The relationship between the trended preliminary median and the R&O median suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2009 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2009 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total
Assessed Value in the Sales File

% Change in Total Assessed Value (excl. growth)

11.76	2009	11.16
1.85	2008	3.66
2.38	2007	3.27
18.57	2006	13.86
4.56	2005	4.62

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The percent change in assessed value for both sold and unsold properties is similar and suggests the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for direct equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for indirect equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	72	69	77

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:Both the median and weighted mean measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range. The mean measure is above, but can be attributed to one outlier sale.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller spread or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less.

Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less.

Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less.

Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	28.12	111.26
Difference	8.12	8.26

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED:Both the coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are outside the acceptable ranges for quality of assessment in the agricultural class. With the hypothetical removal of one outlier sale the two measures improve by seven and six points respectively. However they do not fall into the acceptable range.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	16	16	0
Median	67	72	5
Wgt. Mean	62	69	7
Mean	70	77	7
COD	28.84	28.12	-0.72
PRD	111.98	111.26	-0.72
Minimum	34.57	39.77	5.20
Maximum	158.15	169.46	11.31

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported for this class of property by the County.

Total Real Property Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30	Records:	3,576	Value:	213,796,735	Growth	862,955	Sum Lines 17, 25, & 41
Schedule I : Non-Agricultural Records							

	TT.	rban	Ch	Urban	T.	Rural	T	otal	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Growth
1. Res UnImp Land	249	217,770	12	20,370	2	39,505	263	277,645	
2. Res Improve Land	765	1,093,690	33	121,535	20	88,420	818	1,303,645	
3. Res Improvements	770	15,120,235	30	968,420	26	608,370	826	16,697,025	
4. Res Total	1,019	16,431,695	42	1,110,325	28	736,295	1,089	18,278,315	296,265
% of Res Total	93.57	89.90	3.86	6.07	2.57	4.03	30.45	8.55	34.33
5. Com UnImp Land	23	21,595	1	320	2	5,580	26	27,495	
6. Com Improve Land	163	194,815	17	78,240	0	0	180	273,055	
7. Com Improvements	163	4,827,450	17	617,665	0	0	180	5,445,115	
8. Com Total	186	5,043,860	18	696,225	2	5,580	206	5,745,665	199,985
% of Com Total	90.29	87.79	8.74	12.12	0.97	0.10	5.76	2.69	23.17
9. Ind UnImp Land									
0. Ind Improve Land									
1. Ind Improvements									
2. Ind Total									
% of Ind Total									
3. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	13	227,605	13	227,605	
4. Rec Improve Land	0	0	0	0	137	509,010	137	509,010	
5. Rec Improvements	0	0	0	0	137	2,428,615	137	2,428,615	
6. Rec Total	0	0	0	0	150	3,165,230	150	3,165,230	71,910
% of Rec Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	4.19	1.48	8.33
Res & Rec Total	1,019	16,431,695	42	1,110,325	178	3,901,525	1,239	21,443,545	368,175
% of Res & Rec Total	82.24	76.63	3.39	5.18	14.37	18.19	34.65	10.03	42.66
Com & Ind Total									
% of Com & Ind Total									
7. Taxable Total							1,445	27,189,210	568,160
% of Taxable Total			1 1				40.41	12.72	65.84

Schedule II : Tax Increment Financing (TIF)

	Records	Urban Value Base	Value Excess	Records	SubUrban Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential						
19. Commercial						
20. Industrial						
21. Other	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential						
19. Commercial						
20. Industrial						
21. Other						
22. Total Sch II						

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records

Mineral Interest	Records Urban Value	Records SubUrban Value	Records Rural Value	Records Total Value	Growth
23. Producing					
24. Non-Producing					
25. Total					

Schedule IV : Exempt Records : Non-Agricultural

	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Producing	151	1	20	172

Schedule V: Agricultural Records

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	0	0	8	87,540	1,545	113,281,930	1,553	113,369,470
28. Ag-Improved Land	2	6,170	5	53,745	571	59,574,525	578	59,634,440
29. Ag Improvements	2	6,920	5	101,435	571	13,495,260	578	13,603,615
30. Ag Total							2,131	186,607,525

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule VI : Agricultural Rec	cords :Non-Agricu						
		Urban			SubUrban		Y
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
31. HomeSite UnImp Land							
32. HomeSite Improv Land							
33. HomeSite Improvements							
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land							
36. FarmSite Improv Land							
37. FarmSite Improvements							
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches							
40. Other- Non Ag Use							
		Rural			Total		Growth
	Records	Acres	Value			* 7 1	
	Records	ricies	v aruc	Records	Acres	Value	
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	Records	ricio	v and	Records	Acres	Value	
31. HomeSite UnImp Land 32. HomeSite Improv Land	Records	Teles	value	Records	Acres	Value	
	Records	reces	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	Records	reies	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land 33. HomeSite Improvements	Records	Acies	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total	Records	Acies	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improvements 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land	Records	Actes	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improvements 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 36. FarmSite Improv Land	Records	Actes	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 36. FarmSite Improv Land 37. FarmSite Improvements	Records	Actes	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 36. FarmSite Improv Land 37. FarmSite Improvements 38. FarmSite Total	Records	Actes	value	Records	Acres	Value	
32. HomeSite Improv Land 33. HomeSite Improvements 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 36. FarmSite Improv Land 37. FarmSite Improvements 38. FarmSite Total 39. Road & Ditches	Records	Actes	Value	Records	Acres	Value	

Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Ag Land Detail - Game & Parks

	Urban			SubUrban			
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks							
		Rural			Total		
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks							

Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value

		Urban				
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value						
44. Recapture Value N/A						
		Rural			Total	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value
43. Special Value						
44. Recapture Value	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*} LB 968 (2006) for tax year 2009 and forward there will be no Recapture value.

Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail

Market Area 1

Irrigated	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
45. 1A1	88.00	1.51%	78,320	1.64%	890.00
46. 1A	892.40	15.28%	794,235	16.65%	890.00
47. 2A1	892.43	15.28%	771,950	16.19%	865.00
48. 2A	1,040.70	17.82%	900,205	18.87%	865.00
49. 3A1	31.00	0.53%	24,490	0.51%	790.00
50. 3A	1,646.34	28.19%	1,300,605	27.27%	790.00
51. 4A1	306.00	5.24%	220,320	4.62%	720.00
52. 4A	943.32	16.15%	679,190	14.24%	720.00
53. Total	5,840.19	100.00%	4,769,315	100.00%	816.64
Dry					
54. 1D1	2,309.16	2.36%	1,604,870	2.74%	695.00
55. 1D	34,902.95	35.71%	24,257,590	41.36%	695.00
56. 2D1	5,537.90	5.67%	3,018,165	5.15%	545.00
57. 2D	32,632.82	33.39%	17,784,925	30.32%	545.00
58. 3D1	2,651.62	2.71%	1,431,875	2.44%	540.00
59. 3D	1,823.16	1.87%	984,510	1.68%	540.00
60. 4D1	15,064.50	15.41%	8,059,525	13.74%	535.00
61. 4D	2,824.59	2.89%	1,511,165	2.58%	535.00
62. Total	97,746.70	100.00%	58,652,625	100.00%	600.05
Grass					
63. 1G1	692.48	0.00%	481,275	0.45%	695.00
64. 1G	9,861.67	4.46%	6,853,885	6.48%	695.00
65. 2G1	5,166.81	2.34%	2,531,735	2.39%	490.00
66. 2G	19,050.92	8.62%	9,334,965	8.82%	490.00
67. 3G1	3,096.52	1.40%	1,439,880	1.36%	465.00
68. 3G	11,384.60	5.15%	5,293,840	5.00%	465.00
69. 4G1	35,105.60	15.88%	16,324,115	15.42%	465.00
70. 4G	136,734.73	61.84%	63,581,690	60.07%	465.00
71. Total	221,093.33	100.00%	105,841,385	100.00%	478.72
Irrigated Total	5,840.19	1.77%	4,769,315	2.81%	816.64
Dry Total	97,746.70	29.55%	58,652,625	34.59%	600.05
Grass Total	221,093.33	66.84%	105,841,385	62.43%	478.72
Waste	6,105.18	1.85%	286,225	0.17%	46.88
Other		0.00%		0.00%	
Exempt		0.00%		0.00%	
Market Area Total	330,785.40	100.00%	169,549,550	100.00%	512.57

Schedule X : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Total

	Urban		SubU	rban	Ru	ral	Tota	ıl
	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76. Irrigated	0.00	0	0.00	0	5,840.19	4,769,315	5,840.19	4,769,315
77. Dry Land	0.00	0	52.00	30,125	97,694.70	58,622,500	97,746.70	58,652,625
78. Grass	6.47	3,170	205.62	105,010	220,881.24	105,733,205	221,093.33	105,841,385
79. Waste	0.00	0	24.96	1,250	6,080.22	284,975	6,105.18	286,225
80. Other								
81. Exempt								
82. Total	6.47	3,170	282.58	136,385	330,496.35	169,409,995	330,785.40	169,549,550

	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	5,840.19	1.77%	4,769,315	2.81%	816.64
Dry Land	97,746.70	29.55%	58,652,625	34.59%	600.05
Grass	221,093.33	66.84%	105,841,385	62.43%	478.72
Waste	6,105.18	1.85%	286,225	0.17%	46.88
Other					
Exempt					
Total	330,785.40	100.00%	169,549,550	100.00%	512.57

2009 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2008 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

08 Boyd		or runes beview	(012)		F2	
	2008 CTL County Total	2009 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2009 form 45 - 2008 CTL)	Percent Change	2009 Growth (New Construction Value)	Percent Change excl. Growth
01. Residential	19,051,090	18,278,315	-772,775	-4.06%	296,265	-5.61%
02. Recreational	3,362,375	3,165,230	-197,145	-5.86%	71,910	-8.00%
03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling	10,006,755	9,685,675	-321,080	-3.21%	148,605	-4.69%
04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	32,420,220	31,129,220	-1,291,000	-3.98%	516,780	-5.58%
05. Commercial	5,587,740	5,745,665	157,925	2.83%	199,985	-0.75%
06. Industrial	0					
07. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	3,787,870	7,372,300	3,584,430	94.63%	146,190	90.77%
08. Minerals	0					
09. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	9,375,610					
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	41,795,830					
11. Irrigated	3,695,500	4,769,315	1,073,815	29.06%	ó	
12. Dryland	55,847,575	58,652,625	2,805,050	5.02%	0	
13. Grassland	92,694,150	105,841,385	13,147,235	14.18%	ó	
14. Wasteland	286,225	286,225	0	0.00%	0	
15. Other Agland	5,775				l	
16. Total Agricultural Land	152,529,225					
17. Total Value of all Real Property	194,325,055	213,796,735	19,471,680	10.02%		
(Locally Assessed)						

2008 Plan of Assessment for Boyd County Assessment Years 2009, 2010, and 2011 June 15, 2008

Plan of Assessment Requirements:

Pursuant to Neb. Laws 2005, LB 263, Section 9, on or before June 15 each year, the assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment which describes the assessment actions planned for the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor may amend the plan, if necessary, after the budget is approved by the county board. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Property Assessment and Taxation on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article III, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling the legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as the "market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb.Rev.Stat. 77-112 (R.R.S. 2003).

Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1. 100% of actual value for all classes of real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land:
- 2. 75% of actual value for agricultural and horticultural land; and
- 3. 75% of special value for agricultural and horticultural land which meets the qualifications for special valuation under 77-1344 and 75% of its recapture value as defined in 77-1343 when the land is disqualified for special valuation under 77-1347.

Reference, Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-201 (R.S. Supp 2006).

General Description of Real Property in Boyd County.

Total value of real property is \$194,255,745 for 2008. Per the 2008 county abstract, Boyd County consists of the following real property types:

	Parcels	% of Total	Land Only	Improvements	Total Value	% of Base
Residential	1,092	30.5%	1,521,635	17,541,630	19,063,265	10%
Commercial	204	5.5%	289,130	5,292,235	5,581,365	3%

Recreational	147	4%	715,535	2,635,285	3,350,820	2%
Agricultural	2,125	60%	153,882,880	12,377,415	166,260,295	85%
	3,568	100%	156,409,180	37,846,565	194,255,745	100%

Agricultural Land Summary as it is predominant property type in Boyd County.

	Total Taxable Acres	% of Total Acres	Taxable Value	% of Total Agricultural
Value Irrigated	5,435.40	1.6%	3,695,500	2.4%
Dryland	98,057.05	29.7%	55,847,575	36.6%
Grassland	221,187.79	66.9%	92,694,940	60.8%
Waste	6,105.18 330,785.42	1.8% 100.0%	286,225 152,524,240	.2% 100.0%

New Property: For assessment year 2008, an estimated 12 building permits and /or information statements were filed for new property construction/additions in the county.

2008 Reports & Opinions Statistics

Property Class Residential	Median 93.56	*C.O.D 31.40	*P.R.D. 121.60
Commercial	124.71	48.69	134.83
Agricultural Unimproved	70.55	23.53	106.41

^{*}C.O.D. means coefficient of dispersion and P.R.D. means price related differential.

Residential & Agricultural Unimproved medians are within required range. Commercial level of value has been met; however there are only 4 qualified sales in the sales file and therefore the statistics may not be reliable. Each year we must analyze our statistics and determine what steps should be taken to better our quality and uniformity of assessment. We will work with our Field Liaison on the analysis of assessment sales ratio studies.

3 YEAR APPRAISAL PLAN

2009

Residential

Sales ratio study will be done in all villages. We will analyze each village to decide if any percentage adjustments need to be made to retain the required statistical measures. We have approximately 766 village parcels. Sales review and pickup work will be completed.

Commercial

Sales ratio study will be done on the commercial properties to be certain our values are in compliance with required statistical measures. A percentage adjustment will be applied to all properties within each subclass if the need is discovered. We have 204 commercial parcels county-wide. Sales review and pickup work will be completed.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustment to comply with statistical measures. Sales will also be platted on a map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. The market analysis is conducted in-house by utilizing the county's current Cama system. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed for agricultural properties. Our plan was to have the new data from the 2008 review added to the rural residences and outbuildings but at this time we are uncertain if we can get all this information entered into our system due to the fact we only have one computer in the office & a staff of two.

On July 22, 2008 our county board approved a 3 year Agreement with GIS workshop, Marcus Tooze, President. The GIS workshop will supply Boyd County with both the old & new NRCS soils layers and assist Boyd County in identifying where soils have changed & assist in using the GIS and GISW software tools to calculate the new acreage counts per the State of Nebraska requirements for 2009.

2010

Residential

Sales ratio study will be done in all villages. We will analyze each village separately to decide if we need to do percentage increases or decreases to keep our values within required statistical measures. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed. We have approximately 766 village parcels.

Commercial

Sales ratio study will be done on the commercial properties to be certain our values are still in compliance with required statistical measures. An appraisal adjustment would be a percentage increase or decrease applied to all properties within a subclass if needed. Sales review and pickup work will be completed. We have 204 commercial parcels county-wide.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustment to comply with statistical measures. Sales will be platted on a

map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. The market analysis is conducted in-house by utilizing the county's current Cama system. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed for agricultural properties.

We plan to review all the recreational properties. A physical inspection of the recreational property will include verifying all information on the record property cards and taking new pictures. Interior inspection will be completed whenever possible.

2011

Residential

Sales ratio study will be done in all villages. We will analyze each village separately to decide if we need to do percentage increases or decreases to keep our values within statistical measures. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed. We have approximately 766 village parcels. Depending on the funding, we will hire an appraiser to review all village property or send out questionnaires to property owners.

Commercial

Sales ratio study will be done on the commercial properties to be certain our values are still in compliance with required statistical measures. An appraisal adjustment would be a percentage increase or decrease applied to all properties within a subclass if needed. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed.

Agricultural

A market analysis of agricultural sales by land classification group will be conducted to determine any possible adjustment to comply with statistical measures. Sales will be platted on a map to determine if the current market areas are supported by the current sales. Sales review and pickup work will also be completed for agricultural properties.

TIMETABLE OF NARRATIVE PORTION OF THE PLAN

2009

- 1. Sales ratio study in villages on residential property
- 2. Sales ratio study on commercial property
- 3. Market study of agland
- 4. Add new data from review to rural residences and outbuildings(if time allows)
- 5. Sales review and pickup work
- 6. Implement GIS Workshop for the new soil survey

2010

- 1. Review recreational property
- 2. Sales ratio study in villages on residential property

- 3. Market study of agland
- 4. Sales ratio study on commercial property
- 5. Sales review and pickup work
- 6. Add additional information into the GIS workshop program

2011

- 1. Update all recreational property with the review information
- 2. Sales ratio study in villages on residential property
- 3. Market study of agland
- 4. Sales ratio study on commercial property
- 5. Sales review and pickup work

STAFF

- 1.Assessor
- 2.Deputy Assessor

The Assessor has her assessor's certification and has taken IAAO and other courses of instruction for the assessment field to complete the required hours to maintain them. The Assessor and Deputy Assessor will continue to attend workshops and sessions that will give required certification hours. Should the occasion occur that we need further training in a specific area, we will find somewhere to receive instruction. The Assessor and Deputy Assessor must be knowledgeable to complete all office responsibilities and reports. After getting familiar with some of the office responsibilities, the Assessor's Assistant completed and passed the Assessor certification exam on Nov. 2, 2007, thus has been appointed Deputy Assessor. Reports are filed accurately and in a timely manner. The following list is the reports we annually prepare and file required by law/regulation.

- 1. Abstracts (Real & Personal Property)
- 2. Assessor Survey
- 3. Sales information to PA&T rosters and annual Assessed Value Update with Abstract
- 4. Certification of Value Political Subdivisions
- 5. School District Taxable Value Report
- 6. Homestead Exemption Tax Loss Report (in conjunction with Treasurer)
- 7. Certificate of Taxes Levied Report
- 8. Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Educational Lands and Funds
- 9. Report of all Exempt Property and Taxable Government Owned Property
- 10. Annual Plan of Assessment Report

The data on the cadastral maps is 1973. Consideration should be given to replace them as all the highways have changed their right-of-ways since they were published. The edges of the cadastral maps are getting worn even though we have them in good book binders. The cadastral maps are kept current as to ownership when we do monthly transfers. Implementing GIS Workshop will eventually replace our outdated cadastral maps.

The soil maps that show the land usage are in excellent condition. They are updated when land use changes are made. We were unable to obtain usage maps from the Farm Service Agency to get our records updated, thus GIS Workshop implementation will get us into the 21st century and help us do our job the best and most accurate way possible.

The property record cards contain all information required by Regulation 10-004, which include the legal description, property owner, classification codes, and supporting documentation. The supporting documentation includes any field notes, a sketch of the property, a photograph of the property, and if agricultural land is involved, an inventory of the soil types by land use. The cards are in good condition and are updated and/or replaced as needed. We have put situs of property and cadastral map book and page on residential and commercial property and continually add information as we obtain information.

All personal property is handled according to Regulation 20. All schedules are to be filed by May 1 to be considered timely. From May 1 to July 31, all schedules received by the office receive a 10% penalty. After July 31, a 25% penalty is assessed. Reminder postcards are sent at the beginning of the personal property season to remind taxpayers that it is personal property filing time. The taxpayer's federal income tax depreciation schedule is used as a basis for the personal property schedule. We both do the personal property file maintenance. We maintain personal property books and also in the computer. The Assessor sends all notices if schedules are late and applies penalties.

The Assessor maintains the homestead exemption files. Pre-typed applications with a letter of explanation and income guidelines are mailed to each applicant a week before the filing date. The Assessor does the work with the applications to get them ready to be submitted to the State. She checks the list to remind the ones who forgot to come in and submit applications.

The Assessor tends to the 521 Transfer Statements. She has 7 steps to complete the information on the transfers.

- 1. Change ownership on real estate books.
- 2. Change ownership on the real estate cards.
- 3. Change ownership in the computer.
- 4. Update cadastral maps.
- 5. Update address index.
- 6. Do State reports on each sale (electronically- effective July 1, 2008)
- 7. Send informational questionnaire to both the buyer and the seller on each sale.

Physical review of residential property sales are done by the Assessor. If needed pictures of qualified residential, commercial and recreational sales are taken. Information is generally attained from realtors, attorneys, buyers and sellers previous to a sale. We send a questionnaire to the buyer & seller of the property & enclose a stamped self-addressed envelope for their convenience.

Real property is updated annually through maintenance and pickup work. We review the building permits obtained from the zoning administrator, village clerks, and informational statements received in our office. We do our pickup work in the fall. We hire an appraiser on a

yearly basis for listing new construction. We have the calculations completed and put in the computer, on the cards, and in the books by January 1st.

When we need to do reappraisals we will hire an appraiser to physically inspect the property to verify all information in the property record card along with taking new photos. They will remeasure and re-list on a worksheet construction data where necessary. We will expect a sketch to show shapes and square footage of homes where there are changes or a new structure. These properties will be valued using Marshall & Swift's cost approach and using market derived depreciation.

MIPS/County Solutions is our vendor for Cama software, administrative software and personal property software.

The Assessor does all the work with the sales rosters that are submitted from the State.

We make new address changes in the address index and in the computer when address changes occur.

The Assessor makes tax list corrections.

The Assessor and Deputy Assessor have & will continually do the Assessor's Assistant training via internet.

The Assessor along with the clerk & treasure are on the local Freeholder's Petition board. Hearings are held to approve or deny the freeholder petition(s) that are filed on or before June 1 of current year. **LB988**

The Assessor provides all information for Boyd County Board of Equalization when they have protests during July. The Assessor and Deputy Assessor review all protested property and take pictures. The County Supervisors inspect protested property in their own districts.

The Assessor, with assistance from County Attorney, puts together all information for TERC board hearings and attends the hearings and testifies for the County Board.

Our office receives numerous letters, phone calls, faxes, & emails from appraisers, attorneys, insurance companies, banks, etc. requesting information from our office.

Budget Request for 2008 is _\$89,040.	<u> </u>
	Mary J. Schoenefeld
	Boyd County Assessor

2009 Assessment Survey for Boyd County

I. General Information

A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	0
3.	Other full-time employees
	0
4.	Other part-time employees
	0
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	\$89,040
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	\$8,000
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
	Same as above
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	\$1,500
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	\$3,500
11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	None
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
	None
13.	Total budget
	\$89,040
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used:
	\$2,680

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	County Solutions
2.	CAMA software
	County Solutions

3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	Yes
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assessor and Deputy
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	Not a present time, but have signed a contract for future use
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	N/A
7.	Personal Property software:
	County Solutions

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Butte
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	2003

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	None, however the assessor has a verbal agreement with a local appraiser Jerry
	Hanefeldt for data collecting and pick up work.
2.	Other services
	None

Certification

This is to certify that the 2009 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

Four copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.

One copy to the Boyd County Assessor, by hand delivery.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.

SINE OF NEBRASA PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR PROPERTY TAX

Ruth A. Sorensen

Rush a. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator