Preface

The requirements for the assessment of real property for the purposes of property taxation are found in Nebraska law. The Constitution of Nebraska requires that "taxes shall be levied by valuation uniformly and proportionately upon all real property and franchises as defined by the Legislature except as otherwise provided in or permitted by this Constitution." Neb. Const. art. VIII, sec. 1 (1) (1998). The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (R.R.S., 2003). The assessment level for all real property, except agricultural land and horticultural land, is one hundred percent of actual value. The assessment level for agricultural land and horticultural land, hereinafter referred to as agricultural land, is seventy-five percent of actual value. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-201(1) and (2)(R.S. Supp., 2007). More importantly, for purposes of equalization, similar properties must be assessed at the same proportion of actual value when compared to each other. Achieving the constitutional requirement of proportionality ultimately ensures the balance equity in the imposition of the property tax by local units of government on each parcel of real property.

The assessment process, implemented under the authority of the county assessor, seeks to value similarly classed properties at the same proportion to actual value. This is not a precise mathematical process, but instead depends on the judgment of the county assessor, based on his or her analysis of relevant factors that affect the actual value of real property. Nebraska law provides ranges of acceptable levels of value that must be met to achieve the uniform and proportionate valuation of classes and subclasses of real property in each county. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5023 (R.S. Supp., 2007) requires that all classes of real property, except agricultural land, be assessed within the range of ninety-two and one hundred percent of actual value; the class of agricultural land be assessed within the range of sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of actual value; the class of agricultural land receiving special valuation be assessed within the range sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of its special value; and, when the land is disqualified for special value the recapture value be assessed at actual value.

To ensure that the classes of real property are assessed at these required levels of actual value, the Department of Revenue Property Assessment Division, hereinafter referred to as the Division, is annually responsible for analyzing and measuring the assessment performance of each county. This responsibility includes requiring the Property Tax Administrator to prepare statistical and narrative reports for the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, hereinafter referred to as the Commission, and the county assessors. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R.S. Supp., 2005):

- (2) ... the Property Tax Administrator shall prepare and deliver to the commission and to each county assessor his or her annual reports and opinions.
- (3) The annual reports and opinions of the Property Tax Administrator shall contain statistical and narrative reports informing the commission of the level of value and the quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property within the county and a certification of the opinion of the Property Tax

Administrator regarding the level of value and quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property in the county.

(4) In addition to an opinion of level of value and quality of assessment in the county, the Property Tax Administrator may make nonbinding recommendations for consideration by the commission.

The narrative and statistical reports contained in the Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator, hereinafter referred to as the R&O, provide a thorough, concise analysis of the assessment process implemented by each county assessor to reach the levels of value and quality of assessment required by Nebraska law. The Property Tax Administrator's opinion of level of value and quality of assessment achieved by each county assessor is a conclusion based upon all the data provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division regarding the assessment activities during the preceding year. This is done in recognition of the fact that the measurement of assessment compliance, in terms of the concepts of actual value and uniformity and proportionality mandated by Nebraska law, requires both statistical and narrative analysis.

The Division is required by Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327 (R. S. Supp., 2007) to develop and maintain a state-wide sales file of all arm's length transactions. From this sales file the Division prepares an assessment sales ratio study in compliance with acceptable mass appraisal standards. The assessment sales ratio study is the primary mass appraisal performance evaluation tool. From the sales file, the Division prepares statistical analysis from a non-randomly selected set of observations, known as sales, from which inferences about the population, known as a class or subclass of real property, may be drawn. The statistical reports contained in the R&O are developed in compliance with standards developed by the International Association of Assessing Officers, hereinafter referred to as the IAAO.

However, just as the valuation of property is sometimes more art than science, a narrative analysis of assessment practices in each county is necessary to give proper context to the statistical inferences from the assessment sales ratio study. There may be instances when the analysis of assessment practices outweighs or limits the reliability of the statistical inferences of central tendency or quality measures. This may require an opinion of the level of value that is not identical to the result of the statistical calculation. The Property Tax Administrator's goal is to provide statistical and narrative analysis of the assessment level and practices to the Commission, providing the Commission with the most complete picture possible of the true level of value and quality of assessment in each county.

The Property Tax Administrator's opinions of level of value and quality of assessment are stated as a single numeric representation for level of value and a simple judgment regarding the quality of assessment practices. Based on the information collected in developing this report the Property Tax Administrator may feel further recommendations must be stated for a county to assist the Commission in determining the level of value and quality of assessment within a county. These opinions are made only after considering all narrative and statistical analysis provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division. An evaluation of these opinions must only be made after considering all other information provided in the R&O.

Finally, after reviewing all of the information available to the Property Tax Administrator regarding the level and quality of assessment for classes and subclasses of real property in each county, the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027(4) (R.S. Supp., 2005), may make recommendations for adjustments to value for classes and subclasses of property. All of the factors relating to the Property Tax Administrator's determination of level of value and quality of assessment shall be taken into account in the making of such recommendations. Such recommendations are not binding on the Commission.

Table of Contents

Commission Summary

Property Tax Administrator's Opinions and Recommendations

Residential Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Residential Real Property, Qualified
Residential Assessment Actions
Residential Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Residential Real Property, Qualified

Residential Correlation Section

Residential Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Residential (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Commercial Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Commercial Real Property, Qualified
Commercial Assessment Actions
Commercial Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Commercial Real Property, Qualified

Commercial Correlation Section

Commercial Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Commercial (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Agricultural Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified
Agricultural Assessment Actions
Agricultural Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified

Agricultural Correlation Section

Agricultural Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Agricultural (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Special Valuation Section

County Reports Section

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
2008 County Agricultural Land Detail
2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2007
Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report
County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment
Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Map Section

Valuation History Chart Section

2008 Commission Summary

80 Seward

Number of Sales	576	COD	8.73
Total Sales Price	\$64,803,471	PRD	101.53
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$64,783,361	COV	16.04
Total Assessed Value	\$60,244,871	STD	15.14
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$112,471	Avg. Abs. Dev.	8.29
Avg. Assessed Value	\$104,592	Min	26.95
Median	95.00	Max	249.51
Wgt. Mean	92.99	95% Median C.I.	94.38 to 95.65
Mean	94.42	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.	91.69 to 94.30
		95% Mean C.I.	93.18 to 95.66
% of Value of the Class of a	ll Real Property Value in	n the County	45.5
% of Records Sold in the St	udy Period		9.37
% of Value Sold in the Stud	y Period		9.52
Average Assessed Value of	the Base		102,914

Residential Rea	l Property - History			
Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	576	95.00	8.73	101.53
2007	607	97.01	7.55	100.65
2006	614	98.21	6.62	100.60
2005	571	98.58	6.67	100.75
2004	534	95.23	11.27	102.29
2003	517	95	9.08	100.93
2002	529	95	10.16	101.49
2001	628	94	10.36	101.33

2008 Commission Summary

80 Seward

Commercial Real Property - 0	Current		
Number of Sales	42	COD	19.93
Total Sales Price	\$6,782,680	PRD	107.33
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$6,716,430	COV	29.25
Total Assessed Value	\$5,845,412	STD	27.32
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$159,915	Avg. Abs. Dev.	18.36
Avg. Assessed Value	\$139,176	Min	46.72
Median	92.11	Max	210.90
Wgt. Mean	87.03	95% Median C.I.	83.76 to 97.08
Mean	93.41	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.	80.79 to 93.27
		95% Mean C.I.	85.15 to 101.68
% of Value of the Class of all Re	eal Property Value in	the County	8.95
% of Records Sold in the Study	Period		6.22
% of Value Sold in the Study P	eriod		4.7
Average Assessed Value of the	Base		184,395

Commercial Re	al Property - History			
Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	42	92.11	19.93	107.33
2007	45	93.99	20.68	106.28
2006	39	98.00	17.69	102.52
2005	48	98.41	15.83	105.72
2004	43	98.03	14.85	105.02
2003	49	98	11.15	105.17
2002	46	98	13.26	121.26
2001	54	99	11.15	122.91

2008 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Seward County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me about the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While I rely primarily on the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property, my opinion of level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained in the RO. Although my primary resource regarding quality of assessment are the performance standards issued by the IAAO, my opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Residential Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Seward County is 95% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Seward County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Commercial Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Seward County is 92% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Seward County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Ruth A. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

Base Stat 80 RE PAGE:1 of 5

80 - SEV	NARD COUNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base Stat		PAGE: 1 OF 5
RESIDENT	TIAL				Гуре: Qualifi	ied			State Stat Run	
					Date Rai	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007	Posted 1	Before: 01/18/2008		
	NUMBER of Sa	Les:	576	MEDIAN:	94	COV:	18.70	95% Median C.I.:	93.51 to 95.16	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Pr	ice:	64,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	91	STD:	17.40	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	89.13 to 92.73	(,
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Pr	Lce:	64,783,361	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.64	95% Mean C.I.:	91.62 to 94.47	
	TOTAL Assessed Va	lue:	58,905,829							
	AVG. Adj. Sales Pr	ice:	112,471	COD:	10.20	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51			
	AVG. Assessed Va	Lue:	102,267	PRD:	102.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.51		Printed: 02/09/	/2008 13:04:32
DATE OF	SALE *								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUN	T MEDI.	AN MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX 95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val

AVG. ASSES	seu varu	Ξ•	102,207	PRD.	102.33 MII	N Sales Racio.	7.51			Printea: 02/09/2	008 13:04:32
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	94	96.52	96.02	96.29	6.76	99.72	71.74	134.94	94.76 to 98.62	118,375	113,984
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	67	95.97	92.89	92.03	8.92	100.93	26.03	133.18	92.32 to 98.40	102,338	94,186
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	44	94.25	92.08	92.08	7.74	100.00	54.34	109.45	91.46 to 98.24	107,527	99,009
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	88	93.94	95.23	92.45	10.57	103.01	48.75	249.51	91.45 to 96.73	112,372	103,892
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	95	93.98	93.95	91.41	10.88	102.78	44.12	195.20	91.74 to 96.40	111,049	101,513
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	55	92.08	88.81	88.29	11.59	100.59	8.21	114.29	88.44 to 96.19	105,094	92,785
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	51	94.40	95.72	92.88	8.72	103.06	75.08	166.90	92.80 to 97.16	107,676	100,011
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	82	92.41	88.04	82.39	14.99	106.86	7.51	162.48	87.00 to 95.73	126,316	104,074
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	293	95.00	94.48	93.62	8.61	100.92	26.03	249.51	94.20 to 96.88	111,276	104,177
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	283	93.53	91.56	88.20	11.83	103.81	7.51	195.20	92.08 to 94.85	113,707	100,288
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	282	93.79	93.06	91.26	10.44	101.97	8.21	249.51	92.19 to 95.00	109,751	100,163
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.20	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BEAVER CROSSING	12	95.16	93.99	92.21	12.14	101.93	69.44	133.18	78.40 to 104.11	37,708	34,769
BEE	11	92.19	101.92	92.41	26.23	110.29	48.75	249.51	78.03 to 104.24	58,893	54,424
CORDOVA	5	97.09	117.00	110.20	33.44	106.17	72.00	168.97	N/A	20,700	22,810
GARLAND	11	96.94	95.82	95.47	3.72	100.37	89.65	103.14	90.97 to 100.63	92,218	88,038
GOEHNER	7	91.74	90.22	91.53	5.30	98.57	80.36	97.02	80.36 to 97.02	85,214	77,995
MILFORD	75	90.89	89.54	88.74	7.73	100.90	60.34	112.82	87.00 to 92.48	107,212	95,144
PLEASANT DALE	10	96.19	90.80	93.53	8.03	97.08	38.64	100.64	91.97 to 99.56	103,395	96,707
RURAL	73	93.97	90.06	86.00	17.47	104.72	7.51	166.90	86.28 to 98.25	160,183	137,763
RURAL SUB	20	93.95	94.55	89.24	12.64	105.95	67.50	195.20	85.79 to 94.93	125,921	112,371
SEWARD	310	95.16	93.31	92.39	8.14	101.00	13.36	134.94	93.87 to 96.83	113,329	104,699
STAPLEHURST	11	97.52	97.11	96.35	2.58	100.78	93.01	103.10	94.01 to 100.42	76,150	73,370
TAMORA	1	153.47	153.47	153.47			153.47	153.47	N/A	20,000	30,694
UTICA	30	94.86	94.57	95.08	9.84	99.47	41.87	135.67	91.69 to 99.63	89,738	85,323
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.20	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267

80 - SEWARD COUNTY PAGE: 2 of 5

80 - SEWARD COUNTY				inary Statistics		Dasc Stat	State Stat Run	FAGE:2 OI 5	
RESIDENTIAL		ר	Гуре: Qualifie				Sidie Sidi Kun		
			Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007	Posted F	Before: 01/18/2008			
NUMBER of Sales:	576	MEDIAN:	94	COV:	18.70	95% Median C.I.:	93.51 to 95.16	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Sales Price: 64	4,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	91	STD:	17.40	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	89.13 to 92.73	(,	
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 64	4,783,361	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.64	95% Mean C.I.:	91.62 to 94.47		
TOTAL Assessed Value: 58	8,905,829								
AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	112,471	COD:	10.20	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				

TOTAL Asses	ssed Value	: 58	3,905,829								
AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price	:	112,471	COD:	10.20	MAX Sales Ra	tio: 249.51				
AVG. Asses	ssed Value	:	102,267	PRD:	102.33	MIN Sales Ra	tio: 7.51			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 13:04:3
LOCATIONS: URBAN, S	UBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PR	O MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	482	94.53	93.31	92.13	8.9	0 101.2	3 13.36	249.51	93.51 to 95.40	104,878	96,620
2	21	94.77	95.50	88.02	14.2	108.5	67.50	166.90	83.22 to 98.92	111,191	97,871
3	73	93.97	90.60	86.41	17.6	104.8	7.51	195.20	90.36 to 97.82	162,969	140,816
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	102.3	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
STATUS: IMPROVED, U	NIMPROVE	D & IOL	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PR	O MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	477	94.45	93.66	92.47	8.6	101.2	9 21.80	249.51	93.53 to 95.40	127,596	117,988
2	98	94.19	90.18	66.92	17.9	134.7	7.51	195.20	90.63 to 95.56	39,814	26,644
3	1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	102.3	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PR	O MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	569	94.28	92.49	90.87	9.7	101.7	7.51	195.20	93.40 to 95.04	113,647	103,269
06	1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
07	6	137.66	148.38	131.42	24.8	112.9	1 102.52	249.51	102.52 to 249.51	16,645	21,875
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	102.3	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
SCHOOL DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PR	O MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
12-0056											
12-0502	2	87.55	87.55	86.19	5.3	101.5	7 82.90	92.19	N/A	154,750	133,378
30-0001	2	123.46	123.46	110.45	26.4	7 111.7	90.78	156.14	N/A	25,750	28,440
55-0148	3	61.70	55.82	39.13	49.0	142.6	4 7.51	98.25	N/A	291,000	113,877
55-0161	3	92.84	87.50	91.60	5.9	95.5	2 76.51	93.14	N/A	187,833	172,055
76-0002	3	103.48	79.24	80.89	26.4	97.9	26.03	108.20	N/A	134,000	108,390
76-0044											
76-0068	5	82.21	97.92	91.22	34.2	107.3	4 61.35	168.97	N/A	68,027	62,056
80-0005	103	91.45	90.70	89.73	9.8	101.0	38.64	142.13	90.10 to 93.97	115,474	103,620
80-0009	400	94.92	93.35	91.87	9.0	101.6	1 8.21	249.51	93.98 to 96.00	114,691	105,367
80-0567	55	96.19	96.97	95.44	12.1	7 101.6	41.87	166.90	92.85 to 99.46	81,330	77,619
NonValid School											
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	102.3	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267

PAD 2008 Proliminary Statistics Base Stat PAGE:3 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY RESIDE

SEWARD COUNTY		PAD 2008	~ ~ ~					
DENTIAL		7	ype: Qualifi	ed			State Stat Run	
			Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007	Posted 1	Before: 01/18/2008		
NUMBER of Sales:	576	MEDIAN:	94	COV:	18.70	95% Median C.I.:	93.51 to 95.16	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sales Price:	64,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	91	STD:	17.40	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	89.13 to 92.73	(1120111011)
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	64,783,361	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.64	95% Mean C.I.:	91.62 to 94.47	
TOTAL Assessed Value:	58,905,829							
AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	112,471	COD:	10.20	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51			
AVG. Assessed Value:	102,267	PRD:	102.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.51		Printed: 02/09/2	2008 13:04:32
BUILT *							Avg. Adj.	Avg.

YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Bla	ank	113	94.81	89.34	71.74	18.59	124.53	7.51	195.20	91.15 to 95.65	51,665	37,065
Prior TO 186	50	1	104.11	104.11	104.11			104.11	104.11	N/A	44,000	45,807
1860 TO 189	99	17	92.64	91.31	92.28	6.55	98.94	69.44	100.53	83.35 to 99.16	91,552	84,488
1900 TO 191	L9	98	93.65	92.17	90.13	10.85	102.27	58.86	168.97	90.78 to 96.39	88,235	79,522
1920 TO 193	39	43	93.02	93.24	90.26	8.84	103.30	49.68	153.47	90.63 to 97.52	93,565	84,456
1940 TO 194	19	9	96.00	98.86	95.05	7.02	104.01	81.04	119.52	94.82 to 110.52	72,544	68,951
1950 TO 195	59	31	90.94	91.83	92.42	5.48	99.36	78.39	111.69	88.17 to 93.27	107,188	99,062
1960 TO 196	59	46	93.50	92.26	92.16	8.37	100.11	71.82	108.11	85.98 to 98.88	121,461	111,933
1970 TO 197	79	68	93.38	95.57	92.32	9.92	103.53	70.20	249.51	91.81 to 95.17	146,250	135,015
1980 TO 198	39	31	93.51	93.95	93.57	4.72	100.40	81.69	111.22	91.69 to 97.09	146,629	137,201
1990 TO 199	94	25	96.40	96.54	93.56	7.62	103.19	80.34	156.14	91.66 to 99.45	145,774	136,385
1995 TO 199	9	30	95.24	93.95	93.83	5.96	100.13	70.52	105.54	91.62 to 98.40	174,026	163,297
2000 TO Pre	esent	64	98.28	96.50	95.50	4.12	101.04	55.58	129.56	97.35 to 98.88	183,683	175,423
ALL	_											
		576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.20	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	2	80.92	80.92	82.98	11.02	97.52	72.00	89.84	N/A	2,600	2,157
5000 TO	9999	6	100.68	120.35	118.82	33.82	101.29	78.40	249.51	78.40 to 249.51	6,604	7,847
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	8	92.75	110.50	114.66	31.51	96.37	72.00	249.51	72.00 to 249.51	5,603	6,424
10000 TO	29999	67	98.50	103.31	99.99	17.55	103.32	41.87	195.20	95.00 to 104.70	21,030	21,027
30000 TO	59999	61	94.77	93.31	92.85	10.17	100.50	38.64	135.67	91.80 to 96.00	42,756	39,700
60000 TO	99999	124	93.08	91.36	91.46	7.88	99.89	44.12	113.23	91.86 to 95.33	82,250	75,225
100000 TO	149999	162	92.85	90.91	91.12	7.83	99.77	8.21	108.20	91.62 to 94.27	122,811	111,908
150000 TO	249999	133	96.33	92.33	92.18	8.49	100.16	13.36	129.56	94.62 to 97.82	184,058	169,666
250000 TO	499999	21	89.16	83.83	81.36	14.39	103.04	7.51	105.54	78.77 to 94.02	292,714	238,141
ALL	_											
		576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.20	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267

Base Stat PAGE:4 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY **PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics** State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008 NUMBER of Sales: 576 **MEDIAN:** 94 95% Median C.I.: 93.51 to 95.16 COV: 18.70 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 64,803,471 WGT. MEAN: 91 STD: 17.40 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 89.13 to 92.73 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 64,783,361 MEAN: 93 95% Mean C.I.: 91.62 to 94.47 AVG.ABS.DEV: 9.64 TOTAL Assessed Value: 58,905,829 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: MAX Sales Ratio: 249.51 112,471 COD: 10.20 102,267 MIN Sales Ratio: AVG. Assessed Value: PRD: 102.33 7.51 Printed: 02/09/2008 13:04:32 Avg. Adj. Avg. ASSESSED VALUE * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD MIN 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN PRD MAX Low \$ 1 TO 4999 3 72.00 70.20 60.46 19.02 116.10 48.75 89.84 N/A 5,066 3,063 7 5000 TO 9999 84.86 83.78 72.69 19.71 115.25 41.87 108.00 41.87 to 108.00 9,392 6,828 _Total \$_ 1 TO 9999 10 81.63 79.71 70.40 20.95 113.23 41.87 108.00 48.75 to 105.70 8,095 5,698 100.89 10000 TO 29999 75 97.28 83.68 20.20 120.57 8.21 249.51 92.08 to 101.79 26,067 21,813 30000 TO 59999 70 92.97 85.78 67.70 17.61 126.70 7.51 153.47 86.86 to 95.39 62,845 42,549 60000 TO 99999 149 92.48 91.63 90.77 7.67 100.94 58.86 132.66 90.97 to 93.74 89,402 81,153 100000 TO 149999 147 93.98 92.77 92.16 6.06 100.66 49.68 108.20 92.66 to 94.91 132,996 122,574 150000 TO 249999 116 97.38 95.44 94.62 5.67 100.86 55.58 129.56 95.40 to 98.57 196,209 185,658 250000 TO 499999 9 98.53 96.12 95.26 7.85 100.90 79.52 111.22 85.79 to 105.54 301,820 287,515 ALL 576 94.43 93.04 90.93 10.20 102.33 7.51 249.51 93.51 to 95.16 112,471 102,267

QUALITY										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	117	94.89	89.58	72.89	18.24	122.89	7.51	195.20	91.20 to 96.00	52,539	38,295
10	1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
15	1	91.81	91.81	91.81			91.81	91.81	N/A	92,000	84,463
20	8	100.65	110.68	107.51	15.13	102.94	90.37	153.47	90.37 to 153.47	77,181	82,978
25	6	95.23	92.25	90.51	10.81	101.93	75.27	109.10	75.27 to 109.10	107,333	97,146
30	280	92.82	92.54	91.03	8.46	101.66	49.68	168.97	91.78 to 94.07	108,378	98,656
35	137	96.41	95.41	94.07	6.48	101.42	55.58	249.51	94.40 to 97.82	157,917	148,553
40	21	98.11	96.07	95.94	5.54	100.13	74.85	114.29	93.94 to 99.81	203,573	195,306
45	3	99.51	100.12	98.17	7.55	101.99	89.16	111.69	N/A	258,328	253,596
50	2	102.66	102.66	103.50	2.50	99.19	100.09	105.23	N/A	117,000	121,092
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.20	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267

Base Stat PAD 2008 Proliminary Statistics PAGE:5 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

80 - SEW	ARD COUNTY			PAD 2008	8 Prelim	<u>inary Statistics</u>		Dase S	tat		INGE.S OF S
RESIDENT	IAL	'			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	94	COV:	18.70	95%	Median C.I.: 93.5	51 to 95.16	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 64	1,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	91	STD:	17.40		. Mean C.I.: 89.1		(Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 64	1,783,361	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	9.64			.62 to 94.47	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 58	3,905,829								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	112,471	COD:	10.20	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	102,267	PRD:	102.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	7.51			Printed: 02/09/	2008 13:04:32
STYLE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	118	94.85	89.38	73.89	18.2	25 120.97	7.51	195.20	91.20 to 96.00	55,214	40,797
100	14	99.77	112.42	93.34	23.2	120.45	74.85	249.51	83.35 to 133.18	71,869	67,081
101	296	93.99	93.75	93.07	7.5	100.73	55.58	168.97	92.87 to 95.20	126,656	117,879
102	34	97.37	94.98	94.98	5.4	100.00	58.86	114.29	96.33 to 98.92	172,014	163,384
103	13	89.74	88.78	88.26	7.3	100.59	70.20	100.28	82.33 to 98.44	158,492	139,888
104	55	91.48	90.18	87.96	10.2	102.53	49.68	132.66	89.41 to 96.25	108,720	95,629
106	7	95.33	97.00	97.72	4.8	99.27	87.04	105.54	87.04 to 105.54	145,142	141,833
111	14	96.25	94.75	94.66	4.2	100.09	79.63	99.92	89.67 to 99.51	121,025	114,556
301	19	95.73	95.32	95.91	5.9	99.38	83.96	113.60	89.31 to 99.57	120,252	115,332
304	4	92.11	93.94	95.03	2.7	98.85	91.29	100.24	N/A	147,000	139,696
305	2	93.47	93.47	93.48	0.5	99.98	92.95	93.98	N/A	150,000	140,222
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	20 102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267
CONDITIO	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	116	94.85	89.43	72.81	18.2	122.83	7.51	195.20	91.15 to 96.00	52,863	38,487
10	1	91.78	91.78	91.78			91.78	91.78	N/A	20,500	18,815
20	5	99.19	99.55	99.05	1.7	79 100.51	96.59	103.50	N/A	116,570	115,459
25	5	109.10	116.34	104.85	16.2	110.96	88.85	168.97	N/A	74,500	78,113
30	182	96.93	95.07	94.38	6.1	100.73	55.58	153.47	95.16 to 98.11	153,180	144,571
35	108	93.07	93.88	91.32	10.6	102.80	59.93	249.51	91.45 to 95.93	117,278	107,093
40	93	92.32	91.80	90.60	7.9		49.68	132.66	90.78 to 94.30	111,677	101,179
45	35	92.81	91.43	92.19	7.3		60.34	114.29	89.41 to 96.25	98,457	90,769
50	21	94.07	93.99	92.02	7.7		69.55	133.18	90.31 to 97.79	103,502	95,242
55	6	84.10	85.67	84.27	6.0	101.66	79.41	98.88	79.41 to 98.88	124,504	104,921
60	4	95.58	95.38	95.30	2.8	100.08	91.96	98.40	N/A	94,500	90,058
ALL											
	576	94.43	93.04	90.93	10.2	102.33	7.51	249.51	93.51 to 95.16	112,471	102,267

Seward County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Residential

- 1) Reviewed sales
- 2) Reappraisal of the improvements in the city of Milford.
- 3) Reanalyzed neighborhoods in Milford and changed some subdivision lot values.
- 4) Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2007 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2008.
- 5) Reappraisal of the houses and buildings on properties classified as farms in Range 3.
- 6) Changed farm home sites and rural residential home sites county wide from 15,000 and 17,000 respectively to 18,000 for the first acre.
- 7) Reviewed and recalculated cash flow discounts on new subdivisions that were discounted.
- 8) Reviewed land values in rural residential subdivisions and revalued Westfork Downs subdivision.
- 9) Reviewed and revalued lots in several Seward subdivisions.
- 10) Reviewed, inspected and disqualified special valuation on parcels not primarily used for agricultural and horticultural purposes. Sent disqualification notices and held County Board of Equalization hearings for appeals.

2008 Assessment Survey for Seward County

Residential Appraisal Information
(Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	Assessor's office Staff
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Assessor's office Staff
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	Urban: 2002-05, Suburban: 2005, Rural: 1999-05
	The Urban properties have been valued using the pricing at the time of the appraisal which varies from 2002 to 2005. But the 2002, 03 and 04 pricing have been updated by current cost multipliers as necessary. The rural pricing on three quarters of the county are on the 1999 pricing with current cost multipliers up to 2003 and one fourth of the county is priced using the 2005 pricing.
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	Urban: 1997, Suburban: 1997, Rural: 1997
	The tables in the CAMA program were built from market analysis; the straight line depreciation schedules stay the same. Market analysis is completed each time an area is reappraised with an economic deprecation factor established. Cost tables updated as appraisal work is completed for the area that is being appraised.
6.	What was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was
	used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	Residential properties in Seward County are valued using only the Cost Approach to
	Value and not the Market or Sales Comparison Approaches to value.
7.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class:
	20 Residential market areas. Neighborhood areas are used to define market areas for the residential properties.

8.	How are these defined?
	Market areas are defined by the same market forces that are used to establish the land values. The neighborhood areas are defined by the combining of similar residential subdivisions. Subdivisions are where the structures are similar in age, quality and basic construction. The towns of Seward and Milford maintains the
	majority of the neighborhood areas with the small towns generally identified as single areas in addition to several rural subdivisions and the rural improvements are maintained in three rural market areas.
9.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	Yes But Seward and Milford would be the exception they being divided into many neighborhood market areas each having differing market influences.
10.	Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural residential? (that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market?)
	N/A
11.	What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)
	None The statutory line does not align with Market Areas established by the county.
12.	Are the county's ag residential and rural residential improvements classified and valued in the same manner?
	The rural agricultural related improvements have been appraised separate from the rural residential in the past, but this year this procedure is being changed. The county is in the second year of re-appraising the improvements on agricultural related parcels at the same time as the rural residential.

Residential Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
367		66	433

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Statistics PAGE:1 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY State Stat Run

RESIDENTIAL		Type: Qualified State Stat Run										
					<i>.</i> .	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008			
NUMBER	of Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	16.04	95% 1	Median C.I.: 94.38	to 95.65	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Sal	les Price	: 64,	803,471	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	15.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.69		(Deriveu)	
TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price	: 64,	783,361	MEAN:	94	AVG.ABS.DEV:	8.29	_		.8 to 95.66		
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	: 60,	244,871									
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	112,471	COD:	8.73	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51					
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	104,591	PRD:	101.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.95			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:24	
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Qrtrs												
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	94	97.04	96.49	96.82	6.5	99.67	71.74	134.94	94.89 to 98.64	118,375	114,605	
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	67	95.97	93.69	93.03	7.82	2 100.71	41.87	133.18	92.64 to 98.28	102,338	95,205	
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	44	94.97	92.38	92.35	7.66	5 100.03	54.34	111.78	91.69 to 98.50	107,527	99,304	
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	88	93.94	95.56	92.84	10.20	5 102.93	48.75	249.51	92.06 to 96.26	112,372	104,325	
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	95	94.85	94.38	91.98	10.20	102.61	50.22	195.20	92.66 to 96.40	111,049	102,142	
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	55	93.53	90.07	89.87	9.6	5 100.22	38.64	114.84	89.84 to 96.19	105,094	94,448	
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	51	95.00	96.59	93.95	7.62	2 102.81	78.39	166.90	92.85 to 96.41	107,676	101,163	
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	82	94.73	94.12	91.58	8.90	102.77	26.95	162.48	92.73 to 97.36	126,316	115,677	
Study Years												
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	293	95.16	94.96	94.17	8.22	2 100.84	41.87	249.51	94.62 to 96.94	111,276	104,784	
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	283	94.46	93.86	91.81	9.29	9 102.24	26.95	195.20	93.77 to 95.46	113,707	104,392	
Calendar Yrs												
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	282	94.37	93.60	91.92	9.73	3 101.83	38.64	249.51	93.06 to 95.39	109,751	100,880	
ALL												
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591	
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
BEAVER CROSSING	12	95.16	93.99	92.21	12.1	4 101.93	69.44	133.18	78.40 to 104.11	37,708	34,769	
BEE	11	92.19	101.92	92.41	26.23	3 110.29	48.75	249.51	78.03 to 104.24	58,893	54,424	
CORDOVA	5	97.09	117.00	110.20	33.4	106.17	72.00	168.97	N/A	20,700	22,810	
GARLAND	11	96.94	95.82	95.47	3.72	2 100.37	89.65	103.14	90.97 to 100.63	92,218	88,038	
GOEHNER	7	91.74	90.22	91.53	5.30	98.57	80.36	97.02	80.36 to 97.02	85,214	77,995	
MILFORD	75	94.51	93.93	93.81	4.20	100.14	76.40	110.48	92.73 to 95.46	107,212	100,573	
PLEASANT DALE	10	96.19	90.80	93.53	8.03	3 97.08	38.64	100.64	91.97 to 99.56	103,395	96,707	
RURAL	73	94.29	91.85	88.07	15.89	9 104.29	26.95	166.90	86.83 to 98.28	160,183	141,071	
RURAL SUB	20	94.43	94.68	89.52	12.43	3 105.77	67.50	195.20	85.79 to 94.93	125,921	112,721	
SEWARD	310	95.41	94.37	94.33	6.89	9 100.05	58.86	134.94	94.28 to 96.94	113,329	106,904	
STAPLEHURST	11	97.52	97.11	96.35	2.58	3 100.78	93.01	103.10	94.01 to 100.42	76,150	73,370	
TAMORA	1	153.47	153.47	153.47			153.47	153.47	N/A	20,000	30,694	
UTICA	30	94.86	94.57	95.08	9.84	4 99.47	41.87	135.67	91.69 to 99.63	89,738	85,323	
ALL												
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591	

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Statistics PAGE:2 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY State Stat Run

RESIDENTIAL.

RESIDENTIA	L	,			Type: Qualifie	ed				State Stat Run	
					• •	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	16.04	95%	Median C.I.: 94.38	3 to 95.65	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 64	,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	15.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.69		(:. Derivea)
T	OTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 64	1,783,361	MEAN:	94	AVG.ABS.DEV:	8.29	_		18 to 95.66	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 60	,244,871			11,011,000	0.25			20 00 20.00	
A'	VG. Adj. Sales Price	:	112,471	COD:	8.73	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	104,591	PRD:	101.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.95			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:24
LOCATIONS	: URBAN, SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	481	95.07	94.73	94.32	7.35	5 100.43	38.64	249.51	94.46 to 95.97	104,948	98,985
2	22	93.90	94.99	87.97	15.09	9 107.98	67.50	166.90	80.77 to 98.92	109,387	96,225
3	73	94.29	92.22	88.39	15.99	9 104.32	26.95	195.20	91.03 to 97.71	162,969	144,055
ALL											
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
STATUS: II	MPROVED, UNIMPROVE	D & IOLI	<u></u>							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	477	95.20	94.89	93.90	7.48	3 101.05	41.87	249.51	94.40 to 96.26	128,013	120,204
2	98	94.79	92.29	78.13	14.69	9 118.13	26.95	195.20	91.20 to 95.00	37,785	29,520
3	1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
ALL											
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
PROPERTY :	TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	569	95.00	93.87	92.94	8.20	101.00	26.95	195.20	94.37 to 95.46	113,647	105,621
06	1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
07	6	140.99	149.49	132.42	25.06	112.89	102.52	249.51	102.52 to 249.51	16,645	22,042
ALL											
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
SCHOOL DIS	STRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
12-0056											
12-0502	2	87.80	87.80	86.51	5.01		83.40	92.19	N/A	154,750	133,878
30-0001	2	123.46	123.46	110.45	26.47		90.78	156.14	N/A	25,750	28,440
55-0148	3	62.18	61.98	49.75	37.45		26.95	96.80	N/A	291,000	144,759
55-0161	3	93.82	88.14	92.49	6.25		76.51	94.10	N/A	187,833	173,722
76-0002	3	92.41	85.86	89.89	15.38	95.52	61.26	103.90	N/A	134,000	120,447
76-0044											
76-0068	5	83.01	98.21	91.81	34.06		61.35	168.97	N/A	68,027	62,456
80-0005	103	94.75	94.07	93.29	7.02		38.64	148.80	93.68 to 95.65	115,474	107,729
80-0009	400	95.00	94.34	93.55	8.00		47.29	249.51	94.28 to 96.39	114,691	107,292
80-0567	55	96.19	97.10	95.64	12.20	0 101.53	41.87	166.90	92.89 to 99.63	81,330	77,783
NonValid So	chool										
ALL											
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591

80 - SEWARD CO	UNTY			PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
RESIDENTIAL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	8/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	16.04	95%	Median C.I.: 94.3	8 to 95.65	(!: Derived)
T	OTAL Sales Price	: 64	1,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	15.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.6		(11 2 11 11 11)
TOTAL	Adj.Sales Price	: 64	1,783,361	MEAN:	94	AVG.ABS.DEV:	8.29	95	% Mean C.I.: 93.	18 to 95.66	
TOTAL	L Assessed Value	: 60),244,871								
AVG. A	Adj. Sales Price	:	112,471	COD:	8.73	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				
AVG	. Assessed Value	:	104,591	PRD:	101.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.95			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:24
YEAR BUILT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blank	113	95.00	92.90	85.08	14.4	109.19	26.95	195.20	92.41 to 95.65	51,665	43,956
Prior TO 1860	1	104.11	104.11	104.11			104.11	104.11	N/A	44,000	45,807
1860 TO 1899	17	92.64	91.42	92.42	6.5	98.91	69.44	100.53	84.33 to 99.16	91,552	84,617
1900 TO 1919	98	93.89	93.05	91.15	9.9	102.08	58.86	168.97	91.62 to 96.39	88,235	80,430
1920 TO 1939	43	93.87	93.73	90.79	8.4	103.25	50.16	153.47	91.89 to 98.15	93,565	84,945
1940 TO 1949	9	96.00	98.93	95.20	6.9	103.92	81.69	119.52	94.82 to 110.52	72,544	69,062
1950 TO 1959	31	92.39	92.90	93.74	5.2	99.10	78.39	111.69	90.40 to 95.92	107,188	100,483
1960 TO 1969	46	96.58	93.89	93.75	6.6	100.14	78.24	108.11	92.28 to 98.88	121,461	113,875
1970 TO 1979	68	94.28	97.17	93.89	8.9	103.49	75.87	249.51	92.76 to 98.17	146,250	137,312
1980 TO 1989	31	93.98	94.24	93.82	4.5	100.44	82.09	111.64	91.74 to 97.09	146,629	137,567
1990 TO 1994	25	96.40	96.53	93.54	7.5	103.19	80.77	156.14	92.29 to 99.45	145,774	136,361
1995 TO 1999	30	95.24	94.18	94.08	6.0	100.10	70.52	105.54	91.62 to 98.82	174,026	163,731
2000 TO Presen	nt 64	98.13	97.24	96.64	3.1	100.62	85.79	130.23	96.94 to 98.57	183,683	177,513
ALL											
	576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.7	73 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
SALE PRICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
T.OW. S											

RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assa val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	2	80.92	80.92	82.98	11.02	97.52	72.00	89.84	N/A	2,600	2,157
5000 TO	9999	6	100.68	120.35	118.82	33.82	101.29	78.40	249.51	78.40 to 249.51	6,604	7,847
Total \$	5											
1 TO	9999	8	92.75	110.50	114.66	31.51	96.37	72.00	249.51	72.00 to 249.51	5,603	6,424
10000 TO	29999	67	98.50	102.91	99.53	17.34	103.39	41.87	195.20	95.00 to 103.10	21,030	20,931
30000 TO	59999	61	94.86	93.47	92.90	9.65	100.61	38.64	135.67	92.41 to 95.56	42,756	39,721
60000 TO	99999	124	94.28	91.93	92.07	7.68	99.85	50.22	113.23	92.79 to 95.88	82,250	75,727
100000 TO	149999	162	93.89	92.81	92.95	6.01	99.84	47.29	108.11	92.66 to 94.91	122,811	114,158
150000 TO	249999	133	96.83	95.00	94.92	5.72	100.09	50.16	130.23	95.16 to 97.95	184,058	174,707
250000 TO	499999	21	91.46	87.42	85.38	11.14	102.40	26.95	105.54	82.50 to 96.99	292,714	249,907
ALL	_											
		576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.73	101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591

Rase Stat PACE:4 of 5

80 - SEWARD COUNTY					PAD 2008 R&O Statistics								PAGE:4 of 5
RESIDENT	IAL						Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
		NUMBER o	f Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	16.04	95%	Median C.I.: 94.38	R +0 05 65	(I. Davis J)
	7	TOTAL Sale	s Price:	: 64	,803,471	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:	15.14		. Mean C.I.: 91.69		(!: Derived)
	TOTAI	L Adj.Sale	s Price:	: 64	,783,361	MEAN:	94	AVG.ABS.DEV:	8.29	_		18 to 95.66	
	TOTA	AL Assesse	d Value:	: 60	,244,871			AVG.ADD.DEV.	0.25	, ,	V 1.0011 0.11	10 00 99.00	
	AVG.	Adj. Sale	s Price:	:	112,471	COD:	8.73	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				
	AVO	3. Assesse	d Value	:	104,591	PRD:	101.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.95			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:24
ASSESSEI	D VALI	JE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov	w \$												
1 7	го	4999	3	72.00	70.20	60.46	19.0	2 116.10	48.75	89.84	N/A	5,066	3,063
5000 TO	Э	9999	7	84.86	83.78	72.69	19.7	1 115.25	41.87	108.00	41.87 to 108.00	9,392	6,828
Tota	al \$												
1 7	TO	9999	10	81.63	79.71	70.40	20.9	5 113.23	41.87	108.00	48.75 to 105.70	8,095	5,698
10000	TO	29999	72	97.88	103.53	96.40	18.0	1 107.40	38.64	249.51	95.00 to 101.79	22,702	21,885
30000	го	59999	66	93.44	90.79	87.09	12.0	5 104.25	50.22	153.47	90.37 to 95.39	49,132	42,788
60000	го	99999	145	93.40	92.60	91.75	7.3	4 100.93	47.29	132.66	92.35 to 95.46	88,768	81,441
100000 7	го	149999	154	94.29	92.92	91.25	5.8	4 101.83	26.95	108.11	92.87 to 95.63	134,584	122,809
150000 7	го	249999	119	97.39	96.15	95.57	4.8	5 100.61	70.52	130.23	96.09 to 98.41	195,304	186,654
250000	го	499999	10	97.91	96.38	95.58	7.3	0 100.83	79.82	111.64	85.79 to 105.54	298,638	285,450
ALL_													
			576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.7	3 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
QUALITY												Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)			117	95.00	93.04	85.57	14.2	2 108.72	26.95	195.20	92.91 to 95.90	52,539	44,960
10			1	78.39	78.39	78.39			78.39	78.39	N/A	18,000	14,110
15			1	92.89	92.89	92.89			92.89	92.89	N/A	92,000	85,463
20			8	101.65	111.01	107.95	15.0		90.37	153.47	90.37 to 153.47	77,181	83,319
25			6	95.49	92.44	90.82	10.7		75.87	109.10	75.87 to 109.10	107,333	97,479
30			280	93.52	93.45	92.04	7.6		50.16	168.97	92.64 to 94.46	108,378	99,749
35			137	96.47	96.33	95.19	5.6		58.86	249.51	95.46 to 97.95	157,917	150,318
40			21	98.50	96.49	96.37	5.4		74.85	114.84	94.49 to 100.15	203,573	196,191
45			3	99.51	100.12	98.17	7.5		89.16	111.69	N/A	258,328	253,596
50			2	98.52	98.52	98.00	1.6	0 100.52	96.94	100.09	N/A	117,000	114,664

8.73

101.53

26.95

249.51

94.38 to 95.65

112,471

104,591

_ALL___

576

95.00

94.42

92.99

Base Stat PAD 2008 P&O Statistics PAGE:5 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

80 - SEW	MARD COUNTY				PAD 2	00X R <i>X</i> ;	O Statistics		Dasc 5	ıaı		INGE.S OF S
RESIDENTIAL		_	Type: Qualified							State Stat Run		
					•		nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/	2007 Posted 1	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBI	ER of Sales	:	576	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	16.04	95%	Median C.I.: 94.3	8 to 95.65	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL S	Sales Price	: 64,	803,471	WGT. MEAN:	93	STD:			. Mean C.I.: 91.69		(Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price	: 64,	783,361	MEAN:	94	AVG.ABS.DEV:				18 to 95.66	
	TOTAL Asse	essed Value	: 60,	244,871								
	AVG. Adj. S	Sales Price	:	112,471	COD:	8.73	MAX Sales Ratio:	249.51				
	AVG. Asse	essed Value	:	104,591	PRD:	101.53	MIN Sales Ratio:	26.95			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:25
STYLE											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		118	95.00	93.02	86.25	13.9	107.85	26.95	195.20	92.82 to 95.90	55,214	47,624
100		14	99.77	112.53	93.54	23.2	28 120.31	74.85	249.51	83.40 to 133.18	71,869	67,224
101		296	94.69	94.65	94.15	6.7	77 100.53	68.62	168.97	93.81 to 95.97	126,656	119,250
102		34	97.86	95.14	95.20	5.4	99.94	58.86	114.84	96.39 to 98.92	172,014	163,759
103		13	91.86	90.49	90.59	5.6	99.89	81.51	100.28	82.77 to 98.44	158,492	143,575
104		55	93.01	91.30	89.01	9.2	102.57	50.16	132.66	90.56 to 96.73	108,720	96,773
106		7	95.40	97.17	97.89	4.7	99.27	87.04	105.54	87.04 to 105.54	145,142	142,082
111		14	96.25	94.84	94.72	4.3	33 100.12	79.63	100.74	89.67 to 99.81	121,025	114,634
301		19	95.84	95.43	95.83	5.0	99.59	83.96	114.14	90.21 to 99.51	120,252	115,235
304		4	92.49	94.13	95.20	2.5	98.87	91.29	100.24	N/A	147,000	139,946
305		2	93.47	93.47	93.48	0.5	99.98	92.95	93.98	N/A	150,000	140,222
ALL												
		576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.7	101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591
CONDITIO	ON										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		116	95.00	92.92	85.52	14.2	108.65	26.95	195.20	92.41 to 95.90	52,863	45,209
10		1	91.78	91.78	91.78			91.78	91.78	N/A	20,500	18,815
20		5	99.19	99.46	98.72	2.2	28 100.74	96.59	103.50	N/A	116,570	115,082
25		5	109.10	116.34	104.85	16.2	26 110.96	88.85	168.97	N/A	74,500	78,113
30		182	97.06	95.82	95.25	5.4	100.60	58.86	153.47	95.84 to 98.12	153,180	145,897
35		108	93.29	94.45	92.12	10.2	20 102.53	59.93	249.51	92.19 to 95.93	117,278	108,033
40		93	94.18	93.00	91.98	6.9	101.10	50.16	132.66	92.29 to 95.27	111,677	102,724
45		35	93.51	92.87	93.51	6.5	99.31	72.55	114.84	90.10 to 96.47	98,457	92,071
50		21	94.86	93.74	91.68	7.3		70.09	133.18	91.01 to 97.79	103,502	94,889
55		6	91.65	91.09	89.03	4.6	102.32	82.50	98.88	82.50 to 98.88	124,504	110,843
60		4	95.71	95.60	95.54	2.7	100.06	92.57	98.40	N/A	94,500	90,284
ALL												
		576	95.00	94.42	92.99	8.7	73 101.53	26.95	249.51	94.38 to 95.65	112,471	104,591

Residential Real Property

I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: The actions of the assessment of this property class are apparent, through the pro-active approach with the appraisal and office staff that many of the goals that were set have been achieved and the results are the continued efforts for better equalization and uniformity within this class of property. The median is most representative of the overall level of value for this class of property.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2008	764	576	75.39
2007	820	607	74.02
2006	880	614	69.77
2005	830	571	68.8
2004	752	534	71.01
2003	695	517	74.39
2002	710	529	74.51
2001	805	628	78.01

RESIDENTIAL: The sales qualification and utilization for this property class is the sole responsibility of the county assessor. The above table indicates that a reasonable percentage of all available sales is being utilized for the sales study, and would indicate that the county is not excessively trimming the residential sales file.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2008	94.43	2.63	96.91	95.00
2007	96.91	1.13	98	97.01
2006	97.49	2.39	99.82	98.21
2005	92.58	9.31	101.2	98.58
2004	92.22	3.57	95.51	95.23
2003	93	2.32	95.16	95
2002	88	6.2	93.46	95
2001	90	2.91	92.62	94

RESIDENTIAL: This comparison between the trended level of value and the final median for this property class indicates that the two values are similar and support each other.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales		% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
4.09	2008	2.63
0.98	2007	1.13
2.79	2006	2.39
9.77	2005	9.31
3.41	2004	3.57
5	2003	2
6.2	2002	6.2
2.67	2001	2.91

RESIDENTIAL: The percent change for this class of property indicates that the two rates are similar. Having a small difference between these two measurements supports the actions of the assessor's office.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	95.00	92.99	94.42

RESIDENTIAL: All three measures of central tendency shown here reflect that the statistics for the qualified sales for this property type are within the acceptable range. There is little difference between the three measures of central tendency which gives reasonable indication this property type is being treated uniformly and proportionately. The median will be the best indication of level of value for this county for this property type.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less. For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	8.73	101.53
Difference	0	0

RESIDENTIAL: Both the coefficient of dispersion and the price-related differential are within the acceptable range as qualitative measures, and indicate a general level of good assessment uniformity for this property class as a whole.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	576	576	0
Median	94.43	95.00	0.57
Wgt. Mean	90.93	92.99	2.06
Mean	93.04	94.42	1.38
COD	10.20	8.73	-1.47
PRD	102.33	101.53	-0.8
Min Sales Ratio	7.51	26.95	19.44
Max Sales Ratio	249.51	249.51	0

RESIDENTIAL: The statistics for this class of property in this county represent the assessment actions completed for this property class for this assessment year.

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY COMME

SEWARD COUNTY		<u>PAD 2008</u>	G G D					
TERCIAL		7	State Stat Run					
			Date Rai	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007	Posted 1	Before: 01/18/2008		
NUMBER of Sales:	42	MEDIAN:	85	cov:	36.79	95% Median C.I.:	71.50 to 93.15	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sales Price:	6,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	76	STD:	30.56	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	66.86 to 84.69	(11 2 01 11 0 11)
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	6,716,430	MEAN:	83	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.55	95% Mean C.I.:	73.84 to 92.32	
TOTAL Assessed Value:	5,089,162							
AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	159,915	COD:	25.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	194.25			
AVG. Assessed Value:	121,170	PRD:	109.64	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.30		Printed: 02/09/.	2008 13:04:43
							7 7-1-	7

TIVO. TIDDED	DOG TOLEG	_	121,170	1112	107.01 1111	Baics Racio	3.30			Printea: 02/09/2	000 13.04.43
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	4	89.78	80.07	88.98	13.93	89.98	46.72	93.99	N/A	59,250	52,723
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	2	85.17	85.17	82.56	3.32	103.17	82.34	88.00	N/A	78,000	64,393
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	3	98.12	101.07	99.82	7.45	101.25	91.58	113.51	N/A	288,166	287,643
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	3	80.82	84.16	83.65	12.48	100.61	70.71	100.96	N/A	118,333	98,986
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	6	97.97	105.71	90.31	25.54	117.05	63.37	194.25	63.37 to 194.25	148,971	134,543
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	2	81.43	81.43	84.76	12.19	96.07	71.50	91.36	N/A	225,750	191,342
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	3	94.04	99.94	81.19	22.07	123.10	71.77	134.02	N/A	74,333	60,349
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	4	71.91	83.57	72.04	28.58	116.00	59.39	131.06	N/A	234,875	169,205
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	70.04	74.99	58.09	34.96	129.11	29.10	114.63	N/A	177,020	102,823
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	2	55.03	55.03	55.59	8.21	98.99	50.51	59.54	N/A	400,000	222,353
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	5	77.91	72.95	74.10	21.36	98.45	42.74	97.08	N/A	131,200	97,216
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	3	63.56	54.00	37.99	46.07	142.15	5.30	93.15	N/A	85,000	32,291
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	12	89.78	87.19	93.00	12.28	93.76	46.72	113.51	80.82 to 98.12	134,375	124,963
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	15	91.36	95.42	81.66	26.04	116.85	59.39	194.25	71.50 to 99.05	167,188	136,520
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	15	63.56	67.45	59.39	34.76	113.58	5.30	114.63	50.51 to 93.15	173,073	102,785
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	14	94.31	96.63	91.62	19.00	105.47	63.37	194.25	71.50 to 100.96	183,202	167,845
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	14	70.91	79.94	63.80	32.68	125.30	29.10	134.02	59.39 to 114.63	203,400	129,763
ALL											
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.31	109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BEAVER CROSSING	2	92.81	92.81	92.32	1.33	100.53	91.58	94.04	N/A	50,000	46,158
GARLAND	3	70.04	71.28	77.20	23.97	92.33	46.72	97.08	N/A	37,333	28,820
GOEHNER	1	70.71	70.71	70.71			70.71	70.71	N/A	70,000	49,496
MILFORD	8	85.15	95.55	93.80	29.37	101.86	59.07	194.25	59.07 to 194.25	63,888	59,926
PLEASANT DALE	1	80.82	80.82	80.82			80.82	80.82	N/A	200,000	161,642
RURAL	3	98.89	96.77	89.18	12.00	108.51	77.91	113.51	N/A	243,333	217,002
SEWARD	21	71.77	74.91	70.95	31.91	105.59	5.30	131.06	59.54 to 93.99	233,539	165,698
STAPLEHURST	1	134.02	134.02	134.02			134.02	134.02	N/A	23,000	30,824
UTICA	2	88.21	88.21	88.37	0.23	99.81	88.00	88.41	N/A	33,000	29,163
ALL											
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.31	109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170

Base Stat PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics PAGE:2 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

60 - SEWARD COUNT		PAD ZUUS	<u>Prelim</u>	<u>inary Statistics</u>	<u> </u>			g g p				
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualifie			State Stat Run				
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008			
NUMI	BER of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	85	COV:	36.79	95%	Median C.I.: 71.5	0 to 93 15	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL	Sales Price	: 6	,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	76	STD:	30.56		. Mean C.I.: 66.8		(:: Derivea)	
TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price	: 6	,716,430	MEAN:	83	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.55			84 to 92.32		
TOTAL Ass	sessed Value	: 5	,089,162			AVG.ADB.DEV.	21.55	,,	75.	01 00 92.32		
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	159,915	COD:	25.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	194.25					
AVG. Ass	sessed Value	:	121,170	PRD:	109.64	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.30			Printed: 02/09/2	008 13:04:43	
LOCATIONS: URBAN,	SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	39	82.34	82.03	74.14	26.5	7 110.64	5.30	194.25	70.04 to 93.15	153,498	113,798	
2	1	113.51	113.51	113.51			113.51	113.51	N/A	125,000	141,892	
3	2	88.40	88.40	84.15	11.8	7 105.05	77.91	98.89	N/A	302,500	254,557	
ALL												
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	1 109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170	
STATUS: IMPROVED,	UNIMPROVE	D & IOLI	·							Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
1	36	82.00	85.04	76.91	25.0	0 110.56	29.10	194.25	70.71 to 93.15	170,939	131,474	
2	6	91.00	71.33	63.29	28.5	5 112.70	5.30	99.04	5.30 to 99.04	93,766	59,344	
ALL												
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	1 109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170	
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
02	1	98.12	98.12	98.12			98.12	98.12	N/A	669,500	656,931	
03	41	82.34	82.71	73.30	26.3	5 112.84	5.30	194.25	70.71 to 93.15	147,486	108,103	
04												
ALL												
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	1 109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170	
SCHOOL DISTRICT *	:									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)												
12-0056												
12-0502												
30-0001												
55-0148												
55-0161												
76-0002												
76-0044												
76-0068												
80-0005	10	81.58	92.31	85.57	25.2	5 107.88	59.07	194.25	63.56 to 100.96	113,611	97,217	
80-0009	28	76.72	78.72	73.26	30.9	7 107.46	5.30	134.02	63.37 to 97.04	193,368	141,655	
80-0567	4	90.00	90.51	90.75	2.5	6 99.73	88.00	94.04	N/A	41,500	37,661	
NonValid School												
ALL												
	42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	1 109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170	

80 - SEWARD	COUNTY				PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat	G G D	PAGE: 3 of
COMMERCIAL					٦	Type: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	85	COV:	36.79	95%	Median C.I.: 71.5	0 to 93.15	(!: Derived
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	6,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	76	STD:	30.56		. Mean C.I.: 66.8		(Deriveu
TOT	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	6,716,430	MEAN:	83	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.55			84 to 92.32	
TO	OTAL Asses	sed Value	:	5,089,162								
AVO	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	159,915	COD:	25.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	194.25				
I	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	121,170	PRD:	109.64	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.30			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 13:04:4
YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blan	ık	9	93.99	74.31	57.82	28.9	128.51	5.30	114.63	29.10 to 99.04	110,566	63,93
Prior TO 186	0											
1860 TO 189	19	1	63.37	63.37	63.37			63.37	63.37	N/A	75,000	47,52
1900 TO 191	.9	16	76.92	85.96	71.64	31.3	119.99	46.72	194.25	62.15 to 97.04	99,631	71,37
1920 TO 193	19											
1940 TO 194	.9											
1950 TO 195	19	1	77.19	77.19	77.19			77.19	77.19	N/A	90,000	69,47
1960 TO 196	9	4	95.71	95.51	78.19	27.9	98 122.15	59.54	131.06	N/A	269,375	210,62
1970 TO 197	'9	3	71.77	76.32	91.89	18.1	.4 83.06	59.07	98.12	N/A	287,500	264,17
1980 TO 198	19	3	81.66	78.75	74.23	8.7	70 106.08	66.64	87.95	N/A	420,240	311,96
1990 TO 199	4	2	85.99	85.99	84.26	6.0	102.04	80.82	91.15	N/A	150,000	126,39
1995 TO 199	19	1	91.36	91.36	91.36			91.36	91.36	N/A	301,500	275,44
2000 TO Pre	esent	2	97.06	97.06	97.30	4.0	99.75	93.15	100.96	N/A	80,000	77,84
ALL	_											
		42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,17
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
5000 TO	9999	1	88.00	88.00	88.00			88.00	88.00	N/A	6,000	5,28
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	1	88.00	88.00	88.00			88.00	88.00	N/A	6,000	5,28
10000 TO	29999	4	79.06	84.72	89.43	40.2	25 94.73	46.72	134.02	N/A	19,312	17,27
30000 TO	59999	5	97.04	109.19	115.85	27.5	94.25	63.56	194.25	N/A	35,772	41,44
60000 TO	99999	13	91.58	90.93	91.34	14.7	99.55	63.37	131.06	70.71 to 100.96	71,892	65,66
100000 TO	149999	4	75.27	76.70	77.99	34.0	98.35	42.74	113.51	N/A	111,250	86,76
150000 TO	249999	6	76.29	68.44	70.04	24.7	97.72	5.30	98.89	5.30 to 98.89	166,666	116,72
250000 TO	499999	6	60.85	61.76	62.08	25.2	99.49	29.10	91.36	29.10 to 91.36	368,750	228,91
500000 +		3	81.66	82.14	82.32	12.8	99.78	66.64	98.12	N/A	620,740	511,00
ALL	_											
		42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,17

80 - SEWARD COUNTY					PAD 2008	Prelim	at		PAGE:4 of 5				
COMMERCIAL						Гуре: Qualifi	· ·				State Stat Run		
							ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/200	7 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008			
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	85	COV:	36.79	95% 1	Median C.I.: 71.5	0 to 93.15	(!: Derived	
	TOTAL Sal	les Price	: 6	5,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	76	STD:	30.56			6 to 84.69	(Deriveu)	
TOT	TAL Adj.Sal	les Price	: 6	5,716,430	MEAN:	83	AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.55	_		84 to 92.32		
TC	OTAL Assess	sed Value	: 5	5,089,162									
AVG	G. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	159,915	COD:	25.31	MAX Sales Ratio:	194.25					
P	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	121,170	PRD:	109.64	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.30			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 13:04:43	
ASSESSED VA	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.		
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$_													
5000 TO	9999	3	46.72	46.67	11.21	59.0	0 416.35	5.30	88.00	N/A	56,000	6,277	
Total \$													
1 TO	9999	3	46.72	46.67	11.21	59.0	0 416.35	5.30	88.00	N/A	56,000	6,277	
10000 TO	29999	4	78.80	78.93	78.17	22.3	5 100.97	59.07	99.05	N/A	25,562	19,982	
30000 TO	59999	9	87.95	83.48	74.92	21.4	4 111.43	42.74	134.02	63.37 to 97.08	58,777	44,035	
60000 TO	99999	11	93.15	94.95	76.32	24.8	3 124.40	29.10	194.25	59.39 to 114.63	100,450	76,668	
100000 TO	149999	5	82.34	94.04	88.66	24.6	1 106.07	71.50	131.06	N/A	134,500	119,245	
150000 TO	249999	4	71.49	73.09	67.85	23.4	5 107.73	50.51	98.89	N/A	275,250	186,758	
250000 TO	499999	5	77.91	75.42	73.89	12.0	2 102.08	59.54	91.36	N/A	473,844	350,107	
500000 +		1	98.12	98.12	98.12			98.12	98.12	N/A	669,500	656,931	
ALL	_												
		42	85.15	83.08	75.77	25.3	1 109.64	5.30	194.25	71.50 to 93.15	159,915	121,170	
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		9	93.99	74.31	57.82	28.9		5.30	114.63	29.10 to 99.04	110,566	63,932	
10		4	84.38	82.20	85.33	14.5		59.07	100.96	N/A	94,000	80,214	
15		1	113.51	113.51	113.51			13.51	113.51	N/A	125,000	141,892	
20		26	79.79	85.94	79.33	24.7		46.72	194.25	70.04 to 91.58	184,435	146,321	
25		1	93.15	93.15	93.15			93.15	93.15	N/A	75,000	69,861	
40 ALL		1	50.51	50.51	50.51			50.51	50.51	N/A	350,000	176,798	

25.31

109.64 5.30 194.25 71.50 to 93.15

159,915

121,170

42

85.15

83.08

75.77

80 - SE	80 - SEWARD COUNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inar	v Statistics		Base St	at		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERC	IAL				Гуре: Qualifi						State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/0	01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales:		42	MEDIAN:	85		COV:	36.79	95% I	Median C.I.: 71.	.50 to 93.15	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:		6,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	76		STD:	30.56			.86 to 84.69	(Derivea)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:		6,716,430	MEAN:	83		AVG.ABS.DEV:	21.55	· · · · ·		3.84 to 92.32	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:		5,089,162						73.01 60 72.			
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		159,915	COD:	25.31	MAX	Sales Ratio:	194.25				
	AVG. Assessed Value:		121,170	PRD:	109.64	MIN	Sales Ratio:	5.30			Printed: 02/09/2	008 13:04:43
OCCUPAN	ICY CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I	. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	9	93.99	74.31	57.82	28.9	0	128.51	5.30	114.63	29.10 to 99.04	110,566	63,932
303	1	50.51	50.51	50.51				50.51	50.51	N/A	350,000	176,798
325	3	91.15	103.39	102.86	15.7	7	100.51	87.95	131.06	N/A	81,833	84,174
340	3	94.04	125.57	125.38	37.5	2	100.15	88.41	194.25	N/A	45,286	56,781
344	1	62.15	62.15	62.15				62.15	62.15	N/A	371,000	230,594
349	1	77.19	77.19	77.19				77.19	77.19	N/A	90,000	69,474
350	6	79.36	79.08	79.10	10.2	0	99.98	63.56	99.05	63.56 to 99.05	227,495	179,949
351	1	134.02	134.02	134.02				134.02	134.02	N/A	23,000	30,824
352	2	94.74	94.74	96.02	3.5	7	98.67	91.36	98.12	N/A	485,500	466,185
353	7	71.77	76.73	74.41	15.8	7	103.12	59.39	97.04	59.39 to 97.04	97,571	72,602
406	3	59.07	68.92	87.51	30.6	1	78.75	46.72	100.96	N/A	40,000	35,004
419	2	90.08	90.08	74.18	26.0	2	121.42	66.64	113.51	N/A	388,500	288,204
442	2	81.15	81.15	81.14	12.8	6	100.00	70.71	91.58	N/A	70,000	56,801
528	1	59.54	59.54	59.54				59.54	59.54	N/A	450,000	267,908
ALI												

25.31

109.64

5.30

194.25

71.50 to 93.15

159,915

121,170

42

85.15

83.08

75.77

Seward County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Commercial

- 1) Reviewed the sales to see if the 2000 county's comprehensive reappraisal was staying with the current market.
- 2) Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2007 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2008.
- 3) Revalued land in the city of Seward.
- 4) Revalued land and improvements in the city of Milford.
- 5) Revalued land in Seward on properties classified as apartments.
- 6) Reviewed Section 42 Housing properties and revalued.

2008 Assessment Survey for Seward County

Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	Contract Appraiser
2.	Valuation done by:
	Contract Appraiser
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Contract Appraiser
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	1999
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2000
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	The cost approach is predominantly used for the valuation of the commercial
	properties in Seward County. If a parcel is called up in a protest an income approach is developed to support the cost approach.
7.	When was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	The predominant valuation process in this county is to depend on the Cost Approach to Value. The Sales Comparison Approach to value is not developed or used.
8.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class?
	There are market areas that are defined by land value analysis. Examples would be
	areas defined as the interstate interchanges.
9.	How are these defined?
	The analysis used to define the land values are use to define the market areas. Rural
	commercial areas West of Seward and East of Seward and the several and various
	interstate intersections and market areas within Seward and the Small Towns
10.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	Yes For the most part but Seward would be the exception it being divided into many

	neighborhood market areas.
11.	Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural commercial? (that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market?)
	N/A The statutory lines do not align with the Market Areas established by the county.

12. What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)

No The statutory line does not align with Market Areas established by the county.

Commercial Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
17		2	19

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Statistics PAGE:1 of 5 80 - SEWARD COUNTY State Stat R

COMMERCIAL

Qualified		State Stat Run
te Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007	Posted Refore: 01/18/2008	

COMMERCIAL Type: Qualified State Stat Run											
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	92	COV:	29.25	95% 1	Median C.I.: 83.76	to 97.08	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sal	es Price	: 6	,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	27.32	95% Wgt		to 93.27	(Deriveu)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	es Price	: 6	,716,430	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.36	95		5 to 101.68	
TOTAL Assess	ed Value	: 5	,845,412								
AVG. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	159,915	COD:	19.93	MAX Sales Ratio:	210.90				
AVG. Assess	ed Value	:	139,176	PRD:	107.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.72			Printed: 03/31/2	008 19:52:29
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	4	99.71	96.16	109.58	28.6	8 87.76	46.72	138.52	N/A	59,250	64,923
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	2	88.60	88.60	89.15	0.6	7 99.38	88.00	89.19	N/A	78,000	69,536
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	3	99.05	101.38	100.54	7.3	8 100.84	91.58	113.51	N/A	288,166	289,713
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	3	80.82	86.92	85.63	15.8	9 101.51	70.71	109.24	N/A	118,333	101,332
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	6	103.21	121.83	103.70	24.0	4 117.49	96.04	210.90	96.04 to 210.90	148,971	154,481
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	2	84.59	84.59	87.29	9.5	2 96.90	76.53	92.64	N/A	225,750	197,060
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	3	94.04	103.94	90.33	17.8	2 115.07	83.76	134.02	N/A	74,333	67,144
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	4	79.08	87.94	78.58	22.3	4 111.90	66.20	127.38	N/A	234,875	184,567
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	71.24	82.92	75.31	18.2	3 110.11	69.16	104.31	N/A	177,020	133,306
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	2	71.52	71.52	73.16	18.3	5 97.75	58.39	84.64	N/A	400,000	292,640
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	5	77.91	81.28	79.33	14.6	4 102.47	65.42	97.08	N/A	131,200	104,076
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	3	93.33	89.62	94.36	13.4	1 94.98	69.00	106.54	N/A	85,000	80,202
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	12	90.38	93.90	97.48	18.3	5 96.32	46.72	138.52	80.82 to 111.01	134,375	130,991
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	15	96.04	104.25	90.15	22.5	6 115.64	66.20	210.90	83.76 to 121.51	167,188	150,714
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	15	77.91	82.19	77.53	17.7	9 106.01	58.39	106.54	69.16 to 97.08	173,073	134,186
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	14	97.51	104.65	97.24	18.7	7 107.61	70.71	210.90	80.82 to 113.51	183,202	178,153
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	14	83.79	87.23	76.96	20.0	3 113.34	58.39	134.02	69.16 to 104.31	203,400	156,536
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BEAVER CROSSING	2	92.81	92.81	92.32	1.3	3 100.53	91.58	94.04	N/A	50,000	46,158
GARLAND	3	70.04	71.28	77.20	23.9	7 92.33	46.72	97.08	N/A	37,333	28,820
GOEHNER	1	70.71	70.71	70.71			70.71	70.71	N/A	70,000	49,496
MILFORD	8	92.44	103.85	101.80	29.2	0 102.01	65.42	210.90	65.42 to 210.90	63,888	65,039
PLEASANT DALE	1	80.82	80.82	80.82			80.82	80.82	N/A	200,000	161,642
RURAL	3	98.89	96.77	89.18	12.0		77.91	113.51	N/A	243,333	217,002
SEWARD	21	93.33	92.42	85.54	18.0	2 108.05	58.39	138.52	74.34 to 104.31	233,539	199,762
STAPLEHURST	1	134.02	134.02	134.02			134.02	134.02	N/A	23,000	30,824
UTICA	2	88.21	88.21	88.37	0.2	3 99.81	88.00	88.41	N/A	33,000	29,163
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176

COMMER

SEWARD COUNTY ERCIAL					O Statistics		Base Stat		State Stat Run	PAGE:2 of 5	
ERCIAL		Type: Qualified							State Stat Kan		
				Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007	Posted Be	fore: 01/18/2008				
NUMBER of Sale	s:	42	MEDIAN:	92	COV:	29.25	95% Median	C.I.:	83.76 to 97.08	(!: Derived)	
TOTAL Sales Pric	:e: 6	,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	27.32	95% Wgt. Mean	C.I.:	80.79 to 93.27	(** = *********************************	
TOTAL Adj.Sales Pric	e: 6	,716,430	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.36	95% Mean	C.I.:	85.15 to 101.68		
TOTAL Assessed Valu	ie: 5	,845,412									
AVG. Adj. Sales Pric	:e:	159,915	COD:	19.93	MAX Sales Ratio:	210.90					
AVG. Assessed Valu	ie:	139,176	PRD:	107.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.72			Printed: 03/31/	2008 19:52:29	
TIONS: URBAN, SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
E COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX 95% N	Median (C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val	

AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	e:	159,915	COD:	19.93	MAX Sales Ratio:	210.90				
AVG. As	sessed Value	e:	139,176	PRD:	107.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.72			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:29
LOCATIONS: URBAN	, SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	39	91.58	93.16	86.77	20.3	8 107.36	46.72	210.90	80.82 to 97.08	153,498	133,189
2	1	113.51	113.51	113.51			113.51	113.51	N/A	125,000	141,892
3	2	88.40	88.40	84.15	11.8	7 105.05	77.91	98.89	N/A	302,500	254,557
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
STATUS: IMPROVED	, UNIMPROVI	ED & IOL	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	35	91.58	93.04	86.74	20.8	5 107.27	46.72	210.90	80.82 to 97.08	163,680	141,975
2	7	93.33	95.26	88.73	15.4	6 107.36	70.32	138.52	70.32 to 138.52	141,085	125,179
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02	1	99.05	99.05	99.05			99.05	99.05	N/A	669,500	663,143
03	41	91.58	93.28	85.70	20.3	3 108.84	46.72	210.90	80.82 to 97.08	147,486	126,396
04											
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
SCHOOL DISTRICT	*									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
12-0056											
12-0502											
30-0001											
55-0148											
55-0161											
76-0002											
76-0044											
76-0068											
80-0005	10	86.51	98.95	89.17	27.2	3 110.97	65.42	210.90	69.00 to 109.24	113,611	101,307
80-0009	28	94.69	91.85	86.47	19.4	6 106.22	46.72	138.52	74.34 to 99.84	193,368	167,203
80-0567	4	90.00	90.51	90.75	2.5	6 99.73	88.00	94.04	N/A	41,500	37,661
NonValid School											
ALL											
	42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	3 107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176

80 - SEW	ARD CO	UNTY		[PAD 2	008 R.8	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
COMMERCIA	AL			l			UUO IXX Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							• •	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
		NUMBER o	of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	92	COV:	29.25	95%	Median C.I.: 83.76	5 to 97.08	(1. D 1)
	ТО	TAL Sale	es Price:	: 6	,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	27.32		. Mean C.I.: 80.79		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL	Adj.Sale	s Price	: 6	,716,430	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.36			5 to 101.68	
	TOTAL	Assesse	ed Value	5	,845,412			1100.1120.220	10.50		03.1	.5 00 101.00	
	AVG. A	dj. Sale	es Price	•	159,915	COD:	19.93	MAX Sales Ratio:	210.90				
	AVG.	Assesse	ed Value	:	139,176	PRD:	107.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.72			Printed: 03/31/2	2008 19:52:29
YEAR BUI	LT *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR B	Blank		9	97.08	95.49	88.70	13.8	107.66	69.16	138.52	70.32 to 104.31	110,566	98,075
Prior TO	1860												
1860 TO	1899		1	96.12	96.12	96.12			96.12	96.12	N/A	75,000	72,087
1900 TO	1919		16	82.47	91.63	78.30	30.9	117.01	46.72	210.90	69.00 to 107.53	99,631	78,015
1920 TO	1939												
1940 TO	1949												
1950 TO	1959		1	83.82	83.82	83.82			83.82	83.82	N/A	90,000	75,434
1960 TO	1969		4	99.08	100.86	88.41	19.7	114.08	77.91	127.38	N/A	269,375	238,156
1970 TO	1979		3	83.76	82.74	95.14	13.3	86.97	65.42	99.05	N/A	287,500	273,529
1980 TO	1989		3	95.68	88.69	84.79	7.5	104.59	74.34	96.04	N/A	420,240	356,342
1990 TO	1994		2	95.91	95.91	90.88	15.7	105.54	80.82	111.01	N/A	150,000	136,323
1995 TO	1999		1	92.64	92.64	92.64			92.64	92.64	N/A	301,500	279,320
2000 TO	Present	t	2	107.89	107.89	107.98	1.2	99.92	106.54	109.24	N/A	80,000	86,382
ALL_													
			42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
SALE PRI	CE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low		_											
5000 TO		9999	1	88.00	88.00	88.00			88.00	88.00	N/A	6,000	5,280
Tota		_											
1 T		9999	1	88.00	88.00	88.00			88.00	88.00	N/A	6,000	5,280
10000 T		29999	4	86.47	88.42	93.43	37.4		46.72	134.02	N/A	19,312	18,044
30000 T		59999	5	97.08	118.51	125.55	34.8		69.00	210.90	N/A	35,772	44,912
60000 T		99999	13	96.12	98.63	98.99	14.8		70.04	138.52	83.82 to 109.24	71,892	71,162
100000 T		49999	4	90.66	90.26	90.49	24.2		66.20	113.51	N/A	111,250	100,665
150000 T		49999	6	86.47	87.09	87.06	7.7		76.53	98.89	76.53 to 98.89	166,666	145,105
250000 T		99999	6	74.57	75.66	75.84	12.6		58.39	92.64	58.39 to 92.64	368,750	279,647
500000 +			3	96.04	89.81	89.52	8.5	100.32	74.34	99.05	N/A	620,740	555,701
ALL_										046	00 54		
			42	92.11	93.41	87.03	19.9	107.33	46.72	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176

NUMBER of Sales:	95% Wgt. 95% MAX 88.00 88.00 107.53	Median C.I.: 83.7 Mean C.I.: 80.7 Mean C.I.: 85.3 95% Median C.I. N/A N/A N/A	State Stat Run 6 to 97.08 9 to 93.27 15 to 101.68 Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000 25,562	(!: Derived 2008 19:52:29 Avg. Assd Val 5,443 21,164
NUMBER of Sales:	95% M 95% Wgt. 95% MAX 88.00 88.00 107.53	Median C.I.: 83.7 Mean C.I.: 80.7 Mean C.I.: 85.3 95% Median C.I. N/A N/A N/A	9 to 93.27 15 to 101.68 Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000	2008 19:52:29 Avg. Assd Val 5,443
TOTAL Sales Price: 6,782,680 WGT. MEAN: 87 STD: 27.32 TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 6,716,430 MEAN: 93 AVG.ABS.DEV: 18.36 TOTAL Assessed Value: 5,845,412 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 159,915 COD: 19.93 MAX Sales Ratio: 210.90 AVG. Assessed Value: 139,176 PRD: 107.33 MIN Sales Ratio: 46.72 ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$ Total \$ 1 TO 9999 2 67.36 67.36 60.48 30.64 111.38 46.72 1 TO 9999 4 81.52 84.00 82.80 20.59 101.45 65.42 30000 TO 29999 4 81.52 84.00 82.80 20.59 101.45 65.42 30000 TO 59999 6 92.75 96.96 88.92 22.18 109.04 70.04 60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 TO 499999 7 70.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL	95% Wgt. 95% MAX 88.00 88.00 107.53	Mean C.I.: 80.7 B Mean C.I.: 85.: 85.: 85.: N/A N/A N/A	9 to 93.27 15 to 101.68 Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000	2008 19:52:29 Avg. Assd Val 5,443
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 6,716,430 MEAN: 93 AVG.ABS.DEV: 18.36 TOTAL Assessed Value: 5,845,412 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 159,915 COD: 19.93 MAX Sales Ratio: 210.90 AVG. Assessed Value: 139,176 PRD: 107.33 MIN Sales Ratio: 46.72 ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$	95% Wgt. 95% MAX 88.00 88.00 107.53	Mean C.I.: 80.7 B Mean C.I.: 85.: 85.: 85.: N/A N/A N/A	9 to 93.27 15 to 101.68 Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000	2008 19:52:29 Avg. Assd Val 5,443
TOTAL Assessed Value: 5,845,412 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 159,915 COD: 19.93 MAX Sales Ratio: 210.90 AVG. Assessed Value: 139,176 PRD: 107.33 MIN Sales Ratio: 46.72 ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$	MAX 88.00 88.00 107.53	95% Median C.I. N/A N/A N/A	Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000	Avg. Assd Val 5,443
AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 159,915 COD: 19.93 MAX Sales Ratio: 210.90 AVG. Assessed Value: 139,176 PRD: 107.33 MIN Sales Ratio: 46.72 ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$	88.00 88.00 107.53	95% Median C.I. N/A N/A N/A	Printed: 03/31/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000	Avg. Assd Val 5,443
ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$	88.00 88.00 107.53	N/A N/A N/A	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000	Avg. Assd Val 5,443
ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$	88.00 88.00 107.53	N/A N/A N/A	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 9,000 9,000	Avg. Assd Val 5,443
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN Low \$ 5000 TO 9999 2 67.36 67.36 60.48 30.64 111.38 46.72	88.00 88.00 107.53	N/A N/A N/A	Sale Price 9,000 9,000	Assd Val 5,443
Low \$	88.00 88.00 107.53	N/A N/A N/A	9,000	5,443 5,443
5000 TO 9999 2 67.36 67.36 60.48 30.64 111.38 46.72 Total \$	88.00 107.53	N/A N/A	9,000	5,443
Total \$ 1 TO 9999 2 67.36 67.36 60.48 30.64 111.38 46.72 10000 TO 29999 4 81.52 84.00 82.80 20.59 101.45 65.42 30000 TO 59999 6 92.75 96.96 88.92 22.18 109.04 70.04 60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL ALL 42 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72	88.00 107.53	N/A N/A	9,000	5,443
1 TO 9999 2 67.36 67.36 60.48 30.64 111.38 46.72 10000 TO 29999 4 81.52 84.00 82.80 20.59 101.45 65.42 30000 TO 59999 6 92.75 96.96 88.92 22.18 109.04 70.04 60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 42 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72	107.53	N/A	•	
10000 TO 29999 4 81.52 84.00 82.80 20.59 101.45 65.42 30000 TO 59999 6 92.75 96.96 88.92 22.18 109.04 70.04 60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 50000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.50 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72	107.53	N/A	•	
30000 TO 59999 6 92.75 96.96 88.92 22.18 109.04 70.04 60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72			25,562	21,164
60000 TO 99999 13 99.84 107.73 101.64 22.59 105.99 66.20 100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 50000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72	124 00			
100000 TO 149999 6 91.26 94.56 92.77 12.49 101.93 76.53 150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72	134.02	70.04 to 134.02	47,666	42,386
150000 TO 249999 4 74.99 76.82 72.91 17.39 105.36 58.39 250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04 ALL 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72 COST RANK	210.90	83.82 to 127.38	77,727	79,002
250000 TO 499999 5 77.91 80.15 79.12 8.14 101.30 71.24 500000 + 2 97.55 97.55 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04ALL	113.51	76.53 to 113.51	140,833	130,648
500000 + 2 97.55 97.50 97.70 1.54 99.84 96.04	98.89	N/A	261,250	190,472
ALL 42 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72 COST RANK	92.64	N/A	439,900	348,062
42 92.11 93.41 87.03 19.93 107.33 46.72 COST RANK	99.05	N/A	605,110	591,212
COST RANK				
	210.90	83.76 to 97.08	159,915	139,176
			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
	138.52	70.32 to 104.31	110,566	98,075
	109.24	N/A	94,000	83,653
	113.51	N/A	125,000	141,892
20 26 88.80 93.63 87.63 21.99 106.85 46.72	210.90	76.53 to 96.12	184,435	161,613
25 1 106.54 106.54 106.54 106.54		N/A	75,000	79,906
40 1 58.39 58.39 58.39 58.39	106.54 58.39	N/A	350,000	204,380

107.33 46.72 210.90 83.76 to 97.08

159,915

139,176

19.93

42

92.11 93.41 87.03

80 - SE	WARD COUNTY			PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERC	IAL				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	42	MEDIAN:	92	COV:	29.25	95%	Median C.I.: 83.7	6 to 97.08	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	6,782,680	WGT. MEAN:	87	STD:	27.32	95% Wgt		9 to 93.27	(Denveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	6,716,430	MEAN:	93	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.36	95		L5 to 101.68	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	5,845,412								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	159,915	COD:	19.93	MAX Sales Ratio:	210.90				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	139,176	PRD:	107.33	MIN Sales Ratio:	46.72			Printed: 03/31/2	008 19:52:30
OCCUPAN	ICY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	9	97.08	95.49	88.70	13.8	107.66	69.16	138.52	70.32 to 104.31	110,566	98,075
303	1	58.39	58.39	58.39			58.39	58.39	N/A	350,000	204,380
325	3	111.01	111.36	111.93	9.5	99.49	95.68	127.38	N/A	81,833	91,595
340	3	94.04	131.12	131.00	43.4	100.09	88.41	210.90	N/A	45,286	59,326
344	1	71.24	71.24	71.24			71.24	71.24	N/A	371,000	264,299
349	1	83.82	83.82	83.82			83.82	83.82	N/A	90,000	75,434
350	6	79.36	84.64	85.59	12.8	98.89	69.00	107.53	69.00 to 107.53	227,495	194,706
351	1	134.02	134.02	134.02			134.02	134.02	N/A	23,000	30,824
352	2	95.85	95.85	97.06	3.3	98.75	92.64	99.05	N/A	485,500	471,231
353	7	89.19	90.48	86.35	16.6	104.79	66.20	121.51	66.20 to 121.51	97,571	84,249
406	3	65.42	73.79	94.59	31.8	78.01	46.72	109.24	N/A	40,000	37,837
419	2	93.93	93.93	80.64	20.8	116.47	74.34	113.51	N/A	388,500	313,285
442	2	81.15	81.15	81.14	12.8	100.00	70.71	91.58	N/A	70,000	56,801
528	1	84.64	84.64	84.64			84.64	84.64	N/A	450,000	380,900
AL1											

19.93

107.33

46.72

210.90

83.76 to 97.08

159,915

139,176

42

92.11

93.41

87.03

Commerical Real Property

I. Correlation

COMMERCIAL: The actions taken by the assessor are supported by the statistics. This county has met the criteria to achieve an acceptable level of assessment for this class of property. The median is most representative of the overall level of value for this class of property. But the assessor has recognized the need to re-appraise the commercial properties and has begun the process of analysis for the re-appraisal for next year by re-appraising and applying the new values to the land for the commercial properties in Seward and Milford, that lays the ground work for the re-appraisal of the improvements.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2008	75	42	56
2007	79	45	56.96
2006	73	39	53.42
2005	78	48	61.54
2004	74	43	58.11
2003	86	49	56.98
2002	80	46	57.5
2001	86	54	62.79

COMMERCIAL: A review of the utilization grid reveals the percent of sales used per the combined efforts of the Department and the County. The above table indicates that a reasonable percentage of all available sales are being utilized for the sales file study period for this property type.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2008	85.15	3.59	88.2	92.11
2007	91.99	0.72	92.66	93.99
2006	93.99	2.68	96.51	98.00
2005	98.41	3.02	101.38	98.41
2004	98.03	0.05	98.08	98.03
2003	96	-0.11	95.89	98
2002	98	0.66	98.65	98
2001	98	0.28	98.27	99

COMMERCIAL: This comparison between the trended level of value and the median level of value for this class of property indicates that the two values are not similar and do not closely support each other. A more detailed explanation will be with Table IV.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales		% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
30.54	2008	3.59
5.73	2007	0.72
-16.94	2006	2.68
0	2005	3.02
0	2004	0.05
8	2003	0
0	2002	0.66
2.4	2001	0.28

COMMERCIAL: The percent change for this class of property represents a significant difference with the percent change. Land values were significantly increased through a reappraisal of the land for the towns of Seward and Milford which made up the majority of both the parcel counts and the sales counts. But there was significantly more of an impact on the sales than on the overall commercial base. A few of the neighborhoods saw a considerable increase in the appraised value of the land. The information identified through sales of land tended to create a significant increase in the sales file without a corresponding or significant increase in the assessment base.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	92.11	87.03	93.41

COMMERCIAL: The median will be the best indication of level of value for this county for this property type. As demonstrated by the above table there is a spread between the median and the weighted mean. The median level of value is within the acceptable range but the low weighted mean is also reflected in a high PRD and indicates that the higher valued properties (on the average) may be under assessed or the lower valued properties may be over assessed. The appraiser for the county has analyzed the market for this class of property and has made plans for appraisal action. The initial appraisal action implemented this year was the reappraisal of the land values in Seward and Milford, a significant beginning for any appraisal project.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less. For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	19.93	107.33
Difference	0	4.33

COMMERCIAL: The coefficient of dispersion is within the acceptable range as a qualitative measure but the price-related is outside the range. The price-related differential is over 3 points outside of the acceptable range, as another indicator that follows the weighted mean that is also out of line and was discussed in the narrative in Table V.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	42	42	0
Median	85.15	92.11	6.96
Wgt. Mean	75.77	87.03	11.26
Mean	83.08	93.41	10.33
COD	25.31	19.93	-5.38
PRD	109.64	107.33	-2.31
Min Sales Ratio	5.30	46.72	41.42
Max Sales Ratio	194.25	210.90	16.65

COMMERCIAL: The above statistics support the actions of the assessor for this class of property for this assessment year.

Seward County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Agricultural

- 1) Reviewed the sales.
- 2) Verified land use changes using GIS, FSA records and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections. Completed such changes and recounted acres. All sixteen precincts completed for GIS land use layer.
- 3) Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made changes as necessary.
- 4) Verified the existing market areas still follow the market trends. Made a slight change moving properties in 3 sections from market area 1 to market area 3.
- 5) Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value. Changed various irrigated and dry cropland and grassland LCG values in market areas 1 and 3. Changed special valuation and market (recapture) values in market area 2.
- 6) Changed building site acre from 1,750 to 1,800.

2008 Assessment Survey for Seward County

Agricultural Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	Deputy Assessor
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Deputy Assessor
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	Yes
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?
	To the best of the county assessor's office knowledge as long as the parcel is being used predominant and primary agricultural use. Physical inspections are used to verify and document a parcels predominant use.
5.	When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	N/A
6.	What is the date of the soil survey currently used?
	1974
7.	What date was the last countywide land use study completed?
	2003
a.	By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.)
	Land use is a continuous project with a part of the county being completed each
	year. Many changes have been made in using the GIS maps as a base and also the
	NRD is requiring operators to match records with the assessor's office. When other
	than part of the above mentioned rotation process is not used a physical inspection maybe completed.
	maybe completed.
b.	By whom?
	County Staff
c.	What proportion is complete / implemented at this time?

	The land use review is a continuous process with new changes completed each year.
8.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods in the agricultural property class:
	3
9.	How are market areas/neighborhoods defined in this property class?
	There are two market areas that are defined by the topography and accessibility to well water for irrigation. Market Area 1 which has access to well water for irrigation and Market Area 3 has the topography and limited irrigation potential. Market Area 2 is also defined by the topography (which also has limited irrigation potential) and the proximity to the development (non agricultural market influence) from Lancaster County.
10.	Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special valuation for agricultural land within the county?
	Yes Part of the county, the market has demonstrated a need for special value.

Agricultural Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
20	235	14	269

2008 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Counties that have Implemented Special Value for Seward County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me about the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While I rely primarily on the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property, my opinion of level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained in the RO. Although my primary resource regarding quality of assessment are the performance standards issued by the IAAO, my opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural land in Seward County is 74% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Seward County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Special Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the special valuation of the class of agricultural land in Seward County is 71% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the special valuation of the class of agricultural land in Seward County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Recapture Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the recapture valuation of the class of agricultural land in Seward County is 70% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the recapture valuation of the class of agricultural land in Seward County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Ruth A. Sorensen

Kidh a. Sorense

Property Tax Administrator

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION For Seward County

Section I: Agricultural Land Correlation:

The actions of the assessor are supported by the statistics. Seward County has met the criteria to achieve quality of assessment and an acceptable level of assessment. The qualified Agricultural Unimproved report containing 57 sales with a Median of 74 is within the acceptable range for the level of value. The qualitative statistic for the coefficient of dispersion is within the acceptable range, but the qualitative statistic for the price related deferential is some what high. Yet taking this into consideration of the agricultural properties not being a homogeneous grouping of properties will contribute to a greater inconsistency with the quality statistics.

The analysis for the determination for the level and quality of assessment for the unimproved agricultural value (assessed value) is from the analysis of the non-influenced market areas in Seward County, which consists of market areas 1 and 3.

Refer to the following Statistical Analysis:

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

			Į.	\mathbf{r}_{E}			<u>icultural Staus</u>	ucs			0	
AGRICULI	'URAL UNIMPRO	VED			ŗ	Гуре: Qualifi					Query: 6340	
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted l	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	57	MEDIAN:	74	cov:	21.53	95% 1	Median C.I.: 67.13	3 to 75.93	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sal	es Price	: 15	,481,672	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	15.93		. Mean C.I.: 68.07		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sal	es Price	: 15	,344,040	MEAN:	74	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.82	95	% Mean C.I.: 69.	86 to 78.13	(** ***********************************
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess	sed Value	: 10	,936,433								
	AVG. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	269,193	COD:	16.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	126.90				
	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	191,867	PRD:	103.82	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:35:22
DATE OF	SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrt	rs											
07/01/04	TO 09/30/04	4	94.38	91.80	89.88	7.7	102.14	75.93	102.51	N/A	174,375	156,729
10/01/04	TO 12/31/04	8	77.57	77.51	79.61	10.6	97.36	54.22	93.54	54.22 to 93.54	197,638	157,343
01/01/05	TO 03/31/05	8	80.40	80.76	75.01	18.8	107.67	58.84	118.17	58.84 to 118.17	206,391	154,814
04/01/05	TO 06/30/05	2	67.39	67.39	67.78	21.0	99.42	53.22	81.56	N/A	168,625	114,295
07/01/05	TO 09/30/05	3	74.48	67.73	75.90	14.1	.8 89.24	48.52	80.20	N/A	467,456	354,800
10/01/05	TO 12/31/05	3	66.96	81.96	64.08	37.2	28 127.91	52.02	126.90	N/A	286,400	183,511
01/01/06	TO 03/31/06	10	67.16	67.27	68.08	10.8	98.81	56.42	80.62	56.52 to 75.31	358,414	244,010
04/01/06	TO 06/30/06	4	67.29	69.20	67.65	7.7	102.28	60.79	81.41	N/A	269,502	182,327
07/01/06	TO 09/30/06	2	71.96	71.96	64.33	20.7	70 111.85	57.06	86.85	N/A	384,250	247,188
10/01/06	TO 12/31/06	7	71.09	69.61	67.91	6.8	102.51	61.14	77.72	61.14 to 77.72	282,642	191,939
01/01/07	TO 03/31/07	5	68.25	73.92	69.69	16.3	106.08	55.51	101.94	N/A	262,064	182,632
04/01/07	TO 06/30/07	1	50.21	50.21	50.21			50.21	50.21	N/A	96,000	48,201
Stu	dy Years											
07/01/04	TO 06/30/05	22	80.60	80.37	78.57	15.3	102.28	53.22	118.17	73.60 to 90.24	193,954	152,398
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	20	67.29	69.93	69.10	15.4	101.20	48.52	126.90	60.79 to 74.48	346,186	239,217
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	15	68.25	70.07	67.40	13.7	103.96	50.21	101.94	61.14 to 76.58	276,888	186,621
Cal	endar Yrs											
01/01/05	TO 12/31/05	16	74.23	76.87	72.52	22.2	106.00	48.52	126.90	58.84 to 88.42	265,622	192,627
01/01/06	TO 12/31/06	23	67.46	68.73	67.58	10.3	101.69	56.42	86.85	62.82 to 74.03	322,137	217,711
ALL												
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867

PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics
Type: Qualified Base Stat PAGE:2 of 4 80 - SEWARD COUNTY Query: 6340

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED

NUMBER of Sales: 57 MEDIAN: 74 COV: 21.53 95% Median C.I.: 67.13 to 75.93	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) TOTAL Sales Price: 15,481,672 WGT. MEAN: 71 STD: 15.93 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 68.07 to 74.48	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sales Price: 15,344,040 MEAN: 74 AVG.ABS.DEV: 11.82 95% Mean C.I.: 69.86 to 78.13	ŕ

(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales	Price:	15	,481,672	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	15.93	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 6	68.07 to 74.48	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales	Price:	15	,344,040	MEAN:	74	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.82	95	% Mean C.I.:	69.86 to 78.13	,
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed	Value:	10	,936,433								
	AVG. Adj. Sales	Price:		269,193	COD:	16.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	126.90				
	AVG. Assessed	Value:		191,867	PRD:	103.82	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/02/	/2008 16:35:22
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
3233		5	74.48	83.52	73.76	21.7	3 113.22	64.89	126.90	N/A	275,400	203,138
3235		6	67.40	74.01	71.45	11.8	5 103.58	63.58	101.94	63.58 to 101	.94 245,885	175,678
3237		6	71.00	68.94	66.18	13.0	1 104.17	52.02	86.82	52.02 to 86.	.82 283,699	187,757
3287		6	68.32	68.75	69.68	20.6	7 98.66	48.52	88.42	48.52 to 88.	.42 166,034	115,697
3289		3	58.59	77.76	65.01	35.0	7 119.62	56.52	118.17	N/A	265,833	172,807
3291		7	73.60	66.98	67.13	10.3	1 99.78	53.22	75.93	53.22 to 75.	.93 337,657	226,655
3457		4	76.78	81.38	81.28	13.0	0 100.11	69.44	102.51	N/A	379,441	308,424
3459		2	79.64	79.64	79.30	2.4	100.43	77.72	81.56	N/A	210,625	167,030
3461		5	79.64	79.18	71.15	15.3	8 111.29	56.42	95.64	N/A	152,310	108,368
3511		6	84.13	80.43	81.40	11.6	98.81	63.41	93.54	63.41 to 93.	.54 201,309	163,871
3513		1	50.21	50.21	50.21			50.21	50.21	N/A	96,000	48,201
3515		6	70.04	69.05	68.49	10.4	100.82	55.51	80.62	55.51 to 80.	.62 437,966	299,983
ALL	ı											
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	6 103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.	.93 269,193	191,867
AREA (M	ARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
1		39	73.60	75.51	72.40	16.0	6 104.29	50.21	126.90	67.13 to 77.	.72 293,984	212,851
3		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.	.69 215,480	146,400
ALL	ı											
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	6 103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.	.93 269,193	191,867
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIM	PROVED	& IOLI	<u>L</u>							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
2		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	6 103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.	.93 269,193	191,867
ALL	ı											
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	6 103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.	.93 269,193	191,867
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 95	%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY		13	73.98	75.78	73.15	13.1	5 103.59	54.22	95.64	66.20 to 86.	.85 194,742	142,460
DRY-N/A		20	67.40	69.98	68.43	16.9	3 102.27	48.52	93.54	58.59 to 76.	.58 221,761	151,756
GRASS		1	50.21	50.21	50.21			50.21	50.21	N/A	96,000	48,201
IRRGTD		9	74.23	82.64	76.41	17.0	4 108.15	60.79	126.90	69.44 to 101	.94 249,262	190,472
IRRGTD-N	I/A	14	70.12	74.21	71.00	16.9	0 104.52	56.52	118.17	61.14 to 80.	.62 431,270	306,204
ALL	·											
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	6 103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.	.93 269,193	191,867

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics

Type: Qualified PAGE:3 of 4 80 - SEWARD COUNTY Query: 6340

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED

				ype. Quanned						
				Date Range:	07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007	Posted I	Before: 01/18/2008			
	NUMBER of Sales:	57	MEDIAN:	74	cov:	21.53	95% Median C.I.:	67.13 to 75.93	(!: Derived)	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price:	15,481,672	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	15.93	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	68.07 to 74.48	(!: land+NAT=0)	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	15,344,040	MEAN:	74	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.82	95% Mean C.I.:	69.86 to 78.13	(
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value:	10,936,433								

(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	: 15	6,481,672	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	15.93	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 68.	07 to 74.48	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price		5,344,040	MEAN:	74	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.82	95	% Mean C.I.: 69	.86 to 78.13	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 10	,936,433								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	269,193	COD:	16.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	126.90				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	191,867	PRD:	103.82	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:35:22
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	20	73.87	73.09	71.06	15.2	102.86	48.52	95.64	66.20 to 84.69	208,231	147,971
DRY-N/A	13	67.46	71.00	68.79	15.5	103.21	53.22	93.54	56.42 to 81.56	215,558	148,283
GRASS	1	50.21	50.21	50.21			50.21	50.21	N/A	96,000	48,201
IRRGTD	17	73.60	77.22	72.04	16.5	107.19	56.52	126.90	64.89 to 85.11	317,487	228,720
IRRGTD-N	T/A 6	73.80	78.32	73.27	17.1	106.90	61.14	118.17	61.14 to 118.17	480,644	352,144
ALL	<u> </u>										
	57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	32	72.42	72.17	69.97	15.7	79 103.15	48.52	95.64	63.58 to 81.41	210,371	147,192
DRY-N/A	1	75.31	75.31	75.31			75.31	75.31	N/A	235,000	176,970
GRASS	1	50.21	50.21	50.21			50.21	50.21	N/A	96,000	48,201
IRRGTD	22	73.47	75.66	71.93	14.7	73 105.19	56.52	126.90	64.89 to 80.20	372,029	267,594
IRRGTD-N	T/A 1	118.17	118.17	118.17			118.17	118.17	N/A	96,500	114,038
ALL	ı										
	57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867
SCHOOL	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
12-0056											
12-0502	3	68.25	64.75	64.26	10.7	100.77	52.02	73.98	N/A	312,038	200,510
30-0001											
55-0148											
55-0161											
76-0002											
76-0044											
76-0068	4	70.04	69.05	69.58	11.1	99.24	55.51	80.62	N/A	443,200	308,368
80-0005	7	86.85	82.60	82.63	11.1	10 99.97	63.41	95.64	63.41 to 95.64	188,765	155,967
80-0009	24	74.63	74.60	70.66	17.2	105.57	48.52	118.17	61.14 to 84.69	213,808	151,071
80-0567	19	73.35	72.57	70.91	15.0	102.33	50.21	126.90	62.82 to 75.31	325,387	230,733
NonValid	School										
ALL	<u> </u>										
	57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics PAGE:4 of 4 80 - SEWARD COUNTY Ouery: 6340

	ARD COUNTI		L	\mathbf{P}	<u>XD 2008 RA</u>	XU Agr	<u>icultural Statis</u>	Ouorus 63/0					
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED					ŗ	Гуре: Qualifi	ied	Query: 6340					
						Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008			
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	57	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	21.53	95%	Median C.I.: 67.1	3 to 75.93	(!: Derived)	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 15	,481,672	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	15.93	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 68.0	7 to 74.48	(!: land+NAT=0)	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,344,040	MEAN:	74	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.82		% Mean C.I.: 69.		,	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses	sed Value	: 10	,936,433									
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	269,193	COD:	16.06	MAX Sales Ratio:	126.90					
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	191,867	PRD:	103.82	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:35:22	
ACRES IN	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	XAM	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
10.01	TO 30.00	1	126.90	126.90	126.90			126.90	126.90	N/A	40,000	50,760	
30.01	ro 50.00	4	60.84	65.83	61.64	23.7	106.80	48.52	93.13	N/A	91,296	56,276	
50.01	ro 100.00	23	74.03	75.92	73.68	15.3	103.03	50.21	118.17	67.13 to 81.41	181,990	134,098	
100.01	ro 180.00	25	68.25	70.87	69.28	14.3	102.29	52.02	102.51	63.41 to 75.31	342,028	236,962	
180.01	TO 330.00	3	73.11	76.49	72.05	14.0	106.16	62.82	93.54	N/A	466,666	336,240	
330.01	ro 650.00	1	80.20	80.20	80.20			80.20	80.20	N/A	802,368	643,499	
ALL_													
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867	
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Lov	w \$												
Tota	al \$												
30000	TO 59999	2	110.02	110.02	110.01	15.3	100.00	93.13	126.90	N/A	40,000	44,005	
60000	TO 99999	4	71.32	77.76	77.78	35.8	99.97	50.21	118.17	N/A	95,075	73,951	
100000 7	TO 149999	6	77.57	75.60	76.34	15.1	99.02	48.52	95.64	48.52 to 95.64	121,653	92,876	
150000 7	TO 249999	20	74.67	76.27	76.43	11.7	72 99.80	53.22	101.94	69.44 to 81.56	203,650	155,641	
250000	TO 499999	18	65.93	67.70	66.89	14.2	26 101.21	52.02	102.51	58.59 to 73.98	330,198	220,873	
500000 -	+	7	73.11	69.86	70.26	8.1	99.43	57.06	80.20	57.06 to 80.20	591,034	415,258	
ALL_													
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867	
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Lov	w \$												
Tota	al \$												
30000	TO 59999	5	54.22	74.60	63.68	44.7	74 117.15	48.52	126.90	N/A	74,200	47,248	
60000	TO 99999	5	75.50	72.85	70.81	12.5	102.88	53.22	88.42	N/A	127,147	90,032	
100000	TO 149999	11	76.58	79.24	76.07	15.6	104.16	63.41	118.17	63.58 to 95.64	163,096	124,063	
150000 7	TO 249999	26	73.47	71.91	69.79	13.8	103.05	52.02	101.94	61.14 to 75.93	279,507	195,064	
250000	TO 499999	9	73.11	73.21	70.86	11.8	33 103.32	57.06	102.51	62.82 to 80.62	497,075	352,239	
500000 -	+	1	80.20	80.20	80.20			80.20	80.20	N/A	802,368	643,499	
ALL													
		57	73.60	74.00	71.27	16.0	103.82	48.52	126.90	67.13 to 75.93	269,193	191,867	

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION For Seward County

II. Special Value Correlation

The actions of the assessor are supported by the statistical analysis. Seward County has met the criteria to achieve quality of assessment and an acceptable level of assessment. The qualified Agricultural Unimproved report for Market Area 3 is the analysis that will be used to determine the level of value for the special values (assessed value) which is identified as Market Area 2 in Seward County. This analysis of 18 sales indicates the Median at 71 which is within the acceptable range for the level of value. The qualitative statistics are acceptable for this small sale sample. The qualitative statistics of the price related deferential is above the range with the price coefficient of dispersion is within the acceptable range.

Refer to the following Statistical Analysis:

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

	TKD COUNTY				<u> PAD 2008</u>	Special	<u>value Statistic</u>	S			0 (240	
AGRICULTU	JRAL UNIMPRO	OVED				Type: Qualifi	ed				Query: 6340	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	18	MEDIAN:	71	cov:	19.63	95% 1	Median C.I.: 58.8	4 to 84.69	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	3,878,649	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	13.88		. Mean C.I.: 61.9		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	3,878,649	MEAN:	71	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.81		Mean C.I.: 63.		(** ***********************************
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	2,635,215								
	AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	215,480	COD:	16.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	93.13				
	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	146,400	PRD:	104.09	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/17	/2008 09:37:47
DATE OF S	SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs	s											
	TO 09/30/04	1	93.13	93.13	93.13			93.13	93.13	N/A	40,000	37,251
	TO 12/31/04	5	75.50		76.06	9.6	96.72	54.22	84.69	N/A	168,792	128,376
	TO 03/31/05	5	73.98		69.52	14.3		58.84	88.42	N/A	217,293	151,071
	TO 06/30/05	3	73.70	71.25	07.52	11.5	100.00	30.01	00.12	IV/ A	211,200	131,071
	TO 09/30/05	1	48.52	48.52	48.52			48.52	48.52	N/A	100,000	48,521
	TO 12/31/05	1	52.02		52.02			52.02	52.02	N/A	326,400	169,795
	TO 03/31/06	1	56.42		56.42			56.42	56.42	N/A		
	TO 03/31/06	1	50.42	50.42	50.42			50.42	50.42	N/A	320,000	180,546
		1	06.05	06.05	06.05			06.05	06.05	27 / 7	107 500	160 025
	TO 09/30/06	1	86.85		86.85			86.85	86.85	N/A	187,500	162,837
	TO 12/31/06	1	61.14		61.14			61.14	61.14	N/A	325,000	198,713
	TO 03/31/07	2	67.80	67.80	67.81	0.6	99.98	67.34	68.25	N/A	324,660	220,154
	TO 06/30/07											
Study												
	TO 06/30/05	11	75.50		72.80	13.0		54.22	93.13	58.84 to 88.42	179,129	130,408
	TO 06/30/06	3	52.02		53.44	5.0		48.52	56.42	N/A	248,800	132,954
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	4	67.80	70.90	69.02	9.8	102.72	61.14	86.85	N/A	290,455	200,464
Caler	ndar Yrs											
01/01/05	TO 12/31/05	7	63.41	67.43	64.36	20.2	104.77	48.52	88.42	48.52 to 88.42	216,124	139,096
01/01/06	TO 12/31/06	3	61.14	68.14	65.12	16.5	104.64	56.42	86.85	N/A	277,500	180,698
ALL_												
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
GEO CODE	/ TOWNSHIP	#									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3235		1	67.34	67.34	67.34			67.34	67.34	N/A	312,000	210,096
3237		6	71.00	68.94	66.18	13.0	104.17	52.02	86.82	52.02 to 86.82	283,699	187,757
3287		6	68.32	68.75	69.68	20.6	98.66	48.52	88.42	48.52 to 88.42	166,034	115,697
3461		3	79.64	76.40	65.45	15.3		56.42	93.13	N/A	161,850	105,927
3511		2	75.13		74.89	15.6		63.41	86.85	N/A	191,345	143,303
ALL_										•	. , . = -	-,
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
AREA (MAI	RKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	•	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3		18	71.00		67.94	16.6		48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
ALL_											-,	.,
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
		10	, 1.00	, , , , 2	07.51	10.0	101.00	10.52	75.15	20.01 00 01.09	213,100	110,100

PAGE: 2 of 4

PAGE: 2 of 4

AGRICULI	URAL UNIMPROVED				Type: Qualifi	<u>value Statistic</u> ied	3			Query: 6340	
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	3:	18	MEDIAN:	71	COV:	19.63	95% 1	Median C.I.: 58.8	4 to 84.69	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	e: 3	3,878,649	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	13.88		. Mean C.I.: 61.9		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	e: 3	3,878,649	MEAN:	71	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.81		% Mean C.I.: 63.		(
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	e: 2	2,635,215								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	: :	215,480	COD:	16.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	93.13				
	AVG. Assessed Value	: :	146,400	PRD:	104.09	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/17	/2008 09:37:47
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE	ED & IOL	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
ALL											
	18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	9	73.98	74.53	72.10	13.0	103.36	54.22	88.42	58.84 to 86.85	208,516	150,348
DRY-N/A	8	65.38	67.63	64.60	19.1	104.69	48.52	93.13	48.52 to 93.13	209,624	135,420
IRRGTD-N	/A 1	61.14	61.14	61.14			61.14	61.14	N/A	325,000	198,713
ALL											
	18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	13	73.98	71.65	70.16	15.2	21 102.13	48.52	88.42	54.22 to 86.82	206,650	144,987
DRY-N/A	4	65.38	70.08	63.62	15.5	110.15	56.42	93.13	N/A	216,797	137,915
IRRGTD-N	/A 1	61.14	61.14	61.14			61.14	61.14	N/A	325,000	198,713
ALL											
	18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	17	73.75	71.28	68.56	15.9	103.97	48.52	93.13	56.42 to 86.82	209,038	143,323
IRRGTD	1	61.14	61.14	61.14			61.14	61.14	N/A	325,000	198,713
ALL											
	18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400

80 - SEW	ARD COUNT	Y			PAD 2008	Special	Value Statistic	c c	Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 4
	URAL UNI					Type: Qualifi					Query: 6340	
						• •	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	2/2008	~ .	
	NITIN	BER of Sales		18	MEDIAN:							
(AgLand)		Sales Price		3,878,649	WIEDIAN: WGT. MEAN:	71 68	COV:	19.63			4 to 84.69	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) (AgLand)		.Sales Price .Sales Price		3,878,649			STD:	13.88	_	. Mean C.I.: 61.9		(!: land+NAT=0)
	-	ssessed Value		2,635,215	MEAN:	71	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.81	95	% Mean C.I.: 63.	82 to 77.62	
(AgLand)		Sales Price		215,480	COD:	16.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	93.13				
	_	saies Piice ssessed Value		146,400	PRD:	10.04	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			D 04/47	/0000 00 07 47
			•	140,400	PRD:	104.09	MIN Sales Racio:	40.32			Printed: 04/17/	2008 09:37:47 Avg.
RANGE	DISTRICT		MEDTAN	MEAN	MEAN	CC	ממת מת	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
(blank)		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	IMITIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	baic filec	Abba vai
12-0056												
12-0050		3	60 25	61 75	61 26	10.5	100.77	52.02	72 00	N/A	312,038	200 E10
30-0001		3	68.25	64.75	64.26	10.7	100.//	54.04	73.98	IV/ A	312,038	200,510
55-0148												
55-0140												
76-0002												
76-0044												
76-0068												
80-0005		2	75.13	75.13	74.89	15.6	100.32	63.41	86.85	N/A	191,345	143,303
80-0009		13	73.75	71.42	68.25	16.8		48.52	93.13	56.42 to 86.82	196,911	134,390
80-0567		13	73.73	,1.12	00.23	10.0	101.01	10.52	23.13	30.12 00 00.02	100,011	131,350
NonValid	School											
ALL												
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
ACRES II	N SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	N DILL	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
30.01	TO 50.00		54.22	65.29	58.42	27.4		48.52	93.13	N/A	78,333	45,760
	TO 100.00		83.23	82.60	82.34	6.0		75.50	88.42	N/A	119,759	98,605
	TO 180.00		67.34	67.88	66.47	12.9		52.02	86.85	56.42 to 84.69	287,692	191,228
ALL												
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400
SALE PR	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	-0-	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lo	w \$											
	al \$											
30000		99 1	93.13	93.13	93.13			93.13	93.13	N/A	40,000	37,251
60000 '			71.32	71.32	71.12	23.9	100.28	54.22	88.42	N/A	93,900	66,782
100000 '			77.57	72.62	74.22	13.6		48.52	86.82	N/A	121,559	90,221
150000 '			73.75	74.67	74.45	10.5		63.41	86.85	N/A	207,563	154,537
250000			64.24	65.34	64.51	12.8		52.02	84.69	52.02 to 84.69	317,740	204,987
ALL											,	•

104.09

48.52

93.13 58.84 to 84.69

215,480

146,400

16.64

18

71.00

70.72

67.94

	ARD COUNTY	OVED				1	Value Statistics		Base St	at	Query: 6340	PAGE:4 of 4
	01 ,]	Type: Qualifi Date Ran	ea nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/200	7 Posted	Before: 01/18/	/2008	2 3	
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	18	MEDIAN:	71	COV:	19.63	95% N	Median C.I.: 58.8	4 to 84.69	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	3,878,649	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	13.88	95% Wgt.		4 to 73.94	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	3,878,649	MEAN:	71	AVG.ABS.DEV:	11.81	_		82 to 77.62	(**************************************
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses	sed Value:	:	2,635,215								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	215,480	COD:	16.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	93.13				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value:	:	146,400	PRD:	104.09	MIN Sales Ratio:	48.52			Printed: 04/17/	/2008 09:37:47
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
T 0000E	ro 59999	3	54.22	65.29	58.42	27.4	3 111.77	48.52	93.13	N/A	78,333	45,760
60000 1	TO 99999	3	79.64	81.19	80.53	5.4	100.81	75.50	88.42	N/A	113,850	91,686
100000 7	TO 149999	2	75.11	75.11	73.08	15.5	8 102.78	63.41	86.82	N/A	166,337	121,566
150000 7	TO 249999	10	67.80	68.33	66.67	13.5	3 102.49	52.02	86.85	56.42 to 84.69	296,942	197,974
ALL_												
		18	71.00	70.72	67.94	16.6	104.09	48.52	93.13	58.84 to 84.69	215,480	146,400

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION For Seward County

Section III: Recapture Value Correlation:

The actions of the assessor are supported by the statistical analysis. Seward County has met the criteria to achieve quality of assessment and an acceptable level of assessment. The qualified Agricultural Unimproved report for Market Area 2 is the analysis that will be used to determine the level of value for the recapture values (75% of market values) in Seward County. This analysis of 18 sales indicates the Median at 70 which is within the acceptable range for the level of value. The qualitative statistics are acceptable for this small of a sale sample. The qualitative statistics of the price related deferential is in the acceptable range with the coefficient of dispersion above the range.

Refer to the following statistical analysis:

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 PAD 2008 Recenture Value Statistics 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

80 - SEWARD COUNTY			P	<u>AD 2008 R</u>	<u>ecaptur</u>	<u>e Value Statist</u>	tics	Dasc 5	iat	0 (2.40	1110201 01 1
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPRO	OVED			7	Гуре: Qualific	ed				Query: 6340	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	18	MEDIAN:	70	COV:	25.79	95%	Median C.I.: 55.9	0 to 79.82	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	4,047,388	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	18.93		. Mean C.I.: 62.2		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	4,047,388	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	13.96			99 to 82.81	(unu+11A1 =0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Asses	sed Value	:	2,872,252			AVG.ADS.DEV.	13.70	, , ,	o ricair c.i oj.	JJ CO 02.01	
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price		224,854	COD:	19.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	119.74				
AVG. Asses			159,569	PRD:	103.43	MIN Sales Ratio:	51.04			Printed: 04/02/	/2008 16:51:48
DATE OF SALE *			·							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04											
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	1	93.46	93.46	93.46			93.46	93.46	N/A	224,000	209,350
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	_	23.40	23.40	23.40			23.40	23.40	N/A	224,000	200,330
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	5	67.75	70.75	66.55	22.5	6 106.32	51.04	106.95	N/A	236,910	157,656
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	1	53.07		53.07	22.5	0 100.32	53.07	53.07	N/A N/A	189,360	100,494
	Τ.	53.07	53.07	53.07			53.07	53.07	N/A	109,300	100,494
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	-	110 74	110 74	110 74			110 74	110 74	27 / 2	171 000	204 752
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	1	119.74		119.74	0 1	0 104 40	119.74	119.74	N/A	171,000	204,753
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	4	64.82		62.37	8.1	8 104.40	54.91	75.91	N/A	283,812	177,015
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	1	79.82		79.82			79.82	79.82	N/A	176,000	140,480
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	2	78.16	78.16	80.87	11.8	7 96.66	68.89	87.44	N/A	231,114	186,893
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07											
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	3	71.09	68.18	68.72	10.1	5 99.21	55.90	77.54	N/A	168,333	115,680
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	6	71.01		70.83	23.9		51.04	106.95	51.04 to 106.95	234,758	166,272
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	6	64.82		67.75	22.5		53.07	119.74	53.07 to 119.74	249,268	168,885
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	6	74.32	73.45	75.34	10.9	7 97.49	55.90	87.44	55.90 to 87.44	190,538	143,551
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	6	60.75	67.80	64.69	25.0	0 104.82	51.04	106.95	51.04 to 106.95	228,985	148,129
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	8	72.40	77.04	73.39	18.9	0 104.98	54.91	119.74	54.91 to 119.74	243,059	178,385
ALL											
	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	4 103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
GEO CODE / TOWNSHIP	#									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
3239	1	93.46	93.46	93.46			93.46	93.46	N/A	224,000	209,350
3285	6	71.58	70.83	69.22	11.7	0 102.32	53.75	87.44	53.75 to 87.44	251,963	174,413
3287	2	55.41	55.41	55.11	0.8	9 100.53	54.91	55.90	N/A	288,125	158,794
3463	5	67.75	74.50	71.03	20.3	0 104.89	53.07	106.95	N/A	212,272	150,776
3509	4	74.32	79.85	80.85	25.2	8 98.76	51.04	119.74	N/A	168,500	136,236
ALL										•	
	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	4 103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
AREA (MARKET)										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	18	69.99		70.97	19.9		51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
ALL										, -	
	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	4 103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
										,	,

Base Stat PAGE:2 of 4 PAD 2008 Recapture Value Statistics 80 - SEWARD COUNTY

18

69.99

73.40

70.97

AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPROVED		I .		ECAPTUI Type: Qualifi	<u>te value Staust</u>	ICS			Query: 6340	
				•		nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008	~ .	
	NUMBER of Sales	:	18	MEDIAN:	70					0 +- 70 00	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price		1,047,388	WGT. MEAN:	7 0 71	COV:	25.79		Median C.I.: 55.9		(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj. Sales Price		1,047,388	MEAN:	73	STD:	18.93		. Mean C.I.: 62.2 % Mean C.I.: 63.		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value		2,872,252	PIEPEV.	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	13.96	95	6 Mean C.I 63.	99 to 82.81	
(rightalia)	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		224,854	COD:	19.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	119.74				
	AVG. Assessed Value		159,569	PRD:	103.43	MIN Sales Ratio:	51.04			Drintadi 01/02	/2008 16:54:48
CTATIC.	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE					THE DUTCH HUGE	31.01			Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9		51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
ALL		03.33	73.10	, 0.5 ,	17.7	103.13	31.01	117.71	33.30 00 73.02	221,031	135,305
		69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	1	74.27	74.27	74.27			74.27	74.27	N/A	187,200	139,029
DRY-N/A	10	69.42	76.16	72.73	24.2	27 104.71	53.07	119.74	54.91 to 106.95	239,498	174,189
GRASS	2	73.60	73.60	68.37	26.9	107.65	53.75	93.46	N/A	304,175	207,978
GRASS-N/	A 5	68.89	67.62	67.15	10.9	100.70	51.04	77.54	N/A	171,370	115,075
ALL											
	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	9	74.27	79.76	75.28	21.5	105.96	54.91	119.74	55.90 to 106.95	243,536	183,325
DRY-N/A	2	59.00	59.00	59.17	10.0	99.70	53.07	64.92	N/A	195,180	115,493
GRASS	2	73.60	73.60	68.37	26.9	107.65	53.75	93.46	N/A	304,175	207,978
GRASS-N/	A 5	68.89	67.62	67.15	10.9	100.70	51.04	77.54	N/A	171,370	115,075
ALL											
	18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	11	71.09	75.99	72.84	21.9	104.32	53.07	119.74	54.91 to 106.95	234,744	170,992
GRASS	5	75.91	70.34	68.41	17.4	14 102.82	51.04	93.46	N/A	196,270	134,268
GRASS-N/	A 2	66.81	66.81	66.13	3.1	101.01	64.72	68.89	N/A	241,926	159,996
ALL											

19.94

103.43

51.04 119.74 55.90 to 79.82

224,854

159,569

Base Stat PAGE: 3 of 4 80 - SEWARD COUNTY **PAD 2008 Recapture Value Statistics** Query: 6340 AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED Type: Qualified NUMBER of Sales: 18 **MEDIAN:** 70 95% Median C.I.: 55.90 to 79.82 COV: 25.79 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: (AgLand) 4,047,388 WGT. MEAN: 71 STD: 18.93 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 62.21 to 79.72 (!: land+NAT=0)TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 4,047,388 (AgLand) MEAN: 73 95% Mean C.I.: 63.99 to 82.81 AVG.ABS.DEV: 13.96 TOTAL Assessed Value: 2,872,252 (AgLand) AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 224,854 COD: MAX Sales Ratio: 119.74 19.94 MIN Sales Ratio: AVG. Assessed Value: 159,569 PRD: 103.43 51.04 Printed: 04/02/2008 16:54:48 Avg. Avg. Adj. SCHOOL DISTRICT * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD MIN 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN PRD MAX (blank) 12-0056 12-0502 1 93.46 93.46 93.46 93.46 93.46 N/A 224,000 209,350 30-0001 55-0148 55-0161 51.04 51.04 76-0002 1 51.04 51.04 51.04 N/A 118,000 60,230 76-0044 76-0068 80-0005 6 74.32 84.67 79.68 22.53 106.25 64.92 119.74 64.92 to 119.74 208,666 166,271 80-0009 10 66.81 66.87 65.42 15.56 102.21 53.07 87.44 53.75 to 79.82 245,338 160,504 80-0567 NonValid School ALL 55.90 to 79.82 18 69.99 73.40 70.97 19.94 103.43 51.04 119.74 224,854 159,569 Avg. Adj. Avg. ACRES IN SALE RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price Assd Val 30.01 TO 50.00 2 53.47 53.47 53.49 4.54 99.96 51.04 55.90 N/A 119,000 63,654 50.01 TO 100.00 8 75.09 75.17 73.26 13.16 102.60 53.07 106.95 53.07 to 106.95 161,551 118,358 100.01 TO 180.00 8 69.42 76.61 71.44 23.52 107.24 53.75 119.74 53.75 to 119.74 314,621 224,759 ALL 18 69.99 73.40 70.97 19.94 103.43 51.04 119.74 55.90 to 79.82 224,854 159,569 SALE PRICE * Avg. Adj. Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Low \$_ _Total \$__

33.34

17.94

13.31

19.94

77.54

51.04

53.07

53.75

51.04

99.84

100.06

102.13

103.43

77.54

106.95

119.74

119.74

87.44

N/A

N/A

53.07 to 119.74

53.75 to 87.44

55.90 to 79.82

97,000

119,333

183,801

353,662

224,854

75,211

85,216

144,677

230,662

159,569

60000 TO

100000 TO

150000 TO

250000 TO

ALL

99999

149999

249999

499999

77.54

55.90

75.09

66.24

69.99

3

8

6

18

77.54

71.30

78.76

66.61

73.40

77.54

71.41

78.71

65.22

70.97

	ARD COUNTY URAL UNIMPRO	VED		P		ecaptur	e Value Statisti	cs	Base St	tat	Query: 6340	PAGE:4 of 4
					•		nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	7 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales:	:	18	MEDIAN:	70	cov:	25.79	95% 1	Median C.I.: 55.90) to 79.82	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	les Price:	:	4,047,388	WGT. MEAN:	71	STD:	18.93	95% Wgt		L to 79.72	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price:	:	4,047,388	MEAN:	73	AVG.ABS.DEV:	13.96	95	% Mean C.I.: 63.9	99 to 82.81	(
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess	sed Value:	:	2,872,252								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price:	:	224,854	COD:	19.94	MAX Sales Ratio:	119.74				
	AVG. Assess	sed Value:	:	159,569	PRD:	103.43	MIN Sales Ratio:	51.04			Printed: 04/02/	/2008 16:54:48
ASSESSEI	D VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov	w \$											
Tota	al \$											
60000	ro 99999	3	55.90	61.49	60.45	15.8	0 101.72	51.04	77.54	N/A	111,666	67,506
100000	ro 149999	7	74.27	74.83	72.92	14.5	8 102.63	53.07	106.95	53.07 to 106.95	170,773	124,522
150000	ro 249999	5	71.09	80.55	74.43	26.6	108.23	53.75	119.74	N/A	277,470	206,516
250000	ro 499999	3	67.75	70.03	67.77	16.0	0 103.35	54.91	87.44	N/A	376,541	255,166
ALL_												
		18	69.99	73.40	70.97	19.9	4 103.43	51.04	119.74	55.90 to 79.82	224,854	159,569

METHODOLOGY REPORT OF SPECIAL VALUATION AND RECAPTURE (MARKET) VALUATION PROCEDURES

SEWARD COUNTY - 2008

1. Special valuation methodology:

As done in the past, the agricultural values are set according to the agricultural sales that are determined to be arms length by the assessor and by the Nebraska Department of Property Assessment and Taxation. A market study is done based on the enclosed spreadsheets. Each sale is listed and contains the number of acres in each land capability group. New values per acre are substituted for last year's values to calculate new assessed values and ratios. New statistical measurements including the mean, median and aggregate mean, coefficient of dispersion, pricerelated differential and the absolute standard deviation are calculated. The final step is the reconciliation of value. It is the process in which the estimates of value are evaluated and the applicability of the indicated values is weighed. This is a reconciliation of the facts, trends and observations developed in the analysis and a review of the conclusions and the validity and reliability of those conclusions. The market study to arrive at the special value was analyzed using only the uninfluenced sales from the market area 3, which was created in 2002. Area 3 does not have the aguifer lying under it. Area 3 has a slight change in boundaries for 2008, adding 1 3/4 sections from Area 1. Market Area 3 is most like market Area 2, which has special valuation. The new assessed value (ag/special value) for each land capability group is then applied to all agricultural parcels in area 2.

2. Recapture (market) methodology:

The influenced sales in market area 2 was analyzed based on the sale price divided by the number of acres in the parcel to arrive at an amount paid per acre for each sale in market area 2. The spreadsheet labeled "Market Area 2" shows the sales, acres in each parcel, the 2008 market value, sale price, price per acre and the sales ratio along with the other statistical measurements. The sales showed a change was required in the land capability groups for 2008.

Exhibits attached are:

Exhibit 1: Spreadsheet of the sales in Market Area 3, the uninfluenced area, establishing the special valuations for Market Area 2.

Exhibit 2: Spreadsheet of the sales in Market Area 2 establishing the market, recapture valuations for that area.

Exhibit 1 2008 Area 3 Total Used for Special Values for Area 2 Page 1 of 4

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S
1	Bk/Pg	Area	Class	2485	1875	2350	1875	2150	2150	1600	1850	1450	1825	1350	1200	1200	900	750	2025
2				1A1-1	1A1-3	<u>1A-1</u>	1A-3	2A1-1	2A-1	2A-3	3A1-1	3A1-3	3A-1	3A-3	4A1-1	4A1-3	4A-1	4A-3	1D1-1
3	129-113	3	DRY																
4	129-368	3	DRY																
5	129-416	3	DRY																
6	129-418	3	DRY																
7	129-425	3	DRY																
8	129-463	3	DRY																
9	129-481	3	DRY																
10	129-495	3	DRY																
11	129-521	3	DRY																
12	129-641	3	DRY																
13	129-705	3	DRY																
14	130-290	3	DRY																
15	130-571	3	DRY																
16	130-705	3	DRY																
17	131-284	3	DRY																
18	131-744	3	IRR		1.08		28.9			32.3		1.45		15.65		9.35		0.17	
19	131-008	3	DRY																
20																			
21																			
22 23								_	_		_	-			_	-			_
23			ACRES	0	1	0	29	0	0	32	0	1	0	16	0	9	0	0	0
24 25																			
25																			
26 27																			
28																			

Exhibit 1 2008 Area 3 Total Used for Special Values for Area 2 Page 2 of 4

	Т	U	V	W	Х	Υ	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	ΑE	AF	AG	AH	Al	AJ	AK	AL
1	1750	1925	1715	1675	1450	1675	1375	1400	1200	1200	1080	1900	1750	1650	1050	990	700	650	455
2	<u>1D1-3</u>	<u>1D-1</u>	<u>1D-3</u>	2D1-1	<u>2D1-3</u>	<u>2D-1</u>	<u>2D-3</u>	<u>3D1-1</u>	<u>3D1-3</u>	<u>3D-1</u>	<u>3D-3</u>	<u>GB</u>	<u>GB</u>	<u>GB</u>	4D1-1	<u>4D1-3</u>	<u>4D</u>	<u>1G1</u>	<u>1G1T1-1</u>
3							16				5								
4	49		35						9		18					1			
5	7.95		23						1.1		2.3					32.3	3.65		
6	56.05		49				6				1.9					19.5	7		
7	17.62								5.85		1					12.7			
8			12.1				35.9		8.8		15.2					1.6			
9	18.54		8.83		4				15.5							37.5	4		
10			25.9		65				17							42.5			
11	4.17		26.6				9		5.99		6					3	0.1		
12	99.56		16.48						5.65		14.87						1.75		
13	39		11.38		3		11		2		9								
14			8.91						14							13.5			
15					3		1		12		13					11			
16	47		16.5		4.35		4		10.5		13.65					7.5		0.2	
17	11.17		38.55		10.15				15.06							44.65			
18	19.03		5.16				2.44										8.31		
19	60.75		33		3		2		8							16.75			
20																			
21																			
22	400		040		00	-		0	400		400	0				0.1.1		_	
23	499	0	310	0	93	0	87	0	130	0	100	0	0	0	0	244	25	0	0
24 25																			
26																			
27																			
28																			
20															1				

Exhibit 1 2008 Area 3 Total Used for Special Values for Area 2 Page 3 of 4

	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD	BE
1	260	1610	600	1600	240	575	1350	1350	575	525	1030	450	900	1325	450	775	775	400	550
2	1G1T2	1G1C-3	<u>1G</u>	1GC-1	<u>1GT2</u>	<u>2G1</u>	2G1C-1	2G1C-3	<u>2G</u>	<u>3G1</u>	3G1C-1	<u>3G</u>	3GC-3	<u>GRASS</u>	<u>4G1</u>	4G1C-1	4G1C-3	<u>4G</u>	4GC-1
3									14.4									4	
4																			
5																			
6	4				2														
7																			
8									1	1.4									
9			1			6									4			10.67	
10																			
11																			
12										0.26								4.88	
13																			
14															1.5			6	
15										1.07					4.5				
16		1.5				12.4		4.75					0.35					16.2	
17																			
18																			
19										4.85					6			21.65	
20																			
21																			
22		•				- 10													
23	4	2	1	0	2	18	0	5	15	8	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	63	0
24																			
25 26																			
27																			
28																			
۷۵																			

Exhibit 1 2008 Area 3 Total Used for Special Values for Area 2 Page 4 of 4

	BF	BG	ВН	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	ВО	BP	BQ	BR	BS
1	315	180	280	160	90	Road	Total	SUB	SUB	VALUATION	Sale Price	Ratio	ABS	
2	4G1T1	4G1T2	<u>4GT1</u>	<u>4GT2</u>	Shbt/Wst	RR	Acres							
3						1	40.35	35,651	1,600	37,251	40,000	93.13%	0.1938	Dry .53
4						3.89	115.89	177,005	0	177,005	240,000	73.75%	0	Dry 1.00
5				7.7	1	1	80	91,694	1,322	93,016	123,200	75.50%	0.0175	Dry .88
6				9.8	7.25		162.5	218,150	2,221	220,370	260,208	84.69%	0.1094	Dry .85
7						0.96	38.13	51,508	0	51,508	95,000	54.22%	0.1953	Dry 1.00
8						2.47	78.47	99,984	0	99,984	125,552	79.64%	0.0588	Dry .96
9					19.31	1.42	130.77	115,963	7,806	123,769	195,190	63.41%	0.1034	Dry .6
10					4.21	3.22	157.83	201,144	379	201,522	272,395	73.98%	0.0023	Dry .97
11					0.64	3.49	58.99	82,000	58	82,057	92,800	88.42%	0.1467	Dry .98
12						1.55	145	226,694	1,952	228,646	388,600	58.84%	0.1491	Dry .96
13						1	76.38	119,362	0	119,362	137,484	86.82%	0.1307	Dry 1.00
14							43.91	45,446	3,075	48,521	100,000	48.52%	0.2523	Dry .82
15	2.5				1	1.48	119.85	166,892	2,903	169,794	326,400	52.02%	0.2173	Dry .92
16					6	1.48	146.38	173,210	7,335	180,545	320,000	56.42%	0.1733	Dry .71
17					2	3.42	125	162,654	180	162,834	187,500	86.84%	0.1309	Dry .98
18			11.6	10.52		3.38	149.29	193,794	4,917	198,711	325,000	61.14%	0.1261	Irr .6
19						4	160	198,736	11,360	210,096	312,000	67.34%	0.0641	Dry .79
20														
21														
22														
23	3	0	12	28	41	34	1,829	2,359,885	45,107	2,404,991	3,541,329	005	0.1218	
24										MEDIANI	70.750/	COD	16.52	
25										MEDIAN	73.75%			
26										AGGRE	67.91%			
27										MEAN	70.86%			
28										PRD	104.35%			

Exhibit 2 2008 Area 2 Total Market (Recapture) Value Page 1 of 4

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S
1	Bk/Pg	Area	Class	2485	1850	2350	1800	2150	2150	1400	1850	1375	1825	1130	1200	1100	900	600	2025
2				1A1-1	1A1-3	<u>1A-1</u>	1A-3	2A1-1	2A-1	2A-3	3A1-1	3A1-3	3A-1	3A-3	4A1-1	4A1-3	4A-1	4A-3	1D1-1
3	130-230	2	GRASS																
4	130-240	2	DRY																
5	130-136	2	GRASS																
6	131-013	2	DRY																
7	132-090	2	DRY																
8	131-619	2	CRP																
9	131-213	2	DRY																
10		2	CRP																
11	129-896	2	DRY																
12		2	DRY																
13		2	DRY																
14		2	DRY																
15		2	GRASS																
16		2	GRASS																
17	131-586	2	DRY																
18		2	DRY																
19		2	GRASS																
20	129-874	2	DRY																
21	130-776	2	DRY																
22																			
23																			
24																			
25 26			ACRES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27																			
28																			
29																			
30																			

Exhibit 2 2008 Area 2 Total Market (Recapture) Value Page 2 of 4

	Т	U	V	W	Χ	Υ	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	АН	Al	AJ	AK	AL
1	1675	1925	1650	1675	1375	1675	1375	1400	1180	1200	1030	1810	1810	1800	1050	990	550	600	420
2	<u>1D1-3</u>	<u>1D-1</u>	<u>1D-3</u>	<u>2D1-1</u>	<u>2D1-3</u>	<u>2D-1</u>	<u>2D-3</u>	<u>3D1-1</u>	<u>3D1-3</u>	<u>3D-1</u>	<u>3D-3</u>	<u>GB</u>	<u>GB</u>	<u>GB</u>	4D1-1	<u>4D1-3</u>	<u>4D</u>	<u>1G1</u>	1G1T1-1
3																			
4												11.21	21.74	4.5					
5																			
6												27.36	36.31	54.7					
7												20.64	7	8					
8																			
9												20.8	24.5	8.1					
10																			
11												56.1	46.8	21.2					
12												26.5	40	34					
13												9.48	24.51	15.09					
14												56	13.85	7					
15													17.91	17.34					
16 17												12							
17												52.65	16.61	4.66					
18												39.6	45.4	41.2					
19												20.0							
20												22.3	14	30.75					
21												26.5	40	34					
22	1																		
23																			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	204	240	204	0	0		0	
25	U	0	U	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	U	381	349	281	U	0	0	0	0
25 26 27				1															
28																			
29																			
30																			
30																			

Exhibit 2 2008 Area 2 Total Market (Recapture) Value Page 3 of 4

	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	ΑZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD	BE
1	240	1610	550	1600	220	525	1350	1350	525	475	1030	400	900	1325	400	775	775	325	550
2	1G1T2	1G1C-3	<u>1G</u>	1GC-1	1GT2	2G1	2G1C-1	2G1C-3	<u>2G</u>	3G1	3G1C-1	<u>3G</u>	3GC-3	GRASS	<u>4G1</u>	4G1C-1	4G1C-3	<u>4G</u>	4GC-1
3											1.2		1	27.96					9.3
4														24.72					
5														155.93					
6														27.78					
7														2					
8								2.21						75.82					
9														25.6					
10								16.5						139.5					
11														22.39					
12														17.5					
13														29.92					
14																			
15														42.5					
16														40.37					
17														5.08					
18														24.83					
19														158					
20														5.5					
21														17.5					
22																			
23																			
24																			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	1	843	0	0	0	0	9
26																			
27																			
28																			
29																			
30																			

Exhibit 2 2008 Area 2 Total Market (Recapture) Value Page 4 of 4

	BF	BG	ВН	BI	BJ	BK	BL	BM	BN	ВО	BP	BQ	BR	BS
1	280	160	230	130	70	Road	Total	SUB	SUB	VALUATION	Sale Price	Ratio	ABS	
2	<u>4G1T1</u>	4G1T2	<u>4GT1</u>	<u>4GT2</u>	Shbt/Wst	RR	Acres							
3					1	0.74	41.2	0	44,368	60,230	118,000	51.04%	0.2005	Gr .69
4						0.95	63.12	67,740	32,754	100,494	189,360	53.07%	0.1802	Dry .60
5							155.93	0	206,607	206,607	384,350	53.75%	0.1734	Gr 1.00
6							146.15	213,703	36,809	250,511	456,250	54.91%	0.1619	Dry .80
7						1	38.64	64,428	2,650	67,078	120,000	55.90%	0.152	Dry .94
8							78.03	2,984	100,462	112,875	163,853	68.89%	0.0221	CRP .68
9						1	80	96,573	33,920	130,493	201,000	64.92%	0.0617	Dry .67
10							156	22,275	184,838	207,113	320,000	64.72%	0.0637	CRP .59
11						3.37	149.86	224,409	29,667	254,076	375,000	67.75%	0.0334	Dry .84
12						2	120	181,565	23,188	204,753	288,000	71.09%	0	Dry .85
13						1	80	88,684	39,644	128,328	180,000	71.29%	0.002	Dry .62
14						0.63	77.48	139,029	0	139,029	187,200	74.27%	0.0317	Dry 1.00
15							77.75	63,629	56,313	119,942	158,000	75.91%	0.0482	Gr .54
16							52.37	21,720	53,490	75,210	97,000	77.54%	0.0644	Gr .75
17						1	80	133,749	6,731	140,480	176,000	79.82%	0.0872	Dry .93
18						4	155.03	228,010	32,900	260,910	298,375	87.44%	0.1635	Dry .83
19						2	160	0	209,350	209,350	224,000	93.46%	0.2237	Gr 1.00
20						0.45	73	121,053	7,288	128,341	120,000	106.95%	0.3586	Dry .92
21						2	120	181,565	23,188	204,753	171,000	119.74%	0.4864	Dry .85
22														
23														
24														
25	0	0	0	0	1	20	1,905	1,851,114	1,124,164	3,000,570	4,227,388		0.1323	
26												COD	18.62	
27										MEDIAN	71.09%			
28										AGGRE	70.98%			
29										MEAN	73.29%			
30										PRD	103.25%			

Total Real Property Value (Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30)

Records 10,081 Value 1,390,331,666 (Sum 17, 25, & 41)

Total Growth (Sum 17, 25, & 41)

Schedule I:Non-Agricultural Records (Res and Rec)

								$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$
	Urb			rban	Rur		Tot		Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
1. Res UnImp Land	398	4,848,009	142	2,348,140	204	4,982,493	744	12,178,642	
2. Res Improv Land	3,785	57,608,897	346	9,839,072	1,004	35,595,476	5,135	103,043,445	
3. Res Improvements	3,873	334,275,019	356	46,243,045	1,063	135,552,266	5,292	516,070,330	
4. Res Total	4,271	396,731,925	498	58,430,257	1,267	176,130,235	6,036	631,292,417	14,527,741
% of Total	70.75	62.84	8.25	9.25	20.99	27.89	59.87	45.40	67.22
5. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	1	389	11	0	12	389	
6. Rec Improv Land	0	0	3	142,723	4	56,235	7	198,958	
7. Rec Improvements	1	1,384	4	357,177	94	764,701	99	1,123,262	
8. Rec Total	1	1,384	5	500,289	105	820,936	111	1,322,609	0
% of Total	0.90	0.10	4.50	37.82	94.59	62.06	1.10	0.09	0.00
Res+Rec Total	4,272	396,733,309	503	58,930,546	1,372	176,951,171	6,147	632,615,026	14,527,741
% of Total	69.49	62.71	8.18	9.31	22.31	27.97	60.97	45.50	67.22
								j	j

Total Real Property Value (Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30)

Records 10,081 Value 1,390,331,666 (Sum 17, 25, & 41)

Total Growth (Sum 17, 25, & 41)

Schedule I:Non-Agricultural Records (Com and Ind)

		$\overline{}$							
	Uri Records	o an Value	Records	Jrban Value	Rur Records	r al Value	Tot Records	Value	Growth
	Records	varue	Records	varue	Records	varue	Records	varue	
9. Comm UnImp Land	67	1,491,366	4	112,411	30	653,686	101	2,257,463	
10. Comm Improv Land	437	14,202,304	18	410,536	43	4,537,266	498	19,150,106	
11. Comm Improvements	468	60,705,110	25	5,436,841	69	19,889,971	562	86,031,922	
12. Comm Total	535	76,398,780	29	5,959,788	99	25,080,923	663	107,439,491	4,472,435
% of Total	80.69	71.10	4.37	5.54	14.93	23.34	6.57	7.72	20.69
13. Ind UnImp Land	5	51,431	0	0	0	0	5	51,431	
14. Ind Improv Land	6	1,640,440	1	122,250	0	0	7	1,762,690	
15. Ind Improvements	6	12,265,977	1	2,946,948	0	0	7	15,212,925	
16. Ind Total	11	13,957,848	1	3,069,198	0	0	12	17,027,046	0
% of Total	91.66	81.97	8.33	18.02	0.00	0.00	0.11	1.22	0.00
Comm+Ind Total	546	90,356,628	30	9,028,986	99	25,080,923	675	124,466,537	4,472,435
% of Total	80.88	72.59	4.44	7.25	14.66	20.15	6.69	8.95	20.69
17. Taxable Total	4,818	487,089,937	533	67,959,532	1,471	202,032,094	6,822	757,081,563	19,000,176
% of Total	70.62	64.33	7.81	7.78	21.56	23.37	67.67	54.45	87.91
								Α	

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule II:Tax Increment	Financing (TIF)	Urban			SubUrban				
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess			
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19. Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0			

	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				0	0	0

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	Total		Growth	
	Records	Value		
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	0	0		0
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	0	0		0
25. Mineral Interest Total	0	0		0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

оспосите и по претисти и по	Urban Records	SubUrban Records	Rural Records	Total Records
26. Exempt	373	61	116	550

Schedule V: Agricultural Re	ecords Urban		SubUrbar	1	Rui	ral	Total		
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
27. Ag-Vacant Land	7	635,728	226	33,297,254	1,806	288,530,346	2,039	322,463,328	
28. Ag-Improved Land	0	0	116	21,947,699	982	195,910,341	1,098	217,858,040	
29. Ag-Improvements	0	0	123	11,077,206	1,097	81,851,529	1,220	92,928,735	
30. Ag-Total Taxable				_		_	3.259	633.250.103	

County 80 - Seward	20	08 County Abst	ract of Assessn	nent for Real	Property, Form	45	
Schedule VI: Agricultural Records:		Urban			SubUrban		
Non-Agricultural Detail	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.000	0	3	3.000	54,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	0	0.000	0	81	83.000	1,494,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	0		0	80		8,267,851	
34. HomeSite Total							
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	2	126.280	256,670	2	2.000	3,600	
36. FarmSite Impr Land	0	0.000	0	35	78.720	228,600	
37. FarmSite Improv	0		0	119		2,809,355	
38. FarmSite Total							
39. Road & Ditches		0.770			583.030		
40. Other-Non Ag Use		0.000	0		91.920	0	
	Records	Rural Acres	Value	Records	Total Acres	Value	Growth Value
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	10	10.000	180,000	13	13.000	234,000	
32. HomeSite Improv Land	673	678.000	12,164,000	754	761.000	13,658,000	
33. HomeSite Improvements	646	0.0.00	62,778,615	726		71,046,466	2,610,661
34. HomeSite Total	0.0		02,110,010	739	774.000	84,938,466	2,0:0,00:
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	21	30.510	129,350	25	158.790	389,620	
36. FarmSite Impr Land	317	376.850	913,033	352	455.570	1,141,633	
37. FarmSite Improv	1,073	0.0.00	19,072,914	1,192	100.01	21,882,269	0
38. FarmSite Total	.,		, ,	1,217	614.360	23,413,522	
39. Road & Ditches		6,051.780		.,	6,635.580		
40. Other-Non Ag Use		224.250	0		316.170	0	
41. Total Section VI		22 1.200		1,956	8,340.110	108,351,988	2,610,661
Schedule VII: Agricultural Records:				1,000	·	100,001,000	_,0:0,00:
Ag Land Detail-Game & Parks	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	0	0.000	0	3	345.020	292,211	
121 Came a Famo		Rural	-	-	Total		
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
42. Game & Parks	12	1,194.550	856,319	15	1,539.570	1,148,530	
Schedule VIII: Agricultural Records:	Doordo	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban	Value	
Special Value 43. Special Value	Records 0	0.000	value 0	92	Acres 9,385.470	10,262,942	
44. Recapture Val	0	0.000	0	32	3,505.470	15,051,229	
TT. Necapture var		Rural	U		Total	13,031,229	
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	
43. Special Value	787	83,306.840	80,637,642	879	92,692.310	90,900,584	
44. Recapture Val			129,922,973			144,974,202	

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: A	gricultural Records	s: AgLand Market	: Area Detail		Market Area			
	Urban		SubUrba	n	Rural		Total	
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
45. 1A1	0.000	0	3,007.220	7,794,372	35,522.820	91,980,344	38,530.040	99,774,71
46. 1A	0.000	0	2,140.980	5,350,350	30,085.230	75,127,666	32,226.210	80,478,01
47. 2A1	0.000	0	52.050	124,920	1,386.850	3,327,540	1,438.900	3,452,46
48. 2A	0.000	0	1,175.600	2,814,090	9,503.800	22,764,670	10,679.400	25,578,76
49. 3A1	0.000	0	757.580	1,583,718	12,802.090	26,810,235	13,559.670	28,393,95
50. 3A	0.000	0	1,783.530	3,656,247	11,856.590	24,286,170	13,640.120	27,942,41
51. 4A1	0.000	0	475.120	613,783	8,898.910	11,498,833	9,374.030	12,112,61
52. 4A	0.000	0	159.510	159,510	4,178.620	4,178,620	4,338.130	4,338,13
53. Total	0.000	0	9,551.590	22,096,990	114,234.910	259,974,078	123,786.500	282,071,06
Dryland:								
54. 1D1	6.670	14,674	892.490	1,950,878	9,142.270	19,968,274	10,041.430	21,933,82
55. 1D	28.300	59,430	920.120	1,929,852	11,992.210	25,126,041	12,940.630	27,115,32
56. 2D1	0.000	0	24.820	45,247	982.820	1,866,908	1,007.640	1,912,15
57. 2D	0.000	0	297.180	562,142	3,050.330	5,773,352	3,347.510	6,335,49
58. 3D1	26.960	47,180	596.370	1,039,158	7,037.770	12,299,710	7,661.100	13,386,04
59. 3D	0.000	0	372.200	521,080	2,909.920	4,071,088	3,282.120	4,592,16
60. 4D1	58.010	72,513	482.570	600,972	7,023.340	8,652,022	7,563.920	9,325,50
61. 4D	6.450	4,515	120.140	84,098	1,934.490	1,354,143	2,061.080	1,442,75
62. Total	126.390	198,312	3,705.890	6,733,427	44,073.150	79,111,538	47,905.430	86,043,27
Grass:								
63. 1G1	0.000	0	86.100	58,012	613.380	408,994	699.480	467,00
64. 1G	0.000	0	118.000	85,612	782.140	552,325	900.140	637,93
65. 2G1	0.000	0	58.390	33,120	991.450	571,377	1,049.840	604,49
66. 2G	0.000	0	148.570	82,110	448.010	256,353	596.580	338,46
67. 3G1	0.000	0	129.320	68,804	1,632.670	950,913	1,761.990	1,019,71
68. 3G	0.000	0	198.800	82,302	413.830	178,771	612.630	261,07
69. 4G1	0.000	0	316.640	155,798	2,737.490	1,351,814	3,054.130	1,507,61
70. 4G	0.000	0	831.000	283,473	6,882.440	2,459,429	7,713.440	2,742,90
71. Total	0.000	0	1,886.820	849,231	14,501.410	6,729,976	16,388.230	7,579,20
72. Waste	7.000	630	158.310	14,248	1,638.230	147,455	1,803.540	162,33
73. Other	2.300	207	11.070	996	154.870	13,401	168.240	14,60
74. Exempt	0.000		0.000		210.320	10,101	210.320	,00
75. Total	135.690	199,149	15,313.680	29,694,892	174,602.570	345,976,448	190,051.940	375,870,48

Schedule IX: A	Agricultural Records	s: AgLand Market	Area Detail		Market Area	. 2	2			
	Urban		SubUrbar		Rural		Total			
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value		
45. 1A1	0.000	0	115.590	214,257	290.280	543,452	405.870	757,709		
46. 1A	0.000	0	51.690	96,919	214.160	400,727	265.850	497,646		
47. 2A1	0.000	0	10.620	16,992	134.920	215,422	145.540	232,414		
48. 2A	0.000	0	1.000	1,600	282.790	449,464	283.790	451,064		
49. 3A1	0.000	0	17.860	25,897	134.810	195,477	152.670	221,374		
50. 3A	0.000	0	10.800	14,580	72.330	94,047	83.130	108,627		
51. 4A1	0.000	0	34.430	41,316	50.670	60,804	85.100	102,120		
52. 4A	0.000	0	17.780	13,336	46.570	34,929	64.350	48,265		
53. Total	0.000	0	259.770	424,897	1,226.530	1,994,322	1,486.300	2,419,219		
Dryland:										
54. 1D1	0.000	0	944.760	1,639,772	3,334.130	5,797,860	4,278.890	7,437,632		
55. 1D	0.000	0	987.920	1,694,503	5,170.790	8,850,785	6,158.710	10,545,288		
56. 2D1	0.000	0	473.930	686,456	4,253.400	6,163,618	4,727.330	6,850,074		
57. 2D	0.000	0	265.210	364,669	2,858.270	3,922,490	3,123.480	4,287,159		
58. 3D1	0.000	0	981.270	1,176,868	6,823.860	8,170,982	7,805.130	9,347,850		
59. 3D	0.000	0	312.220	342,093	4,720.870	5,085,870	5,033.090	5,427,963		
60. 4D1	0.000	0	937.590	920,388	6,248.460	6,182,008	7,186.050	7,102,396		
61. 4D	0.000	0	231.050	161,735	1,875.100	1,312,570	2,106.150	1,474,305		
62. Total	0.000	0	5,133.950	6,986,484	35,284.880	45,486,183	40,418.830	52,472,667		
Grass:										
63. 1G1	0.000	0	48.150	36,286	170.110	154,449	218.260	190,735		
64. 1G	0.000	0	93.310	59,744	657.010	597,557	750.320	657,301		
65. 2G1	0.000	0	416.480	290,057	3,020.790	2,642,980	3,437.270	2,933,037		
66. 2G	0.000	0	89.200	66,913	1,434.750	1,209,249	1,523.950	1,276,162		
67. 3G1	0.000	0	288.940	177,986	3,971.650	2,744,897	4,260.590	2,922,883		
68. 3G	0.000	0	393.430	237,651	6,891.630	4,359,188	7,285.060	4,596,839		
69. 4G1	0.000	0	648.110	352,057	6,676.830	3,752,255	7,324.940	4,104,312		
70. 4G	0.000	0	985.270	399,722	14,619.520	5,576,670	15,604.790	5,976,392		
71. Total	0.000	0	2,962.890	1,620,416	37,442.290	21,037,245	40,405.180	22,657,661		
72. Waste	0.000	0	111.360	10,023	1,620.640	149,578	1,732.000	159,601		
73. Other	0.000	0	9.430	849	42.140	3,793	51.570	4,642		
74. Exempt	0.000		1.020		58.700	, -	59.720	, - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -		
75. Total	0.000	0	8,477.400	9,042,669	75,616.480	68,671,121	84,093.880	77,713,790		

75. Total

135.270

179,909

11,442.460

Schedule IX:	Agricultural Records	s: AgLand Market	Area Detail		Market Area	3		
	Urban		SubUrba	n	Rural		Total	
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
45. 1A1	0.000	0	699.570	1,309,223	1,488.870	2,778,438	2,188.440	4,087,661
46. 1A	0.000	0	269.990	502,935	909.310	1,697,536	1,179.300	2,200,471
47. 2A1	0.000	0	25.970	41,552	98.090	156,944	124.060	198,496
48. 2A	0.000	0	278.910	442,506	870.110	1,387,676	1,149.020	1,830,182
49. 3A1	0.000	0	102.990	147,212	364.690	528,806	467.680	676,018
50. 3A	0.000	0	51.610	69,675	342.730	462,689	394.340	532,364
51. 4A1	0.000	0	71.120	83,994	223.200	267,840	294.320	351,834
52. 4A	0.000	0	60.150	45,115	52.240	39,187	112.390	84,302
53. Total	0.000	0	1,560.310	2,642,212	4,349.240	7,319,116	5,909.550	9,961,328
Dryland:								
54. 1D1	24.000	42,000	1,663.640	2,901,485	7,621.090	13,208,269	9,308.730	16,151,754
55. 1D	22.340	38,314	2,288.380	3,908,600	7,039.220	12,024,871	9,349.940	15,971,785
56. 2D1	23.000	33,350	197.180	284,413	1,022.870	1,482,428	1,243.050	1,800,191
57. 2D	24.330	33,454	907.650	1,235,637	2,789.450	3,800,116	3,721.430	5,069,207
58. 3D1	15.450	18,540	1,260.970	1,508,668	5,405.700	6,482,435	6,682.120	8,009,643
59. 3D	6.700	7,236	165.530	177,632	1,336.910	1,443,865	1,509.140	1,628,733
60. 4D1	2.000	1,980	1,374.590	1,340,198	6,654.270	6,532,551	8,030.860	7,874,729
61. 4D	5.450	3,815	222.880	156,016	632.430	442,701	860.760	602,532
62. Total	123.270	178,689	8,080.820	11,512,649	32,501.940	45,417,236	40,706.030	57,108,574
Grass:								
63. 1G1	0.000	0	41.110	22,624	251.660	212,032	292.770	234,656
64. 1G	0.000	0	76.890	39,942	385.230	296,352	462.120	336,294
65. 2G1	0.000	0	94.750	56,229	825.720	499,873	920.470	556,102
66. 2G	0.000	0	44.520	21,563	375.940	234,034	420.460	255,597
67. 3G1	0.000	0	127.210	66,513	807.000	502,846	934.210	569,359
68. 3G	0.000	0	14.330	6,449	111.090	65,667	125.420	72,116
69. 4G1	0.000	0	250.900	111,834	1,463.100	782,858	1,714.000	894,692
70. 4G	2.000	320	624.910	199,769	2,587.680	971,968	3,214.590	1,172,057
71. Total	2.000	320	1,274.620	524,923	6,807.420	3,565,630	8,084.040	4,090,873
72. Waste	10.000	900	467.710	42,098	1,111.220	100,014	1,588.930	143,012
73. Other	0.000	0	59.000	5,310	52.650	4,739	111.650	10,049
74. Exempt	0.000		0.000		0.000	,	0.000	

14,727,192

44,822.470

56,406,735

56,400.200

71,313,836

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule X: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Totals

	Urban		SubUrba	n	Rural		Total	
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76.Irrigated	0.000	0	11,371.670	25,164,099	119,810.680	269,287,516	131,182.350	294,451,615
77.Dry Land	249.660	377,001	16,920.660	25,232,560	111,859.970	170,014,957	129,030.290	195,624,518
78.Grass	2.000	320	6,124.330	2,994,570	58,751.120	31,332,851	64,877.450	34,327,741
79.Waste	17.000	1,530	737.380	66,369	4,370.090	397,047	5,124.470	464,946
80.Other	2.300	207	79.500	7,155	249.660	21,933	331.460	29,295
81.Exempt	0.000	0	1.020	0	269.020	0	270.040	0
82.Total	270.960	379,058	35,233.540	53,464,753	295,041.520	471,054,304	330,546.020	524,898,115

					Market Area: 1
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	38,530.040	31.13%	99,774,716	35.37%	2,589.530
1A	32,226.210	26.03%	80,478,016	28.53%	2,497.284
2A1	1,438.900	1.16%	3,452,460	1.22%	2,399.374
2A	10,679.400	8.63%	25,578,760	9.07%	2,395.149
3A1	13,559.670	10.95%	28,393,953	10.07%	2,094.000
3A	13,640.120	11.02%	27,942,417	9.91%	2,048.546
4A1	9,374.030	7.57%	12,112,616	4.29%	1,292.146
4A	4,338.130	3.50%	4,338,130	1.54%	1,000.000
Irrigated Total	123,786.500	100.00%	282,071,068	100.00%	2,278.690
Dry:					
1D1	10,041.430	20.96%	21,933,826	25.49%	2,184.332
1D	12,940.630	27.01%	27,115,323	31.51%	2,095.363
2D1	1,007.640	2.10%	1,912,155	2.22%	1,897.656
2D	3,347.510	6.99%	6,335,494	7.36%	1,892.598
3D1	7,661.100	15.99%	13,386,048	15.56%	1,747.274
3D	3,282.120	6.85%	4,592,168	5.34%	1,399.146
4D1	7,563.920	15.79%	9,325,507	10.84%	1,232.893
4D	2,061.080	4.30%	1,442,756	1.68%	700.000
Dry Total	47,905.430	100.00%	86,043,277	100.00%	1,796.106
Grass:	,		, ,		,
1G1	699.480	4.27%	467,006	6.16%	667.647
1G	900.140	5.49%	637,937	8.42%	708.708
2G1	1,049.840	6.41%	604,497	7.98%	575.799
2G	596.580	3.64%	338,463	4.47%	567.338
3G1	1,761.990	10.75%	1,019,717	13.45%	578.730
3G	612.630	3.74%	261,073	3.44%	426.151
4G1	3,054.130	18.64%	1,507,612	19.89%	493.630
4G	7,713.440	47.07%	2,742,902	36.19%	355.600
Grass Total	16,388.230	100.00%	7,579,207	100.00%	462.478
Irrigated Total	123,786.500	65.13%	282,071,068	75.04%	2,278.690
Dry Total	47,905.430	25.21%	86,043,277	22.89%	1,796.106
Grass Total	16,388.230	8.62%	7,579,207	2.02%	462.478
Waste	1,803.540	0.95%	162,333	0.04%	90.007
Other	168.240	0.09%	14,604	0.00%	86.804
Exempt	210.320	0.11%	,		
Market Area Total	190,051.940	100.00%	375,870,489	100.00%	1,977.725
As Related to the C	County as a Whol	e			.
Irrigated Total	123,786.500	94.36%	282,071,068	95.80%	
Dry Total	47,905.430	37.13%	86,043,277	43.98%	
Grass Total	16,388.230	25.26%	7,579,207	22.08%	
Waste	1,803.540	35.19%	162,333	34.91%	
Other	168.240	50.76%	14,604	49.85%	
Exempt	210.320	77.88%	. 1,501		
Market Area Total	190,051.940	57.50%	375,870,489	71.61%	
	100,001.040	01.0070	010,010,409	7 1.0 1 /0	

					Market Area: 2
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	405.870	27.31%	757,709	31.32%	1,866.876
1A	265.850	17.89%	497,646	20.57%	1,871.905
2A1	145.540	9.79%	232,414	9.61%	1,596.908
2A	283.790	19.09%	451,064	18.65%	1,589.428
3A1	152.670	10.27%	221,374	9.15%	1,450.016
3A	83.130	5.59%	108,627	4.49%	1,306.712
4A1	85.100	5.73%	102,120	4.22%	1,200.000
4A	64.350	4.33%	48,265	2.00%	750.038
Irrigated Total	1,486.300	100.00%	2,419,219	100.00%	1,627.678
Dry:					
1D1	4,278.890	10.59%	7,437,632	14.17%	1,738.215
1D	6,158.710	15.24%	10,545,288	20.10%	1,712.255
2D1	4,727.330	11.70%	6,850,074	13.05%	1,449.036
2D	3,123.480	7.73%	4,287,159	8.17%	1,372.558
3D1	7,805.130	19.31%	9,347,850	17.81%	1,197.654
3D	5,033.090	12.45%	5,427,963	10.34%	1,078.455
4D1	7,186.050	17.78%	7,102,396	13.54%	988.358
4D	2,106.150	5.21%	1,474,305	2.81%	700.000
Dry Total	40,418.830	100.00%	52,472,667	100.00%	1,298.223
Grass:	,		, ,		,
1G1	218.260	0.54%	190,735	0.84%	873.888
1G	750.320	1.86%	657,301	2.90%	876.027
2G1	3,437.270	8.51%	2,933,037	12.95%	853.304
2G	1,523.950	3.77%	1,276,162	5.63%	837.404
3G1	4,260.590	10.54%	2,922,883	12.90%	686.027
3G	7,285.060	18.03%	4,596,839	20.29%	630.995
4G1	7,324.940	18.13%	4,104,312	18.11%	560.320
4G	15,604.790	38.62%	5,976,392	26.38%	382.984
Grass Total	40,405.180	100.00%	22,657,661	100.00%	560.761
Irrigated Total	1,486.300	1.77%	2,419,219	3.11%	1,627.678
Dry Total	40,418.830	48.06%	52,472,667	67.52%	1,298.223
Grass Total	40,405.180	48.05%	22,657,661	29.16%	560.761
Waste	1,732.000	2.06%	159,601	0.21%	92.148
Other	51.570	0.06%	4,642	0.01%	90.013
Exempt	59.720	0.07%	7,072	0.0170	30.013
Market Area Total	84,093.880	100.00%	77,713,790	100.00%	924.131
			71,110,100	100.0070	02 11101
As Related to the C				0.5507	
Irrigated Total	1,486.300	1.13%	2,419,219	0.82%	
Dry Total	40,418.830	31.33%	52,472,667	26.82%	
Grass Total	40,405.180	62.28%	22,657,661	66.00%	
Waste	1,732.000	33.80%	159,601	34.33%	
Other	51.570	15.56%	4,642	15.85%	
Exempt	59.720	22.12%			
Market Area Total	84,093.880	25.44%	77,713,790	14.81%	

					Market Area: 3
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	2,188.440	37.03%	4,087,661	41.04%	1,867.842
1A	1,179.300	19.96%	2,200,471	22.09%	1,865.912
2A1	124.060	2.10%	198,496	1.99%	1,600.000
2A	1,149.020	19.44%	1,830,182	18.37%	1,592.819
3A1	467.680	7.91%	676,018	6.79%	1,445.471
3A	394.340	6.67%	532,364	5.34%	1,350.012
4A1	294.320	4.98%	351,834	3.53%	1,195.413
4A	112.390	1.90%	84,302	0.85%	750.084
Irrigated Total	5,909.550	100.00%	9,961,328	100.00%	1,685.632
Dry:					
1D1	9,308.730	22.87%	16,151,754	28.28%	1,735.118
1D	9,349.940	22.97%	15,971,785	27.97%	1,708.223
2D1	1,243.050	3.05%	1,800,191	3.15%	1,448.204
2D	3,721.430	9.14%	5,069,207	8.88%	1,362.166
3D1	6,682.120	16.42%	8,009,643	14.03%	1,198.667
3D	1,509.140	3.71%	1,628,733	2.85%	1,079.245
4D1	8,030.860	19.73%	7,874,729	13.79%	980.558
4D	860.760	2.11%	602,532	1.06%	700.000
Dry Total	40,706.030	100.00%	57,108,574	100.00%	1,402.951
Grass:	,		, ,		,
1G1	292.770	3.62%	234,656	5.74%	801.502
1G	462.120	5.72%	336,294	8.22%	727.720
2G1	920.470	11.39%	556,102	13.59%	604.150
2G	420.460	5.20%	255,597	6.25%	607.898
3G1	934.210	11.56%	569,359	13.92%	609.455
3G	125.420	1.55%	72,116	1.76%	574.996
4G1	1,714.000	21.20%	894,692	21.87%	521.990
4G	3,214.590	39.76%	1,172,057	28.65%	364.605
Grass Total	8,084.040	100.00%	4,090,873	100.00%	506.043
Irrigated Total	5,909.550	10.48%	9,961,328	13.97%	1,685.632
Dry Total	40,706.030	72.17%	57,108,574	80.08%	1,402.951
Grass Total	8,084.040	14.33%	4,090,873	5.74%	506.043
Waste	1,588.930	2.82%	143,012	0.20%	90.005
Other	111.650	0.20%	10,049	0.01%	90.004
Exempt	0.000	0.00%	10,040	0.0170	30.00+
Market Area Total	56,400.200	100.00%	71,313,836	100.00%	1,264.425
As Related to the C	County as a Whol	0			
Irrigated Total	5,909.550	4.50%	9,961,328	3.38%	
Dry Total	40,706.030	31.55%	57,108,574	29.19%	
Grass Total		12.46%	4,090,873	11.92%	
	8,084.040 1,599.030				
Waste Other	1,588.930	31.01%	143,012	30.76%	
	111.650	33.68%	10,049	34.30%	
Exempt Market Area Total	0.000	0.00%	74 040 000	40 500/	
Market Area Total	56,400.200	17.06%	71,313,836	13.59%	

	Urban		SubUrba	n	Rural		
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	
Irrigated	0.000	0	11,371.670	25,164,099	119,810.680	269,287,516	
Dry	249.660	377,001	16,920.660	25,232,560	111,859.970	170,014,957	
Grass	2.000	320	6,124.330	2,994,570	58,751.120	31,332,851	
Waste	17.000	1,530	737.380	66,369	4,370.090	397,047	
Other	2.300	207	79.500	7,155	249.660	21,933	
Exempt	0.000	0	1.020	0	269.020	0	
Total	270.960	379,058	35,233.540	53,464,753	295,041.520	471,054,304	

	Tota	I				% of	Average
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	Value*	Assessed Value*
Irrigated	131,182.350	294,451,615	131,182.350	39.69%	294,451,615	56.10%	2,244.597
Dry	129,030.290	195,624,518	129,030.290	39.04%	195,624,518	37.27%	1,516.113
Grass	64,877.450	34,327,741	64,877.450	19.63%	34,327,741	6.54%	529.116
Waste	5,124.470	464,946	5,124.470	1.55%	464,946	0.09%	90.730
Other	331.460	29,295	331.460	0.10%	29,295	0.01%	88.381
Exempt	270.040	0	270.040	0.08%	0	0.00%	0.000
Total	330,546.020	524,898,115	330,546.020	100.00%	524,898,115	100.00%	1,587.972

^{*} Department of Property Assessment & Taxation Calculates

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

80 Seward

	2007 CTL County Total	2008 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2007 Form 45 - 2006 CTL)	Percent Change	2008 Growth (New Construction Value)	% Change excl. Growth
1. Residential	600,948,775	631,292,417	30,343,642	5.05	14,527,741	2.63
2. Recreational	1,322,609	1,322,609	0	0	0	0
3. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwellings	83,419,543	84,938,466	1,518,923	1.82	*	1.82
4. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	685,690,927	717,553,492	31,862,565	4.65	14,527,741	2.53
5. Commercial	99,412,689	107,439,491	8,026,802	8.07	4,472,435	3.58
6. Industrial	16,427,285	17,027,046	599,761	3.65	0	3.65
7. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	22,923,333	23,413,522	490,189	2.14	2,610,661	-9.25
8. Minerals	0	0	0		0	
9. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	138,763,307	147,880,059	9,116,752	6.57	4,472,435	3.35
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	824,454,234	865,433,551	40,979,317	4.97	21,610,837	2.35
11. Irrigated	269,950,494	294,451,615	24,501,121	9.08		
12. Dryland	187,030,761	195,624,518	8,593,757	4.59		
13. Grassland	30,282,795	34,327,741	4,044,946	13.36		
14. Wasteland	366,429	464,946	98,517	26.89		
15. Other Agland	22,827	22,827	6,468	28.33		
16. Total Agricultural Land	487,653,306	524,898,115	37,244,809	7.64		
17. Total Value of All Real Property (Locally Assessed)	1,312,107,540	1,390,331,666	78,224,126	5.96	21,610,837	4.31

^{*}Growth is not typically identified separately within a parcel between ag-residential dwellings (line 3) and ag outbuildings (line 7), so for this display, all growth from ag-residential dwellings and ag outbuildings is shown in line 7.

Seward County 2007 Plan of Assessment For years 2008, 2009 & 2010

Requirements:

Pursuant to Neb. Laws 2005, LB263, Section 9, on or before June 15 each year, the Assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment which describes the assessment actions planned to the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor shall present the plan to the county board of equalization and the assessor may amend the plan if necessary, after the county board approves the budget. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-112 (Reissue 2003).

Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1) 100% of actual value for all classes or real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land;
- 2) 75% of actual value for agricultural land and horticultural land and;
- 3) 75% of special value for agricultural and horticultural land which meets the qualifications for special valuation under 77-1344 and 75% of it's recapture value as defined in 77-1343 when the land is disqualified for special valuation under 77-1347.

Assessment Statistics for 2007:

Property Class	Median	COD	PRD
Residential	97.01%	7.55	100.65
Commercial	93.99%	20.68	106.28
Agricultural Land			
Unimproved	71.07%	15.49	104.65
Special Value	72.23%	14.92	104.57
Recapture Value	71.54%	26.25	100.51

Median: The middle placement when the assessment/sales ratios are arrayed from high to low (or low to high)

COD: (Coefficient of Dispersion) The average absolute deviation divided by the median

PRD: (Price Related Differential) The mean ratio divided by the aggregate ratio Aggregate: The sum of the assessed values divided by the sum of the sales prices

Average Absolute Deviation: Each ratio minus the median, summed and divided by the number of sales

Mean: The sum of the ratios divided by the number of sales.

Office Staff and Budget Information

Seward County Assessor's Office currently employs 2 full time personnel, 1 temporary part time person and a part time contract Appraiser besides the Assessor and Deputy Assessor. Information pertaining to budget and staffing is included in the survey given to the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division. Staff salaries are included in the office's budget presented to the County Board each year.

Goals

The main goal for the Seward County Assessor's Office is doing the best job possible in a professional manner to maintain fair and equitable values in meeting the statutory statistical requirements with the resources available.

Procedures Manual

Procedures have been established in the office and are updated as needed. The Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division Regulations and Directives as approved by the Attorney General and signed by the Governor is filed in the office.

Responsibilities

Record Maintenance

Property record cards are maintained for every parcel of real property including improvements on leased land. The cards are updated annually to include any changes made to the assessment information of the property. The record cards contain current owner name and address, legal description, book and page number of the last deed of record and any changes of record of ownership. Also included is situs address, pictures of improvement or main structure, sketches, cadastral map book and page numbers, tax district codes, valuation information and other codes created that are relevant to the specific parcel.

The office maintains a cadastral map system. The current cadastral maps were done in May 1966. They have been kept up to date with name changes, separations and new subdivisions. Seward County has implemented a GIS system. The office staff has completed identifying each parcel and attaching the parcel identification number used in the Terra Scan CAMA system. A land use layer is nearing completion. A flood plane layer has been added. Other layers will be developed in the future.

Other functions performed by the assessor's office, but not limited to:

Prepare annually and file the following Administrative Reports

- County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property and Personal Property
- Assessor Survey
- Certification of Values to Political Subdivision
- School District Taxable Value Report
- Sales information including rosters & annual Assessed Value Update w/Abstract
- Certification of Taxes Levied Report
- Homestead Exemption Tax Loss
- Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Education Lands & Funds
- Annual Plan of Assessment Report

Homestead Exemptions - Homestead Exemption applications are accepted in the office from February 1st through June 30. They are verified that the applicant is owner/occupant. Applications along with an income statement and a doctor's certification of disability (where appropriate) is forwarded to the Nebraska Department of Revenue by August 1 for income verification. The State returns a roster in October of approved (with a percentage) and disapproved for final processing.

Personal Property - All depreciable tangible personal property which is used in a trade or business for the production of income, and which has a determinable life of longer than one year is filed on or before May 1. After May 1st but before August 1st a 10 percent penalty is applied and on August 1st and after a 25 percent penalty is applied. Every year for two weeks in February and April advertisements are published in the local newspapers and a weekly news supplement for non-subscribers. Out of county filers receive the actual schedule in the mail to review, correct and return. All in county filers receive a mailer reminding it is time to file their personal property. This office documents at least 4-6 reminders to those who need to file personal property.

Permissive Exemptions - Administer annual filings of applications for new or continued exempt use or continued exempt use, review and make recommendations to the county board.

Taxable Government Owned Property - Annual review of government owned property not used public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.

Centrally Assessed Properties - Review the valuations as certified by the Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division. Establish and maintain assessment records and tax billing for the tax list.

Tax Districts and Tax Rates – Maintain school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information including the input of tax rates used for tax billing.

Tax Lists - Prepare and certify the tax lists to the county treasurer for real property, personal property and centrally assessed properties.

Tax List Corrections - Prepare tax list correction documents for the county board's approval.

County Board of Equalization - Attend county board of equalization meetings including meetings for valuation protests. Prepare documentation for the board for the hearings.

TERC (<u>Tax Equalization and Review Commission</u>) Appeals - Prepare the information and attend the taxpayer appeals hearings before TERC. Testify in defense of the county's valuation.

TERC Statewide Equalization - Attend the hearings if applicable to the county, to testify in defense of the county's values, and to implement TERC's orders.

Education - Attend meetings, workshops and educational classes to obtain the required hours of continuing education to maintain the assessor certification.

Real Property: A four-year comprehensive countywide reappraisal of all classes of real property was started for assessment year 1997 and completed for assessment year 2000. The county contracted with an appraisal company for this project. The reappraisal consisted of visiting every property, re-measuring, new photographs of the main structure and interior inspections of homes where permitted. New property record cards were made. The following is a list of what properties were complete in each year.

- 1997 Residential properties in the towns of Seward and Milford
- 1998 Residential properties in the towns of Beaver Crossing, Bee, Garland, Goehner, Pleasant Dale, Staplehurst, Tamora, Utica and all the acreages
- 1999 All improvements on properties classified as farm (residences and outbuildings)
- 2000 All commercial and industrial properties in the county.

An annual analysis will be done and areas prioritized for reappraisal accordingly. Reviews of properties will be done along with a market analysis to establish physical and economic depreciation. New pricing will be applied. Adequate funding will be needed to support the continuation of this process.

For assessment year 2001 the following was reappraised: Bee and Milford residential. For assessment year 2002, the following was reappraised:

- Seward residential land and changed some boundaries on some neighborhoods and added some new ones.
- Reappraised the residential properties in the towns of Cordova, Pleasant Dale, and Staplehurst including new lot values.
- Re-priced acreage land in the county. Also, Range 4 houses received a 5% increase and Range 3 received a 3% increase.
- Approximately 550 building and development permits were picked up along with approximately 70 recounts of agricultural land due to use changes or requests.
- Ag Land: Established a 3rd Market Area and expanded Market Area 2 by 8 sections.
 Market Area 1 is an area defined as such as it lies over an aquifer. It will recognize the possibility of irrigation potential.
 - Market Area 2 is an area defined as Range 4 (six miles wide adjacent to Lancaster County). It was expanded for 2002 by 8 sections, 2 miles closer to Seward and 2 miles on either side of Highway 34. Area 2 is a special valuation area.

Market Area 3 is an area defined as such as it does not lie over an aquifer. The probability of irrigation will more than likely be limited to ponds and rivers. The ag values established in this area set the special valuations in Area 2.

For the assessment year 2003, the following changes were made: Residential:

- Reappraisal of the towns of Garland, Goehner and land in Beaver Crossing
- Range 3 & 4 acreages increase in land values
- Range 3 acreage houses increased 3%
- Countywide increased improved site by an additional 2000 valuation
- Reviewed new subdivisions in Seward, recalculated discount cash flow and re-priced some to reflect current market trends
- Completed pickup work 376 parcels including building permits on new construction

Commercial:

- Reviewed and analyzed sales to see if the comprehensive 2000 reappraisal was staying with the current market
- Revalued land in the towns of Garland, Goehner and Beaver Crossing
- Reviewed neighborhoods in Seward and re-neighborhooded 2 areas
- Completed pickup work 34 parcels including building permits on new construction

Ag Land:

- Reviewed and analyzed sales to verify Market Areas follow the market trends
- Changed irrigated values in Market Area 1
- Verified land use changes using FSA records and maps. Also verified using contact with property owners and inspection of the property
- Reclassified wetlands into it's own class and valuation
- Started to reclassify CRP into it's own class and valuation
- Completed pickup work on ag improvements and building permits (rural homes and out buildings) 64

For the assessment year 2004, the following changes were made: Residential:

- Reviewed sales
- Reappraisal of the towns of Bee, Utica and improvements only in Beaver Crossing.
- · Reappraisal of the acreages in Range 4
- Reappraisal of the platted rural subdivisions in Range 4
- Reviewed new subdivisions in Seward, recalculated discount cash flow and priced some to reflect current market trends
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction
- Completed inspections on rural sites, both farms and acreages in the north half of the county (8
 precincts) except about two-thirds of A Precinct due to running out of time. Inspected and updated
 properties for new construction, changes in construction including condition and removal or buildings.

Commercial:

- Reviewed sales to see if the 2000 county's comprehensive reappraisal was staying with the current market
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction.

Agricultural Land:

- Reviewed sales and verified Market Areas still follow the market trends
- Verified land use changes using FSA records and maps, form 13AG (Nebraska Sales and Use Tax Exemption Certificate) along with contact with property owners. Completed such changes and recounted acres on 110 properties.
- Reviewed and made changes for the properties enrolled in CRP as needed.
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value.
- Revalued the market (recapture) value as needed to comply with the required level of value.

For assessment year 2005, the following changes were made: Residential:

- Reviewed sales
- Reappraisal of the towns of Seward and Milford
- Reappraisal of the acreages in Range 3 (Precincts B, G, J and 0)
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction

- Completed inspections on rural sites, both farms and acreages in the south half of the county. Picked up unreported improvements.
- Increased by five percent (5%) the houses on properties classified as farms in the east half of the county.

Commercial:

- Reviewed sales
- Completed pickup work

Agricultural land:

- Reviewed sales
- Verified land use changes, completed changes.
- Reviewed and accounted for the properties in CRP.
- Verified Market Areas still follow the market trends.
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value.
- Started to create the land use layer in the GIS program.

For assessment year 2006, the following changes were made:

Residential:

- Reviewed sales
- Reappraisal of the acreages in the west half of the county. (Completes a 3 year process of county-wide acreage reappraisal)
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2005 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2006
- Increased by five percent (5%) the houses on properties classified as farms in the west half of the county
- Appraisal update on residential properties in the towns of Garland, Goehner, Grover and Pleasant Dale
- Reviewed and recalculated cash flow discounts on new subdivisions that were discounted and reclassified some neighborhoods in Seward as the market analysis indicated.

Commercial:

- Reviewed the sales
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2005 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2006
- Reviewed and revalued tower sites on improvements on leased land (IOLL)
- Revalued land in Garland, Goehner, Grover and Pleasant Dale
- Reappraisal of the apartment buildings in Seward, Milford and Pleasant Dale

Agricultural Land:

- Reviewed the sales
- Verified land use changes using GIS, FSA records and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections. Completed such changes and recounted acres
- Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made changes as necessary
- Verified the existing market areas still follow the market trends
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value. Changed various irrigated and dry cropland LCG values in the Market Area 1. Changed 1D1, 1D and 3D1 in Market Area 3
- Analyzed and changed market/recapture values in all the LCG's in the special valuation Market Area 2

For assessment year 2007, the following changes were made:

Residential:

- Reviewed sales
- Reappraisal of the villages of Garland, Pleasant Dale and Staplehurst
- Reanalyzed neighborhoods in Milford and changed 5 of them
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2006 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2007.
- Reappraisal of the houses and buildings on properties classified as farms in Range 4
- Changed farm home sites county wide from 12,000 to 15,000 for the first acre.

- Reviewed and recalculated cash flow discounts on new subdivisions that were discounted.
- Picked up improvements at Horseshoe Bend Lake in 15-10-3

Commercial:

- Reviewed sales
- Completed pickup work and building permits on new construction. Reviewed parcels that were a partial valuation for 2006 and changed according to completion as of January 1, 2007.
- Re-Neighborhooded and repriced land at the Seward and I80 Interchange.

Agricultural land:

- Reviewed sales
- Verified land use changes using GIS, FSA records and maps along with contact with property owners and physical inspections. Completed such changes and recounted acres. Fifteen out of sixteen precincts completed for GIS land use layer.
- Reviewed and accounted for the properties enrolled in the CRP and WRP programs and made changes as necessary.
- Verified the existing market areas still follow the market trends.
- Revalued agricultural land as needed to comply with the required level of value.
 Changed various irrigated and dry cropland LCG values in Market Area 1. Changed Various irrigated LCG values in Market Areas 2 & 3.
- Analyzed and changed market/recapture values in the special valuation Market Area 2.

Agricultural land is reviewed every year and values established to maintain the ratios and statistics mandated by the Tax Equalization and Review Commission. An annual study will be conducted to see if the current market continues to support the areas.

The office utilizes the Terra Scan administrative and CAMA system using the Marshall Swift costs. We download digital camera photos into the system. Eight by ten color aerial photos were taken during 2000 and 2001. The aerial photos were scanned into the computer and attached to the property record card.

Pickup work, the collection of data relating to new construction, remodeling, additions, alterations and removals of existing buildings or structures along with zoning and annexation is done on a continuous year round basis. Parcels are flagged if the value is to be added for the following year to be changed during the appropriate time frame.

RCN (replacement cost new). The cost approach is used in setting our values. An income analysis is only used occasionally for commercial property to substantiate the cost approach.

The real estate transfer statements, form 521, are processed on a continual basis.

The assessment plans for year 2008 are as follows: Residential:

- ♦ Reappraisal of the houses and buildings classified as farms in Range 3
- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize other areas that need adjustments. Possible percentage
 adjustments as budget restraints, personnel limitations and time factors allow keeping values within
 acceptable range of value.
- Review and analyze and recalculate newer subdivisions in Seward that already have land values set using discount cash flow. Set values in new subdivisions using a discount cash flow.
- ♦ Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.

Commercial:

- Complete pickup work and building permits on new construction.
- Review and analyze the sales.
- Reappraise Seward.

Ag Land:

- Review and analyze sales for market trends
- Review and analyze the 3 market areas
- Revalue land as needed to comply with the required level of value

 Continue to monitor land use changes, using FSA records, maps, owner information and inspection of properties

GIS:

Continue with digital land use identification and further building of a GIS system for Seward County

The assessment plans for year 2009 are as follows:

Residential:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal review.
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction.
- Revalue houses and buildings classified as farms in Range 2

Commercial:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal review
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction

Agricultural Land:

- Review and analyze sales and analyze market areas
- Review and keep current on CRP and other farm programs
- Monitor and keep current with land use changes

GIS:

Continue with building of the GIS system adding additional layers.

The assessment plans for year 2010 are as follows:

Residential:

- Prioritize areas that need review and analyze sales
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction
- · Revalue houses and buildings classified as farms in Range 1

Commercial:

- Review and analyze sales. Prioritize areas that need appraisal and review
- Complete pickup work, including building permits on new construction

Agricultural Land:

- Review and analyze sales and market areas
- Review and keep current with CRP and other farm programs
- Monitor and keep current with land use changes

GIS:

Continue with building the GIS system adding additional layers

I respectfully submit this plan of assessment and request the resources needed to continue with maintaining up-to-date, fair and equitable assessments in achieving the statutory required statistics.

Date	Marilyn Hladky
	Seward County Assessor

2008 Assessment Survey for Seward County

I. General Information

A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
_	
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	0
3.	Other full-time employees
٥.	2
4.	Other part-time employees
	1 Temporary part-time
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	227,000
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	Yes 2,000 each year is put into a sinking fund for server replacement and additional
	\$2,000 will be put into the sinking fund to fund a personal property program,
	\$23,100 is in the budget for data processing, Terra Scan maintenance agreement,
	GIS and website maintenance through GIS Workshop. The part of the budget that is
	dedicated to the computer system is not separated.
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
	222,750
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	39,000 which is included in the assessor's budget
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	1200

11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	The funding is included in the appraisal work budget
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
	0
13.	Total budget
	222,750
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used:
	600 Approximately

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	TerraScan
2.	CAMA software
	TerraScan
3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	Yes The cadastral maps were purchased in 1966 and are still maintained by the
	County Assessor's office.
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assessment Staff
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	The county is moving to using GIS maps with GIS Workshop. The GIS maps are in the process of replacing the cadastral maps at this time.
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	GIS Workshop maintains the programming and the maps are maintained by the county assessor office staff.
7.	Personal Property software:
	TerraScan

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Beaver Crossing, Garland, Goehner, Milford, Pleasant Dale, Seward*, Utica *County Seat
4.	When was zoning implemented?
	1973 And the comprehensive plan was updated in 1995. The county board
	conducted a total review of the comprehensive plan, which has been updated and was adopted in 2007.

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	Jon Fritz does all commercial & industrial valuations including pickup work, sales and maintenance. Assists in residential market studies and has been doing reappraisal of towns and rural areas as needed. Jon assists in other requests from the assessor, including difficult to value properties.
2.	Other services
	TerraScan software package for administrative and CAMA including Marshall and Swift. GIS Workshop maintains and supports the GIS software ESRI updates.

Certification

This is to certify that the 2008 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

- •Five copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.
- •One copy to the Seward County Assessor, by certified mail, return receipt requested, 7006 2760 0000 6387 5098.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Divisio