### Preface

The requirements for the assessment of real property for the purposes of property taxation are found in Nebraska law. The Constitution of Nebraska requires that "taxes shall be levied by valuation uniformly and proportionately upon all real property and franchises as defined by the Legislature except as otherwise provided in or permitted by this Constitution." Neb. Const. art. VIII, sec. 1 (1) (1998). The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (R.R.S., 2003). The assessment level for all real property, except agricultural land and horticultural land, is one hundred percent of actual value. The assessment level for agricultural land and horticultural land, hereinafter referred to as agricultural land, is seventy-five percent of actual value. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-201(1) and (2)(R.S. Supp., 2007). More importantly, for purposes of equalization, similar properties must be assessed at the same proportion of actual value when compared to each other. Achieving the constitutional requirement of proportionality ultimately ensures the balance equity in the imposition of the property tax by local units of government on each parcel of real property.

The assessment process, implemented under the authority of the county assessor, seeks to value similarly classed properties at the same proportion to actual value. This is not a precise mathematical process, but instead depends on the judgment of the county assessor, based on his or her analysis of relevant factors that affect the actual value of real property. Nebraska law provides ranges of acceptable levels of value that must be met to achieve the uniform and proportionate valuation of classes and subclasses of real property in each county. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5023 (R.S. Supp., 2007) requires that all classes of real property, except agricultural land, be assessed within the range of ninety-two and one hundred percent of actual value; the class of agricultural land receiving special valuation be assessed within the range sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of its special value; and, when the land is disqualified for special value the recapture value be assessed at actual value.

To ensure that the classes of real property are assessed at these required levels of actual value, the Department of Revenue Property Assessment Division, hereinafter referred to as the Division, is annually responsible for analyzing and measuring the assessment performance of each county. This responsibility includes requiring the Property Tax Administrator to prepare statistical and narrative reports for the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, hereinafter referred to as the Commission, and the county assessors. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R.S. Supp., 2005):

(2) ... the Property Tax Administrator shall prepare and deliver to the commission and to each county assessor his or her annual reports and opinions.

(3) The annual reports and opinions of the Property Tax Administrator shall contain statistical and narrative reports informing the commission of the level of value and the quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property within the county and a certification of the opinion of the Property Tax

Administrator regarding the level of value and quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property in the county.

(4) In addition to an opinion of level of value and quality of assessment in the county, the Property Tax Administrator may make nonbinding recommendations for consideration by the commission.

The narrative and statistical reports contained in the Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator, hereinafter referred to as the R&O, provide a thorough, concise analysis of the assessment process implemented by each county assessor to reach the levels of value and quality of assessment required by Nebraska law. The Property Tax Administrator's opinion of level of value and quality of assessment achieved by each county assessor is a conclusion based upon all the data provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division regarding the assessment activities during the preceding year. This is done in recognition of the fact that the measurement of assessment compliance, in terms of the concepts of actual value and uniformity and proportionality mandated by Nebraska law, requires both statistical and narrative analysis.

The Division is required by Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327 (R. S. Supp., 2007) to develop and maintain a state-wide sales file of all arm's length transactions. From this sales file the Division prepares an assessment sales ratio study in compliance with acceptable mass appraisal standards. The assessment sales ratio study is the primary mass appraisal performance evaluation tool. From the sales file, the Division prepares statistical analysis from a non-randomly selected set of observations, known as sales, from which inferences about the population, known as a class or subclass of real property, may be drawn. The statistical reports contained in the R&O are developed in compliance with standards developed by the International Association of Assessing Officers, hereinafter referred to as the IAAO.

However, just as the valuation of property is sometimes more art than science, a narrative analysis of assessment practices in each county is necessary to give proper context to the statistical inferences from the assessment sales ratio study. There may be instances when the analysis of assessment practices outweighs or limits the reliability of the statistical inferences of central tendency or quality measures. This may require an opinion of the level of value that is not identical to the result of the statistical calculation. The Property Tax Administrator's goal is to provide statistical and narrative analysis of the assessment level and practices to the Commission, providing the Commission with the most complete picture possible of the true level of value and quality of assessment in each county.

The Property Tax Administrator's opinions of level of value and quality of assessment are stated as a single numeric representation for level of value and a simple judgment regarding the quality of assessment practices. Based on the information collected in developing this report the Property Tax Administrator may feel further recommendations must be stated for a county to assist the Commission in determining the level of value and quality of assessment within a county. These opinions are made only after considering all narrative and statistical analysis provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division. An evaluation of these opinions must only be made after considering all other information provided in the R&O. Finally, after reviewing all of the information available to the Property Tax Administrator regarding the level and quality of assessment for classes and subclasses of real property in each county, the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027(4) (R.S. Supp., 2005), may make recommendations for adjustments to value for classes and subclasses of property. All of the factors relating to the Property Tax Administrator's determination of level of value and quality of assessment shall be taken into account in the making of such recommendations. Such recommendations are not binding on the Commission.

## **Table of Contents**

#### **Commission Summary**

#### **Property Tax Administrator's Opinions and Recommendations**

#### **Residential Reports Section**

Preliminary Statistical Reports Residential Real Property, Qualified Residential Assessment Actions Residential Appraisal Information R&O Statistical Reports Residential Real Property, Qualified

#### **Residential Correlation Section**

Residential Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Residential (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

#### **Commercial Reports Section**

Preliminary Statistical Reports Commercial Real Property, Qualified Commercial Assessment Actions Commercial Appraisal Information R&O Statistical Reports Commercial Real Property, Qualified

#### **Commercial Correlation Section**

**Commercial Real Property** 

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Commercial (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

#### **Agricultural Reports Section**

Preliminary Statistical Reports Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified Agricultural Assessment Actions Agricultural Appraisal Information R&O Statistical Reports Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified

#### **Agricultural Correlation Section**

Agricultural Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Agricultural (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

#### **Special Valuation Section**

#### **County Reports Section**

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 2008 County Agricultural Land Detail 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment Assessment Survey – General Information

#### Certification

#### **Map Section**

#### **Valuation History Chart Section**

Summary

## 2008 Commission Summary

#### 74 Richardson

<b>Residential Real</b>					
Number of Sales		332	COD		44.38
Total Sales Price	\$13,	125,356	PRD		133.51
Total Adj. Sales Pr	rice \$13.	,125,356	COV		65.44
Total Assessed Val	lue \$11,	182,342	STD		74.43
Avg. Adj. Sales Pr	rice	\$39,534	Avg. Abs. 1	Dev.	43.15
Avg. Assessed Val	ue	\$33,682	Min		8.08
Median		97.23	Max		730.83
Wgt. Mean		85.20	95% Media	an C.I.	93.89 to 99.53
Mean		113.74	95% Wgt.	Mean C.I.	80.78 to 89.6
			95% Mean	C.I.	105.74 to 121.75
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in	•		n the County		7.8
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold ir Average Assessed	l in the Study Period a the Study Period Value of the Base		n the County		7.8 8.48
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold ir Average Assessed	l in the Study Period the Study Period	,	n the County	СОД	7.81 8.48 31,002
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base <b>Property - History</b>	,		<b>COD</b> 44.38	21.89 7.81 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales	,	ſedian		7.81 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b>
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year 2008	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales 332	,	<b>1edian</b> 97.23	44.38	7.81 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year 2008 2007	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales 332 360	,	<b>Iedian</b> 97.23 96.65	44.38 39.01	7.8 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5 123.97 119.08
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year 2008 2007 2006	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales 332 360 283	,	<b>Iedian</b> 97.23 96.65 98.38	44.38 39.01 32.08	7.8 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5 123.97 119.08 115.5
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year 2008 2007 2006 2005	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales 332 360 283 308	,	<b>Iedian</b> 97.23 96.65 98.38 98.82	44.38 39.01 32.08 28.02	7.8 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5 123.97 119.08 115.5 115.4
% of Records Sold % of Value Sold in Average Assessed Residential Real Year 2008 2007 2006 2005 2004	l in the Study Period n the Study Period Value of the Base Property - History Number of Sales 332 360 283 308 336	,	<b>Iedian</b> 97.23 96.65 98.38 98.82 98.06	44.38 39.01 32.08 28.02 29.75	7.81 8.48 31,002 <b>PRI</b> 133.5 123.97

## 2008 Commission Summary

#### 74 Richardson

Commercial Re	eal Property - Current						
Number of Sales	S	42	COD		29.02		
Total Sales Price	e \$2,6	31,214	PRD		96.69		
Total Adj. Sales	Price \$2,6	31,214	COV		40.65		
Total Assessed V	/alue \$2,7	36,178	STD		40.87		
Avg. Adj. Sales	Price \$	62,648	Avg. Ab	s. Dev.	28.41		
Avg. Assessed V	/alue \$	65,147	Min		10.53		
Median		97.91	Max		202.72		
Wgt. Mean		103.99	95% Me	dian C.I.	90.63 to 104.29		
Mean		100.54	95% Wg	gt. Mean C.I.	90.92 to 117.06		
			95% Me	an C.I.	88.18 to 112.90		
% of Records So % of Value Sold Average Assesso	e Class of all Real Prop old in the Study Period in the Study Period ed Value of the Base eal Property - History	5			4.15 7.45 10.95 44,293		
Year	Number of Sales	Μ	edian	COD	PRD		
2008	42		97.91	29.02	96.69		
2007	46		98.91	29.51	106.41		
2006	46		96.81	44.03	144.71		
2005	58		95.30	44.99	138.21		
2004	53		93.25	55.17	160.25		
2003	55		92	42.96	149.73		
2002	60		95	36.37	158.62		
2001	71		96	32.62	128.63		

## 2008 Commission Summary

#### 74 Richardson

#### **Agricultural Land - Current**

Number of Sales	93	COD	42.45
Total Sales Price	\$16,787,613	PRD	123.19
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$16,791,613	COV	107.98
Total Assessed Value	\$12,324,653	STD	97.64
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$180,555	Avg. Abs. Dev.	30.74
Avg. Assessed Value	\$132,523	Min	23.67
Median	72.40	Max	950.26
Wgt. Mean	73.40	95% Median C.I.	68.86 to 81.95
Mean	90.42	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.	62.87 to 83.92
		95% Mean C.I.	70.58 to 110.27
% of Value of the Class of al	Real Property Value in	n the County	73.65
% of Records Sold in the Stu	dy Period		2.36
% of Value Sold in the Study	Period		2.99
Average Assessed Value of t	he Base		112,687
Agricultural Land - History	<i>y</i>		

Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD
2008	93	72.40	42.45	123.19
2007	97	71.92	25.16	112.05
2006	93	75.39	22.84	105.60
2005	67	75.49	18.04	104.07
2004	66	75.23	19.94	108.89
2003	80	75	19.21	110.86
2002	99	75	18.76	105.6
2001	101	74	16.88	107.57

Opinions

## 2008 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Richardson County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me about the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While I rely primarily on the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property, my opinion of level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained in the RO. Although my primary resource regarding quality of assessment are the performance standards issued by the IAAO, my opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

#### **Residential Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Richardson County is 97% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Richardson County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

#### **Commercial Real Property**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Richardson County is 98% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Richardson County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

#### **Agricultural Land**

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural land in Richardson County is 72% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Richardson County is not in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.



Kuth a. Sorense

Ruth A. Sorensen Property Tax Administrator

**Residential Reports** 

74 - RICHARDSON COUN	TY	[		PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics	2	Base St	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
RESIDENTIAL		L			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
				-	• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	355	MEDIAN:	97	5					(!: AVTot=0)
TOTAL Sal			,919,452	WGT. MEAN:	85	COA:	67.86		Median C.I.: 93.82		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sal			,928,606	MEAN:	113	STD:	76.59		. Mean C.I.: 80.53		
TOTAL Assess			,819,558	PIEPAN ·	115	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.18	95	% Mean C.I.: 104.9	90 to 120.84	
AVG. Adj. Sal			39,235	COD:	44.66	MAX Sales Ratio:	843.00				
AVG. Assess			33,294	PRD:	133.01	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.95			Drintod: 02/00/	0000 12.50.01
DATE OF SALE *	Jea varae	-	557251	110	199.01	min bares natio.	5.75			Printed: 02/09/2 Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs	COONI	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN	0		MIIN	MAX	55% Median C.I.	5410 11100	noba var
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	61	98.24	114.60	87.69	39.3	8 130.69	26.03	449.10	90.65 to 103.88	39,141	34,321
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	41	99.14	108.71	98.60	39.3		5.95	245.35	82.91 to 112.83	30,245	29,821
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	4⊥ 38	99.14 96.06	120.29	88.58	42.1		46.09	245.35	86.99 to 115.41	30,245	31,876
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	30 68	96.08 95.47	120.29	82.64	42.1 36.0		40.09 35.56	279.18	83.68 to 105.60	38,310	31,878
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	39	93.47 93.87	104.77	84.01	45.0		21.07	356.35	77.17 to 103.73	35,310	29,678
	39 27										
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06		98.18	120.68	90.79	56.1		35.25	545.60	66.79 to 110.80	43,903	39,859
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	36	95.41	108.16	76.78	41.0		23.81	256.92	89.06 to 120.73	57,868	44,429
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	45	96.86	125.76	77.65	67.7	161.97	16.87	843.00	73.59 to 111.74	37,374	29,020
Study Years		00 01	111 00	05.00	20.0	106 50		440 10	00 01 1 100 11		20 115
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	208	98.21	111.26	87.90	38.2		5.95	449.10	93.01 to 100.11	36,540	32,117
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	147	96.07	115.14	81.21	53.2	141.79	16.87	843.00	88.56 to 100.17	43,049	34,959
Calendar Yrs				05.65			01 05	- 4 - 60			00 545
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	172	95.47	110.86	85.65	42.8	129.44	21.07	545.60	90.74 to 100.17	37,998	32,545
ALL											
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BARADA	1	200.53	200.53	200.53			200.53	200.53	N/A	6,000	12,032
DAWSON	8	81.38	94.35	86.88	34.2		56.17	206.63	56.17 to 206.63	20,157	17,513
FALLS CITY	225	99.89	113.96	90.30	39.4		16.24	545.60	95.58 to 102.28	41,079	37,094
HUMBOLDT	57	91.54	105.40	77.78	43.5	2 135.51	16.87	356.35	81.27 to 100.12	29,074	22,613
PRESTON	1	68.67	68.67	68.66			68.67	68.67	N/A	20,000	13,733
RULO	9	96.07	87.37	89.98	36.7	8 97.09	5.95	146.25	33.70 to 146.17	13,133	11,817
RURAL	23	88.56	78.75	68.25	26.9	2 115.39	25.40	125.27	56.51 to 96.21	87,879	59,974
SALEM	12	113.51	208.76	80.60	120.2	259.00	26.57	843.00	54.51 to 306.88	9,820	7,915
SHUBERT	5	135.19	148.14	98.61	45.4	9 150.23	67.12	274.67	N/A	20,860	20,571
STELLA	5	97.93	165.57	108.40	78.5	4 152.73	77.17	350.84	N/A	35,500	38,483
VERDON	9	81.71	80.54	47.13	53.1	5 170.90	21.07	233.88	26.03 to 99.10	33,577	15,824
ALL											
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294

74 - RICHARDSON C	COUNTY	[		PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics	5	Base St	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
RESIDENTIAL		-			Гуре: Qualifi	v				State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(1. AVT-4 A)
NUM	MBER of Sales	:	355	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	67.86	95%	Median C.I.: 93.8	2 to 99.83	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL	Sales Price	: 13	,919,452	WGT. MEAN:	85	STD:	76.59		. Mean C.I.: 80.5		(1. Derivea)
TOTAL Adj	j.Sales Price	: 13	,928,606	MEAN:	113	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.18	-	% Mean C.I.: 104.		
TOTAL As	sessed Value	: 11	,819,558			1100.1100.001	13.10		101.	00 00 120.01	
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	39,235	COD:	44.66	MAX Sales Ratio:	843.00				
AVG. As	sessed Value	:	33,294	PRD:	133.01	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.95			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:58:04
LOCATIONS: URBAN	. SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	1	89.25	89.25	89.25			89.25	89.25	N/A	75,000	66,938
1	331	98.18	115.31	87.67	45.2	9 131.53	5.95	843.00	94.05 to 100.09	35,747	31,339
2	3	46.09	60.82	45.57	35.1	9 133.45	43.85	92.51	N/A	66,512	30,312
3	20	88.81	81.44	70.73	25.7		25.40	125.27	57.94 to 98.00	91,084	64,423
ALL										- ,	.,
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
STATUS: IMPROVED	, UNIMPROVE	D & IOLI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0	1	71.10	71.10	71.10			71.10	71.10	N/A	43,000	30,574
1	313	98.18	116.43	85.19	44.4	7 136.66	16.87	843.00	94.05 to 100.21	42,976	36,612
2	41	83.30	86.75	75.90	46.6	4 114.30	5.95	246.40	60.17 to 100.00	10,585	8,034
ALL											
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	338	96.14	109.08	85.71	41.3	7 127.27	5.95	545.60	93.77 to 99.16	39,288	33,673
06	2	97.65	97.65	49.40	49.6	9 197.69	49.13	146.17	N/A	218,100	107,734
07	15	116.88	200.27	104.59	99.9	9 191.48	70.63	843.00	75.80 to 215.38	14,189	14,840
ALL											
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
SCHOOL DISTRICT	*									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
64-0023											
67-0001											
74-0056	268	98.27	114.44	87.58	44.0	1 130.67	5.95	843.00	94.75 to 100.29	40,184	35,195
74-0070	68	88.53	101.91	71.73	43.5	1 142.08	16.87	356.35	81.24 to 95.75	35,522	25,479
74-0501	19	97.93	129.92	88.05	55.6	2 147.55	46.09	350.84	77.17 to 181.84	39,132	34,454
NonValid School											
ALL											
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294

74 - RICI	HARDSON C	OUNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
RESIDENT	IAL		I		1 MD 2000	Гуре: Qualifi	ied				State Stat Run	
					-		nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUM	BER of Sales	:	355	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	67.86	958	Median C.I.: 93.82	) to 00 92	(!: AVTot=0)
		Sales Price		,919,452	WGT. MEAN:	85	STD:	67.86 76.59		. Mean C.I.: 80.53		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price		,928,606	MEAN:	113	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.18	-	% Mean C.I.: 104.9		
	TOTAL As	sessed Value		,819,558			AVG.ABS.DEV.	43.10	20	6 Mean C.I 104.:	0 LO 120.04	
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price		39,235	COD:	44.66	MAX Sales Ratio:	843.00				
	-	sessed Value		33,294	PRD:	133.01	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.95			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12.58.04
YEAR BUI											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
	Blank	49	92.51	102.29	63.84	56.6		5.95	843.00	63.00 to 100.00	20,445	13,052
Prior TO		2	226.94	226.94	73.67	75.9		54.51	399.36	N/A	45,000	33,150
1860 TO		16	98.21	117.82	79.07	51.0		28.28	274.67	64.50 to 174.24	41,568	32,866
1900 TO		115	102.70	125.90	88.06	49.5		21.07	545.60	96.21 to 113.76	27,390	24,120
1920 TO		77	97.93	106.98	85.25	41.2		16.87	293.30	82.91 to 103.82	40,568	34,583
1940 TO	1949	18	89.82	98.87	87.82	27.4	112.57	50.53	166.12	79.96 to 120.39	39,445	34,643
1950 TO		24	94.76	103.65	96.60	22.4		62.88	245.35	89.14 to 105.39	40,935	39,542
1960 TO		10	96.51	98.64	87.53	23.5		68.60	177.10	69.85 to 116.88	62,775	54,946
1970 TO		31	91.54	113.44	80.97	41.1		54.80	449.10	82.65 to 99.83	72,817	58,960
1980 TO		4	90.63	90.77	80.99	27.6		46.09	135.73	N/A	68,600	55,561
1990 TO		3	95.49	90.13	90.90	8.1		75.80	99.10	N/A	55,666	50,601
1995 TO		4	98.85	99.92	90.49	16.8		78.04	123.92	N/A	125,500	113,562
2000 TO		2	99.06	99.06	98.90	1.0		98.00	100.11	N/A	188,500	186,422
ALL										,	,	,
		355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v\$											
1 T	го 499	99 44	144.20	181.18	165.46	69.9	109.50	5.95	843.00	100.00 to 222.63	2,214	3,664
5000 TC	D 9999	9 45	133.65	146.18	144.49	49.1	.1 101.17	25.14	399.36	100.12 to 188.41	6,858	9,909
Tota	al \$											
1 T	ro 999	99 89	135.19	163.48	149.52	61.5	55 109.34	5.95	843.00	111.36 to 179.28	4,562	6,821
10000 T	FO 2999	99 105	101.25	116.72	110.57	35.0	105.56	16.24	350.84	98.20 to 112.83	18,171	20,092
30000 T	ro 5999	99 81	87.42	85.71	84.90	25.4	100.95	21.07	175.16	78.50 to 95.10	42,207	35,835
60000 T	ro 9999	99 54	85.11	81.87	80.64	19.5	101.53	25.40	115.34	79.60 to 91.54	74,591	60,149
100000 T	ro 14999	99 14	72.24	74.14	73.18	23.7	101.31	35.56	115.75	54.08 to 95.49	117,642	86,092
150000 T	ro 24999	99 10	72.60	74.56	74.29	26.3	100.36	38.86	103.82	54.80 to 100.11	183,580	136,384
250000 T	ro 49999	99 2	58.40	58.40	55.90	15.8	104.48	49.13	67.67	N/A	342,500	191,449
ALL_												
		355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294

74 - RICH	IARDSON COUI	NTY	[		PAD 2008	Prolim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
RESIDENTI			I			Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
					ļ	<b>.</b> .	ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	355	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	0					(!: AVTot=0)
		les Price		,919,452	WGT. MEAN:	85	COA:	67.86		Median C.I.: 93.8		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,928,606	MEAN:	113	STD:	76.59		. Mean C.I.: 80.5 % Mean C.I.: 104		
	TOTAL Asses			,819,558		110	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.18	95	% Mean C.1.• 104	.90 LO 120.84	
	AVG. Adj. Sa			39,235	COD:	44.66	MAX Sales Ratio:	843.00				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	33,294	PRD:	133.01	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.95			Printed: 02/09/.	2008 12.58.04
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	-	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	\$											
1 TC	0 4999	44	87.91	105.57	63.82	66.5	8 165.43	5.95	449.10	57.14 to 111.36	3,837	2,448
5000 то	9999	32	114.31	170.89	102.54	79.5	4 166.65	35.25	843.00	94.36 to 176.18	7,442	7,631
Tota	1 \$											
1 TC	0 9999	76	98.31	133.08	86.48	74.4	4 153.88	5.95	843.00	81.71 to 115.42	5,355	4,631
10000 TC	0 29999	129	100.42	118.93	88.75	45.8	6 134.01	21.07	399.36	95.58 to 111.74	20,695	18,366
30000 TC	0 59999	94	92.41	100.82	85.88	31.1	1 117.39	35.56	350.84	83.70 to 98.35	49,048	42,125
60000 TC	0 99999	41	93.87	94.97	86.82	19.8	3 109.39	38.86	259.85	84.84 to 100.30	84,664	73,502
100000 TC	0 149999	9	88.56	82.90	77.81	18.9		54.80	115.75	60.89 to 98.37	147,833	115,029
150000 TC	0 249999	6	88.02	82.80	75.70	20.2	8 109.38	49.13	103.82	49.13 to 103.82	239,916	181,608
ALL												
		355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		49	92.51	102.29	63.84	56.6		5.95	843.00	63.00 to 100.00	20,445	13,052
10		6	112.32	203.95	102.97	99.0		73.59	545.60	73.59 to 545.60	15,725	16,192
20		103	102.28	123.90	98.54	44.6		16.87	323.84	95.96 to 116.88	21,473	21,159
30		187	93.87	107.86	83.23	40.6		21.07	449.10	89.14 to 98.24	49,497	41,197
40		10	96.56	90.13	87.90	14.0	3 102.53	64.50	115.75	67.67 to 103.82	136,450	119,945
ALL		355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294
STYLE											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		49	92.51	102.29	63.84	56.6	2 160.24	5.95	843.00	63.00 to 100.00	20,445	13,052
100		17	99.10	140.87	84.88	65.2	8 165.95	54.08	449.10	73.59 to 177.10	25,337	21,507
101		173	96.07	113.84	88.28	40.1	4 128.95	16.87	545.60	93.14 to 100.42	43,060	38,015
102		37	98.20	96.74	80.20	30.7		23.81	350.84	81.27 to 103.82	59,866	48,010
103		1	91.54	91.54	91.54			91.54	91.54	N/A	75,000	68,657
104		75	100.09	120.21	86.17	50.8	5 139.51	21.07	399.36	90.65 to 115.42	33,898	29,210
106		1	98.37	98.37	98.37			98.37	98.37	N/A	102,000	100,334
111		1	88.49	88.49	88.49			88.49	88.49	N/A	67,000	59,286
304		1	94.05	94.05	94.05			94.05	94.05	N/A	45,000	42,321
ALL												
		355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	6 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294

74 - RI	CHARDSON COUNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistic	8	Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
RESIDEN	TIAL			1	Type: Qualifi	ied				State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales:		355	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	67.86	95%	Median C.I.: 93.82	2 to 99.83	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	13,91	19,452	WGT. MEAN:	85	STD:	76.59	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 80.53	3 to 89.19	(112011104)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	13,92	28,606	MEAN:	113	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.18	95	% Mean C.I.: 104.	90 to 120.84	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	11,81	19,558								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	: 3	39,235	COD:	44.66	MAX Sales Ratio:	843.00				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	: 3	33,294	PRD:	133.01	MIN Sales Ratio:	5.95			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:58:04
CONDIT	ION									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	49	92.51	102.29	63.84	56.6	160.24	5.95	843.00	63.00 to 100.00	20,445	13,052
10	8	143.77	183.50	128.14	65.5	143.19	51.92	449.10	51.92 to 449.10	3,981	5,101
20	39	99.16	135.45	77.82	71.4	12 174.06	16.87	545.60	83.02 to 166.12	16,632	12,942
30	203	98.24	112.86	90.16	37.9	125.18	21.07	356.35	94.05 to 101.81	38,925	35,093
40	55	91.54	96.87	81.60	31.0	118.70	35.56	259.85	79.39 to 100.21	74,445	60,748
50	1	67.67	67.67	67.67			67.67	67.67	N/A	250,000	169,184
AL:	L										
	355	96.68	112.87	84.86	44.6	56 133.01	5.95	843.00	93.82 to 99.83	39,235	33,294

# Richardson County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Residential:** Richardson County adjustments and reappraisal areas are as follows; Dawson residential was revalued with new cost table and new depreciation rates. All Rural residential was increased by factoring based on sales study analysis. Residential Salem was decreased by factoring based on sales study analysis. Residential Verdon was increased by factoring based on sales analysis. The county also did their annual pick-up work and permit review.

## 2008 Assessment Survey for Richardson County

## **Residential Appraisal Information**

(Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	Appraiser
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Appraiser
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	June 2007
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	June 2007
6.	What was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	June 2007
7.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class:
	0
8.	How are these defined?
	N/A
9.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	No- these assessor locations are too diverse to use for valuation purposes.
10.	<b>Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural</b> <b>residential?</b> ( <i>that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market?</i> )
	No

11.	What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of thelimits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of anincorporated city or village.)There is no market significance
12.	Are the county's ag residential and rural residential improvements classified and valued in the same manner?
	Yes

#### **Residential Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
395			395

74 - RICHARDSON COUN	ITY	ſ		PAD 2	008 R&	<b>O</b> Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
RESIDENTIAL					Type: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
						ge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted ]	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NIIMBER	of Sales	:	332	MEDIAN:	97	0					(!: AVTot=0)
-	les Price		,125,356	WGT. MEAN:	97 85	COV:	65.44		Median C.I.: 93.89		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sal			,125,356	MGI. MEAN: MEAN:	114	STD:	74.43	5		3 to 89.61	
TOTAL Assess			,182,342	MEAN ·	114	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.15	95	% Mean C.I.: 105.	74 to 121.75	
AVG. Adj. Sal			39,534	COD:	44.38	MAX Sales Ratio:	730.83				
AVG. Asses			33,681	PRD:	133.51	MIN Sales Ratio:	8.08			Driptod: 01/01/	2000 10.15.22
DATE OF SALE *	bea varae	-	33,001	110	199.91	Min bares hadro.	0.00			Printed: 04/01/2 Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs	COONI	MUDIAN	PillAn	WOI: MEAN	60		11111	1.11-127	Jos Median C.I.		
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	54	98.53	116.78	87.15	40.4	8 133.99	29.41	399.36	90.65 to 103.88	40,759	35,523
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	38	98.65	108.60	97.72	39.7		8.08	245.35	82.91 to 112.83	31,278	30,565
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	37	96.73	121.33	89.02	42.2		47.94	279.18	86.99 to 111.98	35,743	31,818
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	63	95.50	105.44	81.70	35.6		35.56	293.30	90.74 to 102.75	38,635	31,565
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	37	95.10	107.47	87.34	44.2		23.81	356.35	80.49 to 100.17	34,750	30,350
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	26	100.25	123.88	93.45	57.2		35.25	545.60	66.79 to 110.80	44,842	41,907
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	34	94.43	108.09	77.02	41.7		23.81	256.92	79.60 to 125.43	59,286	45,661
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	43	96.86	123.86	77.50	62.2		16.87	730.83	74.17 to 111.74	35,158	27,247
Study Years										,	,
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	192	98.21	112.32	87.40	38.7	9 128.51	8.08	399.36	93.14 to 100.07	37,219	32,529
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	140	96.47	115.70	82.56	51.9		16.87	730.83	89.25 to 100.17	42,708	35,262
Calendar Yrs	-									,	, -
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	163	97.21	112.45	86.63	42.4	6 129.80	23.81	545.60	92.63 to 100.00	38,087	32,996
ALL											
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
BARADA	1	200.53	200.53	200.53			200.53	200.53	N/A	6,000	12,032
DAWSON	8	98.07	97.17	100.55	5.8	1 96.63	74.70	109.16	74.70 to 109.16	20,157	20,269
FALLS CITY	207	98.35	114.92	89.24	42.1	5 128.78	16.24	545.60	93.87 to 101.25	41,705	37,218
HUMBOLDT	55	92.89	105.57	77.68	43.0	9 135.90	16.87	356.35	81.27 to 99.53	29,959	23,273
PRESTON	1	68.67	68.67	68.66			68.67	68.67	N/A	20,000	13,733
RULO	9	96.07	87.61	90.06	36.5	3 97.28	8.08	146.25	33.70 to 146.17	13,133	11,827
RURAL	21	92.62	82.05	77.67	24.7	7 105.64	40.42	121.23	58.77 to 100.06	75,105	58,335
SALEM	11	96.86	201.29	120.70	126.4	6 166.77	49.67	730.83	64.84 to 392.70	2,986	3,604
SHUBERT	5	135.19	148.14	98.61	45.4	9 150.23	67.12	274.67	N/A	20,860	20,571
STELLA	5	97.93	165.57	108.40	78.5	4 152.73	77.17	350.84	N/A	35,500	38,483
VERDON	8	95.51	96.91	57.26	51.4	6 169.25	23.81	264.29	23.81 to 264.29	26,525	15,187
WRP	1	51.09	51.09	51.09			51.09	51.09	N/A	435,000	222,263
ALL											
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681

74 - RICH	HARDSON COUNTY	[		PAD 2	2008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
RESIDENTIAL		-			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	8/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	332	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	5		0.5%	Modian ( T : 02 0	0 + - 00 50	(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sales Price		,125,356	WGT. MEAN:	85	COV:	65.44		Median C.I.: 93.8		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price		,125,356	MEAN:	114	STD:	74.43	-	. Mean C.I.: 80.7		
	TOTAL Assessed Value		,182,342	TIDAN ·	111	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.15	95	% Mean C.I.: 105.	74 to 121.75	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		39,534	COD:	44.38	MAX Sales Ratio:	730.83				
	AVG. Assessed Value		33,681	PRD:	133.51	MIN Sales Ratio:	8.08			Printed: 04/01/2	2000 10.45.22
TOCATTON	IS: URBAN, SUBURBAN		33,001	1100	100.01	hin bareb hatro	0.00			Avg. Adj.	Avq.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	309	97.77	116.13	87.51	45.6		8.08	730.83	94.05 to 99.89	35,777	31,309
2	4	72.08	73.53	60.18	37.1		45.61	104.36	N/A	64,321	38,710
3	4 19	92.62	83.39	74.63	24.3		40.42	121.23	58.77 to 101.91	95,404	71,196
JALL	19	92.02	03.39	71.05	24.5	2 III./J	10.12	101.00	50.77 00 101.91	23,404	, 1, 190
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
GTATIC.	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVE			03.20		100.01	0.00	,30.03		Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	282	97.71	116.20	85.13	44.5		16.87	730.83	93.87 to 99.80	43,779	37,269
2	50	96.15	99.89	86.23	42.5		8.08	323.84	74.70 to 100.17	15,591	13,444
ALL	50	50.15	.05	00.25	12.5	115.05	0.00	525.01	/1./0 00 100.1/	13,391	15,111
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
PROPERTY	TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	315	96.86	110.31	86.09	41.4	5 128.13	8.08	545.60	93.87 to 99.53	39,607	34,097
06	2	98.63	98.63	51.36	48.2	192.05	51.09	146.17	N/A	218,100	112,008
07	15	98.71	187.89	102.23	106.9		70.63	730.83	75.80 to 215.38	14,189	14,505
ALL											
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
SCHOOL D	ISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
64-0023											
67-0001											
74-0056	248	98.19	115.39	87.56	44.9	2 131.78	8.08	730.83	93.87 to 100.11	40,261	35,253
74-0070	66	94.43	102.62	73.61	37.9		16.87	356.35	82.91 to 98.41	36,454	26,836
74-0501	18	98.72	131.86	90.98	56.1		47.94	350.84	77.17 to 181.84	40,806	37,124
NonValid	School									,	
ALL											
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
	552						2.00			52,551	55,001

74 - RICHAR	DSON COUN	ITY			PAD 2	008 R <i>&amp;</i>	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
RESIDENTIAL			I			Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						• •	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2/	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	332	MEDIAN:	97	8					(!: AVTot=0)
		les Price		,125,356	WGT. MEAN:	<b>97</b> 85	COV:	65.44		Median C.I.: 93.8		(!: Derived)
۳O	TAL Adj.Sa			,125,356	MEAN:	114	STD:	74.43	-	. Mean C.I.: 80.78		
	OTAL Asses			,182,342	MEAN ·	114	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.15	95	% Mean C.I.: 105.	74 to 121.75	
	G. Adj. Sa			39,534	COD:	44.38	MAX Sales Ratio:	730.83				
	AVG. Asses			33,681	PRD:	133.51	MIN Sales Ratio:	8.08			Defects of 04/01/	000 10 15 00
		seu vaiue	•	55,001	FRD.	133.31	MIN Sales Nacio.	0.00			Printed: 04/01/2 Avg. Adj.	Avg.
YEAR BUILT RANGE	*	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Bla	mlr	43	95.50	103.99	63.66	54.2		8.08	730.83	63.00 to 100.00	21,888	13,933
Prior TO 186			399.36	399.36		54.2	103.30	399.36	399.36			
		1 16			399.36	40 3				N/A	5,000	19,968
1860 TO 189			98.97	121.26	82.51	48.3		28.28	274.67	79.39 to 174.24	41,568	34,300
1900 TO 191		111	102.70	125.95	89.26	48.9		23.81	545.60	97.25 to 111.36	27,048	24,145
1920 TO 193		71	97.77	107.48	84.87	42.1		16.87	293.30	82.16 to 103.80	41,609	35,313
1940 TO 194		17	86.99	98.68	86.16	29.0		50.53	166.12	78.50 to 120.90	37,525	32,331
1950 TO 195		23	94.05	103.50	96.25	22.9		62.88	245.35	89.14 to 104.36	41,584	40,025
1960 TO 196		9	90.74	95.80	85.55	23.7		68.60	177.10	69.85 to 106.80	63,083	53,964
1970 TO 197		29	91.54	112.56	79.85	41.0		54.80	392.70	74.17 to 98.35	73,873	58,989
1980 TO 198		4	92.55	92.19	82.85	27.6		47.94	135.73	N/A	68,600	56,833
1990 TO 199		3	95.49	94.42	92.40	12.6		75.80	111.98	N/A	55,666	51,438
1995 TO 199		3	99.51	100.49	91.48	15.3		78.04	123.92	N/A	144,833	132,496
2000 TO Pre	esent	2	101.01	101.01	101.15	0.8	99 99.87	100.11	101.91	N/A	188,500	190,659
ALL												
		332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	38 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	41	146.25	185.71	170.37	65.7	109.00	8.08	730.83	100.00 to 223.40	2,214	3,772
5000 TO	9999	43	115.22	144.63	143.62	59.3	100.71	25.14	399.36	98.41 to 188.41	6,793	9,756
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	84	139.85	164.69	149.96	59.1		8.08	730.83	109.16 to 180.00	4,558	6,835
10000 TO	29999	97	102.75	118.70	112.30	35.5	52 105.70	16.24	350.84	98.23 to 117.37	17,922	20,126
30000 TO	59999	77	86.99	84.75	84.11	24.5	100.75	23.81	175.16	78.13 to 95.23	42,439	35,696
60000 TO	99999	48	85.11	82.69	81.73	17.7	101.18	38.66	119.67	79.60 to 91.07	74,340	60,760
100000 TO	149999	14	72.24	74.27	73.32	23.5	58 101.31	35.56	115.75	54.08 to 95.49	117,642	86,250
150000 TO	249999	10	72.60	76.20	75.81	28.2	21 100.51	40.42	103.82	54.80 to 101.91	183,580	139,175
250000 TO	499999	2	59.38	59.38	57.15	13.9	103.91	51.09	67.67	N/A	342,500	195,723
ALL												
		332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681

74 - RICH	ARDSON COUN	NTY			PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
RESIDENTI	AL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						<i></i>	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	332	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	65.44	052	Median C.I.: 93.8	0 to 00 E2	(!: AVTot=0)
		les Price		,125,356	WGT. MEAN:	85	STD:	74.43		. Mean C.I.: 80.7		(!: Derived)
r	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,125,356	MEAN:	114	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.15	_	% Mean C.I.: 105.		
	TOTAL Asses	sed Value		,182,342			AVG.ABS.DEV.	43.13	55	• Mean C.1.• 105.	74 to 121.75	
į	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	2:	39,534	COD:	44.38	MAX Sales Ratio:	730.83				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	2:	33,681	PRD:	133.51	MIN Sales Ratio:	8.08			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:45:33
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	\$											
1 TC	4999	42	95.56	123.03	65.84	74.5	0 186.86	8.08	730.83	64.96 to 111.36	3,875	2,551
5000 TO	9999	30	109.21	148.77	100.54	63.8	3 147.97	35.25	545.60	92.32 to 137.48	7,335	7,374
Total	\$											
1 TC	9999	72	98.31	133.76	85.78	72.6	0 155.92	8.08	730.83	92.31 to 113.20	5,317	4,561
10000 TC	29999	119	100.42	121.37	91.78	46.9	1 132.24	23.81	399.36	96.07 to 112.83	20,153	18,496
30000 TC	59999	87	90.97	100.36	85.38	30.9	1 117.54	35.56	350.84	83.70 to 98.24	48,966	41,809
60000 TC	99999	39	91.54	94.84	86.19	21.6	1 110.03	40.42	259.85	82.56 to 100.21	84,978	73,245
100000 TC	149999	8	82.53	82.19	76.40	22.9	0 107.58	54.80	115.75	54.80 to 115.75	147,000	112,303
150000 TC	249999	7	99.51	86.02	79.07	15.6	5 108.79	51.09	103.82	51.09 to 103.82	227,714	180,059
ALL												
		332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		43	95.50	103.99	63.66	54.2		8.08	730.83	63.00 to 100.00	21,888	13,933
10		б	110.81	202.87	100.07	101.4		73.59	545.60	73.59 to 545.60	15,725	15,735
20		100	101.59	123.64	98.37	44.6		16.87	323.84	95.23 to 115.22	20,957	20,616
30		173	94.05	108.72	83.76	41.1		23.81	399.36	89.25 to 98.30	49,881	41,778
40		10	96.56	90.13	87.90	14.0	3 102.53	64.50	115.75	67.67 to 103.82	136,450	119,945
ALL		332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681
		334	97.23	113.74	05.20	44.5	0 133.51	0.00	/30.03	93.09 10 99.55	Avg. Adj.	
STYLE RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		43	95.50	103.99	63.66	54.2		8.08	730.83	63.00 to 100.00	21,888	13,933
(Diank) 100		17	97.21	137.30	84.46	62.0		54.08	392.70	73.59 to 177.10	25,337	21,399
101		165	97.65	113.90	88.06	39.6		16.87	545.60	93.14 to 100.07	42,954	37,825
102		30	98.97	99.54	82.45	29.8		23.81	350.84	81.27 to 103.82	63,652	52,480
103		1	91.54	91.54	91.54	22.0		91.54	91.54	N/A	75,000	68,657
104		73	99.53	120.62	86.45	51.8	0 139.52	23.81	399.36	88.28 to 115.34	33,800	29,221
106		1	98.37	98.37	98.37			98.37	98.37	N/A	102,000	100,334
111		1	88.49	88.49	88.49			88.49	88.49	N/A	67,000	59,286
304		1	94.05	94.05	94.05			94.05	94.05	N/A	45,000	42,321
ALL												
		332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	8 133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681

74 - RICHARDSON COUNTY		[		PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
RESIDEN	TIAL	_		]	Гуре: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	NUMBER of Sales	:	332	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	97	COV:	65.44	95%	Median C.I.: 93.89	9 to 99.53	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 13	,125,356	WGT. MEAN:	85	STD:	74.43	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 80.78	3 to 89.61	(II Delli cu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 13	,125,356	MEAN:	114	AVG.ABS.DEV:	43.15	95	% Mean C.I.: 105.	74 to 121.75	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 11	,182,342								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	39,534	COD:	44.38	MAX Sales Ratio:	730.83				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	33,681	PRD:	133.51	MIN Sales Ratio:	8.08			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:45:34
CONDIT	ION									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	43	95.50	103.99	63.66	54.2	163.36	8.08	730.83	63.00 to 100.00	21,888	13,933
10	8	143.77	176.45	126.37	60.6	139.62	51.92	392.70	51.92 to 392.70	3,981	5,031
20	35	100.72	141.59	82.82	75.8	170.96	16.87	545.60	82.16 to 169.22	14,261	11,811
30	191	98.18	113.40	90.63	37.8	125.13	23.81	356.35	94.05 to 100.09	38,396	34,797
40	54	91.26	96.22	81.44	30.7	118.16	35.56	259.85	79.39 to 100.11	75,360	61,370
50	1	67.67	67.67	67.67			67.67	67.67	N/A	250,000	169,184
AL:	L										
	332	97.23	113.74	85.20	44.3	133.51	8.08	730.83	93.89 to 99.53	39,534	33,681

**Residential Correlation** 

#### **Residential Real Property**

#### I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. These quality statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity. The substantial difference, between the mean and weighted mean, suggest a problem with the quality of assessment actions. The county may need to review their assessment practices. The level of value is best represented by the median measure of central tendency.

#### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	Percent Used
2008	435	332	76.32
2007	457	360	78.77
2006	403	283	70.22
2005	393	308	78.37
2004	424	336	79.25
2003	404	322	79.7
2002	425	369	86.82
2001	488	392	80.33

RESIDENTIAL: A brief review of the utilization grid prepared indicates that the county has utilized a high proportion of the available sales for the development of the qualified statistics. This indicates that the measurement of the class of property was done using all available sales.

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

#### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	<b>R&amp;O</b> Median
2008	96.68	0.77	97.43	97.23
2007	95.96	1.24	97.15	96.65
2006	<b>98.45</b>	1.09	99.53	98.38
2005	<b>99.48</b>	0.1	99.58	98.82
2004	99.70	1.89	101.58	98.06
2003	99	-0.32	98.68	98
2002	97	0.04	97.04	97
2001	90	14.21	102.79	97

#### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

RESIDENTIAL: After review of the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O median, it is apparent that the two statistics are very similar and support a level of value with the acceptable range. This has been the consistent pattern for Richardson County.

## IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

#### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage
Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sa	lles	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
1.66	2008	0.77
3.29	2007	1.24
1.76	2006	1.09
2.92	2005	0.1
1.57	2004	1.89
0	2003	0
0.07	2002	0.04
14.08	2001	14.21

RESIDENTIAL: After review of the percent change report, it appears that Richardson County has appraised sold parcels similarly to unsold parcels. The percent change in sales base value and the percent change in assessed base value is consistent with the reported assessment action.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

#### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	97.23	85.20	113.74

RESIDENTIAL: The median measure is within the acceptable range. The weighted mean and mean are outside of the acceptable range.

#### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	44.38	133.51
Difference	29.38	30.51

RESIDENTIAL: Both the coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are outside of the acceptable range. These statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity.

#### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	355	332	-23
Median	96.68	97.23	0.55
Wgt. Mean	84.86	85.20	0.34
Mean	112.87	113.74	0.87
COD	44.66	44.38	-0.28
PRD	133.01	133.51	0.5
Min Sales Ratio	5.95	8.08	2.13
<b>Max Sales Ratio</b>	843.00	730.83	-112.17

RESIDENTIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property. The difference in the number of qualified sales is a result of sales sustaining substantial physical changes for 2008 and being removed from the qualified sales roster.

**Commercial Reports** 

74 - RICHARDSON COUN	TY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistic	5	Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualifi		5			State Stat Run	
				-		rge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	0				t - 114 10	(!: AVTot=0)
	les Price		2,541,714	WGT. MEAN:	105	COA:	62.22 72.65		Median C.I.: 93.28 . Mean C.I.: 93.36		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sal			2,541,714	MEAN:	103	STD:	72.65 41.32		* Mean C.I.: 93.36 % Mean C.I.: 94.8		
TOTAL Assess			2,662,911			AVG.ABS.DEV:	41.32	55	% Mean C.1 94.8	0 10 138.75	
AVG. Adj. Sal			60,517	COD:	41.47	MAX Sales Ratio:	433.60				
AVG. Assess			63,402	PRD:	111.46	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12.58.12
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	4	103.65	101.69	96.97	5.0	1 104.87	89.98	109.48	N/A	201,517	195,403
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	2	294.14	294.14	161.48	47.4	1 182.15	154.68	433.60	N/A	10,250	16,552
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	4	103.36	99.79	88.05	16.7	9 113.32	72.27	120.16	N/A	27,000	23,774
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	4	96.55	93.44	87.43	15.0	2 106.88	63.67	117.00	N/A	41,797	36,543
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	3	122.71	142.40	123.05	27.4	2 115.72	101.77	202.72	N/A	167,933	206,644
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	4	98.56	113.15	123.54	35.7	9 91.59	57.62	197.87	N/A	36,417	44,990
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	4	87.64	79.60	78.59	17.7	6 101.29	43.11	100.00	N/A	22,423	17,622
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	5	93.28	98.29	96.03	28.4	6 102.35	58.80	166.17	N/A	49,718	47,745
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	5	49.63	107.67	63.35	165.4	3 169.95	10.53	273.80	N/A	15,240	9,655
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	4	117.28	147.24	113.24	33.9	6 130.02	97.69	256.69	N/A	26,500	30,009
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	88.11	88.11	88.11			88.11	88.11	N/A	40,000	35,245
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	115.79	115.79	127.94	13.2	6 90.51	100.44	131.14	N/A	115,000	147,126
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	14	103.65	126.28	95.85	37.1	3 131.76	63.67	433.60	89.98 to 120.16	78,697	75,427
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	16	98.56	105.60	112.29	31.0	3 94.05	43.11	202.72	73.90 to 122.71	61,734	69,319
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	12	108.79	120.58	110.09	53.4	0 109.53	10.53	273.80	49.63 to 175.82	37,683	41,484
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	15	98.97	110.18	112.60	26.8	7 97.85	57.62	202.72	92.60 to 120.16	61,643	69,411
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	18	95.49	107.62	91.75	50.6	7 117.30	10.53	273.80	58.80 to 117.43	28,915	26,529
ALL											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	7 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DAWSON	3	117.00	111.13	109.54	5.2	6 101.45	98.97	117.43	N/A	5,466	5,988
FALLS CITY	19	109.48	123.70	105.91	37.6	7 116.80	49.63	273.80	89.98 to 154.68	101,176	107,154
HUMBOLDT	8	95.71	102.55	94.18	24.9	1 108.89	43.11	202.72	43.11 to 202.72	35,708	33,631
RURAL	3	100.00	127.61	139.27	37.6	4 91.63	84.95	197.87	N/A	42,564	59,278
RURAL COMM	1	72.27	72.27	72.27			72.27	72.27	N/A	67,000	48,421
SHUBERT	4	97.19	85.78	101.47	25.9	2 84.54	28.57	120.16	N/A	6,450	6,544
STELLA	2	266.45	266.45	101.46	62.7	4 262.60	99.29	433.60	N/A	38,546	39,110
VERDON	2	34.67	34.67	47.28	69.6	2 73.31	10.53	58.80	N/A	9,850	4,657
ALL											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	7 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402

-	HARDSON COUNTY	[		PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics	5	Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
COMMERCIA	AL				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					••	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(
	NUMBER of Sale	s:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	COV:	62.22	95%	Median C.I.: 93.28	to 11/ 12	(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sales Pric	e: 2	,541,714	WGT. MEAN:	105	STD:	72.65		. Mean C.I.: 93.36		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Pric	e: 2	,541,714	MEAN:	117	AVG.ABS.DEV:	41.32	-	% Mean C.I.: 93.30		
	TOTAL Assessed Valu	e: 2	,662,911			AVG.ABS.DEV.	41.52	25	5 Mean C.1 94.0	0 10 138.75	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Pric		60,517	COD:	41.47	MAX Sales Ratio:	433.60				
	AVG. Assessed Valu	e:	63,402	PRD:	111.46	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12.58.12
LOCATION	IS: URBAN, SUBURBAN									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	38	99.87	117.09	103.82	42.0	112.78	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 117.00	61,763	64,122
2	1	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	N/A	10,694	10,694
3	3	84.95	118.36	117.15	49.2	28 101.03	72.27	197.87	N/A	61,333	71,853
ALL										,	,
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	47 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROV	ED & IOLI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	40	99.13	117.14	104.77	43.2	26 111.81	10.53	433.60	92.60 to 114.12	63,500	66,528
2	2	109.39	109.39	105.35	6.9	96 103.83	101.77	117.00	N/A	850	895
ALL											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	47 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
PROPERTY	TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
02											
03	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	47 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
04											
ALL_											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
SCHOOL D	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
64-0023											
67-0001											
74-0056	24	100.95	115.61	106.34	44.1	108.72	10.53	273.80	73.90 to 131.14	88,585	94,200
74-0070	11	98.97	104.89	95.02	21.0	110.40	43.11	202.72	88.11 to 117.43	27,460	26,092
74-0501	7	100.00	139.43	101.33	62.1	137.60	28.57	433.60	28.57 to 433.60	16,226	16,441
NonValid	School										
ALL_											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402

TOTAL Assessed Value: 2,662,911 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 60,517 COD: 41.47 MAX Sales Ratio: 433.60		(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
NUMBER of Sales:       42       MEDIAN:       100       COV:       62.22       95% Median C.I.:       93         TOTAL Sales Price:       2,541,714       WGT. MEAN:       105       STD:       72.65       95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:       93         TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:       2,541,714       MEAN:       117       AVG.ABS.DEV:       41.32       95% Mean C.I.:       9         TOTAL Assessed Value:       2,662,911          433.60	.36 to 116.17 4.80 to 138.75 Printed: 02/09/20	
TOTAL Sales Price:       2,541,714       WGT. MEAN:       105       STD:       72.65       95% Median C.I.:       93         TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:       2,541,714       MEAN:       117       AVG.ABS.DEV:       41.32       95% Median C.I.:       93         TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:       2,662,911       AVG. Adj. Sales Price:       60,517       COD:       41.47       MAX Sales Ratio:       433.60	.36 to 116.17 4.80 to 138.75 Printed: 02/09/20	
TOTAL Sales Price:       2,541,714       WGT. MEAN:       105       STD:       72.65       95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 93         TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:       2,541,714       MEAN:       117       AVG.ABS.DEV:       41.32       95% Mean C.I.: 9         TOTAL Assessed Value:       2,662,911         AVG. Adj. Sales Price:       60,517       COD:       41.47       MAX Sales Ratio:       433.60	.36 to 116.17 4.80 to 138.75 Printed: 02/09/20	(!: Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:       2,541,714       MEAN:       117       AVG.ABS.DEV:       41.32       95% Mean C.I.:       9         TOTAL Assessed Value:       2,662,911         AVG. Adj. Sales Price:       60,517       COD:       41.47       MAX Sales Ratio:       433.60	4.80 to 138.75 Printed: 02/09/20	
TOTAL Assessed Value:2,662,911AVG. Adj. Sales Price:60,517COD:41.47MAX Sales Ratio:433.60	Printed: 02/09/20	
AVG. Assessed Value: 63,402 PRD: 111.46 MIN Sales Ratio: 10.53		008 12:58:12
YEAR BUILT *	meg. maj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.	. Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR Blank 7 99.29 79.38 96.32 27.30 82.41 10.53 117.00 10.53 to 117.0	36,641	35,294
Prior TO 1860		
1860 TO 1899		
1900 TO 1919 19 98.97 141.45 92.17 62.73 153.47 43.11 433.60 84.95 to 175.3	32 25,155	23,185
1920 TO 1939 3 58.80 72.64 70.97 33.93 102.35 49.63 109.48 N/A	37,666	26,732
1940 TO 1949		
1950 TO 1959 6 101.50 99.75 102.43 11.50 97.38 73.90 117.13 73.90 to 117.1	69,043	70,719
1960 TO 1969 2 105.36 105.36 95.27 11.46 110.58 93.28 117.43 N/A	54,500	51,924
1970 TO 1979 3 131.14 150.57 130.86 19.10 115.06 122.71 197.87 N/A	255,333	334,136
1980 TO 1989		
1990 TO 1994		
1995 TO 1999 1 92.60 92.60 92.60 92.60 92.60 N/A	5,000	4,630
2000 TO Present 1 89.98 89.98 89.98 89.98 89.98 N/A	400,000	359,930
ALL		
42 99.65 116.78 104.77 41.47 111.46 10.53 433.60 93.28 to 114.3	60,517	63,402
SALE PRICE *	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.	. Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$		
1 TO 4999 9 117.00 168.33 132.31 88.85 127.23 10.53 433.60 28.57 to 273.3	30 2,566	3,395
5000 TO 9999 4 108.20 121.21 118.92 23.49 101.93 92.60 175.82 N/A	6,500	7,729
Total \$		
1 TO 9999 13 117.00 153.83 125.22 68.20 122.85 10.53 433.60 90.33 to 256.4	59 3,776	4,729
10000 TO 29999 10 100.22 105.45 106.73 25.61 98.81 43.11 166.17 58.80 to 154.	58 19,565	20,881
30000 TO 59999 8 86.53 86.57 85.96 19.11 100.70 57.62 117.13 57.62 to 117.1		39,145
60000 TO 999999 6 96.75 102.93 101.64 31.93 101.27 49.63 197.87 49.63 to 197.4		73,448
100000 TO 149999 1 93.28 93.28 93.28 93.28 93.28 93.28 N/A	100,000	93,280
150000 TO 2499999 1 131.14 131.14 131.14 131.14 131.14 131.14 N/A	206,000	270,147
250000 TO 499999 2 96.49 96.49 95.49 6.75 101.05 89.98 103.00 N/A	346,534	330,896
500000 + 1 122.71 122.71 122.71 122.71 122.71 N/A	500,000	613,542
ALL		
42 99.65 116.78 104.77 41.47 111.46 10.53 433.60 93.28 to 114.3	60,517	63,402

74 - RICH	ARDSON COUN	1TY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics	5	Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
COMMERCIA	г					Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	cov:	62.22	95%	Median C.I.: 93.28	to 114 12	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	2,541,714	WGT. MEAN:	105	STD:	72.65		. Mean C.I.: 93.36		(:: Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	2,541,714	MEAN:	117	AVG.ABS.DEV:	41.32			0 to 138.75	
	TOTAL Asses	sed Value	:	2,662,911								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	60,517	COD:	41.47	MAX Sales Ratio:	433.60				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	63,402	PRD:	111.46	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:58:12
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	\$											
1 TC	O 4999	7	92.60	124.91	70.60	80.6	8 176.92	10.53	433.60	10.53 to 433.60	2,771	1,956
5000 то	9999	7	175.82	158.56	100.18	43.2	5 158.28	43.11	273.80	43.11 to 273.80	7,671	7,685
Tota	1 \$											
1 TC	O 9999	14	100.37	141.74	92.33	81.0	2 153.51	10.53	433.60	43.11 to 256.69	5,221	4,820
10000 TC	29999	10	99.44	93.64	82.21	16.8	9 113.91	49.63	120.16	63.67 to 117.43	27,065	22,249
30000 TC	D 59999	9	97.69	105.34	94.74	27.8	1 111.19	57.62	166.17	72.27 to 154.68	43,588	41,296
60000 TC	D 99999	4	96.75	97.77	97.25	4.1	6 100.53	93.28	104.29	N/A	86,648	84,263
100000 TC	D 149999	1	197.87	197.87	197.87			197.87	197.87	N/A	60,000	118,719
250000 TC	D 499999	3	103.00	108.04	103.66	13.3	2 104.23	89.98	131.14	N/A	299,689	310,646
500000 +		1	122.71	122.71	122.71			122.71	122.71	N/A	500,000	613,542
ALL												
		42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	7 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402
COST RAN	ĸ										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		6	100.53	76.91	97.56	30.6	2 78.83	10.53	117.00	10.53 to 117.00	26,915	26,259
10		11	94.21	131.60	91.65	47.4	6 143.60	72.27	273.80	84.95 to 256.69	70,271	64,401
15		3	120.16	141.96	104.68	27.6	6 135.61	103.00	202.72	N/A	103,856	108,718
20		18	97.91	113.42	105.17	44.9	0 107.85	43.11	433.60	63.67 to 117.13	56,220	59,127
25		1	197.87	197.87	197.87			197.87	197.87	N/A	60,000	118,719
30		3	100.00	110.04	128.64	10.7	2 85.54	98.97	131.14	N/A	74,564	95,923
ALL												
		42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	7 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402

	CHARDSON COUNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistic	S	Base St	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERC	IAL				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		( <b>!:</b> AVTot=0)
	NUMBER of Sales:	:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	100	cov:	62.22	95% 1	Median C.I.: 93.28	to 114.12	(!: Av 101=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price:	: 2	2,541,714	WGT. MEAN:	105	STD:	72.65		. Mean C.I.: 93.36		(Deriveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	: 2	2,541,714	MEAN:	117	AVG.ABS.DEV:		95	% Mean C.I.: 94.8	0 to 138.75	
	TOTAL Assessed Value:	: 2	2,662,911								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	:	60,517	COD:	41.47	MAX Sales Ratio:	433.60				
	AVG. Assessed Value:	:	63,402	PRD:	111.46	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 02/09/2	008 12:58:13
OCCUPAN	ICY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	5	99.29	71.43	91.73	36.1	9 77.87	10.53	117.00	N/A	17,298	15,867
300	1	63.67	63.67	63.67			63.67	63.67	N/A	42,000	26,743
313	1	122.71	122.71	122.71			122.71	122.71	N/A	500,000	613,542
325	1	73.90	73.90	73.90			73.90	73.90	N/A	37,000	27,343
336	1	114.12	114.12	114.12			114.12	114.12	N/A	20,000	22,823
341	1	104.29	104.29	104.29			104.29	104.29	N/A	75,000	78,220
344	4	227.28	211.37	166.92	23.7	0 126.63	117.13	273.80	N/A	28,925	48,281
349	1	131.14	131.14	131.14			131.14	131.14	N/A	206,000	270,147
350	4	78.61	81.07	71.08	25.5	9 114.05	49.63	117.43	N/A	48,250	34,296
351	1	98.97	98.97	98.97			98.97	98.97	N/A	7,000	6,928
353	17	98.14	128.04	93.26	50.8	1 137.29	43.11	433.60	88.11 to 166.17	51,568	48,093
380	1	97.69	97.69	97.69			97.69	97.69	N/A	44,300	43,275
404	2	96.30	96.30	97.64	3.8	4 98.63	92.60	100.00	N/A	7,847	7,662
423	1	98.89	98.89	98.89			98.89	98.89	N/A	29,789	29,458
528	1	103.00	103.00	103.00			103.00	103.00	N/A	293,069	301,862
ALI											
	42	99.65	116.78	104.77	41.4	7 111.46	10.53	433.60	93.28 to 114.12	60,517	63,402

# Richardson County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Commercial:** No specific changes, the commercial level of value does not indicate a further review of this class. The county did their annual pick-up work and permit review.

# 2008 Assessment Survey for Richardson County

### **Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information**

1.	Data collection done by:
	Appraiser
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Appraiser
4.	What is the date of Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	June 2007
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	June 2007
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	June 2007
7.	When was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	June 2007
8.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class?
	0
9.	How are these defined?
	N/A
10.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	No- these assessor locations are too diverse to use for valuation purposes.
11.	<b>Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural</b> <b>commercial?</b> ( <i>that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market</i> ?)
	N/A

# 12. What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.) No

#### **Commercial Permit Numbers:**

Permits	<b>Information Statements</b>	Other	Total
38			38

74 - RICHARDSON COUN	ITY			PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:1 of 5
COMMERCIAL					ype: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
				-		rge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	98	0					( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> )
	les Price		2,631,214	WGT. MEAN:	98 104	COV:	40.65		Median C.I.: 90.63		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sal			2,631,214	MEAN:	104	STD:	40.87	-	. Mean C.I.: 90.92		
TOTAL Assess			2,736,178	1.117.7714	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	28.41	95	% Mean C.I.: 88.1	8 to 112.90	
AVG. Adj. Sal			62,647	COD:	29.02	MAX Sales Ratio:	202.72				
AVG. Assess			65,147	PRD:	96.69	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 04/01/2	0008 18.45.37
DATE OF SALE *			· - ,							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	4	103.65	109.45	98.43	12.5	0 111.19	89.98	140.51	N/A	201,517	198,351
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	1	154.68	154.68	154.68			154.68	154.68	N/A	20,000	30,936
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	4	103.36	99.79	88.05	16.7	9 113.32	72.27	120.16	N/A	27,000	23,774
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	4	96.55	93.44	87.43	15.0		63.67	117.00	N/A	41,797	36,543
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	3	122.71	144.96	123.07	25.3		109.46	202.72	N/A	167,933	206,677
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	4	96.32	112.03	123.33	37.3	5 90.84	57.62	197.87	N/A	36,417	44,912
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	4	87.64	79.60	78.59	17.7	6 101.29	43.11	100.00	N/A	22,423	17,622
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	5	93.28	98.29	96.03	28.4	6 102.35	58.80	166.17	N/A	49,718	47,745
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	6	46.66	67.49	49.51	85.4	9 136.31	10.53	175.82	10.53 to 175.82	27,700	13,713
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	4	107.41	105.72	108.23	10.7	6 97.68	90.63	117.43	N/A	26,500	28,680
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	88.11	88.11	88.11			88.11	88.11	N/A	40,000	35,245
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	2	124.33	124.33	143.22	19.2	86.80	100.44	148.21	N/A	115,000	164,708
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	13	103.00	105.03	96.76	17.8	6 108.54	63.67	154.68	89.98 to 120.16	84,712	81,970
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	16	96.32	105.80	112.26	32.4	4 94.24	43.11	202.72	73.90 to 122.71	61,734	69,306
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	13	96.67	89.58	103.59	35.4	5 86.48	10.53	175.82	43.69 to 117.43	41,707	43,205
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	15	98.89	110.40	112.58	27.7	1 98.06	57.62	202.72	92.60 to 120.16	61,643	69,396
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	19	90.63	86.19	82.92	33.6	103.94	10.53	175.82	49.63 to 100.00	32,130	26,643
ALL											
	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	2 96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DAWSON	3	117.00	109.64	107.63	6.5	4 101.87	94.49	117.43	N/A	5,466	5,883
FALLS CITY	19	98.89	108.17	107.80	28.1	2 100.35	49.63	175.82	89.98 to 140.51	101,176	109,066
HUMBOLDT	8	95.71	102.55	94.18	24.9	1 108.89	43.11	202.72	43.11 to 202.72	35,708	33,631
RURAL	3	100.00	127.61	139.27	37.6	4 91.63	84.95	197.87	N/A	42,564	59,278
RURAL COMM	1	72.27	72.27	72.27			72.27	72.27	N/A	67,000	48,421
SHUBERT	4	101.03	87.70	101.85	26.8	4 86.10	28.57	120.16	N/A	6,450	6,569
STELLA	1	99.29	99.29	99.29			99.29	99.29	N/A	76,592	76,052
VERDON	3	43.69	37.67	44.33	36.8	3 84.98	10.53	58.80	N/A	36,566	16,211
ALL											
	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	2 96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147

TOTAL TOTAL Adj TOTAL Ass AVG. Adj.	COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2 	2: 2, 2: 2, 2: 2, 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2:	42 ,631,214 ,736,178 62,647 65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07 110.00		Гуре: Qualifi	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2 COV: STD: AVG.ABS.DEV: MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: 0D PRD 9996.25 101.03 0296.69 0D PRD 0796.12	40.65 40.87 28.41 202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	95% Wgt	<pre>/2008 Median C.I.: 90.63 . Mean C.I.: 90.92 % Mean C.I.: 88.1 95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I. 90.33 to 104.29</pre>	to 117.06	( <i>!: AVTot=0</i> ) ( <i>!: Derived</i> ) 2008 18:45:37 Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val 60,846
TOTAL TOTAL Adj TOTAL Ass AVG. Adj. AVG. Ass <b>LOCATIONS: URBAN,</b> RANGE 1 2 3 ALL <b>STATUS: IMPROVED,</b> RANGE 1 2 ALL <b>PROPERTY TYPE *</b> RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Suburban COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	2: 2, 2: 2; 2: 2, 2: 2; 2: 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2:	,631,214 ,631,214 ,736,178 ,62,647 ,65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	MEDIAN: WGT. MEAN: MEAN: COD: PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	Date Ran 98 104 101 29.02 96.69 CO 28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2 COV: STD: AVG.ABS.DEV: MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: 0D PRD 9996.25 101.03 0296.69 0D PRD 0796.12	40.65 40.87 28.41 202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	95% Wgt 95% Wgt 95 MAX 202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	Median C.I.: 90.63 . Mean C.I.: 90.92 % Mean C.I.: 88.1 95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	to 117.06 .8 to 112.90 <i>Printed: 04/01/2</i> Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	( <i>!: Derived</i> ) 2008 18:45:37 Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
TOTAL TOTAL Adj TOTAL Ass AVG. Adj. AVG. Ass <b>LOCATIONS: URBAN,</b> RANGE 1 2 3 ALL <b>STATUS: IMPROVED,</b> RANGE 1 2 ALL <b>PROPERTY TYPE *</b> RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Suburban COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	2: 2, 2: 2; 2: 2, 2: 2; 2: 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2: 2; 2:	,631,214 ,631,214 ,736,178 ,62,647 ,65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	WGT. MEAN: MEAN: COD: PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	104 101 29.02 96.69 00 28.2 49.2 29.0 0 00 30.0	STD: AVG.ABS.DEV: MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: DD PRD 99 96.25 28 101.03 29 96.69 DD PRD 97 96.12	40.87 28.41 202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	95% Wgt 95 MAX 202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	. Mean C.I.: 90.92 % Mean C.I.: 88.1 95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	to 117.06 .8 to 112.90 <i>Printed: 04/01/2</i> Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	( <i>!: Derived</i> ) 2008 18:45:37 Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
TOTAL Adj. TOTAL Ass AVG. Adj. AVG. Ass LOCATIONS: URBAN, RANGE 1 2 3 ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sales Price sessed Value Sales Price sessed Value COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	2: 2, 2: 2, 2: 2: & RURAL MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	,631,214 ,736,178 62,647 65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	WGT. MEAN: MEAN: COD: PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	104 101 29.02 96.69 00 28.2 49.2 29.0 0 00 30.0	STD: AVG.ABS.DEV: MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: DD PRD 99 96.25 28 101.03 29 96.69 DD PRD 97 96.12	40.87 28.41 202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	95% Wgt 95 MAX 202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	. Mean C.I.: 90.92 % Mean C.I.: 88.1 95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	to 117.06 .8 to 112.90 <i>Printed: 04/01/2</i> Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	2008 18:45:37 Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
TOTAL Ass AVG. Adj. AVG. Ass LOCATIONS: URBAN, RANGE 1 2 3 ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sessed Value Sales Price Sessed Value COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	2: 2, 2: <b>&amp; RURAL</b> MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 <b>ED &amp; IOLL</b> MEDIAN 97.18	,736,178 62,647 65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	COD: PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	29.02 96.69 28.2 49.2 29.0 co 30.0	AVG.ABS.DEV: MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: D PRD 96.25 28 101.03 12 96.69 D PRD 7 96.12	28.41 202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	95 MAX 202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	<pre>% Mean C.I.: 88.1 95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.</pre>	8 to 112.90 Printed: 04/01/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
AVG. Adj. AVG. Adj. AVG. Ass LOCATIONS: URBAN, RANGE 1 2 3 ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sales Price sessed Value SUBURBAN COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	2: 2, 2: <b>&amp; RURAL</b> MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 <b>ED &amp; IOLL</b> MEDIAN 97.18	,736,178 62,647 65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	COD: PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	96.69 CO 28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio: DD PRD 9 96.25 101.03 12 96.69 DD PRD 97 96.12	202.72 10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	MAX 202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	95% Median C.I. 90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	Printed: 04/01/2 Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
AVG. Ass LOCATIONS: URBAN, RANGE 1 2 3 ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	Sessed Value SUBURBAN COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2 	<b>&amp; RURAL</b> MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 <b>ED &amp; IOLL</b> MEDIAN 97.18	65,147 MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	PRD: WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	96.69 CO 28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	MIN Sales Ratio: DD PRD 9 96.25 8 101.03 12 96.69 DD PRD 7 96.12	10.53 MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
LOCATIONS: URBAN, RANGE 1 2 3ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	SUBURBAN COUNT 38 1 3 	& RURAL MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	MEAN 99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	WGT. MEAN 103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	CO 28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	DD PRD 29 96.25 28 101.03 22 96.69 DD PRD 7 96.12	MIN 10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
RANGE 1 2 3ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2 	MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	9     96.25       8     101.03       12     96.69       00     PRD       17     96.12	10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val 66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
RANGE 1 2 3ALL STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	COUNT 38 1 3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2 	MEDIAN 97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	99.15 100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	103.01 100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	28.2 49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	9     96.25       8     101.03       12     96.69       00     PRD       17     96.12	10.53 100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	202.72 100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	90.63 to 109.46 N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	Sale Price 64,118 10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	66,050 10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
2 3 ALL <b>STATUS: IMPROVED,</b> RANGE 1 2 ALL <b>PROPERTY TYPE *</b> RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	38 1 3  42  COUNT 40 2 	97.91 100.00 84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	100.00 118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	28 101.03 02 96.69 00 PRD 07 96.12	100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	100.00 197.87 202.72 MAX	N/A N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
2 3 ALL <b>STATUS: IMPROVED,</b> RANGE 1 2 ALL <b>PROPERTY TYPE *</b> RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	100.00 117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	49.2 29.0 CO 30.0	28 101.03 02 96.69 00 PRD 07 96.12	100.00 72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	197.87 202.72 MAX	N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	10,694 61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	10,694 71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
3ALL RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	3 42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	84.95 97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	118.36 100.54 MEAN 100.07	117.15 103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	29.0 CO 30.0	2 96.69 DD PRD 7 96.12	72.27 10.53 MIN 10.53	197.87 202.72 MAX	N/A 90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	61,333 62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	71,853 65,147 Avg. Assd Val
ALL	42 UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	97.91 ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	100.54 MEAN 100.07	103.99 WGT. MEAN 104.11	29.0 CO 30.0	2 96.69 DD PRD 7 96.12	10.53 MIN 10.53	202.72 MAX	90.63 to 104.29 95% Median C.I.	62,647 Avg. Adj. Sale Price	65,147 Avg. Assd Val
STATUS: IMPROVED, RANGE 1 2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	UNIMPROVE COUNT 40 2	ED & IOLL MEDIAN 97.18	MEAN 100.07	WGT. MEAN 104.11	CO 30.0	DD PRD 17 96.12	MIN 10.53	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	COUNT 40 2	MEDIAN 97.18	MEAN 100.07	104.11	30.0	96.12	10.53			Sale Price	Assd Val
RANGE 1 2ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL	COUNT 40 2	MEDIAN 97.18	MEAN 100.07	104.11	30.0	96.12	10.53				
2 ALL PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	2							202.72	90.33 to 104.29	58,443	60,846
ALL <b>PROPERTY TYPE *</b> RANGE 02 03 04ALL		110.00	110.00	103.02	6.3	6 106.78	102 00				
PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE 02 03 04ALL							103.00	117.00	N/A	146,734	151,165
RANGE 02 03 04 ALL											
RANGE 02 03 04 ALL	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
02 03 04 ALL										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
03 04 ALL	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
04 ALL											
ALL	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
CULOI DISTRICT *	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
SCHOOL DISIKICI	•									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
64-0023											
67-0001											
74-0056	25	96.67	100.93	105.43	35.0	95.73	10.53	197.87	73.90 to 117.13	88,642	93,458
74-0070	11	98.14	104.49	94.91	21.5	110.09	43.11	202.72	88.11 to 117.43	27,460	26,063
74-0501	б	99.65	91.68	99.95	18.2	91.73	28.57	120.16	28.57 to 120.16	18,847	18,837
NonValid School											
ALL											
			100.54	103.99	29.0	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147

THE Control of Sales:         1/2         MEDIAN: Bac Range:         0/2         0/2/2007         Peed Bdor:         0/1/2004 to 06/30/2007         Peed Bdor:         0/1/2004 to 0/2007         Peed Bdor:         0/1/2007         0/1/2004 to 0/2007         Peed Bdor:         0/1/2007         0/1/2007         0/1/2007 </th <th>74 - RICHAR</th> <th>DSON COU</th> <th>NTY</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>PAD 2</th> <th>008 R&amp;</th> <th>zO St</th> <th>atistics</th> <th></th> <th>Base St</th> <th>tat</th> <th></th> <th>PAGE:3 of 5</th>	74 - RICHAR	DSON COU	NTY			PAD 2	008 R&	zO St	atistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
UNDER of Sales:         42         NUMBER of Sales:         42         NUMBER of Sales:         42         NUMBER of Sales:         42         NUMBER of Sales:         43.11         Number of Sales:         10.1         AVRI. ABS. DEV:         43.11         Number of Sales:         10.1         AVRI. ABS. DEV:         28.31         Number of Sales:         10.1         AVRI. ABS. DEV:         28.31         Number of Sales:         Numbe	COMMERCIAL												State Stat Run	
NUMBER of Sales:         12         MEDLAN:         98         COV:         40.65         958 Median C.T.:         90.92 to 117.05         (f: Deriv           TOTAL Adj. Sales Price:         2.631.214         MEAN:         101         AVG. ASS.         958 Median C.T.:         90.92 to 117.05         958 Median C.T.:         80.92 to 117.05           TOTAL Adj. Sales Price:         2.631.214         MEAN:         101         AVG. ASS.         958 Mean C.T.:         80.92 to 117.05           AVG. Adj. Sales Price:         62.647         COD:         29.12         MAX Sales Ratio:         20.37         AVG. Assessed Value:         65.147         PHD:         No.53         Printed:         0.07         AVG. Adj.         Avg. Adj. <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1/2004 to 06/30/2</th> <th>007 Posted</th> <th>Before: 01/18</th> <th>/2008</th> <th></th> <th></th>									1/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
TUTLE Sales Price:         2.631.214         MUT. MEAN:         104         TUTL         AUG. ABS.DEV:         28.41         95% Mean C.I.:         90.95 Mean C.I.:         9		NUMBE	R of Sales:	:	42	MEDIAN:	98		CON	10 65	95%	Median C T : 00 62	to 104 20	
TOTAL Adj. Sales Price:         2, 431, 214         MRN:         101         AVG. ABS.DEV:         28.41         955 Mean C. I.:         89.18 to 112.90           AVG. ASSESSED Value:         62, 647         CDD:         29.02         MXX Sales Parice:         202.72           AVG. ASSESSED Value:         65, 147         PHD:         96.69         MIN Sales Parice:         202.72           AVG. ASSESSED Value:         65, 147         PHD:         96.69         MIN Sales Parice:         202.72           AVG. ASSESSED Value:         65.75         75.88         82.66         32.69         91.76         10.53         117.00         10.53 to 117.00         43.311           Prior 10 1660         1050         1053         51.60         83.64         32.69         91.78         10.53         117.00         43.311         26.52         23.74           1900 T0 1919         18         97.18         105.90         89.52         29.91         118.30         43.11         202.72         84.95         126.52         23.70         71.94           1920 T0 1939         6         105.53         95.27         11.46         110.58         93.28         117.13         N/A         54.60         51.92           1900 T0 1994         19		TOTAL S	ales Price:	:	2,631,214									(!: Derived)
TOTAL ABREBRE Value:         2,735,178           AVG. Adj. Sales Price:         62,647         COUNT MEDIAN         65,147         PED:         29.02 MAX Sales Ratio:         20.72           MIX ABREBRIC:         20.72           NUC. ABREBRILT         PHINC: OUT/ZOOB 18:452           COD         NIN         NIN         NIN         NAX         Sale Price         Arg           Adj. Sales Price         COUNT         MEDIAN         NIN         NAX         95         NIN         NAX         Sale Price         Arg           Adj. Adv           OUT MEDIAN         NED         NIN         NAX         95         NIA         Adj. Arg           Adj. Adv           Adj.	TO	TAL Adj.S	ales Price:	:	2,631,214	MEAN:	101	,			-			
AVG.         Assessed Value:         65,147         PHD:         96,69         NIN Sales Natio:         10.53         Ppintet: $\lambda ng.$ VERN BUILT         R         COUNT         MEDIAN         MEAN NOT.         MEAN         COUNT         MEAN NOT.         MEAN         COUNT         MEAN         NOT.         MEAN         COUNT         MEAN NOT.         MEAN         NOT.         Mean         Not.         Solate         Print of 1640         Assad Val           1900 TO 1919         18         97.18         105.50         97.51         102.43         11.50         97.38         73.90         117.13         N/A         54.500         70.71         1950         1950 <td< td=""><td>T</td><td>OTAL Asse</td><td>ssed Value:</td><td>:</td><td>2,736,178</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>RVG.ADS.DEV.</td><td>20.41</td><td>25</td><td>00.1</td><td>0 00 112.90</td><td></td></td<>	T	OTAL Asse	ssed Value:	:	2,736,178			1	RVG.ADS.DEV.	20.41	25	00.1	0 00 112.90	
TEAR BUILT *         Nucl. COUNT MEDIAN         MEAN WGT. MEAN         COD         FRD         MIN         MAX         95% Median C.T.         Sale Price         Asg. Mag.           0 OK Blank         8         96.75         75.88         82.68         32.69         91.78         10.53         117.00         10.53         to 117.00         43,311         35,83           1360 TO 1399         18         97.18         105.90         89.52         29.91         118.30         43.11         202.72         84.95         to 12.16         26,525         23.74           1940 TO 1349         1         105.54         101.50         99.75         102.43         11.50         97.38         73.90         117.13         73.90         to 117.13         69.043         70.71           1950 TO 1959         6         101.50         99.75         102.43         110.58         92.260         117.46         110.58         92.260         N/A         54,600         51.62           1950 TO 1959         1990 TO 1994         192.60         92.60         92.60         92.60         92.60         92.60         N/A         400.000         359.92           2000 TO TPresent         189.98         89.98         89.98         89.98 <td>AVO</td> <td>G. Adj. S</td> <td>ales Price:</td> <td>:</td> <td>62,647</td> <td>COD:</td> <td>29.02</td> <td>MAX S</td> <td>Sales Ratio:</td> <td>202.72</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	AVO	G. Adj. S	ales Price:	:	62,647	COD:	29.02	MAX S	Sales Ratio:	202.72				
YEAR         COUNT         MEDILAR         MEAN MET. MEAN         COD         FED         MIN         MAX         955         Media.         Avg.         Ali.         Avg.         Ali.         Avg.         Ali.         Avg.         Ali.         Avg.         Ali.         Avg.         Ali.         Size	į	AVG. Asse	ssed Value:	:	65,147	PRD:	96.69	MIN S	Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:45:37
RANKE         COUNT         MEDIAN         MEAN         MET.	YEAR BUILT	*												
0 0 R Blank       8       96.75       75.88       82.68       32.69       91.78       10.53       117.00       10.53 to 117.00       43.311       35.83         Prior TO 1899       186 07 01999       18       97.18       105.90       89.52       29.91       118.30       43.11       202.72       84.95 to 120.16       26.525       23.74         1920 TO 1939       3       55.80       82.98       81.41       51.52       101.93       43.61       N/A       37.666       30.66         1940 TO 1949       1       105.36       105.36       95.77       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54,900       51.92         1950 TO 1959       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       11.50       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69.043       70.77         1950 TO 1959       1       192.60       92.60       125.71       197.07       N/A       255.333       345.68         1980 TO 1954       199       1       92.60       92.60       N/A       400,000       359.93         _1995 TO 1999       1       92.60       92.60       N/A       400,000       359.93			COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1860 TO 1899         1900 TO 1919       18       97.18       105.90       89.52       29.91       118.30       43.11       202.72       84.95 to 120.16       26,525       23,74         1920 TO 1939       3       58.80       82.98       81.41       51.52       101.93       49.63       140.51       N/A       37,666       30,67         1940 TO 1949       1950 TO 1595       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       115.00       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69,043       70,77         1960 TO 1869       2       105.36       105.36       95.27       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54,500       51.92         1970 TO 1979       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.67       N/A       255.333       345,65         1980 TO 1894	0 OR Blan	ık	8	96.75	75.88	82.68	32.6	59	91.78	10.53	117.00		43,311	35,810
1900 TO 1919       18       97.18       105.90       89.52       29.91       118.30       43.11       202.72       84.95 to 120.16       26.525       23.74         1920 TO 1939       3       58.80       82.98       81.41       51.52       101.93       49.63       140.51       N/A       37.666       30.66         1950 TO 1959       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       11.50       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69.043       70.77         1960 TO 1979       3       148.21       15.62       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255.33       345.85         1980 TO 1989       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       50.00       359.93	Prior TO 186	50												
1920 TO 1939       3       58.80       82.98       81.41       51.52       101.93       49.63       140.51       N/A       37,666       30,66         1940 TO 1949       1       1950 TO 1559       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       11.50       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69,043       70,73         1960 TO 1969       2       105.36       105.36       95.27       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54,500       51.92         1970 TO 1979       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255,333       345.84         1990 TO 1994       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       5,000       4,63         1995 TO 1999       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       400,000       359,93         ALL	1860 TO 189	99												
1940 TO 1949       1950 TO 1959       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       11.50       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69.043       70.77         1960 TO 1959       2       105.36       105.36       95.27       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54,500       51,92         1970 TO 1979       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255,333       345.85         1980 TO 1994       1995 TO 1994       92.60       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       400,000       359.93	1900 TO 191	9	18	97.18	105.90	89.52	29.9	91	118.30	43.11	202.72	84.95 to 120.16	26,525	23,745
1950 TO 1959       6       101.50       99.75       102.43       11.50       97.38       73.90       117.13       73.90 to 117.13       69.043       70.71         1960 TO 1969       2       105.36       105.36       95.27       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54,500       51.92         1960 TO 1969       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255.33       345.85         1960 TO 1994       1994       1995 TO 1999       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       5,000       4,66         2000 TO Present       1       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       N/A       400,000       359,93	1920 TO 193	39	3	58.80	82.98	81.41	51.5	52	101.93	49.63	140.51	N/A	37,666	30,663
1960 TO 1969       2       105.36       105.36       95.27       11.46       110.58       93.28       117.43       N/A       54.500       51.92         1970 TO 1979       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255.333       345.85         1980 TO 1989       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       5,000       4.63         2000 TO Present       1       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       N/A       400.000       359.93	1940 TO 194	19												
1970 TO 1979       3       148.21       156.26       135.45       16.90       115.36       122.71       197.87       N/A       255,333       345,85         1980 TO 1988       1990 TO 1994       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       5,000       4,65         2000 TO Present       1       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       N/A       400,000       359.93         ALL            Avg. Adj.       Avg. A	1950 TO 195	59	б	101.50	99.75	102.43	11.5	50	97.38	73.90	117.13	73.90 to 117.13	69,043	70,719
1980 TO 1989         1990 TO 1994         1995 TO 1999       1       92.60       92.60       92.60       N/A       5,000       4,63         2000 TO Present       1       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       89.98       N/A       400,000       359,92	1960 TO 196	59	2	105.36	105.36	95.27	11.4	46	110.58	93.28	117.43	N/A	54,500	51,924
1990 TO 1994         1995 TO 1999       1       92.60	1970 TO 197	79	3	148.21	156.26	135.45	16.9	90	115.36	122.71	197.87	N/A	255,333	345,857
1995 TO 1999       1       92.60	1980 TO 198	39												
2000 TO Present         1         89.98         80.01         10.02         90.65         103.99         20.22         90.65         105.53         202.72         90.63 to 104.29         62.647         65.14	1990 TO 199	94												
ALL	1995 TO 199	99	1	92.60	92.60	92.60				92.60	92.60	N/A	5,000	4,630
42         97.91         100.54         103.99         29.02         96.69         10.53         202.72         90.63 to 104.29         62,647         65,14           SALE PRICE *         Avg. Adj.	2000 TO Pre	esent	1	89.98	89.98	89.98				89.98	89.98	N/A	400,000	359,930
Avg. Adj. Avg.         Avg. Adj. Avg. Adj. Avg.         Avg. Adj. Avg. Adj. Avg.	ALL													
RANCE         COUNT         MEDIAN         MEAN         WGT. MEAN         COD         PRD         MIN         MAX         95%         Median C.I.         Sale Price         Assd Val			42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	)2	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
Low \$	SALE PRICE	*											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
1 TO       4999       8       93.65       93.24       79.06       40.82       117.94       10.53       202.72       10.53 to 202.72       2,825       2,23         5000 TO       9999       4       105.96       120.09       117.71       25.05       102.02       92.60       175.82       N/A       6,500       7,65	RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD	PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
5000 TO       9999       4       105.96       120.09       117.71       25.05       102.02       92.60       175.82       N/A       6,500       7,65	Low \$_													
	1 TO	4999	8	93.65	93.24	79.06	40.8	32	117.94	10.53	202.72	10.53 to 202.72	2,825	2,233
1 TO       9999       12       95.58       102.19       99.73       35.92       102.46       10.53       202.72       90.33 to 117.43       4,050       4,050         10000 TO       29999       10       100.22       105.45       106.73       25.61       98.81       43.11       166.17       58.80 to 154.68       19,565       20.88         30000 TO       59999       8       86.53       90.45       89.20       23.59       101.40       57.62       140.51       57.62 to 140.51       45,537       40.61         60000 TO       99999       7       94.21       94.46       91.68       35.77       103.04       43.69       197.87       43.69 to 197.87       74,798       68,57         100000 TO       149999       1       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       N/A       100,000       93,28         150000 TO       249999       1       148.21       148.21       148.21       N/A       206,000       305,31         250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330,89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71 <t< td=""><td>5000 TO</td><td>9999</td><td>4</td><td>105.96</td><td>120.09</td><td>117.71</td><td>25.0</td><td>)5</td><td>102.02</td><td>92.60</td><td>175.82</td><td>N/A</td><td>6,500</td><td>7,651</td></t<>	5000 TO	9999	4	105.96	120.09	117.71	25.0	)5	102.02	92.60	175.82	N/A	6,500	7,651
10000 TO       29999       10       100.22       105.45       106.73       25.61       98.81       43.11       166.17       58.80 to 154.68       19,565       20,88         30000 TO       59999       8       86.53       90.45       89.20       23.59       101.40       57.62       140.51       57.62 to 140.51       45,537       40,61         60000 TO       99999       7       94.21       94.46       91.68       35.77       103.04       43.69       197.87       43.69 to 197.87       74,798       68.57         100000 TO       149999       1       93.28       93.28       93.28       N/A       100,000       93,28         150000 TO       249999       1       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       146.534       330.89         250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330.89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54	Total \$	5												
30000 TO       59999       8       86.53       90.45       89.20       23.59       101.40       57.62       140.51       57.62 to 140.51       45,537       40,61         60000 TO       99999       7       94.21       94.46       91.68       35.77       103.04       43.69       197.87       43.69 to 197.87       74,798       68,57         100000 TO       149999       1       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       N/A       100,000       93,28         150000 TO       249999       1       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       N/A       206,000       305,31         250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330,89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54         ALL														4,039
60000 TO       99999       7       94.21       94.46       91.68       35.77       103.04       43.69       197.87       43.69 to 197.87       74,798       68,57         100000 TO       149999       1       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       N/A       100,000       93,28         150000 TO       249999       1       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       166,000       305,31         250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330,89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54         ALL										43.11	166.17	58.80 to 154.68		20,881
100000 TO       149999       1       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       93.28       100,000       93.28       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       93.28       100,000       100,000       93.28       100,000														40,619
150000 TO       2499999       1       148.21       148.21       148.21       148.21       N/A       206,000       305,31         250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330,89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54         ALL							35.7	77	103.04					68,573
250000 TO       499999       2       96.49       95.49       6.75       101.05       89.98       103.00       N/A       346,534       330,89         500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54         ALL														93,280
500000 +       1       122.71       122.71       122.71       N/A       500,000       613,54		249999								148.21	148.21	N/A		305,311
ALL		499999					6.7	75	101.05			N/A		330,896
			1	122.71	122.71	122.71				122.71	122.71	N/A	500,000	613,542
42 97.91 100.54 103.99 29.02 96.69 10.53 202.72 90.63 to 104.29 62,647 65,14	ALL													
			42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	)2	96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147

74 - RICH	HARDSON COU	NTY			PAD 2	008 R&	<b>O</b> Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
COMMERCIA	AL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	8/2008		
	NUMBER	R of Sales	:	42	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	98	cov:	40.65	95%	Median C.I.: 90.63	to 104.29	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sa	ales Price	: 2	2,631,214	WGT. MEAN:	104	STD:	40.87		. Mean C.I.: 90.92		( Denveu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sa	ales Price	: 2	2,631,214	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	28.41	-	% Mean C.I.: 88.1		
	TOTAL Asses	ssed Value	: 2	2,736,178								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price	:	62,647	COD:	29.02	MAX Sales Ratio:	202.72				
	AVG. Asses	ssed Value	:	65,147	PRD:	96.69	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:45:37
ASSESSED	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v\$											
1 T	ro 4999	8	91.61	79.47	69.44	26.7	0 114.45	10.53	117.00	10.53 to 117.00	3,137	2,178
5000 TC	9999	5	94.49	114.99	78.01	58.5	5 147.41	43.11	202.72	N/A	9,500	7,410
Tota	al \$											
1 T	TO 9999	13	92.60	93.13	75.04	39.3	9 124.10	10.53	202.72	43.11 to 117.00	5,584	4,190
10000 T	TO 29999	10	99.44	93.64	82.21	16.8	9 113.91	49.63	120.16	63.67 to 117.43	27,065	22,249
30000 T	TO 59999	10	92.90	102.28	87.66	35.4	7 116.68	43.69	166.17	57.62 to 154.68	48,230	42,278
60000 T	TO 99999	4	96.75	97.77	97.25	4.1	6 100.53	93.28	104.29	N/A	86,648	84,263
100000 T	TO 149999	1	197.87	197.87	197.87			197.87	197.87	N/A	60,000	118,719
250000 T	TO 499999	3	103.00	113.73	107.57	18.8	4 105.73	89.98	148.21	N/A	299,689	322,367
500000 +	÷	1	122.71	122.71	122.71			122.71	122.71	N/A	500,000	613,542
ALL_												
		42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	2 96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147
COST RAN	IK.										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		7	99.29	73.26	78.32	35.6	8 93.54	10.53	117.00	10.53 to 117.00	35,927	28,139
10		11	93.28	100.41	90.27	15.0	9 111.23	72.27	175.82	84.95 to 117.43	70,271	63,435
15		3	120.16	141.96	104.68	27.6	6 135.61	103.00	202.72	N/A	103,856	108,718
20		17	97.69	96.41	106.17	29.2	9 90.81	43.11	166.17	58.80 to 122.71	59,498	63,171
25		1	197.87	197.87	197.87			197.87	197.87	N/A	60,000	118,719
30		3	100.00	114.23	144.22	17.9	1 79.21	94.49	148.21	N/A	74,564	107,539
ALL_												
		42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	2 96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147

74 - RI	CHARDSON COUNTY			PAD 2	008 R <i>&amp;</i>	<b>O</b> Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
COMMERC	IAL				ype: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
				_		ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	42	MEDIAN:	98	COV:	40.65	95%	Median C.I.: 90.63	to 104 29	(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sales Price	: 2	2,631,214	WGT. MEAN:	104	STD:	40.85		. Mean C.I.: 90.92		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 2	2,631,214	MEAN:	101	AVG.ABS.DEV:	28.41	-		8 to 112.90	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 2	2,736,178			AVG.ADS.DEV.	20.41	25	00.1	0 00 112.90	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	62,647	COD:	29.02	MAX Sales Ratio:	202.72				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	65,147	PRD:	96.69	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.53			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:45:37
OCCUPAN	ICY CODE									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	б	71.49	68.09	67.29	56.6	4 101.19	10.53	117.00	10.53 to 117.00	29,415	19,793
300	1	63.67	63.67	63.67			63.67	63.67	N/A	42,000	26,743
313	1	122.71	122.71	122.71			122.71	122.71	N/A	500,000	613,542
325	1	73.90	73.90	73.90			73.90	73.90	N/A	37,000	27,343
336	1	114.12	114.12	114.12			114.12	114.12	N/A	20,000	22,823
341	1	104.29	104.29	104.29			104.29	104.29	N/A	75,000	78,220
344	4	106.90	125.58	157.73	29.8	6 79.61	90.63	197.87	N/A	28,925	45,624
349	1	148.21	148.21	148.21			148.21	148.21	N/A	206,000	305,311
350	4	78.61	81.07	71.08	25.5	9 114.05	49.63	117.43	N/A	48,250	34,296
351	1	94.49	94.49	94.49			94.49	94.49	N/A	7,000	6,614
353	16	96.18	110.88	94.41	35.3	0 117.44	43.11	202.72	88.11 to 154.68	54,760	51,701
380	1	97.69	97.69	97.69			97.69	97.69	N/A	44,300	43,275
404	2	96.30	96.30	97.64	3.8	4 98.63	92.60	100.00	N/A	7,847	7,662
423	1	98.89	98.89	98.89			98.89	98.89	N/A	29,789	29,458
528	1	103.00	103.00	103.00			103.00	103.00	N/A	293,069	301,862
ALI											
	42	97.91	100.54	103.99	29.0	2 96.69	10.53	202.72	90.63 to 104.29	62,647	65,147

**Commercial Correlations** 

### **Commerical Real Property**

### I. Correlation

COMMERCIAL: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range that is best measured by the median measure of central tendency. The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are both outside the acceptable range. These quality statistics do not support assessment uniformity or proportionality. The counties use of a high proportion of the commercial sales could be the cause of the quality statistics being outside the acceptable range. The relationship between the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O ratio suggests the assessment practices are applied to the sales file and population in a similar manner.

### II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	Percent Used
2008	59	42	71.19
2007	66	46	<b>69.7</b>
2006	63	46	73.02
2005	68	58	85.29
2004	61	53	86.89
2003	65	55	84.62
2002	71	60	84.51
2001	84	71	84.52

COMMERCIAL: A brief review of the utilization grid prepared indicates that the county has utilized a high proportion of the available sales for the development of the qualified statistics. This indicates that the measurement of the class of property was done using all available sales.

### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

### Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	<b>R&amp;O</b> Median
2008	99.65	-0.01	99.64	97.91
2007	98.96	-0.11	98.85	98.91
2006	95.98	1.43	97.35	96.81
2005	93.52	-0.67	92.9	95.30
2004	81.55	4.58	85.29	93.25
2003	92	-2.79	89.43	92
2002	95	-0.05	94.95	95
2001	96	0.13	96.12	96

### III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

COMMERCIAL: A review of the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O median, it is apparent that the two statistics are very similar and support a level of value within the acceptable range.

# IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

### Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage
Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sale	es	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
-5.9	2008	-0.01
2.12	2007	-0.11
13.23	2006	1.43
13.93	2005	-0.67
4.91	2004	4.58
-35	2003	-3
0	2002	-0.05
0	2001	-0.13

COMMERCIAL: A review of the table shows over a 5 point difference between the percent of change in the sales file when compared to the assessed base. The county did not report any assessment actions for the commercial class of property.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

### V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	97.91	103.99	100.54

COMMERCIAL: The median measure is within the acceptable range. The weighted mean and mean are both outside of the acceptable range.

### VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	29.02	96.69
Difference	9.02	-1.31

COMMERCIAL: Both the coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are outside of the acceptable range. These statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity.

### VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	42	42	0
Median	99.65	97.91	-1.74
Wgt. Mean	104.77	103.99	-0.78
Mean	116.78	100.54	-16.24
COD	41.47	29.02	-12.45
PRD	111.46	96.69	-14.77
Min Sales Ratio	10.53	10.53	0
Max Sales Ratio	433.60	202.72	-230.88

COMMERCIAL: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property.

**Agricultural Reports** 

<b>R4 DT</b> 0			ſ				•		Base St	tat		PAGE:1 of 4
-	HARDSON COUN		l				inary Statistics		Duse b		State Stat Run	
						Type: Qualifi Date Bar	ea 1ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/200'	7 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NIIMDFD	of Sales:		95	MEDIAN.		0					
(AgLand)		les Price		,917,313	MEDIAN:	<b>57</b> 55	COV:	44.76			9 to 66.03	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,921,313	WGT. MEAN: MEAN:	55 65	STD:	28.93	-		l to 58.81	( <b>!: land+NAT=0</b> )
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses			,342,004	MEAN ·	60	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.40	95	% Mean C.I.: 58.8	31 to 70.44	
(AgLand)	AVG. Adj. Sa			,342,004 178,119	COD:	30.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	225.80				
	AVG. Adj. Sa			98,336		117.05	MAX Sales Ratio: MIN Sales Ratio:	19.42				
		sed value	•	90,330	PRD:	117.05	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.42			Avg. Adj.	2008 12:58:32 Avg.
DATE OF RANGE	SALE *	COUNT	MEDIAN		WGT. MEAN	00	ממת מי	MIN	M 7 37	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Filce	ASSU VAL
Qrt: 07/01/04	TO 09/30/04	6	71.60	82.79	66.28	25.0	3 124.92	56.62	126.85	56.62 to 126.85	141,808	93,987
	TO 12/31/04	8	76.47	99.73	59.70	63.8		31.89	225.80	31.89 to 225.80	153,662	91,737
	TO 03/31/05	6	58.03	58.91	56.35	14.5		43.19	73.99	43.19 to 73.99	133,548	75,250
	TO 06/30/05	10	67.38	68.05	70.03	22.6		40.84	125.39	48.81 to 77.91	89,248	62,498
	TO 09/30/05	3	67.61	64.38	64.36	21.6		40.84	84.70	N/A	221,335	142,450
10/01/05	то 12/31/05	18	62.86	62.47	58.81	18.0	9 106.23	38.16	84.69	52.05 to 71.92	165,604	97,386
01/01/06	TO 03/31/06	9	75.75	75.31	64.38	22.1	.8 116.99	50.69	104.13	51.37 to 101.38	128,417	82,669
04/01/06	TO 06/30/06	11	55.86	57.51	54.67	18.2	1 105.18	36.13	84.33	42.54 to 71.38	227,045	124,135
07/01/06	TO 09/30/06	8	53.83	58.49	52.35	15.3	2 111.74	46.97	89.85	46.97 to 89.85	170,156	89,069
10/01/06	TO 12/31/06	3	52.13	52.46	52.70	2.5	2 99.53	50.65	54.59	N/A	278,333	146,693
01/01/07	TO 03/31/07	9	38.70	40.46	40.39	28.3	1 100.18	19.42	59.61	26.21 to 59.12	354,611	143,217
04/01/07	TO 06/30/07	4	51.19	48.28	51.20	6.7	94.30	38.71	52.05	N/A	115,372	59,073
Stu	dy Years											
07/01/04	TO 06/30/05	30	68.32	77.62	62.91	35.6	4 123.37	31.89	225.80	56.79 to 73.99	125,797	79,143
07/01/05	TO 06/30/06	41	64.00	64.10	58.78	21.1	9 109.05	36.13	104.13	54.64 to 70.63	178,003	104,629
07/01/06	TO 06/30/07	24	51.19	49.27	45.78	17.7	8 107.63	19.42	89.85	45.18 to 54.59	243,718	111,578
Cal	endar Yrs											
01/01/05	TO 12/31/05	37	64.00	63.56	61.00	19.5	4 104.18	38.16	125.39	54.64 to 69.92	144,288	88,021
01/01/06	TO 12/31/06	31	55.71	62.44	55.77	22.2	9 111.97	36.13	104.13	52.13 to 66.67	188,693	105,230
ALL												
		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	4 117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03	178,119	98,336

74 - RICHARDSON COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED     PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics     Base Stat       Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007     Fosted Before: 01/18/2008       NUMBER of Sales:     95       MEDIAN:     57     COV:     44.76     95% Median C.I.: 54.29 to 66.03	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)
Date Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008	
NUMBER OF Sales: 95 WEDIAN: 57 COV: 44.76 95% Median C.I.: 54.29 to 66.03	
	(!: land+NAT=0)
AVG.ADS.DEV: 17.10 950 Mean C.1. 90.01 CO 70.44	
AVG. Assessed Value: 98,336 PRD: 117.05 MIN Sales Ratio: 19.42 Printed: 02/09/	
GEO CODE / TOWNSHIP # RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
4193         5         64.00         63.60         61.34         12.20         103.69         50.91         73.99         N/A         162,700           4105         10	99,797
4195         12         70.09         71.36         70.54         15.71         101.16         50.69         125.39         58.33 to 76.59         95,125           4100         52.66         52.66         54.00         50.00         51.61         55.71         100.00	67,100
4199 2 53.66 53.66 54.28 3.82 98.87 51.61 55.71 N/A 240,000	130,261
4417         7         41.58         44.95         42.97         15.79         104.61         31.89         59.61         31.89         to 59.61         163,051	70,069
4419         9         66.00         77.73         64.86         40.95         119.83         38.71         197.30         46.20 to 90.14         86,610	56,176
4421         8         62.11         68.07         60.47         27.01         112.57         43.19         101.38         43.19 to 101.38         206,318	124,756
4423 5 54.59 52.37 52.85 7.43 99.08 42.83 59.12 N/A 331,800	175,367
4425         6         66.74         63.68         62.83         16.04         101.36         38.16         84.33         38.16 to 84.33         151,416	95,130
4435 4 50.47 52.52 49.82 18.84 105.41 37.21 71.92 N/A 121,875	60,721
4437         12         60.61         64.72         55.75         25.34         116.10         45.18         104.13         48.12         to 80.82         267,733	149,251
4439 8 45.38 67.53 42.44 80.06 159.11 19.42 225.80 19.42 to 225.80 290,285	123,209
4441 6 56.79 63.99 55.70 20.10 114.88 49.46 102.75 49.46 to 102.75 127,555	71,043
4443 5 75.75 74.73 68.16 29.47 109.64 26.21 126.85 N/A 80,300	54,733
4445 6 59.10 61.72 53.20 17.99 116.02 42.54 89.85 42.54 to 89.85 193,000	102,673
ALL	
95 56.79 64.62 55.21 30.64 117.05 19.42 225.80 54.29 to 66.03 178,119	98,336
AREA (MARKET)	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
41 21 58.33 60.87 56.33 23.64 108.07 31.89 125.39 50.69 to 70.25 131,564	74,105
44 42 57.49 69.34 53.77 40.36 128.96 19.42 225.80 53.98 to 66.93 168,503	90,601
50         32         55.66         60.90         56.21         21.73         108.33         37.21         104.13         50.91         to         70.65         221,290	124,390
ALL	
95 56.79 64.62 55.21 30.64 117.05 19.42 225.80 54.29 to 66.03 178,119	98,336
STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
2 95 56.79 64.62 55.21 30.64 117.05 19.42 225.80 54.29 to 66.03 178,119	98,336
ALL	
95 56.79 64.62 55.21 30.64 117.05 19.42 225.80 54.29 to 66.03 178,119	98,336
MAJORITY LAND USE > 95%	Avg.
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes! 1 56.79 56.79 56.79 56.79 56.79 N/A 8,480	4,816
DRY 30 55.22 58.38 51.91 25.65 112.46 19.42 102.75 50.69 to 70.25 210,953	109,503
DRY-N/A 47 61.73 67.55 58.50 24.73 115.47 37.21 197.30 56.58 to 67.45 192,061	112,352
GRASS 10 49.16 59.87 42.76 43.58 140.03 26.21 126.85 38.16 to 89.85 46,731	19,980
GRASS-N/A 7 52.05 79.67 52.45 68.45 151.91 36.13 225.80 36.13 to 225.80 155,721	81,673
ALL	
95 56.79 64.62 55.21 30.64 117.05 19.42 225.80 54.29 to 66.03 178,119	98,336

74 - RIC	HARDSON COUN	TY			PAD 200	8 Prelim	inary Statistics	2	Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 4
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPRO	VED				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							rge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	95	<b>MEDIAN:</b>		0					
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sal			,917,313	WGT. MEAN:	57 55	COV:	44.76		Median C.I.: 54.		(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sal			,921,313	MG1. MEAN: MEAN:	65	STD:	28.93	-	. Mean C.I.: 51.		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assess			,342,004	MEAN ·	05	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.40	95	% Mean C.I.: 58	3.81 to 70.44	
(AgLand)	AVG. Adj. Sal			178,119	COD:	30.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	225.80				
	AVG. Assess			98,336	PRD:	117.05	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.42			Drinted 02/00	12000 12 50 21
NA TOD TO				50,550	1100.	117.05	Min Baies Racio.	19.12			Avg. Adj.	/2008 12:58:33 Avg.
RANGE	Y LAND USE >	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I		Assd Val
! zeroe:	al	1	56.79	56.79	56.79		D PRD	56.79	56.79	N/A	8,480	4,816
DRY	5:			60.14	54.28	22.0	110.80		125.39	53.88 to 67.34		126,887
		54	56.60			22.9		19.42				
DRY-N/A		23	66.25	72.98	62.75	27.6		43.19	197.30	54.59 to 76.59		74,511
GRASS	7	12	49.16	71.72	47.38	68.4		26.21	225.80	38.16 to 89.85		24,176
GRASS-N/		5	52.05	59.16	50.94	22.9	116.14	42.54	85.71	N/A	189,010	96,279
ALL												
		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03		98,336
	Y LAND USE >										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I		Assd Val
! zeroe	s!	1	56.79	56.79	56.79			56.79	56.79	N/A	8,480	4,816
DRY		75	59.12	62.42	55.81	22.7		19.42	125.39	54.73 to 66.93		113,697
DRY-N/A		2	122.20	122.20	51.09	61.4		47.09	197.30	N/A	37,500	19,160
GRASS		17	52.05	68.02	49.54	52.3	137.31	26.21	225.80	40.84 to 85.71	91,609	45,383
ALL												
		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03		98,336
	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I	. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
64-0023												
67-0001												
74-0056		49	54.73	63.03	53.64	30.9		19.42	225.80	52.05 to 66.67		119,564
74-0070		27	58.19	65.12	54.18	35.4	5 120.18	26.21	197.30	46.20 to 66.93	128,901	69,843
74-0501		19	69.30	68.02	63.41	16.6	107.27	50.69	125.39	55.71 to 74.00	132,605	84,081
NonValid	School											
ALL												
		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03	178,119	98,336
ACRES I	N SALE				-						Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I	. Sale Price	Assd Val
0.00	TO 0.00	1	56.79	56.79	56.79			56.79	56.79	N/A	8,480	4,816
0.01 '	TO 10.00	3	84.69	69.37	71.29	18.1	.0 97.31	38.71	84.70	N/A	4,563	3,253
10.01 '	TO 30.00	9	101.38	95.07	70.66	33.7	134.55	26.21	197.30	48.81 to 126.8	5 26,650	18,829
30.01 '	TO 50.00	12	67.01	64.03	65.30	14.2	98.05	46.20	85.43	52.11 to 71.92	68,441	44,693
50.01 '	TO 100.00	43	54.73	62.58	55.43	31.4	9 112.91	19.42	225.80	51.46 to 66.25	147,947	82,004
100.01 '	TO 180.00	20	57.41	59.76	55.03	18.5	108.60	30.57	90.14	52.76 to 66.93	299,512	164,823
180.01 '	TO 330.00	7	53.88	52.01	51.61	13.6	100.78	38.70	67.61	38.70 to 67.61	498,000	256,995
ALL												
-		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03	178,119	98,336

74 - RIC	HARDSON CC	UNTY			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base St	at		PAGE:4 of 4
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMP	ROVED				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						• •	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMB	ER of Sales	3:	95	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	57	8	44.76			9 to 66.03	(1 <b>D</b> 1 <b>D</b>
(AgLand)		Sales Price		5,917,313	WGT. MEAN:	55	COV: STD:	44.76 28.93			1 to 58.81	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.	Sales Price		5,921,313	MEAN:	65	AVG.ABS.DEV:	17.40	-		81 to 70.44	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	-	essed Value		9,342,004			AVG.ABS.DEV.	17.40		• Mean C.1.• 50.	01 10 70.44	
	AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	2:	178,119	COD:	30.64	MAX Sales Ratio:	225.80				
	AVG. Ass	essed Value	e:	98,336	PRD:	117.05	MIN Sales Ratio:	19.42			Printed: 02/09/	2008 12:58:33
SALE PRI	ICE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov	w\$											
1 7	TO 4999	9 4	87.28	102.64	89.89	46.9	90 114.18	38.71	197.30	N/A	2,997	2,694
5000 TC	D 9999	3	84.69	89.44	84.47	27.5	105.88	56.79	126.85	N/A	7,226	6,104
Tota	al \$											
1 7	TO 9999	9 7	84.70	96.98	86.40	39.4	112.25	38.71	197.30	38.71 to 197.30	4,810	4,156
10000 7	ro 29999	9 3	102.75	128.96	120.62	54.3	33 106.91	58.33	225.80	N/A	23,116	27,883
30000 1	ro 59999	9 11	61.76	65.72	64.79	30.7	101.44	26.21	104.13	46.20 to 101.38	46,809	30,328
60000 7	ro 99999	9 12	68.32	68.03	67.85	23.9	100.26	40.84	125.39	47.09 to 77.91	81,455	55,267
100000 7	ro 149999	9 16	66.59	64.72	64.48	17.6	51 100.37	36.13	90.14	52.05 to 76.59	112,687	72,662
150000 7	ro 249999	9 22	55.30	57.49	56.70	17.2	101.39	31.89	75.75	50.91 to 67.45	182,054	103,228
250000 1	ro 499999	9 18	54.29	52.54	52.20	15.7	100.65	19.42	81.72	45.18 to 56.79	329,869	172,202
500000 +	+	6	48.63	48.00	47.46	18.2	101.14	30.57	67.61	30.57 to 67.61	596,683	283,194
ALL_												
		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	54 117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03	178,119	98,336
	O VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Lov												
1 7			84.70	93.47	76.18	45.2		38.71	197.30	N/A	4,094	3,119
5000 TC		2	105.77	105.77	102.26	19.9	103.43	84.69	126.85	N/A	6,600	6,749
	al \$	9 7	84.70	96.98	86.40	39.4	110 05	38.71	197.30	20 71 55 107 20	4 010	4 156
1 1										38.71 to 197.30	4,810	4,156
10000 7			52.11 60.69	55.34	50.74	25.4		26.21	102.75	26.21 to 102.75	39,307	19,945
30000 7				69.24	52.96	41.6		19.42	225.80	47.09 to 77.33	85,128	45,081
60000 1 100000 1			66.02 64.00	62.08 64.14	59.00	17.0 21.8		31.89 41.58	84.33 125.39	52.05 to 71.92 49.46 to 72.11	133,062	78,505 122,343
150000 1			64.00 55.15	54.14	58.78 51.35	14.6		41.58 30.57	81.72	49.46 to 72.11 42.54 to 59.12	208,134 390,485	200,529
250000 7			55.15	54.34 54.69	51.35	14.0		30.57 48.12	81.72 67.61	42.54 to 59.12 N/A	573,775	200,529 313,895
250000 I		- 4	21.31	54.09	54./1	11./	99.90	70.12	07.01	IN/A	513,115	313,095
ALL		95	56.79	64.62	55.21	30.6	54 117.05	19.42	225.80	54.29 to 66.03	178,119	98,336
		20	50.19	04.02	JJ.21	30.0	, II/.00	19.14	223.00	JT.29 CU 00.03	1/0,119	20,330

# Richardson County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

**Agricultural:** Market area 50 was increased by 29%. Market area 44 was increased by 29%. Market area 41 was increased by 24%.

# 2008 Assessment Survey for Richardson County

### Agricultural Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	Appraiser
2.	Valuation done by:
	Assessor
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	Appraiser
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically
	define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	Within the office's general written policy, there is a statement that reads, "The Nebraska Agricultural Land Valuation Manual will be used as the manual in assisting with the valuation of agricultural land, using the most recent one made available by the property assessment and taxation of the state of Nebraska. Values of land will be developed through sales in Richardson County with the aid of the Richardson County contracted appraisal service" There is no specific mention of how rural residential acreages are defined.
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?
	Agricultural land is defined by highest and best use.
5.	When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	2006
6.	What is the date of the soil survey currently used?
	1974
7.	What date was the last countywide land use study completed?
	1997
a.	By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.)
	FSA Maps
b.	By whom?
	Appraiser
с.	What proportion is complete / implemented at this time?

	100% complete.
8.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods in the agricultural property class:
0.	Three market areas.
	Thee market areas.

9.	How are market areas/neighborhoods defined in this property class?							
	The market areas are defined by location. More specifically, they are defined by section lines and soil type.							
10.	Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special valuation for agricultural land within the county?							
	There is currently no special valuation for agricultural land.							

### Agricultural Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
151			151

54 550			Г						Base St	at		PAGE:1 of 4
74 - RICHARDSON COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007 Posted Be					iai	State Stat Run			
								12000	State Stat Itan			
	NUMBER	of Color		0.2	MEDIAN		ge: 07/01/2004 to 00/50/200		Before: 01/18			
		of Sales		93	MEDIAN:	72	COV:	107.98			5 to 81.95	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)		les Price		,787,613	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD:	97.64	-		to 83.92	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa			,791,613	MEAN:	90	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.74	95	% Mean C.I.: 70.5	8 to 110.27	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses			,324,653	005.	40.45	MAN Galas Datis	050 06				
	AVG. Adj. Sa			180,554	COD:	42.45	MAX Sales Ratio:	950.26				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	•	132,523	PRD:	123.19	MIN Sales Ratio:	23.67			Printed: 04/01/	
DATE OF	SALE *	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~								050	Avg. Adj.	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	ASSO VAL
Qrt:	rs TO 09/30/04	6	91.55	106.90	83.55	27.2	2 127.96	69.53	177.53	69.53 to 177.53	141,808	118,476
	TO 12/31/04	7	92.24	128.11	70.17	75.2		38.22	301.99	38.22 to 301.99	158,185	110,997
	TO 03/31/04	6	92.24 72.17	216.16	166.30	213.0		54.21	950.26	54.21 to 950.26	133,548	222,092
	TO 06/30/05	10	81.67	85.13	86.20	18.7		54.21	950.28 150.48	62.32 to 94.95	89,248	76,933
	TO 09/30/05	3	82.41	85.88	79.34	25.0		56.68	118.55	N/A	221,335	175,614
	TO 12/31/05	17	74.63	76.79	73.63	15.7		53.41	99.68	63.36 to 91.96	174,892	128,777
	TO 03/31/06	9	92.84	94.46	79.76	24.0		60.75	134.49	64.02 to 123.81	128,417	102,419
	TO 06/30/06	11	68.88	73.43	69.64	18.9		48.32	109.05	53.48 to 91.28	227,045	158,106
	TO 09/30/06	8	68.41	75.01	64.74	18.8		56.32	124.70	56.32 to 124.70	170,156	110,166
	TO 12/31/06	3	67.62	67.63	67.85	2.7		64.80	70.46	N/A	278,333	188,841
	TO 03/31/07	9	47.29	50.71	50.46	29.5		23.67	75.59	36.68 to 72.52	354,611	178,953
	TO 06/30/07	4	64.05	60.63	64.89	8.6		47.23	67.18	N/A	115,372	74,870
	dy Years	-	01.05	00.05	01.09	0.0	55.15	17.25	0,.10	14/11	110,012	, 1,0,0
	TO 06/30/05	29	83.07	127.12	98.30	72.8	1 129.32	38.22	950.26	71.31 to 92.76	125,928	123,783
	TO 06/30/06	40	78.24	80.52	73.75	21.1		48.32	134.49	68.88 to 86.35	182,261	134,425
	TO 06/30/07	24	64.13	62.58	57.41	19.5		23.67	124.70	56.32 to 70.00	243,718	139,913
	endar Yrs	21	01.15	02.50	57.11	19.5	109.01	23.07	121.70	50.52 00 70.00	215,,10	139,913
	TO 12/31/05	36	78.90	103.09	90.38	47.5	7 114.07	53.41	950.26	69.87 to 84.62	148,082	133,831
	TO 12/31/06	31	70.00	79.38	70.24	23.4		48.32	134.49	66.82 to 84.70	188,693	132,542
ALL		51	,0.00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,0.21	23.1		10.04	101.10	00.02 00 01.70	100,000	102,012
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523

74 - RICHARDSON COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Base Stat State State State Run								
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted I	Before: 01/18			
	NUMBER of Sales		93	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72	COV:	107.98	95% 1	Median C.I.: 68.8	36 to 81.95	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price		,787,613	WGT. MEAN:	73	STD:	97.64	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 62.8	37 to 83.92	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price		,791,613	MEAN:	90	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.74	95	% Mean C.I.: 70.	58 to 110.27	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value		,324,653								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		180,554	COD:	42.45	MAX Sales Ratio:	950.26				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	132,523	PRD:	123.19	MIN Sales Ratio:	23.67			Printed: 04/01/	
GEO COD										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
4193	5	81.85	252.47	173.11	221.2		65.10	950.26	N/A	162,700	281,648
4195	12	84.41	86.88	85.38	14.7	0 101.76	60.75	150.48	72.61 to 92.64	95,125	81,214
4199	2	64.36	64.36	65.10	3.8	2 98.87	61.90	66.82	N/A	240,000	156,237
4417	7	56.68	57.73	53.12	14.7		38.22	73.80	38.22 to 73.80	163,051	86,607
4419	7	71.31	94.14	75.06	43.8	4 125.42	47.23	239.25	47.23 to 239.25	92,827	69,677
4421	8	75.97	86.23	74.54	29.7	3 115.69	54.21	123.81	54.21 to 123.81	206,318	153,784
4423	5	69.87	67.10	67.74	7.5	8 99.05	54.76	75.59	N/A	331,800	224,761
4425	6	86.47	82.78	81.37	14.8	7 101.73	53.41	109.05	53.41 to 109.05	151,416	123,210
4435	4	64.97	66.47	62.40	20.5		43.96	91.96	N/A	121,875	76,050
4437	12	77.49	83.00	71.36	25.6	4 116.32	57.79	134.49	61.59 to 103.91	267,733	191,041
4439	8	55.84	86.21	52.24	84.2	9 165.03	23.67	301.99	23.67 to 301.99	290,285	151,646
4441	6	73.27	80.21	69.17	20.3	9 115.97	63.01	125.18	63.01 to 125.18	127,555	88,227
4443	5	92.84	97.34	85.08	32.5	5 114.41	36.68	177.53	N/A	80,300	68,316
4445	6	73.19	78.51	65.74	21.3	9 119.43	53.48	124.70	53.48 to 124.70	193,000	126,869
ALL											
	93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
41	21	72.61	75.01	68.53	20.9	9 109.47	38.22	150.48	60.75 to 84.62	131,564	90,157
44	40	70.66	86.94	65.68	41.8	0 132.36	23.67	301.99	66.82 to 82.41	173,686	114,083
50	32	71.43	104.89	82.87	59.2	5 126.57	43.96	950.26	65.10 to 90.33	221,290	183,375
ALL											
	93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	D & IOLL	ı							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523
ALL											
	93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523
MAJORIT	Y LAND USE > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroe	s! 1	77.19	77.19	77.19			77.19	77.19	N/A	8,480	6,546
DRY	29	68.08	71.50	64.67	25.4	0 110.56	23.67	125.18	60.75 to 86.09	217,961	140,951
DRY-N/A	46	75.11	101.88	81.01	49.2	2 125.77	43.96	950.26	69.34 to 84.62	193,584	156,813
GRASS	10	71.31	84.06	60.03	41.1	9 140.03	36.68	177.53	53.41 to 124.70	46,731	28,053
GRASS-N/	A 7	67.18	104.51	67.57	70.9	9 154.66	48.32	301.99	48.32 to 301.99	155,721	105,221
ALL											
	93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523

74 - RICHARDSON COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED				PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Base Stat								PAGE:3 of 4
			Type: Qualified									
		-					eu 1ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	:/2008		
	NUMBE	R of Sales		93	<b>MEDIAN:</b>		0					
(AgLand)		ales Price		,787,613	WGT. MEAN:	<b>72</b> 73	COV:	107.98		Median C.I.: 6		(!: Derived)
(AgLand) (AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.S			,791,613			STD:	97.64		. Mean C.I.: 6		(!: land+NAT=0)
	-	ssed Value		,324,653	MEAN:	90	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.74	95	% Mean C.I.:	70.58 to 110.27	
(AgLand)	AVG. Adj. S			180,554	000.	40 AE	MAX Sales Ratio:	050 26				
	-				COD:	42.45		950.26				
		ssed Value	•	132,523	PRD:	123.19	MIN Sales Ratio:	23.67			Printed: 04/01/	
	LAND USE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val
! zeroes	3!	1	77.19	77.19	77.19			77.19	77.19	N/A	8,480	6,546
DRY		53	69.87	90.14	73.66	45.8		23.67	950.26	66.21 to 82.		175,336
DRY-N/A		22	82.00	90.10	76.97	26.9	117.06	54.21	239.25	67.62 to 93.	97 118,595	91,281
GRASS		12	71.31	99.25	65.54	63.9	151.42	36.68	301.99	53.41 to 124.	.70 51,025	33,444
GRASS-N/A	Ð	5	67.18	76.25	65.16	23.8	117.02	53.48	110.52	N/A	189,010	123,150
ALL_												
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.	95 180,554	132,523
MAJORITY	LAND USE	> 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
! zeroes	в!	1	77.19	77.19	77.19			77.19	77.19	N/A	8,480	6,546
DRY		73	72.40	88.50	74.27	38.6	119.16	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 82.	41 207,544	154,141
DRY-N/A		2	149.72	149.72	64.97	59.8	230.46	60.19	239.25	N/A	37,500	24,362
GRASS		17	70.00	92.48	65.31	52.9	141.61	36.68	301.99	53.48 to 118.	.55 91,609	59,828
ALL_												
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.	95 180,554	132,523
SCHOOL D	DISTRICT *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	-	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	I. Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)												
64-0023												
67-0001												
74-0056		49	69.87	97.74	74.81	56.3	130.66	23.67	950.26	64.80 to 83.	09 222,886	166,739
74-0070		25	71.31	80.83	65.56	34.4		36.68	239.25	56.68 to 81.		87,871
74-0501		19	83.07	84.16	77.70	16.8		60.75	150.48	69.53 to 92.		103,033
NonValid	School		00.07	01110		2010	100101	001/0	100110	00.00 00 02.	202,000	100,000
ALL												
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.	95 180,554	132,523
ACRES IN		25	72.10	50.12	, 5.10	12.1	123.17	23.07	550.20	00.00 00 01.	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	N SALE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	млу	95% Median C.		Assd Val
0.00 I	ro 0.00		77.19		WGI. MEAN 77.19			MIN 77.19	MAX		8,480	6,546
0.00 I 0.01 I		1	82.89	77.19 82.89	71.04	43.0	116.68	47.23	77.19 118.55	N/A N/A		
		2									2,995	2,128
10.01 T		9	124.70	121.72	89.70	34.0		36.68	239.25	62.32 to 177.		23,905
30.01 T		12	83.08	81.87	82.41	11.4		60.19	110.16	72.61 to 91.		56,404
50.01 T		43	69.87	99.17	82.02	59.7		23.67	950.26	64.02 to 84.		121,351
	ro 180.00	19	70.46	72.23	67.60	16.5		37.30	99.68	63.47 to 84.		208,794
	ro 330.00	7	68.86	64.55	64.16	12.0	100.61	47.29	82.41	47.29 to 82.	41 498,000	319,520
ALL_												
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.	95 180,554	132,523

74 - RICI	HARDSON COUL	NTY			PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:4 of 4
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPRO	OVED				Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						• •	rge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	93	<b>MEDIAN:</b>	72	0				C 1 01 05	
(AgLand)		les Price		5,787,613	WGT. MEAN:	73	COV:	107.98			6 to 81.95	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa			5,791,613	MEAN:	90	STD:	97.64	-		7 to 83.92	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses			2,324,653	MEAN.	20	AVG.ABS.DEV:	30.74	95	% Mean C.I.: 70.5	58 to 110.27	
(AgLand)	AVG. Adj. Sa			180,554	COD:	42.45	MAX Sales Ratio:	950.26				
	AVG. Asses			132,523	PRD:	123.19	MIN Sales Ratio:	23.67			Drinted 01/01	2000 10 45 46
SALE PRI		bed varae		152,525	110	123.19	Min bareb Racio.	23:07			Printed: 04/01/ Avg. Adj.	Avq.
RANGE	ICE "	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
LOW	47 Š	COONT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN			PILIN	MAX	55% Median C.I.	5410 11100	nobu vai
LOW		4	121.63	132.43	117.00	40.7	3 113.19	47.23	239.25	N/A	2,997	3,507
5000 TC		2	127.36	127.36	116.67	39.3		77.19	177.53	N/A	6,990	8,155
Tota		2	127.50	127.50	110.07	55.5	100.17	//.19	177.55	N/ A	0,550	0,155
1000 1 T		6	121.63	130.74	116.82	40.9	1 111.92	47.23	239.25	47.23 to 239.25	4,328	5,056
10000 T		3	125.18	166.24	154.91	61.3		71.55	301.99	N/A	23,116	35,809
30000 I		11	77.52	85.19	83.68	26.8		36.68	134.49	62.32 to 123.81	46,809	39,170
50000 I 60000 I		12	84.58	156.39	163.56	107.0		56.68	950.26	60.19 to 110.52	81,455	133,229
100000 I		15	84.20	79.69	79.24	15.7		48.32	109.05	67.18 to 91.96	112,066	88,799
150000 T		22	69.94	71.80	70.88	17.7		38.22	92.84	63.36 to 84.62	182,054	129,040
250000 I		18	67.13	65.38	65.04	15.5		23.67	99.68	56.32 to 70.46	329,869	214,543
500000 +		6	62.25	60.06	59.34	18.2		37.30	82.41	37.30 to 82.41	596,683	354,097
ALL		0	02.25	00.00	55.51	10.2		37.30	02.11	57.50 00 02.11	550,005	551,057
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523
ASSESSED	O VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	v \$											
1 1	го 4999	4	121.63	132.43	117.00	40.7	3 113.19	47.23	239.25	N/A	2,997	3,507
5000 TC	9999	2	127.36	127.36	116.67	39.3	109.17	77.19	177.53	N/A	6,990	8,155
Tota	al \$											
1 1	го 9999	б	121.63	130.74	116.82	40.9	1 111.92	47.23	239.25	47.23 to 239.25	4,328	5,056
10000 T	го 29999	5	71.55	75.20	68.22	25.4	110.24	36.68	125.18	N/A	35,230	24,034
30000 T	го 59999	11	73.80	80.68	74.87	25.8	107.75	56.68	134.49	56.68 to 123.81	59,364	44,448
60000 I	го 99999	24	82.51	83.58	67.48	31.7	123.86	23.67	301.99	63.01 to 89.07	114,481	77,251
100000 T	го 149999	17	82.05	83.69	79.86	19.2	104.80	60.75	150.48	63.47 to 92.84	153,558	122,628
150000 I	го 249999	20	67.84	67.30	63.77	14.7	4 105.55	37.30	92.24	57.79 to 70.00	302,967	193,194
250000 1	го 499999	9	68.88	70.69	68.35	14.3	103.43	47.29	99.68	61.59 to 82.41	492,122	336,375
500000 +	÷	1	950.26	950.26	950.26			950.26	950.26	N/A	90,000	855,230
ALL_												
		93	72.40	90.42	73.40	42.4	5 123.19	23.67	950.26	68.86 to 81.95	180,554	132,523

**Agricultural Correlation** 

## **Agricultural Land**

## I. Correlation

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: Analysis of the following tables demonstrates that the statistics support a level of value within the acceptable range. The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are outside the acceptable range. These statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity. The mean and the weighted mean are in the range while the mean is substantially above the range. The trended analysis shows that the county is treating the sold properties similarly to the assessed base. The level of value is best represented by the median level of value.

## II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	<b>Total Sales</b>	<b>Qualified Sales</b>	Percent Used
2008	144	93	64.58
2007	139	97	69.78
2006	127	93	73.23
2005	105	67	63.81
2004	108	66	61.11
2003	120	80	66.67
2002	142	99	69.72
2001	229	144	62.88

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: A brief review of the utilization grid prepared indicates that the county has utilized a high proportion of the available sales for the development of the qualified statistics. This indicates that the measurement of the class of property was done using all available sales.

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

## Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is  $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$ . This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	<b>R&amp;O</b> Median
2008	56.79	25.66	71.36	72.40
2007	66.78	8.1	72.19	71.92
2006	67.97	8.92	74.03	75.39
2005	75.91	2.05	77.47	75.49
2004	69.59	8.08	75.21	75.23
2003	75	0	75	75
2002	69	11.84	77.17	75
2001	74	0.04	74.03	74

## III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: After review of the trended preliminary ratio and the R&O median, it is apparent that the two statistics are similar and support a level of value with the acceptable range.

# IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

## Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage
Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sal	es	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
25.4	2008	25.66
9.21	2007	8.1
9.77	2006	8.92
-2.76	2005	2.05
8.51	2004	8.08
0	2003	0
13.72	2002	11.84
-2.8	2001	0.04

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The percent change in sales base value and the percent change in assessed base value is consistent with the reported assessment actions.

## V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

## V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
<b>R&amp;O</b> Statistics	72.40	73.40	90.42

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The median and the weighted mean are within the acceptable range. The mean is above the acceptable range.

## VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less.

For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	42.45	123.19
Difference	22.45	20.19

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: Both the coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential are well outside of the acceptable range. These statistics do not support assessment uniformity or assessment vertical uniformity.

## VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	<b>Preliminary Statistics</b>	<b>R&amp;O Statistics</b>	Change
Number of Sales	95	93	-2
Median	56.79	72.40	15.61
Wgt. Mean	55.21	73.40	18.19
Mean	64.62	90.42	25.8
COD	30.64	42.45	11.81
PRD	117.05	123.19	6.14
Min Sales Ratio	19.42	23.67	4.25
<b>Max Sales Ratio</b>	225.80	950.26	724.46

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED: The change between the preliminary statistics and the Reports and Opinion statistics is consistent with the assessment actions reported by the County for this class of property.

	Urb	oan	SubU	rban	Rural		Y Total Y		Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
1. Res UnImp Land	747	1,525,959	12	58,257	26	127,285	785	1,711,501	
2. Res Improv Land	3,071	10,985,227	62	688,874	261	2,777,221	3,394	14,451,322	
3. Res Improvements	3,099	96,105,129	63	3,494,681	273	15,419,243	3,435	115,019,053	
4. Res Total	3,846	108,616,315	75	4,241,812	299	18,323,749	4,220	131,181,876	1,208,65
% of Total	91.13	82.79	1.77	3.23	7.08	13.96	47.67	21.78	49.6
5. Rec UnImp Land	9	32,120	4	71,327	5	125,430	18	228,877	
6. Rec Improv Land	9	47,683	0	0	5	207,469	14	255,152	
7. Rec Improvements	9	17,720	0	0	6	167,195	15	184,915	
8. Rec Total	18	97,523	4	71,327	11	500,094	33	668,944	
% of Total	54.54	14.57	12.12	10.66	33.33	74.75	0.37	0.11	0.0
Res+Rec Total	3,864	108,713,838	79	4,313,139	310	18,823,843	4,253	131,850,820	1,208,65
% of Total	90.85	82.45	1.85	3.27		14.27	48.05	21.89	49.6

 
 Total Real Property Value (Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30)
 Records
 8,851
 Value
 602,214,914
 Total Growth (Sum 17, 25, & 41)
 2,436,241

Schedule I:Non-	Agricultura	l Records (0	Com and Ind)						
ſ	<b>Urb</b> Records	<b>an</b> Value	SubUrban Records Value		<b>Rural</b> Records Value		<b>Total</b> Records Value		Growth
9. Comm UnImp Land	99	544,375	18	90,779	8	52,710	125	687,864	
10. Comm Improv Land	369	2,773,732	18	217,278	19	174,518	406	3,165,528	
11. Comm Improvements	383	16,055,205	19	1,384,924	23	1,103,800	425	18,543,929	
12. Comm Total	482	19,373,312	37	1,692,981	31	1,331,028	550	22,397,321	153,257
% of Total	87.63	86.49	6.72	7.55	5.63	5.94	6.21	3.71	6.29
13. Ind UnImp Land	0	0	5	19,870	0	0	5	19,870	
14. Ind Improv Land	4	44,066	3	127,460	0	0	7	171,526	
15. Ind Improvements	6	1,146,964	3	1,245,621	0	0	9	2,392,585	
16. Ind Total	6	1,191,030	8	1,392,951	0	0	14	2,583,981	72,900
% of Total	42.85	46.09	57.14	53.90	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.42	2.99
Comm+Ind Total	488	20,564,342	45	3,085,932	31	1,331,028	564	24,981,302	226,157
% of Total	86.52	82.31	7.97	12.35	5.49	5.32	6.37	4.14	9.28
17. Taxable Total	4,352	129,278,180	124	7,399,071	341	20,154,871	4,817	156,832,122	1,434,807
% of Total	90.34	82.43	2.57	2.75	7.07	12.00	54.42	26.04	58.89

Total Real Property Value Total Growth Records 8,851 Value 602,214,914 2,436,241 (Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30) (Sum 17, 25, & 41)

Schedule II:Tax Increment Financing (TIF)		Urban			SubUrban				
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess			
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19. Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0			

		Rural			Total	
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				0	0	0

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records	Urban		SubUrb	an	Rural	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	0	0	0	0	19	884,526
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	0	0	5	0	74	960,820

	Total		Growth
	Records	Value	
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	19	884,526	0
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	79	960,820	0
25. Mineral Interest Total	98	1,845,346	0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agr	icultural				
	Urban SubUrban		Rural	Total	
	Records	Records	Records	Records	
26. Exempt	364	75	298	737	

Schedule V: Agricultural Re	ecords Urban		SubUrba	n	Ru	ral	То	tal
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
27. Ag-Vacant Land	0	0	332	24,887,977	2,307	224,670,113	2,639	249,558,090
28. Ag-Improved Land	0	0	144	14,121,354	1,131	152,852,474	1,275	166,973,828
29. Ag-Improvements	5	30,608	144	2,721,421	1,148	24,253,500	1,297	27,005,529
30. Ag-Total Taxable							3,936	443,537,447

#### **County 74 - Richardson** 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Schedule VI: Agricultural Records: Urban SubUrban Records Acres Value Records Value **Non-Agricultural Detail** Acres 0 31. HomeSite UnImp Land 0 0.000 0 0 0.000 32. HomeSite Improv Land 0 0.000 0 80 90.590 186.170 **33. HomeSite Improvements** 0 0 79 1,864,636 34. HomeSite Total 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 0 0 23,309 0.000 11 22.810 36. FarmSite Impr Land 0 0 0.000 118 283.100 169,860 5 37. FarmSite Improv 134 30,608 856,785 38. FarmSite Total 39. Road & Ditches 0.000 512.270 40. Other-Non Ag Use 0 0.000 0.000 0 Rural Total Growth Value Value Value Records Acres Records Acres 31. HomeSite UnImp Land 12 13.000 26.000 12 13.000 26,000 32. HomeSite Improv Land 730 737.610 1,510,650 810 828.200 1,696,820 33. HomeSite Improvements 1,001,434 726 15,758,556 805 17,623,192 34. HomeSite Total 817 841.200 19,346,012 35. FarmSite UnImp Land 87 173.440 109,470 98 132,779 196.250 36. FarmSite Impr Land 945 2,207.190 1,438,549 1,063 2,490.290 1,608,409 0 37. FarmSite Improv 1,077 8,494,944 1,216 9,382,337 38. FarmSite Total 1,314 2,686.540 11,123,525 39. Road & Ditches 5,345.280 5,857.550 40. Other-Non Ag Use 0 0 0.000 0.000 41. Total Section VI 2,131 1,001,434 9.385.290 30,469,537 Schedule VII: Agricultural Records: Urban SubUrban Ag Land Detail-Game & Parks Records Acres Value Records Value Acres 0 0 42. Game & Parks 0 0.000 0 0.000 Rural Total Records Value Records Value Acres Acres 42. Game & Parks 14 691.480 278,337 14 691.480 278,337 Schedule VIII: Agricultural Records: Urban SubUrban Value Records Value Records Acres Acres Special Value 43. Special Value 0 0.000 0 0 0.000 0 44. Recapture Val 0 0 Rural Total Value Records Acres Records Acres Value 0 43. Special Value 0 0.000 0 0 0.000 44. Recapture Val 0 0

Irrigated:	Urban Acres	) (= h	SubUrba					
		Malina	• • • • • • • • •	n	Rural		Total	
		Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
45. 1A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	61.030	115,342	61.030	115,342
46. 1A	0.000	0	0.000	0	207.020	417,150	207.020	417,150
47. 2A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.500	918	0.500	918
48. 2A	0.000	0	0.000	0	40.000	68,400	40.000	68,400
49. 3A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	468.610	412,726	468.610	412,726
50. 3A	0.000	0	0.000	0	84.620	86,312	84.620	86,312
51. 4A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	234.000	183,694	234.000	183,694
52. 4A	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
53. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,095.780	1,284,542	1,095.780	1,284,542
Dryland:								
54. 1D1	0.000	0	1,123.930	2,133,410	4,209.770	8,050,073	5,333.700	10,183,483
55. 1D	0.000	0	937.200	1,681,681	8,584.330	13,325,908	9,521.530	15,007,589
56. 2D1	0.000	0	201.540	480,829	1,242.920	2,983,286	1,444.460	3,464,115
57. 2D	0.000	0	467.140	757,056	3,839.060	6,049,230	4,306.200	6,806,286
58. 3D1	0.000	0	1,797.470	1,970,766	21,850.280	24,380,533	23,647.750	26,351,299
59. 3D	0.000	0	1,418.980	2,088,757	4,815.600	6,877,256	6,234.580	8,966,013
60. 4D1	0.000	0	1,555.170	1,889,337	9,606.460	9,704,515	11,161.630	11,593,852
61. 4D	0.000	0	56.800	33,998	231.770	141,459	288.570	175,457
62. Total	0.000	0	7,558.230	11,035,834	54,380.190	71,512,260	61,938.420	82,548,094
Grass:								
63. 1G1	0.000	0	243.190	220,009	1,508.890	1,334,560	1,752.080	1,554,569
64. 1G	0.000	0	258.300	266,292	1,485.930	1,527,694	1,744.230	1,793,986
65. 2G1	0.000	0	15.500	11,780	359.770	204,539	375.270	216,319
66. 2G	0.000	0	24.830	16,398	821.680	596,307	846.510	612,705
67. 3G1	0.000	0	753.770	598,111	5,014.900	3,993,237	5,768.670	4,591,348
68. 3G	0.000	0	253.410	189,381	818.410	626,919	1,071.820	816,300
69. 4G1	0.000	0	675.930	426,116	4,960.280	3,014,445	5,636.210	3,440,561
70. 4G	0.000	0	555.920	316,500	2,597.840	1,457,569	3,153.760	1,774,069
71. Total	0.000	0	2,780.850	2,044,587	17,567.700	12,755,270	20,348.550	14,799,857
72. Waste	0.000	0	485.400	19,416	1,947.830	76,870	2,433.230	96,286
73. Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
74. Exempt	0.000		0.000		508.830		508.830	
75. Total	0.000	0	10,824.480	13,099,837	74,991.500	85,628,942	85,815.980	98,728,779

Schedule IX: A	gricultural Records:	AgLand Market	Area Detail		Market Area	a: 44		
	Urban		SubUrba	in	Rural		Total	
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
45. 1A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
46. 1A	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
47. 2A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
48. 2A	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
49. 3A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
50. 3A	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
51. 4A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
52. 4A	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
53. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
Dryland:								
54. 1D1	0.000	0	1,354.540	2,361,553	7,442.570	13,127,995	8,797.110	15,489,548
55. 1D	0.000	0	1,286.700	2,544,164	7,356.180	11,017,638	8,642.880	13,561,802
56. 2D1	0.000	0	1,085.210	2,369,033	7,106.360	14,911,364	8,191.570	17,280,397
57. 2D	0.000	0	1,360.750	2,095,948	6,344.670	9,550,804	7,705.420	11,646,752
58. 3D1	0.000	0	2,180.310	2,154,906	29,266.350	29,088,097	31,446.660	31,243,003
59. 3D	0.000	0	1,857.040	2,538,220	9,587.710	11,947,999	11,444.750	14,486,219
60. 4D1	0.000	0	768.190	728,881	6,282.780	5,047,078	7,050.970	5,775,959
61. 4D	0.000	0	86.270	49,016	1,168.760	632,294	1,255.030	681,310
62. Total	0.000	0	9,979.010	14,841,721	74,555.380	95,323,269	84,534.390	110,164,990
Grass:								
63. 1G1	0.000	0	136.950	123,535	1,419.170	1,126,783	1,556.120	1,250,318
64. 1G	0.000	0	402.290	392,554	2,127.370	1,951,038	2,529.660	2,343,592
65. 2G1	0.000	0	129.170	71,277	1,834.120	815,649	1,963.290	886,926
66. 2G	0.000	0	193.290	134,534	2,027.200	1,355,869	2,220.490	1,490,403
67. 3G1	0.000	0	691.840	518,593	9,064.370	6,618,419	9,756.210	7,137,012
68. 3G	0.000	0	438.170	315,920	1,854.430	1,299,437	2,292.600	1,615,357
69. 4G1	0.000	0	627.970	371,015	4,119.260	2,406,250	4,747.230	2,777,265
70. 4G	0.000	0	1,068.260	547,343	12,621.530	6,309,044	13,689.790	6,856,387
71. Total	0.000	0	3,687.940	2,474,771	35,067.450	21,882,489	38,755.390	24,357,260
72. Waste	0.000	0	587.770	23,430	4,113.090	161,038	4,700.860	184,468
73. Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
74. Exempt	0.000		43.620		270.460		314.080	
75. Total	0.000	0	14,254.720	17,339,922	113,735.920	117,366,796	127,990.640	134,706,718

Irrigated:         Acree           45. 1A1         0.           46. 1A         0.           47. 2A1         0.           48. 2A         0.           49. 3A1         0.           50. 3A         0.           51. 4A1         0.           52. 4A         0.           53. Total         0.           55. 1D         0.           56. 2D1         0.           57. 2D         0.           58. 3D1         0.           60. 4D1         0.		SubUi Acres	rban	Rur	al	-	
45. 1A1       0.         46. 1A       0.         47. 2A1       0.         48. 2A       0.         49. 3A1       0.         50. 3A       0.         51. 4A1       0.         52. 4A       0.         53. Total       0.         55. 1D       0.         56. 2D1       0.         57. 2D       0.         58. 3D1       0.         60. 4D1       0.		Acros		nui nui	ai	Tota	al
46. 1A       0.1         47. 2A1       0.1         48. 2A       0.1         49. 3A1       0.1         50. 3A       0.1         51. 4A1       0.1         52. 4A       0.1         53. Total       0.1         54. 1D1       0.1         55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 0	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
47. 2A1       0.         48. 2A       0.         49. 3A1       0.         50. 3A       0.         51. 4A1       0.         52. 4A       0.         53. Total       0.         Dryland:       0.         55. 1D       0.         56. 2D1       0.         58. 3D1       0.         60. 4D1       0.	000 000	0.000	0	72.500	186,325	72.500	186,325
48. 2A       0.1         49. 3A1       0.1         50. 3A       0.1         51. 4A1       0.1         52. 4A       0.1         53. Total       0.1         53. Total       0.1         54. 1D1       0.1         55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 0	0.000	0	29.500	74,488	29.500	74,488
49. 3A1       0.         50. 3A       0.         51. 4A1       0.         52. 4A       0.         53. Total       0.         Dryland:       0.         54. 1D1       0.         55. 1D       0.         56. 2D1       0.         57. 2D       0.         58. 3D1       0.         60. 4D1       0.         61. 4D       0.	000 000	0.000	0	152.500	352,275	152.500	352,275
50. 3A       0.1         51. 4A1       0.1         52. 4A       0.1         53. Total       0.1         53. Total       0.1         54. 1D1       0.1         55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1	000 0	0.000	0	71.000	151,940	71.000	151,940
51. 4A1       0.         52. 4A       0.         53. Total       0.         Dryland:       0.         54. 1D1       0.         55. 1D       0.         56. 2D1       0.         57. 2D       0.         58. 3D1       0.         60. 4D1       0.         61. 4D       0.	000 000	0.000	0	79.500	129,585	79.500	129,585
52. 4A       0.1         53. Total       0.1         Dryland:       0.1         54. 1D1       0.1         55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
53. Total       0.1         Dryland:       0.1         54. 1D1       0.1         55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 000	0.000	0	45.500	44,363	45.500	44,363
Dryland:           54. 1D1         0.           55. 1D         0.           56. 2D1         0.           57. 2D         0.           58. 3D1         0.           59. 3D         0.           60. 4D1         0.           61. 4D         0.	000 0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
54. 1D1       0.         55. 1D       0.         56. 2D1       0.         57. 2D       0.         58. 3D1       0.         59. 3D       0.         60. 4D1       0.         61. 4D       0.	000 000	0.000	0	450.500	938,976	450.500	938,976
55. 1D       0.1         56. 2D1       0.1         57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1							
56. 2D1         0.           57. 2D         0.           58. 3D1         0.           59. 3D         0.           60. 4D1         0.           61. 4D         0.	000 0	82.000	188,192	3,116.120	6,704,432	3,198.120	6,892,624
57. 2D       0.1         58. 3D1       0.1         59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 0	979.390	2,141,746	17,932.810	39,810,177	18,912.200	41,951,923
58. 3D1       0.         59. 3D       0.         60. 4D1       0.         61. 4D       0.	000 0	181.680	430,382	9,880.270	18,840,023	10,061.950	19,270,405
59. 3D       0.1         60. 4D1       0.1         61. 4D       0.1	000 0	85.500	166,299	3,326.780	6,392,198	3,412.280	6,558,497
60. 4D1         0.           61. 4D         0.	000 0	675.280	1,430,866	13,517.850	24,873,408	14,193.130	26,304,274
<b>61.4D</b> 0.	000 0	844.520	1,692,481	19,973.930	38,847,638	20,818.450	40,540,119
	000 000	1,054.970	1,586,557	13,084.160	20,278,856	14,139.130	21,865,413
62. Total 0.	000 0	52.750	60,365	2,144.150	2,472,174	2,196.900	2,532,539
0.	000 000	3,956.090	7,696,888	82,976.070	158,218,906	86,932.160	165,915,794
Grass:							
<b>63. 1G1</b> 0.	000 000	8.500	4,020	822.850	888,262	831.350	892,282
<b>64.1G</b> 0.	000 0	136.520	123,390	2,234.950	2,513,282	2,371.470	2,636,672
<b>65. 2G1</b> 0.	000 000	5.500	2,258	884.740	566,308	890.240	568,566
<b>66.2G</b> 0.	000 0	3.000	2,490	171.800	138,216	174.800	140,706
<b>67. 3G1</b> 0.	000 0	103.300	90,439	1,850.520	1,496,637	1,953.820	1,587,076
<b>68.3G</b> 0.1	0 000	94.500	74,123	1,813.890	1,473,655	1,908.390	1,547,778
<b>69. 4G1</b> 0.	000 000	214.780	142,750	3,540.290	2,379,909	3,755.070	2,522,659
<b>70.4G</b> 0.1	0 000	99.000	42,131	5,261.350	2,436,074	5,360.350	2,478,205
<b>71. Total</b> 0.	000 0	665.100	481,601	16,580.390	11,892,343	17,245.490	12,373,944
72. Waste 0.	000000	293.590	11,744	9,310.900	386,435	9,604.490	398,179
	000 0		0	138.000	5,520	138.000	5,520
	000	0.000		1,264.230		1,264.230	
<b>75. Total</b> 0.	000 0	4,914.780	8,190,233	109,455.860	171,442,180	114,370.640	179,632,413

## 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule X: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Totals

	Urban		SubUrba	in	Rural		Total	
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76.Irrigated	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,546.280	2,223,518	1,546.280	2,223,518
77.Dry Land	0.000	0	21,493.330	33,574,443	211,911.640	325,054,435	233,404.970	358,628,878
78.Grass	0.000	0	7,133.890	5,000,959	69,215.540	46,530,102	76,349.430	51,531,061
79.Waste	0.000	0	1,366.760	54,590	15,371.820	624,343	16,738.580	678,933
80.Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	138.000	5,520	138.000	5,520
81.Exempt	0.000	0	43.620	0	2,043.520	0	2,087.140	0
82.Total	0.000	0	29,993.980	38,629,992	298,183.280	374,437,918	328,177.260	413,067,910

# County 74 - Richardson

Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Market Area: 4 Average Assessed Value*
1A1	61.030	5.57%	115,342	8.98%	1,889.922
1A	207.020	18.89%	417,150	32.47%	2,015.022
2A1	0.500	0.05%	918	0.07%	1,836.000
2A	40.000	3.65%	68,400	5.32%	1,710.000
3A1	468.610	42.76%	412,726	32.13%	880.745
3A	84.620	7.72%	86,312	6.72%	1,019.995
4A1	234.000	21.35%	183,694	14.30%	785.017
4A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
rrigated Total	1,095.780	100.00%	1,284,542	100.00%	1,172.262
Dry:					
1D1	5,333.700	8.61%	10,183,483	12.34%	1,909.271
ID	9,521.530	15.37%	15,007,589	18.18%	1,576.174
2D1	1,444.460	2.33%	3,464,115	4.20%	2,398.207
2D	4,306.200	6.95%	6,806,286	8.25%	1,580.578
3D1	23,647.750	38.18%	26,351,299	31.92%	1,114.325
3D	6,234.580	10.07%	8,966,013	10.86%	1,438.110
1D1	11,161.630	18.02%	11,593,852	14.04%	1,038.723
1D	288.570	0.47%	175,457	0.21%	608.022
Dry Total	61,938.420	100.00%	82,548,094	100.00%	1,332.744
Grass:	01,000.120	100.0076	02,010,001	100.0070	1,002.1111
IG1	1,752.080	8.61%	1,554,569	10.50%	887.270
IG	1,744.230	8.57%	1,793,986	12.12%	1,028.526
2G1	375.270	1.84%	216,319	1.46%	576.435
2G	846.510	4.16%	612,705	4.14%	723.801
3G1	5,768.670	28.35%	4,591,348	31.02%	795.911
3G	1,071.820	5.27%	816,300	5.52%	761.601
iG1	5,636.210	27.70%	3,440,561	23.25%	610.438
1G	3,153.760	15.50%	1,774,069	11.99%	562.525
Grass Total	20,348.550	100.00%	14,799,857	100.00%	727.317
	20,340.330	100.00 /8	14,799,007	100.00 %	121.311
rrigated Total	1,095.780	1.28%	1,284,542	1.30%	1,172.262
Dry Total	61,938.420	72.18%	82,548,094	83.61%	1,332.744
Grass Total	20,348.550	23.71%	14,799,857	14.99%	727.317
Waste	2,433.230	2.84%	96,286	0.10%	39.571
Other	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Exempt	508.830	0.59%			
Market Area Total	85,815.980	100.00%	98,728,779	100.00%	1,150.470
	County as a Whol	<u> </u>			
As Related to the C Irrigated Total	-		4 004 540		
Dry Total	1,095.780	70.87%	1,284,542	57.77%	
	61,938.420	26.54%	82,548,094	23.02%	
Grass Total	20,348.550	26.65%	14,799,857	28.72%	
Waste	2,433.230	14.54%	96,286	14.18%	
Other	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Exempt	508.830	24.38%			
Market Area Total	85,815.980	26.15%	98,728,779	23.90%	

# County 74 - Richardson

					Market Area: 44
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
1A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
2A1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
2A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
3A1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
3A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
4A1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
4A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Irrigated Total	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Dry:					
1D1	8,797.110	10.41%	15,489,548	14.06%	1,760.754
1D	8,642.880	10.22%	13,561,802	12.31%	1,569.129
2D1	8,191.570	9.69%	17,280,397	15.69%	2,109.534
2D	7,705.420	9.12%	11,646,752	10.57%	1,511.501
3D1	31,446.660	37.20%	31,243,003	28.36%	993.523
3D	11,444.750	13.54%	14,486,219	13.15%	1,265.752
4D1	7,050.970	8.34%	5,775,959	5.24%	819.172
4D	1,255.030	1.48%	681,310	0.62%	542.863
Dry Total	84,534.390	100.00%	110,164,990	100.00%	1,303.197
Grass:					,
1G1	1,556.120	4.02%	1,250,318	5.13%	803.484
1G	2,529.660	6.53%	2,343,592	9.62%	926.445
2G1	1,963.290	5.07%	886,926	3.64%	451.754
2G	2,220.490	5.73%	1,490,403	6.12%	671.204
3G1	9,756.210	25.17%	7,137,012	29.30%	731.535
3G	2,292.600	5.92%	1,615,357	6.63%	704.596
4G1	4,747.230	12.25%	2,777,265	11.40%	585.028
4G	13,689.790	35.32%	6,856,387	28.15%	500.839
Grass Total	38,755.390	100.00%	24,357,260	100.00%	628.487
Irrigated Total	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Dry Total	84,534.390	66.05%	110,164,990	81.78%	1,303.197
Grass Total	38,755.390	30.28%	24,357,260	18.08%	628.487
Waste	4,700.860	3.67%	184,468	0.14%	39.241
Other	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Exempt	314.080	0.25%			
Market Area Total	127,990.640	100.00%	134,706,718	100.00%	1,052.473
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	e			
Irrigated Total	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Dry Total	84,534.390	36.22%	110,164,990	30.72%	
Grass Total	38,755.390	50.76%	24,357,260	47.27%	
Waste	4,700.860	28.08%	184,468	27.17%	
Other	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Exempt	314.080	15.05%			
Market Area Total	127,990.640	39.00%	134,706,718	32.61%	
	,		, , -		

Exhibit 74 - Page 86

# County 74 - Richardson

County 74 - Ric					Market Area: 50
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	72.500	16.09%	186,325	19.84%	2,570.000
1A	29.500	6.55%	74,488	7.93%	2,525.016
2A1	152.500	33.85%	352,275	37.52%	2,310.000
2A	71.000	15.76%	151,940	16.18%	2,140.000
3A1	79.500	17.65%	129,585	13.80%	1,630.000
3A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
4A1	45.500	10.10%	44,363	4.72%	975.010
4A	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Irrigated Total	450.500	100.00%	938,976	100.00%	2,084.297
Dry:					
1D1	3,198.120	3.68%	6,892,624	4.15%	2,155.211
1D	18,912.200	21.76%	41,951,923	25.29%	2,218.246
2D1	10,061.950	11.57%	19,270,405	11.61%	1,915.175
2D	3,412.280	3.93%	6,558,497	3.95%	1,922.027
3D1	14,193.130	16.33%	26,304,274	15.85%	1,853.310
3D	20,818.450	23.95%	40,540,119	24.43%	1,947.316
4D1	14,139.130	16.26%	21,865,413	13.18%	1,546.446
4D	2,196.900	2.53%	2,532,539	1.53%	1,152.778
Dry Total	86,932.160	100.00%	165,915,794	100.00%	1,908.566
Grass:					
1G1	831.350	4.82%	892,282	7.21%	1,073.292
1G	2,371.470	13.75%	2,636,672	21.31%	1,111.830
2G1	890.240	5.16%	568,566	4.59%	638.665
2G	174.800	1.01%	140,706	1.14%	804.954
3G1	1,953.820	11.33%	1,587,076	12.83%	812.293
3G	1,908.390	11.07%	1,547,778	12.51%	811.038
4G1	3,755.070	21.77%	2,522,659	20.39%	671.800
4G	5,360.350	31.08%	2,478,205	20.03%	462.321
Grass Total	17,245.490	100.00%	12,373,944	100.00%	717.517
rrigated Total	450.500	0.39%	938,976	0.52%	2,084.297
Dry Total	86,932.160	76.01%	165,915,794	92.36%	1,908.566
Grass Total	17,245.490	15.08%	12,373,944	6.89%	717.517
Waste	9,604.490	8.40%	398,179	0.22%	41.457
Other	138.000	0.12%	5,520	0.00%	40.000
Exempt	1,264.230	1.11%	0,020	0.0070	101000
Market Area Total	114,370.640	100.00%	179,632,413	100.00%	1,570.616
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	e			
Irrigated Total	450.500	29.13%	938,976	42.23%	
Dry Total	86,932.160	37.25%	165,915,794	46.26%	
Grass Total	17,245.490	22.59%	12,373,944	24.01%	
Waste	9,604.490	57.38%	398,179	58.65%	
Other	138.000	100.00%	5,520	100.00%	
Exempt	1,264.230	60.57%	5,520	100.0070	
Market Area Total	114,370.640	34.85%	179,632,413	43.49%	
Market Alea Tulai	114,370.040	34.03%	179,032,413	43.49%	

## County 74 - Richardson

		ban	-	ubUrban			Rura	
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acr	es	Value		Acres	Value
Irrigated	0.000		0.0	000	0		1,546.280	2,223,518
Dry	0.000		0 21,493.3	330 33	3,574,443	21	1,911.640	325,054,435
Grass	0.000		0 7,133.8	390 <u>5</u>	5,000,959	6	9,215.540	46,530,102
Waste	0.000		0 1,366.7	760	54,590	1	5,371.820	624,343
Other	0.000		0.0	000	0		138.000	5,520
Exempt	0.000		0 43.6	620	0		2,043.520	0
Total	0.000		0 29,993.9	980 38	3,629,992	29	8,183.280	374,437,918
AgLand	Total Acres	Value	Acres	% of Acres*		Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
AgLand Irrigated		<b>Value</b> 2,223,518	<b>Acres</b> 1,546.280	% of Acres*	2	<b>Value</b> ,223,518		Assessed Value*
<b>U</b>	Acres						Value*	Assessed Value* 1,437.978
Irrigated	Acres	2,223,518	1,546.280	0.47%	358	,223,518	Value* 0.54%	Assessed Value* 1,437.978 1,536.509
Irrigated Dry	Acres 1,546.280 233,404.970	2,223,518 358,628,878	1,546.280 233,404.970	0.47% 71.12%	358	,223,518 ,628,878	Value* 0.54% 86.82%	Assessed Value* 1,437.978 1,536.509 674.937
Irrigated Dry Grass	Acres 1,546.280 233,404.970 76,349.430	2,223,518 358,628,878 51,531,061	1,546.280 233,404.970 76,349.430	0.47% 71.12% 23.26%	358	,223,518 ,628,878 ,531,061	Value* 0.54% 86.82% 12.48%	Assessed Value* 1,437.978 1,536.509 674.937 40.560
Irrigated Dry Grass Waste	Acres           1,546.280           233,404.970           76,349.430           16,738.580	2,223,518 358,628,878 51,531,061 678,933	1,546.280 233,404.970 76,349.430 16,738.580	0.47% 71.12% 23.26% 5.10%	358	,223,518 ,628,878 ,531,061 678,933	Value* 0.54% 86.82% 12.48% 0.16%	Assessed Value* 1,437.978 1,536.509 674.937 40.560 40.000

\* Department of Property Assessment & Taxation Calculates

# 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

## 74 Richardson

	2007 CTL County Total	2008 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2007 Form 45 - 2006 CTL)	Percent Change	2008 Growth (New Construction Value)	% Change excl. Growth
1. Residential	128,994,356	131,181,876	2,187,520	1.7	1,208,650	0.76
2. Recreational	648,769	668,944	20,175	3.11	0	3.11
3. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwellings	18,529,104	19,346,012	816,908	4.41	*	· 4.41
4. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	148,172,229	151,196,832	3,024,603	2.04	1,208,650	1.23
5. Commercial	22,246,131	22,397,321	151,190	0.68	153,257	-0.01
6. Industrial	2,511,081	2,583,981	72,900	2.9	72,900	0
7. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	10,869,849	11,123,525	253,676	2.33	1,001,434	-6.88
8. Minerals	1,845,346	1,845,346	0	0	0	0
9. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	37,472,407	37,950,173	477,766	1.27	226,157	0.67
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	185,644,636	189,147,005	3,502,369	1.89	2,436,241	0.57
11. Irrigated	2,173,829	2,223,518	49,689	2.29		
12. Dryland	289,017,023	358,628,878	69,611,855	24.09		
13. Grassland	36,934,267	51,531,061	14,596,794	39.52		
14. Wasteland	577,285	678,933	101,648	17.61		
15. Other Agland	4,140	4,140	1,380	33.33		
16. Total Agricultural Land	328,706,544	413,067,910	84,361,366	25.66		
17. Total Value of All Real Property (Locally Assessed)	514,351,180	602,214,915	87,863,735	17.08	2,436,241	16.61

\*Growth is not typically identified separately within a parcel between ag-residential dwellings (line 3) and ag outbuildings (line 7), so for this display, all growth from ag-residential dwellings and ag outbuildings is shown in line 7.

#### RICHARDSON COUNTY

#### 3-YEAR PLAN

#### COUNTY DESCRIPTION

RICHARDSON COUNTY HAS APPROXIMATELY 9621 PARCELS. WHICH INCLUDES APPROXIMATELY 330,547 ACRES OF AGLAND. ACCORDING TO THE 2004 ABSTRACT RICHARDSON COUNTY HAS 4196 RESIDENTIAL PARCELS, 560 COMMERCIAL PARCELS, 11 INDUSTRIAL PARCELS AND 38 RECREATIONAL PARCELS. THE COUNTY WAS DIVIDED INTO 3 AGRICULTURAL MARKET AREAS FOR 2004.

#### **BUDGET, STAFFING & TRAINING**

BUDGET 2007-08 BUDGET = 157,734.04 APPRAISAL BUDGET = 28,700 PRITCHARD & ABBOTT COSTS FOR OIL & GAS PROPERTIES = 1,500

STAFF 1 ASSESSOR 1 DEPUTY 2 FULL-TIME CLERKS

# CONTRACT APPRAISER 10 DAYS/MONTH

#### TRAINING

THE ASSESSOR'S AND THE DEPUTY'S TRAINING EXPENSES ARE PAID FROM THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND. THEREFORE WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY PROBLEMS DOING WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE FOR CREDIT HOURS.

#### 2007 R&O STATISTICS

PROPERTY CLASS	MEDIAN	COD	PRD
RESIDENTIAL	97%	39.01	123.97
COMMERCIAL	99%	29.51	106.41
AGRICULTURAL UNIMP	67%	2	4.86 111.78

#### **3-YEAR APPRAISAL PLAN**

#### RESIDENTIAL

THERE WILL ONLY BE APPRAISAL MAINTENANCE FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN 2006. SINCE WE ARE CONDUCTING A COUNTY-WIDE COMMERCIAL REAPPRAISAL. APPRAISAL MAINTENANCE INCLUDES SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK. SALES REVIEW INCLUDES A PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF PROPERTY, QUESTIONAIRE SENT TO THE BUYERS & SELLERS, AND AN INTERVIEW WITH THE BUYER (IF AVAILABLE) AT THE TIME OF INSPECTION. PICK-UP WORK INCLUDES A PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS AND INFORMATION STATEMENTS.

#### COMMERCIAL

A COMPLETE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL REAPPRAISAL IS PLANNED FOR 2005. THIS REAPPRAISAL WILL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACT APPRAISER. ALL PROPERTIES WILL BE PHYSICALLY INSPECTED BY THE CONTRACT APPRAISER TO VERIFY THE CURRENT LISTING AND NEW DIGITAL PICTURES WILL BE TAKEN. THE PHYSICAL INSPECTION WILL ALSO INCLUDE INTERIOR INSPECTIONS WHENEVER POSSIBLE. ALL THREE APPROACHES TO VALUE WILL BE USED WHENEVER APPLICABLE TO THE PROPERTY. (INCLUDE ANY OTHER INFORMATION AS IT IS RELATED TO THE COMMERCIAL REAPPRAISAL) THE DATA COLLECTION PROCESS WILL ALSO INCLUDE GATHERING INCOME INFORMATION AND ANALYZING CURRENT SALES. WE WILL ALSO IMPLEMENT NEW REPLACEMENT COST WITH A CORRELATION REPORT INDICATING THE FINAL VALUE.

#### AGRICULTURAL

A MARKET ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL SALES BY LAND CLASSIFICIATION GROUP WILL BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE ANY POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES. SALES WILL ALSO BE PLOTTED ON A MAP TO DETERMINE IF THE CURRENT MARKET AREAS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE CURRENT SALES. HOMESITE VALUES MAY ALSO NEED TO BE ADJUSTED ACCORDING TO THE MARKET ANALYSIS. THE MARKET ANALYSIS IS CONDUCTED IN-HOUSE BY THE CONTRACT APPRAISER BY UTILIZING THE COUNTY'S CURRENT CAMA SYSTEM.

WE HAVE STARTED TO PHYSICALLY REVIEW ALL OUT BLDGS, AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL HOMES. AS TIME & MONEY PERMITS & IF NOT COMPLETED, THIS WILL BE CARRIED OVER TO THE NEXT YEAR.

2007

#### RESIDENTIAL

THE COUNTY HAS REVIEWED DAWSON, SALEM, RULO, BARADA, PRESTON, HUMBOLDT & RURAL RES. THIS WILL INCLUDE A PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN THESE TOWNS. THE PHYSICAL INSPECTION WILL INCLUDE VERIFYING ALL INFORMATION LOCATED ON THE PROPERTY RECORD CARD ALONG WITH TAKING NEW DIGITAL PICTURES. INTERIOR INSPECTIONS WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED WHENEVER POSSIBLE. THESE PROPERTIES WILL BE VALUED USING THE COST APPROACH USING MARKET DERIVED DEPRECIATION. IF TIME PERMITS, THIS SAME PROCESS WILL BE DONE FOR SHUBERT & STELLA. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES.

#### COMMERCIAL

THERE WILL ONLY BE APPRAISAL MAINTENANCE FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN 2007, SINCE ALL COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES WERE REAPPRAISED IN 2005. HOWEVER, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENTS MAY BE NEEDED IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES REQUIRED BY LAW. AN APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENT WOULD BE A PERCENTAGE INCREASE OR DECREASE APPLIED TO ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN A SUBCLASS OF THE COMMERICAL CLASS. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR THE COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

#### AGRICULTURAL

A MARKET ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL SALES BY LAND CLASSIFICATION GROUP WILL BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE ANY POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES. SALES WILL ALSO BE PLOTTED ON A MAP TO DETERMINE IF THE CURRENT MARKET AREAS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE CURRENT SALES. THE MARKET ANALYSIS IS CONDUCTED IN-HOUSE BY THE CONTRACT APPRAISER BY UTILIZING THE COUNTY'S CURRENT CAMA SYSTEM. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR AGRICULTURAL PROPERTIES. PHYSICALLY REVIEW ALL OUT BLDGS AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL HOMES. AS TIME PERMITS AND WILL CONTINUE EACH YEAR.

#### 2008

#### RESIDENTIAL

FOR 06-07-08, THE COUNTY PLANS TO REVIEW ALL FALLS CITY & RURAL RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES. THIS WILL INCLUDE A PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF ALL HOMES LOCATED IN THE FALLS CITY & RURAL AREAS. THIS WILL INCLUDE ACREAGES AND FARMS ALONG WITH ANY OUTBLDGS. THE PHYSICAL INSPECTION WILL INCLUDE VERIFYING ALL INFORMATION LOCATED ON THE PROPERTY RECORD CARD ALONG WITH TAKING NEW DIGITAL PICTURES. INTERIOR INSPECTIONS WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED WHENEVER POSSIBLE. THESE PROPERTIES WILL BE VALUED USING THE COST APPROACH USING MARKET DERIVED DEPRECIATION. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES.

#### COMMERICAL

THERE WILL ONLY BE APPRAISAL MAINTENANCE FOR THE RURAL & SMALL TOWN COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES IN 2008, SINCE ALL COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES WERE REAPPRAISED IN 2005. HOWEVER, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENTS MAY BE NEEDED IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES REQUIRED BY LAW. AN APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENT WOULD BE A PERCENTAGE INCREASE OR DECREASE APPLIED TO ALL PROPERTIES WITHIN A SUBCLASS OF THE COMMERICAL CLASS. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

#### AGRICULTURAL

AS STATED IN THE RESIDENTIAL PORTION OF THE 2007 APPRAISAL PLANS, ALL AGRICULTURAL HOMES WILL BE REAPPRAISED. WE WILL ALSO CONDUCT A LAND USE STUDY IN CONJUCTION WITH THE RURAL REAPPRAISAL. IN ADDITION TO THIS, WE WILL ALSO BE COMPLETING OUR ANNUAL SALES ANALYSIS BY LAND CLASSIFICATION GROUP OF ALL AGRICULTURAL LAND SALES TO DETERMINE ANY POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES. SALES WILL ALSO BE PLOTTED ON A MAP TO DETERMINE IF THE CURRENT MARKET AREAS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE CURRENT SALES. THE MARKET ANALYSIS IS CONDUCTED IN-HOUSE BY THE CONTRACT APPRAISER BY UTILIZING THE COUNTY'S CURRENT CAMA SYSTEM. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR AGRICULTURAL PROPERTIES.

#### 2009

RESIDENTIAL

FOR 2008-09, THE COUNTY PLANS TO REVIEW ALL RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN FALLS CITY, SHUBERT, STELLA & VERDON. THIS WILL INCLUDE A PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF ALL HOMES LOCATED IN THESE AREAS. THE PHYSICAL INSPECTION WILL INCLUDE VERIFYING

ALL INFORMATION LOCATED ON THE PROPERTY RECORD CARD ALONG WITH TAKING NEW DIGITAL PICTURES. INTERIOR INSPECTIONS WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED WHENEVER POSSIBLE. THESE PROPERTIES WILL BE VALUED USING THE COST APPROACH USING MARKET DERIVED DEPRECIATION. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES.

#### COMMERICAL

FALLS CITY COMMERCIALS WILL PHYSICALLY REVIEWED IN 2009. HOWEVER, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENTS MAY BE NEEDED IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES REQUIRED BY LAW. AN APPRAISAL ADJUSTMENT WOULD BE A PERCENTAGE INCREASE OR DECREASE APPLIED TO ALL PROPERITES WITHIN A SUBCLASS OF THE COMMERCIAL CLASS. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

#### AGRICULTURAL

FINISH RURAL IMPROVED & AGLAND USE STUDY. A MARKET ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL SALES BY LAND CLASSIFICATION GROUP WILL BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE ANY POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS TO COMPLY WITH STATISTICAL MEASURES. SALES WILL ALSO BE PLOTTED ON A MAP TO DETERMINE IF THE CURRENT MARKET AREAS ARE SUPPORTED BY THE CURRENT SALES. THE MARKET ANALYSIS IS CONDUCTED IN-HOUSE BY THE CONTRACT APPRIASER BY UTILIZING THE COUNTY'S CURRENT CAMA SYSTEM. SALES REVIEW AND PICK-UP WORK WILL ALSO BE COMPLETED FOR AGRICULTURAL PROPERTIES.

2010

RESIDENTIAL PHYSICALLY REVIEW RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES IN HUMBOLDT, RULO & SALEM, AND RECREATIONAL PROPERTIES THOUGHOUT THE COUNTY. INCLUDING REGULAR MAINTENANCE WORK.

#### COMMERICAL

PHYSICALLY REVIEW GRAIN ELEVATORS & MANUFACTURING THOUGHOUT THE COUNTY. ALONG WITH REGULAR MAINTENANCE.

AGRICULTURAL CONDUCT SALES STUDY ANALYSIS ALONG WITH REGULAR MAINTENANCE WORK. RICHARDSON COUNTY ASSESSOR

REGINA D CUMMINGS

DATE\_\_\_\_\_

# 2008 Assessment Survey for Richardson County

# I. General Information

# A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
	1
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	0
3.	Other full-time employees
	2
4.	Other part-time employees
	0
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	158,649.04
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	14,000. Which is entirely from the Assessor's budget.
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
	Same as requested budget.
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	28,700.00
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	None
11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	None
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
	None

13.	Total budget
	157,734.04
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used:
	No all was used.

# **B.** Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	Terra Scan
2.	CAMA software
	Terra Scan
3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	Yes
4.	Who maintaing the Codestual Mana?
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assessor and Staff
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	No
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	N/A
7.	Personal Property software:
	Terra Scan

# C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	No
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Falls City and Humboldt

4.	When was zoning implemented?
	The County is unsure about when the zoning was implemented.

# **D.** Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	Ron Elliot
	Prichard & Abbott
2.	Other services
	None

Certification

# Certification

This is to certify that the 2008 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

•Five copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.

•One copy to the Richardson County Assessor, by certified mail, return receipt requested, 7006 2760 0000 6387 5968.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Cyndy Thompson Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division

**Map Section** 

Valuation History Charts