Preface

The requirements for the assessment of real property for the purposes of property taxation are found in Nebraska law. The Constitution of Nebraska requires that "taxes shall be levied by valuation uniformly and proportionately upon all real property and franchises as defined by the Legislature except as otherwise provided in or permitted by this Constitution." Neb. Const. art. VIII, sec. 1 (1) (1998). The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-112 (R.R.S., 2003). The assessment level for all real property, except agricultural land and horticultural land, is one hundred percent of actual value. The assessment level for agricultural land and horticultural land, hereinafter referred to as agricultural land, is seventy-five percent of actual value. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-201(1) and (2)(R.S. Supp., 2007). More importantly, for purposes of equalization, similar properties must be assessed at the same proportion of actual value when compared to each other. Achieving the constitutional requirement of proportionality ultimately ensures the balance equity in the imposition of the property tax by local units of government on each parcel of real property.

The assessment process, implemented under the authority of the county assessor, seeks to value similarly classed properties at the same proportion to actual value. This is not a precise mathematical process, but instead depends on the judgment of the county assessor, based on his or her analysis of relevant factors that affect the actual value of real property. Nebraska law provides ranges of acceptable levels of value that must be met to achieve the uniform and proportionate valuation of classes and subclasses of real property in each county. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5023 (R.S. Supp., 2007) requires that all classes of real property, except agricultural land, be assessed within the range of ninety-two and one hundred percent of actual value; the class of agricultural land be assessed within the range of sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of actual value; the class of agricultural land receiving special valuation be assessed within the range sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of its special value; and, when the land is disqualified for special value the recapture value be assessed at actual value.

To ensure that the classes of real property are assessed at these required levels of actual value, the Department of Revenue Property Assessment Division, hereinafter referred to as the Division, is annually responsible for analyzing and measuring the assessment performance of each county. This responsibility includes requiring the Property Tax Administrator to prepare statistical and narrative reports for the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, hereinafter referred to as the Commission, and the county assessors. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R.S. Supp., 2005):

- (2) ... the Property Tax Administrator shall prepare and deliver to the commission and to each county assessor his or her annual reports and opinions.
- (3) The annual reports and opinions of the Property Tax Administrator shall contain statistical and narrative reports informing the commission of the level of value and the quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property within the county and a certification of the opinion of the Property Tax

Administrator regarding the level of value and quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property in the county.

(4) In addition to an opinion of level of value and quality of assessment in the county, the Property Tax Administrator may make nonbinding recommendations for consideration by the commission.

The narrative and statistical reports contained in the Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator, hereinafter referred to as the R&O, provide a thorough, concise analysis of the assessment process implemented by each county assessor to reach the levels of value and quality of assessment required by Nebraska law. The Property Tax Administrator's opinion of level of value and quality of assessment achieved by each county assessor is a conclusion based upon all the data provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division regarding the assessment activities during the preceding year. This is done in recognition of the fact that the measurement of assessment compliance, in terms of the concepts of actual value and uniformity and proportionality mandated by Nebraska law, requires both statistical and narrative analysis.

The Division is required by Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327 (R. S. Supp., 2007) to develop and maintain a state-wide sales file of all arm's length transactions. From this sales file the Division prepares an assessment sales ratio study in compliance with acceptable mass appraisal standards. The assessment sales ratio study is the primary mass appraisal performance evaluation tool. From the sales file, the Division prepares statistical analysis from a non-randomly selected set of observations, known as sales, from which inferences about the population, known as a class or subclass of real property, may be drawn. The statistical reports contained in the R&O are developed in compliance with standards developed by the International Association of Assessing Officers, hereinafter referred to as the IAAO.

However, just as the valuation of property is sometimes more art than science, a narrative analysis of assessment practices in each county is necessary to give proper context to the statistical inferences from the assessment sales ratio study. There may be instances when the analysis of assessment practices outweighs or limits the reliability of the statistical inferences of central tendency or quality measures. This may require an opinion of the level of value that is not identical to the result of the statistical calculation. The Property Tax Administrator's goal is to provide statistical and narrative analysis of the assessment level and practices to the Commission, providing the Commission with the most complete picture possible of the true level of value and quality of assessment in each county.

The Property Tax Administrator's opinions of level of value and quality of assessment are stated as a single numeric representation for level of value and a simple judgment regarding the quality of assessment practices. Based on the information collected in developing this report the Property Tax Administrator may feel further recommendations must be stated for a county to assist the Commission in determining the level of value and quality of assessment within a county. These opinions are made only after considering all narrative and statistical analysis provided by the county assessor and gathered by the Division. An evaluation of these opinions must only be made after considering all other information provided in the R&O.

Finally, after reviewing all of the information available to the Property Tax Administrator regarding the level and quality of assessment for classes and subclasses of real property in each county, the Property Tax Administrator, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027(4) (R.S. Supp., 2005), may make recommendations for adjustments to value for classes and subclasses of property. All of the factors relating to the Property Tax Administrator's determination of level of value and quality of assessment shall be taken into account in the making of such recommendations. Such recommendations are not binding on the Commission.

Table of Contents

Commission Summary

Property Tax Administrator's Opinions and Recommendations

Residential Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Residential Real Property, Qualified
Residential Assessment Actions
Residential Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Residential Real Property, Qualified

Residential Correlation Section

Residential Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Residential (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Commercial Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Commercial Real Property, Qualified
Commercial Assessment Actions
Commercial Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Commercial Real Property, Qualified

Commercial Correlation Section

Commercial Real Property

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Commercial (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Agricultural Reports Section

Preliminary Statistical Reports
Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified
Agricultural Assessment Actions
Agricultural Appraisal Information
R&O Statistical Reports
Agricultural Unimproved, Qualified

Agricultural Correlation Section

Agricultural Land

- I. Correlation
- II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used
- III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary, and R&O Median Ratios
- IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value
- V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Weighted Mean, and Mean Ratios
- VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD
- VII. Analysis of Changes in the Statistics Due to the Assessor Actions

Agricultural (What If) Recommendation Statistics if necessary

Special Valuation Section

County Reports Section

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
2008 County Agricultural Land Detail
2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Compared with the 2007
Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report
County Assessor's Three Year Plan of Assessment
Assessment Survey – General Information

Certification

Map Section

Valuation History Chart Section

2008 Commission Summary

33 Furnas

Res	siden	itial Ro	eal Pr	opert	y - (Current	
	_						

Number of Sales	179	COD	26.69
Total Sales Price	\$6,788,985	PRD	109.40
Total Adj. Sales Price	\$6,796,485	COV	40.00
Total Assessed Value	\$6,136,300	STD	39.50
Avg. Adj. Sales Price	\$37,969	Avg. Abs. Dev.	25.44
Avg. Assessed Value	\$34,281	Min	10.00
Median	95.31	Max	310.00
Wgt. Mean	90.29	95% Median C.I.	89.79 to 98.49
Mean	98.77	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.	86.39 to 94.18
		95% Mean C.I.	92.98 to 104.56
% of Value of the Class of all	Real Property Value in	the County	24.72
% of Records Sold in the Stu	dy Period		6.88
% of Value Sold in the Study	Period		7.3
Average Assessed Value of t	he Base		32,279

Residential Real Property - History										
Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD						
2008	179	95.31	26.69	109.40						
2007	192	97.42	23.47	108.27						
2006	170	97.57	21.89	107.69						
2005	197	99.50	16.88	106.31						
2004	228	99.12	18.07	104.87						
2003	251	98	19.41	103.53						
2002	274	97	34.44	116.36						
2001	227	96	37.02	122.47						

2008 Commission Summary

33 Furnas

C I D I	D	4			
Commercial Real	Property - Curr		COD		10.09
Number of Sales		23 Ф 7 20 7 52	COD		19.98
Total Sales Price		\$729,752	PRD		98.93
Total Adj. Sales Pr	rice	\$729,752	COV		28.41
Total Assessed Val	lue	\$646,100	STD		24.89
Avg. Adj. Sales Pr	ice	\$31,728	Avg. Abs. De	ev.	18.98
Avg. Assessed Val	ue	\$28,091	Min		37.58
Median		95.00	Max		134.37
Wgt. Mean		88.54	95% Median	C.I.	73.05 to 102.24
Mean		87.59	95% Wgt. M	lean C.I.	67.51 to 109.56
			95% Mean C	C.I.	76.83 to 98.36
% of Value of the 0	Class of all Real P	roperty Value in	n the County		10.46
% of Records Sold	in the Study Peri	od			4.93
% of Value Sold in	the Study Period				1.82
Average Assessed	•				76,114
					•
Commercial Real	Property - Histo	ory			
Year	Number of Sal	les N	Iedian	COD	PRD
2008	23		95.00	19.98	98.93
2007	18		96.47	10.86	110 38

Commercial Real Property - History										
Year	Number of Sales	Median	COD	PRD						
2008	23	95.00	19.98	98.93						
2007	18	96.47	10.86	110.38						
2006	16	93.75	22.17	105.40						
2005	34	99.55	22.96	95.04						
2004	40	97.63	23.39	97.16						
2003	51	98	23.9	100.7						
2002	44	98	30.46	106.96						
2001	43	96	32.48	104.45						

2008 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Furnas County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me about the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While I rely primarily on the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property, my opinion of level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained in the RO. Although my primary resource regarding quality of assessment are the performance standards issued by the IAAO, my opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Residential Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of residential real property in Furnas County is 95% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of residential real property in Furnas County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Commercial Real Property

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of commercial real property in Furnas County is 95% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of commercial real property in Furnas County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Ruth A. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 5 **PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics** 33 - FURNAS COUNTY State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL

			Jper Quimirea					
			Date Range:	: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007	Posted 1	Before: 01/18/2008		(!: AVTot=0)
NUMBER of Sales:	179	MEDIAN:	95	cov:	57.12	95% Median C.I.:	89.12 to 99.71	(!: Derived)
TOTAL Sales Price:	6,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	59.83	95% Wgt. Mean C.I.:	86.20 to 94.49	(=)
TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	6,796,485	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	33.14	95% Mean C.I.:	95.99 to 113.52	
TOTAL Assessed Value:	6,140,065							

6,140,065 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 37,969 COD: 34.92 MAX Sales Ratio: 495.00 AVG. Assessed Value: 34,302 PRD: 115.95 MIN Sales Ratio: 10.00 Printed: 02/09/2008 12:14:15 Avg. Adj. DATE OF SALE * Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE WGT. MEAN COD 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEDIAN MEAN PRD MIN MAX Ortrs_ 07/01/05 TO 09/30/05 28 99.50 102.05 86.44 24.71 118.06 27.17 198.17 84.48 to 107.60 31,982 27,644 10/01/05 TO 12/31/05 110.14 108.39 97.89 24.06 110.73 12.00 178.86 86.90 to 123.95 39,330 38,499 18 01/01/06 TO 03/31/06 16 90.96 89.28 89.73 30.63 99.50 10.00 147.27 76.51 to 117.00 35,018 31,420 04/01/06 TO 06/30/06 38 94.86 97.17 88.07 23.97 110.34 31.25 202.65 82.93 to 98.92 52,927 46,611 07/01/06 TO 09/30/06 19 99.71 117.70 99.44 41.03 118.37 42.05 310.00 78.52 to 122.89 22,770 22,642 10/01/06 TO 12/31/06 25 89.93 108.06 88.37 37.94 122.29 44.29 452.00 78.72 to 102.86 48,240 42,627 01/01/07 TO 03/31/07 9 87.28 157.82 88.96 96.34 177.41 46.98 495.00 76.44 to 325.67 29,777 26,489 04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 26 79.48 94.72 92.99 43.63 101.86 13.25 216.62 69.59 to 113.05 27,494 25,567 _Study Years_ 07/01/05 TO 06/30/06 100 97.42 99.29 89.61 26.13 110.81 10.00 202.65 92.80 to 103.35 41,750 37,410 07/01/06 TO 06/30/07 79 89.75 111.66 91.52 47.17 122.01 13.25 495.00 80.94 to 99.71 33,183 30,367 Calendar Yrs 01/01/06 TO 12/31/06 98 94.19 102.64 89.54 32.08 114.63 10.00 452.00 89.12 to 98.32 42,961 38,468 ALL 179 94.89 104.75 90.34 34.92 115.95 10.00 495.00 89.12 to 99.71 37,969 34,302 Avg. Adj. Avg. ASSESSOR LOCATION Sale Price Assd Val WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN 95% Median C.I. RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN MAX ARAPAHOE 42 99.91 117.84 96.00 36.79 122.75 43.38 452.00 87.43 to 109.50 36,489 35,028 BEAVER CITY 28 97.01 107.48 84.30 31.95 127.50 51.88 325.67 78.52 to 106.67 35,270 29,733 CAMBRIDGE 36 98.31 107.13 96.10 25.09 111.48 52.86 208.47 88.15 to 115.50 43,922 42,209 EDISON 9 82.82 74.79 69.50 24.05 107.60 10.00 103.35 48.15 to 98.92 15,033 10,448 HENDLEY 2 94.66 94.66 98.30 7.19 96.29 87.85 101.47 N/A 14,000 13,762 HOLBROOK 10 91.54 84.36 91.10 29.16 92.60 20.83 127.70 27.17 to 117.00 13,400 12,208 OXFORD 27 83.94 94.16 85.49 31.23 110.14 31.25 198.17 76.99 to 109.32 40,309 34,461 RURAL RES 18 95.51 93.68 87.95 32.35 106.52 12.00 202.65 68.40 to 107.45 69,138 60,805 7 WILSONVILLE 63.33 142.88 74.10 165.18 192.82 13.25 495.00 13.25 to 495.00 9,285 6,880 ALL 179 94.89 104.75 90.34 34.92 115.95 10.00 495.00 89.12 to 99.71 37,969 34,302 Avg. Adj. Avq. LOCATIONS: URBAN, SUBURBAN & RURAL Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. 1 162 94.65 106.59 91.28 35.75 116.77 10.00 495.00 89.12 to 99.82 34,394 31,395 3 17 95.31 87.27 86.07 27.69 101.39 12.00 169.28 63.55 to 107.45 72,029 61,998 ALL

115.95

10.00

495.00

89.12 to 99.71

37,969

34,302

34.92

179

94.89

104.75

90.34

Base Stat PAGE: 2 of 5 **PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics** 33 - FURNAS COUNTY State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008 (!: AVTot=0) NUMBER of Sales: 179 **MEDIAN:** 95 95% Median C.I.: 89.12 to 99.71 COV: 57.12 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 6,788,985 WGT. MEAN: 90 STD: 59.83 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 86.20 to 94.49 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 6,796,485 MEAN: 105 95% Mean C.I.: 95.99 to 113.52 AVG.ABS.DEV: 33.14 TOTAL Assessed Value: 6,140,065 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 37,969 COD: MAX Sales Ratio: 495.00 34.92 34,302 AVG. Assessed Value: PRD: 115.95 MIN Sales Ratio: 10.00 Printed: 02/09/2008 12:14:15 Avg. Adj. STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN MAX 1 166 95.96 107.50 90.64 33.21 118.60 27.17 495.00 89.93 to 100.52 40,712 36,901 2 13 71.50 69.65 37.87 58.29 183.93 10.00 180.00 13.25 to 120.00 2,942 1,114 ALL_ 179 94.89 104.75 90.34 34.92 115.95 10.00 495.00 89.12 to 99.71 37,969 34,302 Avg. Adj. Avg. PROPERTY TYPE * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. 01 174 94.19 104.42 90.28 35.33 115.66 10.00 495.00 88.59 to 99.17 38,801 35,029 06 07 5 103.35 116.38 99.76 25.31 116.67 78.18 198.17 N/A 9,000 8,978 ALL 179 94.89 104.75 90.34 34.92 115.95 10.00 495.00 89.12 to 99.71 37,969 34,302 Avg. Adj. Avg. SCHOOL DISTRICT * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. (blank) 33-0018 61 94.89 107.43 94.72 37.78 113.43 12.00 452.00 87.28 to 106.43 33,607 31,832 84.04 to 109.31 33-0021 39 97.20 104.40 90.84 25.50 114.93 52.86 208.47 51,120 46,435 33-0540 72 94.84 98.96 87.03 28.56 113.71 10.00 325.67 83.94 to 101.67 37,329 32,487 42-0002 73-0179 7 63.33 142.88 74.10 165.18 192.82 13.25 495.00 13.25 to 495.00 9,285 6,880 NonValid School ALL

34.92

115.95

10.00

495.00

89.12 to 99.71

37,969

34,302

179

94.89

104.75

90.34

33 - FUR	NAS CO	UNTY				PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:3 of 5
RESIDENT	IAL						Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
							Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(1 AT/T (0)
		NUMBER of	Sales	:	179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	57.12	95%	Median C.I.: 89.12	2 to 99.71	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
	T	OTAL Sales	Price	: 6	5,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	59.83			to 94.49	(:: Deriveu)
	TOTAL	Adj.Sales	Price	: 6	5,796,485	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	33.14	_		9 to 113.52	
	TOTA	L Assessed	Value		5,140,065			AVG.ADD.DEV.	33.11	, ,	·	J CO 115.52	
	AVG.	Adj. Sales	Price	:	37,969	COD:	34.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	495.00				
	AVG	. Assessed	Value	:	34,302	PRD:	115.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:15
YEAR BUI	ILT *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR	Blank		23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,577
Prior TO	1860												
1860 TO	1899		7	105.63	113.91	98.15	23.0	116.06	82.71	202.65	82.71 to 202.65	36,857	36,174
1900 TO	1919		38	88.49	98.89	82.89	32.2	119.31	48.15	274.03	77.03 to 99.17	35,994	29,835
1920 TO	1939		48	103.14	110.45	94.80	29.4	4 116.51	46.98	452.00	82.82 to 110.93	30,255	28,682
1940 TO	1949		13	109.50	122.45	99.78	30.0	122.72	66.61	208.47	78.33 to 178.86	36,192	36,114
1950 TO	1959		4	87.11	92.22	84.01	22.3	109.78	66.96	127.70	N/A	63,000	52,925
1960 TO	1969		13	99.74	145.12	98.86	58.5	146.79	69.17	495.00	89.93 to 136.20	45,838	45,318
1970 TO	1979		22	91.30	92.87	86.73	20.3	107.08	43.38	198.17	78.18 to 103.35	59,831	51,891
1980 TO	1989		7	107.45	105.07	87.30	18.6	120.36	63.55	142.63	63.55 to 142.63	76,357	66,658
1990 TO	1994		3	99.11	100.60	99.99	5.1	.0 100.62	93.77	108.93	N/A	129,300	129,285
1995 TO	1999		1	89.79	89.79	89.79			89.79	89.79	N/A	30,800	27,655
2000 TO	Presen	ıt											
ALL_		_											
			179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	2 115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302
SALE PRI	ICE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		C	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	w \$												
1 7	TO	4999	25	98.00	145.59	152.35	91.8	95.57	10.00	495.00	74.67 to 178.86	1,780	2,711
5000 TC	0	9999	20	113.28	112.62	111.69	30.9	100.83	27.17	216.62	87.85 to 127.70	6,970	7,785
Tota	al \$												
1 7	TO	9999	45	106.67	130.94	121.53	61.8	107.74	10.00	495.00	84.48 to 127.27	4,086	4,966
10000 7	TO	29999	58	102.41	107.25	104.62	27.9	3 102.51	12.00	208.47	95.70 to 109.50	18,223	19,065
30000	TO	59999	34	88.54	85.26	85.14	18.6	100.14	44.29	123.95	77.11 to 94.89	43,214	36,792
60000 1	го	99999	28	89.53	92.02	91.75	14.5	100.30	66.96	136.20	80.21 to 99.74	76,639	70,317
100000 7	TO 1	49999	10	84.92	86.93	87.44	11.4	99.41	66.61	108.93	76.80 to 96.22	121,290	106,061
150000 T	TO 2	249999	4	73.75	73.29	72.89	21.1	100.54	51.88	93.77	N/A	181,875	132,576
ALL_		_											
			179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	2 115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302

33 - FURNAS	COUNTY		[PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base St	tat		PAGE:4 of 5
RESIDENTIAL						Гуре: Qualifi	•				State Stat Run	
							nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(4. 4.777
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	57.12	95%	Median C.I.: 89.12	2 to 99.71	(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 6	,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	59.83			to 94.49	(!: Derived)
TOT	TAL Adj.Sal	les Price	: 6	,796,485	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	33.14	_		9 to 113.52	
TC	TAL Assess	sed Value	: 6	,140,065			AVG.ADD.DEV.	33.11	, ,	0.1.00.1.	J 00 115.52	
AVG	. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	37,969	COD:	34.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	495.00				
P	VG. Assess	sed Value	:	34,302	PRD:	115.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:15
ASSESSED VA	LUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	25	81.00	107.15	62.81	84.2	170.60	10.00	495.00	42.05 to 106.50	2,836	1,781
5000 TO	9999	20	108.87	116.41	100.29	32.9	116.07	43.38	274.03	87.85 to 127.70	7,300	7,321
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	45	93.33	111.27	88.04	60.2	126.38	10.00	495.00	74.67 to 115.50	4,820	4,243
10000 TO	29999	60	98.53	107.53	88.57	34.4	121.40	44.29	452.00	82.71 to 107.60	21,198	18,776
30000 TO	59999	38	93.38	103.75	93.89	23.9	110.50	66.96	208.47	87.28 to 104.00	44,061	41,371
60000 TO	99999	27	93.23	93.16	89.58	16.2	20 103.99	51.88	136.20	80.21 to 102.19	85,774	76,836
100000 TO	149999	7	95.70	96.69	95.30	8.4	101.45	82.93	113.78	82.93 to 113.78	128,557	122,516
150000 TO	249999	2	78.66	78.66	76.21	19.2	103.21	63.55	93.77	N/A	208,750	159,095
ALL	_											
		179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302
QUALITY											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,577
10		11	98.00	139.15	93.52	59.4	148.79	48.16	495.00	78.18 to 198.17	9,309	8,705
20		65	101.67	115.05	97.80	31.8	35 117.64	43.38	452.00	95.70 to 109.50	22,195	21,707
30		68	92.66	98.68	88.57	26.7	70 111.42	46.98	274.03	82.05 to 100.52	54,660	48,411
40		12	94.09	96.27	89.68	15.3	107.35	63.55	136.20	82.93 to 113.78	117,000	104,921
ALL	_											
		179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302
STYLE											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
100		8	96.67	167.11	96.70	92.1	172.82	69.04	495.00	69.04 to 495.00	7,287	7,046
101		115	99.17	109.01	93.96	29.2	116.01	43.38	452.00	93.87 to 106.26	38,809	36,466
102		6	79.96	80.32	78.01	9.3		63.55	93.77	63.55 to 93.77	120,583	94,061
103		2	103.00	103.00	97.51	20.3		82.05	123.95	N/A	74,500	72,647
104		25	93.23	92.54	86.32	19.6	107.20	52.86	170.68	77.03 to 101.98	50,884	43,925
106		23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,577
ALL	_											
		179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302

33 - FURNAS COUNTY			PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics						tat		PAGE:5 of 5
RESIDENTIAL			Type: Qualified						State Stat Run		
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(!: AVTot=0)
	NUMBER of Sale	3 :	179	MEDIAN:	95	cov:	57.12	95%	Median C.I.: 89.12	2 to 99.71	(!: Av 10i=0) (!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Pric	e:	6,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	59.83	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 86.20	0 to 94.49	(Bertreu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Pric	e:	6,796,485	MEAN:	105	AVG.ABS.DEV:	33.14	95	% Mean C.I.: 95.9	99 to 113.52	
	TOTAL Assessed Valu	e:	6,140,065								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Pric	e:	37,969	COD:	34.92	MAX Sales Ratio:	495.00				
	AVG. Assessed Valu	e:	34,302	PRD:	115.95	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 02/09/2	008 12:14:15
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,577
10	3	132.80	235.65	99.88	104.3	38 235.94	79.15	495.00	N/A	11,000	10,986
20	62	98.65	114.67	95.86	36.1	119.62	43.38	452.00	87.85 to 109.50	16,077	15,411
30	66	99.02	102.32	90.69	25.8	112.83	46.98	325.67	89.12 to 103.35	51,917	47,083
40	25	92.80	92.20	88.78	12.4	103.85	51.88	123.95	84.13 to 98.32	88,384	78,471
ALL	<u> </u>										
	179	94.89	104.75	90.34	34.9	115.95	10.00	495.00	89.12 to 99.71	37,969	34,302

Furnas County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Residential

From an analysis of the market the depreciation for the Village of Oxford was changed for assessment year 2008.

Those parcels not meeting the county policy regarding the assessment of agricultural land were valued as rural residential.

The first acre on the home site agricultural parcels was changed from 2500 to 5000 and the second acre on the farm site parcels was changed from 400 to 500.

The contracted appraiser reviewed the sold properties for correct information and updated data if needed, and completed all pickup work.

2008 Assessment Survey for Furnas County

Residential Appraisal Information(Includes Urban, Suburban and Rural Residential)

1.	Data collection done by:
	The contracted part-time appraiser.
2.	Valuation done by:
	The assessor.
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The contracted part-time appraiser.
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	June of 2005.
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2007
6.	What was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	A mass appraisal system is utilized, but commonly does not involve enough sales to review properties by a sales comparison approach.
7.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class:
	Nine, consisting of eight towns or villages and the rural area.
8.	How are these defined?
	They are defined by the political boundaries of each town or village, and the rural area is considered anything extending past these boundary lines.
9.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	Yes
10.	Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural residential? (that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market?)
	No

11.	What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.) The suburban location, as defined in regulation, does not have its own valuation grouping in the county.
12.	Are the county's ag residential and rural residential improvements classified and valued in the same manner?
	Yes

Residential Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total	
85		16	101	

Base Stat PAD 2008 R&O Statistics PAGE:1 of 5 33 - FURNAS COUNTY RESIDENTIAL

: Qualified		State Stat Run
D / D 0=101/3005 / 0//30/3005	D / ID 6 01/10/2000	

RESIDENTIAL				•	Type: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(1. ATT-4 0)
NUMBER	of Sales	:	179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	40.00	95%	Median C.I.: 89.79	9 to 98.49	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL Sa	les Price	: 6	,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	39.50		. Mean C.I.: 86.39		(:. Deriveu)
TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	: 6	,796,485	MEAN:	99	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.44			8 to 104.56	
TOTAL Asses	sed Value	: 6	,136,300								
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	37,969	COD:	26.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	310.00				
AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	34,281	PRD:	109.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 04/01/2	008 18:24:34
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	28	99.16	102.12	87.28	22.9	9 117.00	27.17	198.17	84.98 to 107.60	31,982	27,913
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	18	109.45	101.57	95.07	18.8	3 106.84	12.00	142.88	86.90 to 120.00	39,330	37,389
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	16	93.22	89.38	91.06	28.0	6 98.16	10.00	142.63	76.51 to 117.00	35,018	31,886
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	38	95.41	95.71	87.78	22.2	6 109.04	31.25	202.65	82.93 to 98.48	52,927	46,459
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	19	95.70	105.52	95.65	30.7	7 110.32	42.05	310.00	78.52 to 107.42	22,770	21,779
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	25	91.80	96.66	89.66	22.4	107.80	44.29	186.20	78.72 to 102.86	48,240	43,251
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	9	87.28	116.12	87.27	48.4	2 133.06	47.54	301.50	76.44 to 143.33	29,777	25,986
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	26	86.27	94.58	94.72	34.2	4 99.85	13.25	207.46	76.99 to 101.57	27,494	26,042
Study Years											
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	100	96.83	97.55	89.35	23.5	6 109.18	10.00	202.65	93.10 to 101.47	41,750	37,302
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	79	89.93	100.32	91.78	31.5	3 109.30	13.25	310.00	84.04 to 98.13	33,183	30,456
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	98	94.72	96.82	89.56	24.8	5 108.10	10.00	310.00	89.75 to 98.13	42,961	38,476
ALL											
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	9 109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ARAPAHOE	42	97.05	107.54	94.28	26.1		69.90	310.00	87.28 to 109.62	36,489	34,400
BEAVER CITY	28	97.01	100.99	84.06	25.2		51.88	207.46	78.52 to 106.67	35,270	29,648
CAMBRIDGE	36	98.31	104.38	95.32	21.6		57.30	180.00	88.15 to 115.50	43,922	41,866
EDISON	9	82.82	74.79	69.50	24.0		10.00	103.35	48.15 to 98.92	15,033	10,448
HENDLEY	2	94.66	94.66	98.30	7.1		87.85	101.47	N/A	14,000	13,762
HOLBROOK	10	91.54	85.15	93.16	28.3		20.83	127.70	27.17 to 117.00	13,400	12,483
OXFORD	27	93.63	93.91	89.12	21.3		31.25	198.17	77.44 to 104.40	40,309	35,922
RURAL RES	18	95.51	94.67	88.23	31.0	6 107.30	12.00	202.65	78.72 to 107.45	69,138	61,003
WILSONVILLE	7	63.33	89.15	61.19	80.0	8 145.68	13.25	301.50	13.25 to 301.50	9,285	5,682
ALL											
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	9 109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281
LOCATIONS: URBAN, S										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	162	95.29	99.87	91.15	26.7		10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	34,394	31,350
3	17	95.31	88.32	86.36	26.3	3 102.27	12.00	169.28	63.55 to 107.45	72,029	62,207
ALL		05.05		00.05	0.5		10.00	212 2	00 50 . 00	0.5.0.5.5	0.4.05-
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	9 109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281

Base Stat DACE . 2 of 5

33 - FUR	NAS COUNTY			PAD 2	2008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 5
RESIDENT	IAL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
						nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER of Sal	es:	179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	40.00	0.5%	Median C.I.: 89.79	2 += 00 40	(!: AVTot=0)
	TOTAL Sales Pri		5,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	39.50		Median C.I.: 89.79		(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Pri	ce: 6	5,796,485	MEAN:	99	_	25.44	_			
	TOTAL Assessed Val		5,136,300	1.21.21		AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.44	93	6 Mean C.1 92.9	8 to 104.56	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Pri		37,969	COD:	26.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	310.00				
	AVG. Assessed Val	ue:	34,281	PRD:	109.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:24:34
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROV	/ED & IOL	ь							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT		MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	166	95.96	101.05	90.58	24.5	111.56	27.17	310.00	90.83 to 99.52	40,712	36,878
2	13	71.50	69.65	37.87	58.2	183.93	10.00	180.00	13.25 to 120.00	2,942	1,114
ALL_		_									
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281
PROPERTY	TYPE *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
01	174	94.72	98.26	90.22	26.7	108.91	10.00	310.00	89.75 to 98.48	38,801	35,008
06											
07	Ę	103.35	116.38	99.76	25.3	116.67	78.18	198.17	N/A	9,000	8,978
ALL_		-									
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281
	DISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
33-0018	61		100.47	93.57	29.2		12.00	310.00	84.13 to 106.60	33,607	31,444
33-0021	39		102.38	90.47	21.7		57.30	180.00	86.90 to 109.31	51,120	46,247
33-0540	72	96.52	96.31	88.35	22.5	109.00	10.00	207.46	88.59 to 99.88	37,329	32,982
42-0002											
73-0179	7	63.33	89.15	61.19	80.0	145.68	13.25	301.50	13.25 to 301.50	9,285	5,682
NonValid											
ALL_											
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281

	NAS COUN	TY				PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base St	tat	~ ~ ~	PAGE:3 of
RESIDENTI	IAL			_			Гуре: Qualifi			<u>-</u>		State Stat Run	
							Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(!: AVTot=0
	NU	MBER of Sa	ales:		179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	40.00	95%	Median C.I.: 89.79	to 98.49	(!: Derived
	TOTA	L Sales Pi	rice:	6,	788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	39.50	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 86.39	to 94.18	(
	TOTAL Ad	j.Sales Pi	rice:	6,	796,485	MEAN:	99	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.44	95	% Mean C.I.: 92.9	8 to 104.56	
	TOTAL A	ssessed Va	alue:	6,	136,300								
	AVG. Adj	. Sales Pr	rice:		37,969	COD:	26.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	310.00				
	AVG. A	ssessed Va	alue:		34,281	PRD:	109.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:24:3
YEAR BUI	LT *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COU	NT I	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
0 OR :	Blank		23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	4 129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,57
Prior TO	1860												
1860 TO	1899		7	105.63	114.37	98.56	22.6	5 116.04	82.71	202.65	82.71 to 202.65	36,857	36,32
1900 TO	1919		38	87.57	92.75	83.65	23.7	4 110.88	48.15	207.46	77.03 to 98.48	35,994	30,10
1920 TO	1939		48	98.82	102.88	93.41	20.7	8 110.14	47.54	186.20	88.50 to 109.31	30,255	28,26
1940 TO	1949		13	109.50	109.98	95.04	19.6	5 115.73	66.61	155.52	78.33 to 132.80	36,192	34,39
1950 TO	1959		4	87.11	94.74	87.22	19.4	6 108.63	77.06	127.70	N/A	63,000	54,94
1960 TO	1969		13	99.74	116.31	98.15	29.6	118.50	69.17	301.50	89.93 to 136.20	45,838	44,99
1970 TO	1979		22	95.38	95.55	88.91	16.6	107.47	51.88	198.17	78.18 to 103.35	59,831	53,19
1980 TO	1989		7	107.45	103.70	86.30	17.3	6 120.16	63.55	142.63	63.55 to 142.63	76,357	65,89
1990 TO	1994		3	99.11	100.60	99.99	5.1	0 100.62	93.77	108.93	N/A	129,300	129,28
1995 TO	1999		1	89.79	89.79	89.79			89.79	89.79	N/A	30,800	27,65
2000 TO	Present												
ALL_													
		1	79	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	9 109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,28
SALE PRI	CE *											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COU	NT I	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low	\$												
1 T	0 49	999	25	93.33	110.36	107.70	59.1	2 102.47	10.00	310.00	74.67 to 122.86	1,780	1,91
5000 TO	999	99	20	108.87	108.47	108.23	29.4	5 100.22	27.17	207.46	87.85 to 127.70	6,970	7,54
Tota	1 \$												
1 T	o 99	999	45	104.10	109.52	108.10	43.7	3 101.31	10.00	310.00	84.48 to 122.86	4,086	4,41
10000 T	0 299	999	58	102.41	103.80	101.56	23.0	9 102.20	12.00	202.65	95.70 to 109.50	18,223	18,50
30000 T	O 599	999	34	90.01	87.33	86.91	16.5	1 100.48	44.29	119.50	77.44 to 98.32	43,214	37,55
60000 T	o 999	999	28	91.52	92.45	92.19	12.8	0 100.27	73.45	136.20	80.68 to 98.49	76,639	70,65
100000 T	o 1499	999	10	84.92	86.93	87.44	11.4	99.41	66.61	108.93	76.80 to 96.22	121,290	106,06
150000 T	0 2499	999	4	78.66	76.06	75.34	23.3	3 100.96	51.88	95.05	N/A	181,875	137,02

109.40

10.00 310.00 89.79 to 98.49

37,969

34,281

26.69

179

95.31

98.77

90.29

Base Stat PAGE:4 of 5 33 - FURNAS COUNTY PAD 2008 R&O Statistics State Stat Run RESIDENTIAL Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008 (!: AVTot=0) NUMBER of Sales: 179 **MEDIAN:** 95 95% Median C.I.: 89.79 to 98.49 COV: 40.00 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: 6,788,985 WGT. MEAN: 90 STD: 39.50 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 86.39 to 94.18 TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 6,796,485 MEAN: 99 95% Mean C.I.: 92.98 to 104.56 AVG.ABS.DEV: 25.44 TOTAL Assessed Value: 6,136,300 AVG. Adj. Sales Price: 37,969 COD: MAX Sales Ratio: 310.00 26.69 AVG. Assessed Value: 34,281 PRD: 109.40 MIN Sales Ratio: 10.00 Printed: 04/01/2008 18:24:34 Avg. Avg. Adj. ASSESSED VALUE * Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN PRD MIN MAX Low \$ 1 TO 4999 28 83.91 96.53 64.70 63.13 149.19 10.00 310.00 52.73 to 117.00 2,875 1,860 5000 TO 9999 18 100.87 104.59 95.93 23.36 109.02 57.30 198.17 80.60 to 125.13 7,716 7,402 _Total \$_ 1 TO 9999 46 91.96 99.68 84.47 45.82 118.00 10.00 310.00 78.17 to 111.06 4,769 4,028 10000 TO 29999 60 100.66 104.46 94.42 25.11 110.64 44.29 207.46 88.50 to 109.50 19,889 18,779 30000 TO 59999 36 92.30 94.66 90.13 16.81 105.02 54.94 202.65 82.87 to 98.48 46,400 41,822 60000 TO 99999 28 91.52 91.92 88.69 15.28 103.64 51.88 136.20 80.68 to 99.11 85,567 75,893 100000 TO 149999 6 95.96 98.81 97.76 7.81 101.08 82.93 113.78 82.93 to 113.78 123,316 120,552 150000 TO 249999 3 93.77 84.12 81.43 11.20 103.31 63.55 95.05 N/A 192,500 156,755 ALL 179 95.31 98.77 90.29 26.69 109.40 10.00 310.00 89.79 to 98.49 37,969 34,281 Avg. Adj. Avg. QUALITY Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. (blank) 23 71.50 81.56 63.05 67.94 129.35 10.00 310.00 31.25 to 93.33 5,673 3,577 78.18 to 198.17 10 11 98.00 121.56 91.63 41.50 132.66 48.16 301.50 9,309 8,529 20 65 101.47 105.59 97.07 20.64 108.77 57.30 207.46 95.70 to 107.42 22,195 21,545 30 68 91.82 94.84 88.49 20.36 107.17 47.54 202.65 82.87 to 98.48 54,660 48,370 40 12 94.72 96.23 90.49 13.37 106.34 63.55 136.20 82.93 to 112.40 117,000 105,873 ALL 179 95.31 98.77 90.29 26.69 109.40 10.00 310.00 89.79 to 98.49 37,969 34,281 STYLE Avg. Adj. Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. 100 8 96.67 120.95 89.80 42.71 134.69 69.17 301.50 69.17 to 301.50 7,287 6,544 101 115 98.13 102.89 93.61 21.85 109.91 47.54 207.46 93.63 to 104.10 38,809 36,331

9.37

11.84

15.41

67.94

26.69

102.97

103.20

106.00

129.35

109.40

63.55

88.61

63.65

10.00

10.00

93.77

112.40

142.88

310.00

310.00

63.55 to 93.77

N/A

78.65 to 99.88

31.25 to 93.33

89.79 to 98.49

120,583

74,500

50,884

5,673

37,969

94,061

72,557

44,563

34,281

3,577

102

103

104

106

ALL

6

2

25

23

179

79.96

95.31

71.50

95.31

100.51

80.32

92.83

81.56

98.77

100.51

78.01

97.39

87.58

63.05

90.29

33 - FURNAS COUNTY				PAD 2	008 B &	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:5 of 5
RESIDEN:	FIAL				Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Rai	nge: 07/01/2005 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(!: AVTot=0)
	NUMBER of Sales	:	179	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	40.00	95%	Median C.I.: 89.79	to 98.49	(!: Derived)
	TOTAL Sales Price	:	6,788,985	WGT. MEAN:	90	STD:	39.50			to 94.18	(Bertreu)
	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	6,796,485	MEAN:	99	AVG.ABS.DEV:	25.44	95	% Mean C.I.: 92.9	8 to 104.56	
	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	6,136,300								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	37,969	COD:	26.69	MAX Sales Ratio:	310.00				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	34,281	PRD:	109.40	MIN Sales Ratio:	10.00			Printed: 04/01/2	008 18:24:34
CONDITI	ON									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	I MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)	23	71.50	81.56	63.05	67.9	129.35	10.00	310.00	31.25 to 93.33	5,673	3,577
10	3	132.80	171.15	94.02	55.8	182.05	79.15	301.50	N/A	11,000	10,341
20	62	98.06	105.36	94.84	24.8	33 111.10	48.16	207.46	88.15 to 106.50	16,077	15,247
30	66	98.70	97.76	90.53	19.0	107.99	47.54	168.21	89.93 to 102.86	51,917	46,999
40	25	93.77	92.25	89.41	11.0	103.17	51.88	119.50	88.59 to 96.45	88,384	79,027
ALI											
	179	95.31	98.77	90.29	26.6	59 109.40	10.00	310.00	89.79 to 98.49	37,969	34,281

Residential Real Property

I. Correlation

RESIDENTIAL: In the residential class for 2008 in addition to the sales verification and review process the depreciation for Oxford was changed according to the market analysis, those parcels not meeting the county policy regarding the assessment of agricultural land were valued as rural residential, and home site and farm site values increased. Two of the measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range, the median and arithmetic mean. Four high dollar sales may be impacting the weighted mean and if hypothetically removed it is moved to 92.02, but there is little improvement to the qualitative measures. The qualitative issues are more an indication of the disparity within the nine assessor locations and the disproportionate measurements between low dollar sales. Forty-five of the total 179 qualified residential sales have a selling price of under \$10,000, and an additional 58 sales for less the \$30,000. The average assessed value of residential property is \$34,281 in Furnas County.

Under the substrata Status: Improved, Unimproved, and IOLL strata 2 unimproved is showing a median of 71.50 the assessor does not believe this to be a valid valuation grouping as this subclass is a culmination of eight different assessor locations. The assessor does recognize the various assessor locations and addresses valuation issues within each. It would not be realistic to rely on this number for measurement purposes.

There is no recommendation to adjust the substrata Status: Improved, Unimproved, and IOLL strata 2 unimproved. For direct equalization purposes the R&O Median will be used in determining the level of value. The adopted three-year plan, preliminary statistics, the 2008 Reports and Opinions statistics, and the 2008 Assessment Survey all support that Furnas County has achieved an acceptable overall level of value.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2008	328	179	54.57
2007	298	192	64.43
2006	270	170	62.96
2005	268	197	73.51
2004	286	228	79.72
2003	319	251	78.68
2002	339	274	80.83
2001	288	227	78.82

RESIDENTIAL: For 2008 the total number of residential sales has increased to 328, but the usage has gone down to 55%. After a thorough review of the 146 sales deemed to be non-qualified, fourteen percent were found to be family transactions, twelve percent were foreclosure or sheriff sales, and the remaining were a mixture of partial interests, substantially improved, land exchanges, corrective deeds, splits, land use changes, old contract sales, exemptions, liquidation of property to go into care home, or sell to adjoining property owner to get rid of, and so forth that the sales verification questionnaire revealed to be non-arm's length sales. Furnas County has attempted to use as many sales as possible in the measurement of the residential class of property.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2008	94.89	0.46	95.33	95.31
2007	95.46	3.51	98.81	97.42
2006	99.71	-0.43	99.28	97.57
2005	99.70	1.1	100.79	99.50
2004	100.43	1.65	102.08	99.12
2003	91	8.18	98.44	98
2002	91	7.1	97.46	97
2001	91	6.64	97.04	96

RESIDENTIAL: The Trended Preliminary Ratio and the R&O Ratio are virtually the same and support the assessment actions within the residential class of property.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales		% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
0.28	2008	0.46
4.8	2007	3.51
0.8	2006	-0.43
2.77	2005	1.1
-1.15	2004	1.65
7	2003	8
8.67	2002	7.1
5.67	2001	6.64

RESIDENTIAL: There is little difference between the percent change in the sales file compared to the percent change in the base (excluding growth) .18 of a point. Both are a reflection of the assessment actions for 2008 in that the deprecation was adjusted for market conditions in Oxford, and those parcels not meeting the county policy regarding the assessment of agricultural land were valued as rural residential, and the home site and farm site per acre values were changed.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	95.31	90.29	98.77

RESIDENTIAL: Of the three measures of central tendency the weighted mean is less than two points (1.71) below the acceptable range. Low dollar sales do not appear to be having an impact on the statistics, the four high dollar sales if hypothetically removed will improve the weighted mean (92.02) but there is little improvement to the qualitative measures. More weight will be given to the median and mean for direct equalization purposes in determining the overall level of value for Furnas County.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less. For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above.

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	26.69	109.40
Difference	11.69	6.4

RESIDENTIAL: The coefficient of dispersion and price related differential are above the acceptable ranges and would typically indicate problems with assessment uniformity. The preliminary coefficient of dispersion was 34.92 and the price related differential was 115.95. The qualitative measures are more an indication of the disparity within the nine assessor locations and the disproportionate measurements between low dollar sales. Forty-five of the total 179 qualified residential sales have a selling price of under \$10,000. Fifty-eight additional sales within the 179 sold for less than \$30,000. The average assessed value of residential property is \$34,281 in Furnas County.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	179	179	0
Median	94.89	95.31	0.42
Wgt. Mean	90.34	90.29	-0.05
Mean	104.75	98.77	-5.98
COD	34.92	26.69	-8.23
PRD	115.95	109.40	-6.55
Min Sales Ratio	10.00	10.00	0
Max Sales Ratio	495.00	310.00	-185

RESIDENTIAL: The table is a reflection of the assessment actions for 2008 in that the depreciation for Oxford was changed. Those parcels not meeting the county policy regarding the assessment of agricultural land were valued as rural residential and home site and farm site values increased. Also in the sales review process all information was verified for accuracy and corrected if needed.

33 - FURNAS COUNTY				PAD 2008	Prelimi	inary Statistics	7	Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 4
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualifie		,			State Stat Run	
				•		ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	0.5.b	Median C.I.: 73.05	+ - 102 24	(!: AVTot=0)
TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD:	24.89		. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(!: Derived)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.98	_		33 to 98.36	
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	646,100			AVG.ABS.DEV.	10.90))	6 Mean C.1 70.6	33 60 90.30	
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:24
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	1	91.58	91.58	91.58			91.58	91.58	N/A	50,000	45,790
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	1	95.56	95.56	95.56			95.56	95.56	N/A	31,000	29,625
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	2	90.47	90.47	92.96	19.20	6 97.32	73.05	107.90	N/A	35,000	32,537
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	1	96.35	96.35	96.35			96.35	96.35	N/A	1,152	1,110
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	2	66.29	66.29	37.93	43.3	1 174.76	37.58	95.00	N/A	40,250	15,267
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	2	109.32	109.32	104.48	8.8	6 104.63	99.63	119.00	N/A	10,000	10,447
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	2	98.80	98.80	95.27	9.5	5 103.71	89.36	108.24	N/A	13,575	12,932
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	2	79.99	79.99	67.19	19.2	7 119.05	64.57	95.40	N/A	14,750	9,910
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	2	49.37	49.37	47.42	6.0	5 104.10	46.38	52.35	N/A	4,850	2,300
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	3	103.65	107.23	104.08	4.3	6 103.03	102.24	115.80	N/A	20,000	20,815
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	55.00	55.00	55.00			55.00	55.00	N/A	1,000	550
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 Study Years	4	83.93	91.50	97.15	24.96	6 94.18	63.77	134.37	N/A	87,437	84,947
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	5	95.56	92.89	93.06	8.29	9 99.81	73.05	107.90	N/A	30,430	28,320
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	8	95.20	88.60	61.80	17.83	3 143.37	37.58	119.00	37.58 to 119.00	19,643	12,139
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07 Calendar Yrs	10	83.93	84.14	96.89	30.00	0 86.84	46.38	134.37	52.35 to 115.80	42,045	40,738
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	7	96.35	89.79	68.52	17.93	3 131.04	37.58	119.00	37.58 to 119.00	24,521	16,802
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	9	95.40	86.44	89.22	20.6		46.38	115.80	52.35 to 108.24	14,038	12,525
ALL										,	, -
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.98	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091
ASSESSOR LOCATION	COLDIE	MEDIAM	MT 2 27	TION MEAN	gor		14717	147.77	050 Maddan G T	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.		
ARAPAHOE	5	95.40	86.99	89.71	14.2		46.38	108.24	N/A	13,730	12,317
BEAVER CITY	2	101.64	101.64	102.31	1.98		99.63	103.65	N/A	22,500	23,020
CAMBRIDGE	5	102.24	105.32	107.31	11.7	7 98.15	90.53	134.37	N/A	72,350	77,642
EDISON	1	95.00	95.00	95.00	20.04	0 164 55	95.00	95.00	N/A	500	475
HOLBROOK OXFORD	4 4	64.84	65.90	40.05	32.29 25.61		37.58	96.35	N/A	21,463	8,595
		68.41	76.91	67.67	∠5.6.	1 113.65	55.00	115.80	N/A	34,000	23,006
RURAL	1 1	64.57	64.57	64.57			64.57	64.57	N/A	27,000	17,435
WILSONVILLE	Τ	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,950
ALL	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.98	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091

33 - FURNAS COUNT	Y			PAD 2008	Prelim	inary Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualifi					State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(!: AVTot=
NUM	BER of Sales	;:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102.24	(!: Av 10i-
TOTAL	Sales Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD:	24.89		. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(Derive
TOTAL Adj	.Sales Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.98		% Mean C.I.: 76.8		
TOTAL As	sessed Value	:	646,100								
AVG. Adj.	Sales Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37				
AVG. As	sessed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:
LOCATIONS: URBAN	, SUBURBAN	& RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
1	22	95.20	88.64	89.46	19.4	0 99.09	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 103.65	31,943	28,57
3	1	64.57	64.57	64.57			64.57	64.57	N/A	27,000	17,4
ALL											
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
STATUS: IMPROVED	, UNIMPROVE	D & IOL	L							Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Va
1	21	95.40	91.11	89.05	17.3	5 102.31	37.58	134.37	77.33 to 103.65	34,321	30,5
2	2	50.69	50.69	47.33	8.5	0 107.09	46.38	55.00	N/A	4,500	2,1
ALL											
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
PROPERTY TYPE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Va
02											
03	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
04											
ALL											
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
SCHOOL DISTRICT										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Va
(blank)											
33-0018	10	83.35	76.31	62.48	24.8		37.58	108.24	46.38 to 96.35	18,150	11,3
33-0021	5	102.24	105.32	107.31	11.7		90.53	134.37	N/A	72,350	77,6
33-0540	7	95.00	86.56	76.33	19.1	4 113.40	55.00	115.80	55.00 to 115.80	25,928	19,79
42-0002											
73-0179	1	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,9
NonValid School											
ALL											
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09

33 - FURNAS COUNTY			PAD 2008 Preliminary Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCIAL						ype: Qualifie					State Stat Run	
							ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	07 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		(!: AVTot=
	NUMBER	of Sales	ş:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102.24	(!: AV 101= (!: Derive
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD:	24.89		. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(Derive
TOT	AL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.98	_	% Mean C.I.: 76.8		
TO	TAL Asses	sed Value	: :	646,100			11,011251221	20.70		, , ,	33 00 70.30	
AVG	. Adj. Sa	les Price	: :	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37				
A	VG. Asses	sed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:
YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Va
0 OR Blan	2	6	66.16	73.64	71.54	33.8	5 102.95	46.38	115.80	46.38 to 115.80	3,200	2,28
Prior TO 1860)											
1860 TO 1899	9											
1900 TO 1919	9	4	107.68	111.28	132.61	14.3	1 83.92	95.40	134.37	N/A	30,850	40,9
1920 TO 1939	9	3	99.63	90.43	78.63	14.7	6 115.01	63.77	107.90	N/A	51,666	40,6
1940 TO 1949	9	6	93.57	92.36	90.72	10.8	2 101.80	64.57	108.24	64.57 to 108.24	46,416	42,1
1950 TO 1959	9	1	73.05	73.05	73.05			73.05	73.05	N/A	30,000	21,9
1960 TO 1969	9	2	95.80	95.80	96.74	6.7	2 99.03	89.36	102.24	N/A	21,825	21,1
1970 TO 1979	9	1	37.58	37.58	37.58			37.58	37.58	N/A	80,000	30,0
1980 TO 1989	9											
1990 TO 1994	1											
1995 TO 1999	9											
2000 TO Pres	sent											
ALL	_											
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,0
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Va
Low \$												
1 TO	4999	6	86.16	78.57	78.46	19.7	4 100.14	52.35	96.35	52.35 to 96.35	1,642	1,2
5000 TO	9999	4	112.02	97.36	93.02	17.8	9 104.66	46.38	119.00	N/A	6,625	6,1
Total \$_												
1 TO	9999	10	95.20	86.09	89.07	21.9	3 96.64	46.38	119.00	52.35 to 115.80	3,635	3,2
10000 TO	29999	4	94.50	88.95	87.10	12.6	8 102.12	64.57	102.24	N/A	21,412	18,6
30000 TO	59999	5	95.56	94.35	94.80	9.8	2 99.52	73.05	107.90	N/A	36,200	34,3
60000 TO	99999	1	37.58	37.58	37.58			37.58	37.58	N/A	80,000	30,0
100000 TO	149999	3	90.53	96.22	97.32	26.0	0 98.87	63.77	134.37	N/A	115,583	112,4
ALL	_											
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,0

33 - FURNAS COUNTY					Canan Cana D	PAGE:4 of 4						
COMMERCIAL					7	Type: Qualifi	ed				State Stat Run	
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(!: AVTot=0
	NUMBER	of Sales	;:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102.24	(!: Derived
	TOTAL Sal	les Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD:	24.89		. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(Berrea,
TOT	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.98			83 to 98.36	
TO	OTAL Assess	sed Value	:	646,100								
AVO	G. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37				
I	AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 02/09/2	2008 12:14:24
ASSESSED VA	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$_												
1 TO	4999	7	77.33	73.97	64.08	24.5	7 115.43	46.38	96.35	46.38 to 96.35	2,550	1,634
5000 TO	9999	3	115.80	114.35	113.19	3.1	0 101.02	108.24	119.00	N/A	6,166	6,980
Total \$												
1 TO	9999	10	95.20	86.09	89.07	21.9	3 96.64	46.38	119.00	52.35 to 115.80	3,635	3,238
10000 TO	29999	6	92.46	87.40	86.02	12.7	0 101.61	64.57	102.24	64.57 to 102.24	24,441	21,02
30000 TO	59999	4	97.62	85.18	75.05	21.1	0 113.49	37.58	107.90	N/A	50,000	37,526
60000 TO	99999	1	63.77	63.77	63.77			63.77	63.77	N/A	100,000	63,770
100000 TO	149999	1	90.53	90.53	90.53			90.53	90.53	N/A	132,000	119,50
150000 TO	249999	1	134.37	134.37	134.37			134.37	134.37	N/A	114,750	154,195
ALL	_											
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		4	53.68	57.77	54.53	15.6	5 105.94	46.38	77.33	N/A	3,425	1,867
10		13	95.56	91.02	81.14	14.1	2 112.18	37.58	115.80	73.05 to 107.90	30,973	25,130
20		6	96.91	100.05	99.53	19.0	7 100.52	63.77	134.37	63.77 to 134.37	52,233	51,988
ALL	_											
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091
OCCUPANCY (CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)		9	90.53	81.11	90.20	21.7	7 89.93	46.38	115.80	52.35 to 102.24	19,855	17,909
344		2	103.77	103.77	105.65	3.9	8 98.22	99.63	107.90	N/A	27,500	29,052
350		1	73.05	73.05	73.05			73.05	73.05	N/A	30,000	21,915
353		2	99.07	99.07	101.50	35.6	97.61	63.77	134.37	N/A	107,375	108,982
406		3	95.56	93.76	93.30	2.4	100.49	89.36	96.35	N/A	16,934	15,800
442		2	70.62	70.62	55.60	46.7	8 127.02	37.58	103.65	N/A	55,000	30,57
484		1	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,950
528		2	86.41	86.41	75.03	25.2	7 115.16	64.57	108.24	N/A	17,750	13,317
532		1	91.58	91.58	91.58			91.58	91.58	N/A	50,000	45,790
ALL	_											
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091

Furnas County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Commercial

There were no key plans within the three-year plan for the commercial class of property. The contracted appraiser did review all sold properties for correct information and updated data if needed. All pickup work was completed.

It is worth noting here that a professional appraiser with knowledge in the field of valuing ethanol plants was hired to appraise the ethanol plant that was built on the east side of Cambridge. The value of the ethanol plant (Mid America Agri Products/Horizon, LLC) will appear on the County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 as growth but the assessor states papers will be filed to put this project under tax increment financing (TIF) so the Certificate of Taxes Levied that is filed in the fall will not reflect this value. This value amounts to approximately seventeen and a half million dollars.

2008 Assessment Survey for Furnas County

Commercial/Industrial Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	The contracted part-time appraiser.
2.	Valuation done by:
	The assessor.
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The contracted part-time appraiser.
4.	What is the date of the Replacement Cost New data (Marshall-Swift) that are used to value this property class?
	January of 2006.
5.	What was the last year the depreciation schedule for this property class was developed using market-derived information?
	2007
6.	When was the last time that the Income Approach was used to estimate or establish the market value of the properties in this class?
	With the amount of commercial sales and the diversity of the sales there is typically not enough rent, income and expense data available from the market to utilize the income approach.
7.	When was the last year that the Market or Sales Comparison Approach was used to estimate the market value of the properties in this class?
	The sales comparison approach will be used for properties of the same occupancy code if sales are available.
8.	Number of market areas/neighborhoods for this property class?
	There are eight towns or villages.
9.	How are these defined?
	By the political boundaries of each town or village.
10.	Is "Assessor Location" a usable valuation identity?
	Yes
11.	Does the assessor location "suburban" mean something other than rural
	commercial? (that is, does the "suburban" location have its own market?)
	No

12. What is the market significance of the suburban location as defined in Reg. 10-001.07B? (Suburban shall mean a parcel of real property located outside of the limits of an incorporated city or village, but within the legal jurisdiction of an incorporated city or village.)

The suburban location, as defined in regulation, does not have its own valuation.

The suburban location, as defined in regulation, does not have its own valuation grouping in the county.

Commercial Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
6	0	2	8

33 - FURNAS COUNTY				PAD 2	008 R&	O Statistics		Base S	tat		PAGE:1 of 4
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualific					State Stat Run	
					Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30	0/2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(A A T/T) (O)
NUMBER	of Sales	:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV	: 28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102 24	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)
TOTAL Sai	les Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD			. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(:: Derivea)
TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV				33 to 98.36	
TOTAL Assess	sed Value	:	646,100			1100.1100.011	10.50		70.	33 60 30.30	
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio	: 134.37				
AVG. Assess	sed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio	: 37.58			Printed: 04/01/.	2008 18:24:37
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	1	91.58	91.58	91.58			91.58	91.58	N/A	50,000	45,790
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	1	95.56	95.56	95.56			95.56	95.56	N/A	31,000	29,625
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	2	90.47	90.47	92.96	19.2	97.32	73.05	107.90	N/A	35,000	32,537
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	1	96.35	96.35	96.35			96.35	96.35	N/A	1,152	1,110
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	2	66.29	66.29	37.93	43.3	174.76	37.58	95.00	N/A	40,250	15,267
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	2	109.32	109.32	104.48	8.8	104.63	99.63	119.00	N/A	10,000	10,447
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	2	98.80	98.80	95.27	9.5	103.71	89.36	108.24	N/A	13,575	12,932
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	2	79.99	79.99	67.19	19.2	119.05	64.57	95.40	N/A	14,750	9,910
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	2	49.37	49.37	47.42	6.0	104.10	46.38	52.35	N/A	4,850	2,300
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	3	103.65	107.23	104.08	4.3	103.03	102.24	115.80	N/A	20,000	20,815
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	1	55.00	55.00	55.00			55.00	55.00	N/A	1,000	550
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 Study Years	4	83.93	91.50	97.15	24.9	94.18	63.77	134.37	N/A	87,437	84,947
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	5	95.56	92.89	93.06	8.2	99.81	73.05	107.90	N/A	30,430	28,320
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	8	95.20	88.60	61.80	17.8	143.37	37.58	119.00	37.58 to 119.00	19,643	12,139
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07 Calendar Yrs	10	83.93	84.14	96.89	30.0	86.84	46.38	134.37	52.35 to 115.80	42,045	40,738
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	7	96.35	89.79	68.52	17.9	131.04	37.58	119.00	37.58 to 119.00	24,521	16,802
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06 ALL	9	95.40	86.44	89.22	20.6	96.89	46.38	115.80	52.35 to 108.24	14,038	12,525
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091
ASSESSOR LOCATION										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ARAPAHOE	5	95.40	86.99	89.71	14.2	96.97	46.38	108.24	N/A	13,730	12,317
BEAVER CITY	2	101.64	101.64	102.31	1.9	99.34	99.63	103.65	N/A	22,500	23,020
CAMBRIDGE	5	102.24	105.32	107.31	11.7	77 98.15	90.53	134.37	N/A	72,350	77,642
EDISON	1	95.00	95.00	95.00			95.00	95.00	N/A	500	475
HOLBROOK	4	64.84	65.90	40.05	32.2	164.57	37.58	96.35	N/A	21,463	8,595
OXFORD	4	68.41	76.91	67.67	25.6	113.65	55.00	115.80	N/A	34,000	23,006
RURAL	1	64.57	64.57	64.57			64.57	64.57	N/A	27,000	17,435
WILSONVILLE	1	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,950
ALL											
	23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091

Type Commercial Commercia	33 - FURNAS COUNTY			PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Base Stat								
NUMBER of Sales 123	COMMERCIA	AL	•								State Stat Run	$\boldsymbol{\imath}$
TOTAL Sales Price: 729,752 WGT. MEAN: 89 STD: 24.89 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 67.51 to 105.56 707aL Adj.Sales Price: 729,752 MEAN: 88 AVG.ABS.DEV: 18.98 95% Mean C.I.: 76.83 to 98.31 707aL Adj.Sales Price: 31,728 COD: 19.98 MEAN: 81.98 AVG.ABS.DEV: 18.98 95% Mean C.I.: 76.83 to 98.31 707aL Adj.Sales Price: 31,728 COD: 19.98 MEAN: Sales Ratio: 134.37 COD: PRICE:								07 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(1 AT/T) (
TOTAL Sales Price 729,752 MST. MEAN: 89 STD. 24.89 95% Mgt. Mean C.I.: 67.51 to 109.56 TOTAL Adj.Sales Price 729,752 MEAN: 88 AVG.AES.DEP': 18.99 95% Mean C.I.: 76.83 to 98.31 TOTAL Assessed Value: 646,100 AVG. Adj. Sales Price 31,728 COD: 19.98 MAX Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. Ass.DEPW AVG. Assessed Value: 28,091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. Assessed Value: 28,091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 37.58 AVG. Assessed Value: 28,091 MEAN MEAN MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT.		NUMBER of Sales:		23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	5 to 102.24	(!: AVTot=
TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 729,752 MEAN: 88 AVG.ABS.DEV: 18.98 95% Mean C.I.: 76.83 to 98.30 TOTAL ASSESSED Value: 66.61.05 AVG. ASSESSED Value: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: 98.93 MIN Sales Ratio: 134.37 AVG. ASSESSED VALUE: 28.091 PRD: MIN		TOTAL Sales Price:		729,752	WGT. MEAN:							(:. Derive
AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:		729,752	MEAN:	88			_			
Note		TOTAL Assessed Value:		646,100								
LOCATIONS: URBAN, SUBURBAN & RURAL RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEDIAN MEAN MEDIAN MEAN MEAN MEDIAN MEAN MEDIAN MEAN MEDIAN		AVG. Adj. Sales Price:		31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37				
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 1 22 95.20 88.64 89.46 19.40 99.09 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 103.65 31 31 4.1		AVG. Assessed Value:		28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:24:3
1 2 95.20 88.64 89.46 19.40 99.09 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 103.65 31 3 1 64.57 64.57 64.57 64.57 64.57 64.57 64.57 70.4 27 ALL 23 95.00 87.59 88.54 19.98 98.93 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & TOLL RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr A.L. 21 95.40 91.11 89.05 17.35 102.31 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 22 50.69 50.69 47.33 8.50 107.09 46.38 55.00 N/A 4 ALL 23 95.00 87.59 88.54 19.98 98.93 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 02 03 95.00 87.59 88.54 19.98 98.93 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 03 95.00 87.59 88.54 19.98 98.93 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 SCHOOL DISTRICT * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr (blank) 33-0018 10 83.35 76.31 62.48 24.80 122.14 37.58 108.24 46.38 to 96.35 18 33-0021 5 102.24 105.32 107.31 11.77 98.15 90.53 134.37 N/A 72 33-0540 7 95.00 86.56 76.33 19.14 113.40 55.00 115.80 55.00 to 115.80 25 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 N/A 5	LOCATION	S: URBAN, SUBURBAN &	RURAL								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
3 ALL	RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
ALL	1	22	95.20	88.64	89.46	19.4	0 99.09	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 103.65	31,943	28,57
STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL AVG AV	3	1	64.57	64.57	64.57			64.57	64.57	N/A	27,000	17,43
STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr	ALL_											
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 1 21 95.40 91.11 89.05 17.35 102.31 37.58 134.37 77.33 to 103.65 34 2 2 50.69 50.69 47.33 8.50 107.09 46.38 55.00 N/A 4 2 3 95.00 87.59 88.54 19.98 98.93 37.58 134.37 73.05 to 102.24 31 9FOPERTY TYPE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 20		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
1 21 95.40 91.11 89.05 17.35 102.31 37.58 134.37 77.33 to 103.65 34 2 2 50.69 50.69 47.33 8.50 107.09 46.38 55.00 N/A 4 ALL		-									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
2 50.69 50.69 47.33 8.50 107.09 46.38 55.00 N/A 4 ALL											Sale Price	Assd Val
ALL								37.58			34,321	30,56
PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT. MGT.			50.69	50.69	47.33	8.5	0 107.09	46.38	55.00	N/A	4,500	2,13
PROPERTY TYPE * RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Property Column Col	ALL_											
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr 02			95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
02 03											5 5	Avg.
03		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
04												
ALL		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09
SCHOOL DISTRICT * SCHOOL DISTRICT * SCHOOL DISTRICT * SCHOOL DISTRICT * SUBJECT												
Avg. Additional Action RANGE	ALL_		05 00	07.50	00 54	10.0	0 00 00	27 50	124 27	72 05 55 100 04	31,728	20.00
RANGE COUNT MEDIAN MEAN WGT. MEAN COD PRD MIN MAX 95% Median C.I. Sale Pr (blank) 33-0018 10 83.35 76.31 62.48 24.80 122.14 37.58 108.24 46.38 to 96.35 18 33-0021 5 102.24 105.32 107.31 11.77 98.15 90.53 134.37 N/A 72 33-0540 7 95.00 86.56 76.33 19.14 113.40 55.00 115.80 55.00 to 115.80 25 42-0002 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00	agree a		95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	<u> </u>	28,09 Avg.
(blank) 33-0018		_	MEDIAN	MEAN	MEAN	CO	תפת ת	MIN	MAV	QE& Modian C T	Sale Price	Assd Val
33-0018 10 83.35 76.31 62.48 24.80 122.14 37.58 108.24 46.38 to 96.35 18 33-0021 5 102.24 105.32 107.31 11.77 98.15 90.53 134.37 N/A 72 33-0540 7 95.00 86.56 76.33 19.14 113.40 55.00 115.80 55.00 to 115.80 25 42-0002 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 N/A 5	-	COONT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGI. MEAN	CO	D PRD	IAITIA	MAX	95% Median C.I.	5410 11100	11000 VG1
33-0021 5 102.24 105.32 107.31 11.77 98.15 90.53 134.37 N/A 72 33-0540 7 95.00 86.56 76.33 19.14 113.40 55.00 115.80 55.00 to 115.80 25 42-0002 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 50.00 119.00 N/A 5		10	83 35	76 31	62 48	24 Ω	n 122 14	37 58	108 24	46 38 to 96 35	18,150	11,34
33-0540 7 95.00 86.56 76.33 19.14 113.40 55.00 115.80 55.00 to 115.80 25 42-0002 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 5.00 119.00 N/A 5											72,350	77,64
42-0002 73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 5											25,928	19,79
73-0179 1 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 119.00 5		I	23.00	55.56	,0.55	17.1	113.10	55.00	113.00	55.00 00 115.00	23,720	10,10
		1	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,95
NonValid School				110.00	110.00		•			/	3,000	3,33
ALL												
			95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,09

33 - FURNAS COUNTY				PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCIAL						Гуре: Qualifi					State Stat Run		
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(!: AVTot=0)	
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV:	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102.24	(!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sal	es Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD:	24.89	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 67.51	to 109.56	(11 2 0 1 1 7 0 11)	
TO	TAL Adj.Sal	es Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV:	18.98	95	% Mean C.I.: 76.8	83 to 98.36		
TO	OTAL Assess	ed Value	:	646,100									
AVO	G. Adj. Sal	es Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio:	134.37					
Ī	AVG. Assess	ed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio:	37.58			Printed: 04/01/2	2008 18:24:37	
YEAR BUILT	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
0 OR Blan	ık	6	66.16	73.64	71.54	33.8	5 102.95	46.38	115.80	46.38 to 115.80	3,200	2,289	
Prior TO 186	50												
1860 TO 189	9												
1900 TO 191	.9	4	107.68	111.28	132.61	14.3	1 83.92	95.40	134.37	N/A	30,850	40,910	
1920 TO 193	39	3	99.63	90.43	78.63	14.7	6 115.01	63.77	107.90	N/A	51,666	40,625	
1940 TO 194	19	6	93.57	92.36	90.72	10.8	2 101.80	64.57	108.24	64.57 to 108.24	46,416	42,108	
1950 TO 195	59	1	73.05	73.05	73.05			73.05	73.05	N/A	30,000	21,915	
1960 TO 196	59	2	95.80	95.80	96.74	6.7	2 99.03	89.36	102.24	N/A	21,825	21,112	
1970 TO 197	79	1	37.58	37.58	37.58			37.58	37.58	N/A	80,000	30,060	
1980 TO 198	39												
1990 TO 199	94												
1995 TO 199	9												
2000 TO Pre	esent												
ALL	_												
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091	
SALE PRICE	*										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$_													
1 TO	4999	6	86.16	78.57	78.46	19.7	4 100.14	52.35	96.35	52.35 to 96.35	1,642	1,288	
5000 TO	9999	4	112.02	97.36	93.02	17.8	9 104.66	46.38	119.00	N/A	6,625	6,162	
Total \$	5												
1 TO	9999	10	95.20	86.09	89.07	21.9	3 96.64	46.38	119.00	52.35 to 115.80	3,635	3,238	
10000 TO	29999	4	94.50	88.95	87.10	12.6	8 102.12	64.57	102.24	N/A	21,412	18,651	
30000 TO	59999	5	95.56	94.35	94.80	9.8	2 99.52	73.05	107.90	N/A	36,200	34,317	
60000 TO	99999	1	37.58	37.58	37.58			37.58	37.58	N/A	80,000	30,060	
100000 TO	149999	3	90.53	96.22	97.32	26.0	0 98.87	63.77	134.37	N/A	115,583	112,490	
ALL	_												
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091	

33 - FURNAS COUNTY				PAD 2008 R&O Statistics Base Stat									
COMMERCIAL					Type: Qualified State Stat Run								
						Date Ran	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/	2007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		(1. AT/T-4 0)	
	NUMBER	of Sales	:	23	MEDIAN:	95	COV	28.41	95%	Median C.I.: 73.05	to 102 24	(!: AVTot=0) (!: Derived)	
	TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	729,752	WGT. MEAN:	89	STD			. Mean C.I.: 67.51		(:: Derivea)	
TO	TAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	729,752	MEAN:	88	AVG.ABS.DEV				83 to 98.36		
T	OTAL Asses	sed Value	:	646,100			1100.1120.222	10.70		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	03 60 30.30		
AVO	G. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	31,728	COD:	19.98	MAX Sales Ratio	134.37					
i	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	28,091	PRD:	98.93	MIN Sales Ratio	37.58			Printed: 04/01/.	2008 18:24:37	
ASSESSED V	ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$_													
1 TO	4999	7	77.33	73.97	64.08	24.5	7 115.43	46.38	96.35	46.38 to 96.35	2,550	1,634	
5000 TO	9999	3	115.80	114.35	113.19	3.1	0 101.02	108.24	119.00	N/A	6,166	6,980	
Total \$	S												
1 TO	9999	10	95.20	86.09	89.07	21.9	3 96.64	46.38	119.00	52.35 to 115.80	3,635	3,238	
10000 TO	29999	6	92.46	87.40	86.02	12.7	0 101.61	64.57	102.24	64.57 to 102.24	24,441	21,024	
30000 TO	59999	4	97.62	85.18	75.05	21.1	0 113.49	37.58	107.90	N/A	50,000	37,526	
60000 TO	99999	1	63.77	63.77	63.77			63.77	63.77	N/A	100,000	63,770	
100000 TO	149999	1	90.53	90.53	90.53			90.53	90.53	N/A	132,000	119,505	
150000 TO	249999	1	134.37	134.37	134.37			134.37	134.37	N/A	114,750	154,195	
ALL	_												
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091	
COST RANK											Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		4	53.68	57.77	54.53	15.6	5 105.94	46.38	77.33	N/A	3,425	1,867	
10		13	95.56	91.02	81.14	14.1	2 112.18	37.58	115.80	73.05 to 107.90	30,973	25,130	
20		6	96.91	100.05	99.53	19.0	7 100.52	63.77	134.37	63.77 to 134.37	52,233	51,988	
ALL	_												
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091	
OCCUPANCY (CODE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		9	90.53	81.11	90.20	21.7		46.38	115.80	52.35 to 102.24	19,855	17,909	
344		2	103.77	103.77	105.65	3.9	8 98.22	99.63	107.90	N/A	27,500	29,052	
350		1	73.05	73.05	73.05			73.05	73.05	N/A	30,000	21,915	
353		2	99.07	99.07	101.50	35.6		63.77	134.37	N/A	107,375	108,982	
406		3	95.56	93.76	93.30	2.4		89.36	96.35	N/A	16,934	15,800	
442		2	70.62	70.62	55.60	46.7	18 127.02	37.58	103.65	N/A	55,000	30,577	
484		1	119.00	119.00	119.00			119.00	119.00	N/A	5,000	5,950	
528		2	86.41	86.41	75.03	25.2	7 115.16	64.57	108.24	N/A	17,750	13,317	
532		1	91.58	91.58	91.58			91.58	91.58	N/A	50,000	45,790	
ALL	_												
		23	95.00	87.59	88.54	19.9	8 98.93	37.58	134.37	73.05 to 102.24	31,728	28,091	

Commerical Real Property

I. Correlation

COMMERCIAL: There were no significant actions taken within the commercial class for 2008. The measures of central tendency are indication the median measure only to be within the acceptable range. There are two outliers that are impacting the other two measures, the mean and weighted mean. Book 0092 page 0151 sale date 08/12/05 is a bar/restaurant in Holbrook and the amount declared for personal property is questionable, book 0092 page 0569 sale date 07/26/06 were lots originally part of the property owned by the motel in Arapahoe and then sold off, unable to verify what they will be used for. Since there are few commercial sales in Furnas County they have been left in the sales file. When hypothetically removing them there affect is mitigated and the measures are within the acceptable range, the mean is 91.94 and the weighted mean is 95.42.

The qualitative measures have met the standards and give indication that the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner. However, if the two outliers are hypothetically removed the COD is further improved, but the PRD is now indicating progressivity.

The median measure of central tendency will be used as the best indicator for the level of value for the commercial class of property. No recommendation will be made for the commercial class.

II. Analysis of Percentage of Sales Used

This section documents the utilization of total sales compared to qualified sales in the sales file. Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327(2) (R. S. Supp., 2007) provides that all sales are deemed to be arm's length transactions unless determined to be otherwise under professionally accepted mass appraisal techniques. The county assessor is responsible for the qualification of the sales included in the residential sales file. The Division periodically reviews the procedures utilized by the county assessor to qualify/disqualify sales.

The Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officials, (2007), indicates that low levels of sale utilization may indicate excessive trimming by the county assessor. Excessive trimming, the arbitrary exclusion or adjustment of arm's length transactions, may indicate an attempt to inappropriately exclude arm's length transactions to create the appearance of a higher level of value and quality of assessment. The sales file, in a case of excess trimming, will fail to properly represent the level of value and quality of assessment of the population of residential real property.

	Total Sales	Qualified Sales	Percent Used
2008	58	23	39.66
2007	47	18	38.3
2006	44	16	36.36
2005	48	34	70.83
2004	52	40	76.92
2003	65	51	78.46
2002	54	44	81.48
2001	51	43	84.31

COMMERCIAL: Of the 58 commercial sales the review process has determined 23 of them to be qualified sales. Of the 35 not used, five percent were substantially changed, six percent were family, nine percent were centrally assessed, and the remainder is a mixture of such things as partial interests, foreclosure, centrally assessed, change in use, exemptions, mortgage assumptions, and corrective deeds. Furnas County has attempted to use as many sales as possible in the measurement of the commercial class of property.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio

The trended preliminary ratio is an alternative method to calculate a point estimate as an indicator of the level of value. This table compares the preliminary median ratio, trended preliminary median ratio, and R&O median ratio, presenting four years of data to reveal any trends in assessment practices. The analysis that follows compares the changes in these ratios to the assessment actions taken by the county assessor. If the county assessor's assessment practices treat all properties in the sales file and properties in the population in a similar manner, the trended preliminary ratio will correlate closely with the R&O median ratio. The following is the justification for the trended preliminary ratio:

Adjusting for Selective Reappraisal

The reliability of sales ratio statistics depends on unsold parcels being appraised in the same manner as sold parcels. Selective reappraisal of sold parcels distorts sales ratio results, possibly rendering them useless. Equally important, selective reappraisal of sold parcels ("sales chasing") is a serious violation of basic appraisal uniformity and is highly unprofessional. Oversight agencies must be vigilant to detect the practice if it occurs and take necessary corrective action.

[To monitor sales chasing] A preferred approach is to use only sales that occur after appraised values are determined. However, as long as values from the most recent appraisal year are used in ratio studies, this is likely to be impractical. A second approach is to use values from the previous assessment year, so that most (or all) sales in the study follow the date values were set. In this approach, measures of central tendency must be adjusted to reflect changes in value between the previous and current year. For example, assume that the measure of central tendency is 0.924 and, after excluding parcels with changes in use or physical characteristics, that the overall change in value between the previous and current assessment years is 6.3 percent. The adjusted measure of central tendency is $0.924 \times 1.063 = 0.982$. This approach can be effective in determining the level of appraisal, but measures of uniformity will be unreliable if there has been any meaningful reappraisal activity for the current year.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 315.

III. Analysis of the Preliminary, Trended Preliminary and R&O Median Ratio Continued

	Preliminary Median	% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)	Trended Preliminary Ratio	R&O Median
2008	95.00	-0.99	94.06	95.00
2007	90.09	5.04	94.63	96.47
2006	93.75	-0.86	92.94	93.75
2005	99.55	0.88	100.42	99.55
2004	95.35	2.21	97.46	97.63
2003	95	4.48	99.26	98
2002	92	6.87	98.32	98
2001	95	-0.74	94.3	96

COMMERCIAL: The above table will indicate less than a one point difference between the Trended Preliminary Ratio and the R&O Median; the two numbers are similar and support each other. The Trended Preliminary Ratio supports the assessment actins within the commercial class of property.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value

This section analyzes the percentage change of the assessed values in the sales file, between the 2008 Preliminary Statistical Reports and the 2008 R&O Statistical Reports, to the percentage change in the assessed value of all real property base, by class, reported in the 2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45, excluding growth valuation, compared to the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL) Report. For purposes of calculating the percentage change in the sales file, only the sales in the most recent year of the study period are used. If assessment practices treat sold and unsold properties consistently, the percentage change in the sales file and assessed base will be similar. The analysis of this data assists in determining if the statistical representations calculated from the sales file are an accurate measure of the population. The following is justification for such an analysis:

Comparison of Average Value Changes

If sold and unsold properties are similarly appraised, they should experience similar changes in value over time. Accordingly, it is possible to compute the average change in value over a selected period for sold and unsold parcels and, if necessary, test to determine whether observed differences are significant. If, for example, values for vacant sold parcels in an area have increased by 45 percent since the previous reappraisal, but values for vacant unsold parcels have increased only 10 percent, sold and unsold parcels appear to have not been equally appraised. This apparent disparity between the treatment of sold and unsold properties provides an initial indication of poor assessment practices and should trigger further inquiry into the reasons for the disparity.

Gloudemans, Robert J., Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 311.

IV. Analysis of Percentage Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales File to Percentage Change in Assessed Value Continued

% Change in Total Assessed Value in the Sales		% Change in Assessed Value (excl. growth)
0	2008	-0.99
16.67	2007	5.04
0	2006	-0.86
-9.49	2005	0.88
-17.4	2004	2.21
-7	2003	4
-3.03	2002	6.87
1.69	2001	-0.74

COMMERCIAL: A comparison of the percent change in the sales file to the percent change in the commercial base (excluding growth) reveals only a slight difference. The sales file is reflective of the assessment actions for 2008 in that nothing major was done other than routine maintenance.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios

There are three measures of central tendency calculated by the Division: median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. Since each measure of central tendency has strengths and weaknesses, the use of any statistic for equalization should be reconciled with the other two, as in an appraisal, based on the appropriateness in the use of the statistic for a defined purpose, the quantity of the information from which it was drawn, and the reliability of the data that was used in its calculation. An examination of the three measures can serve to illustrate important trends in the data if the measures do not closely correlate to each other.

The IAAO considers the median ratio the most appropriate statistical measure for use in determining level of value for "direct" equalization; the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to the determination of level of value at a point above or below a particular range. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, its use in adjusting the class or subclass of properties will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present within the class or subclass of properties, thus rendering an adjustment neutral in its impact on the relative tax burden to an individual property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers. One outlier in a small sample size of sales can have controlling influence over the other measures of central tendency. The median ratio limits the distortion potential of an outlier.

The weighted mean ratio is viewed by the IAAO as the most appropriate statistical measure for "indirect" equalization; to ensure proper funding distribution of aid to political subdivisions, particularly when the distribution in part is based on the assessable value in that political subdivision, Standard on Ratio Studies, International Association of Assessing Officers, (2007). The weighted mean, because it is a value weighted ratio, best reflects a comparison of the assessed and market value of property in the political subdivision. If the distribution of aid to political subdivisions must relate to the market value available for assessment in the political subdivision, the measurement of central tendency used to analyze level of value should reflect the dollars of value available to be assessed. The weighted mean ratio does that more than either of the other measures of central tendency.

If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the median ratio, it may be an indication of other problems with assessment proportionality. When this occurs, an evaluation of the county's assessment practices and procedures is appropriate to discover remedies to the situation.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the price related differential and coefficient of variation. However, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of level of value because it assumes a normal distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.

V. Analysis of the R&O Median, Wgt. Mean, and Mean Ratios Continued

	Median	Wgt. Mean	Mean
R&O Statistics	95.00	88.54	87.59

COMMERCIAL: Only the median measure of central tendency is within the acceptable range. There are two outliers that are impacting the other two measures, the mean and weighted mean. Book 0092 page 0151 sale date 08/12/05 is a bar/restaurant in Holbrook and the amount declared for personal property is questionable, book 0092 page 0569 sale date 07/26/06 were lots originally part of the property owned by the motel in Arapahoe and then sold off, unable to verify what they will be used for. Since there are few commercial sales in Furnas County they have been left in the sales file. When hypothetically removing them there affect is mitigated and the measures are within the acceptable range, the mean is 91.94 and the weighted mean is 95.42. The median measure of central tendency will be used as the best indicator for the level of value for the commercial class of property.

VI. Analysis of R&O COD and PRD

In analyzing the statistical data of assessment quality, there are two measures primarily relied upon by assessment officials. The Coefficient of Dispersion, COD, is produced to measure assessment uniformity. A low COD tends to indicate good assessment uniformity as there is a smaller "spread" or dispersion of the ratios in the sales file. A COD of less than 15 suggests that there is good assessment uniformity. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 235-237. The IAAO has issued performance standards for major property groups:

Single-family residences: a COD of 15 percent or less. For newer and fairly homogeneous areas: a COD of 10 or less. Income-producing property: a COD of 20 or less, or in larger urban jurisdictions, 15 or less. Vacant land and other unimproved property, such as agricultural land: a COD of 20 or less. Rural residential and seasonal properties: a COD of 20 or less.

Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 246.

The Price Related Differential, PRD, is produced to measure assessment vertical uniformity (progressivity or regressivity). For example, assessments are considered regressive if high value properties are under-assessed relative to low value properties. A PRD of greater than 100 suggests that high value properties are relatively under-assessed. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), pp. 239-240. A PRD of less than 100 indicates that high value properties are relatively over-assessed. As a general rule, except for small samples, a PRD should range between 98 and 103. This range is centered slightly above 100 to allow for a slightly upward measurement bias inherent in the PRD. Mass Appraisal of Real Property, International Association of Assessing Officers, (1999), p. 247.

The analysis in this section indicates whether the COD and PRD meet the performance standards described above

	COD	PRD
R&O Statistics	19.98	98.93
Difference	0	0

COMMERCIAL: The primary measures for quality of assessment, the coefficient of dispersion and the price related differential, are both within their respective standard and indicating that the commercial properties are being treated in a uniform and proportionate manner. However, if the two outliers (book 0092 page 0151 sale date 08/12/05 and book 0092 page 0569 sale date 07/26/06) are hypothetically removed the COD (16.50%) is further improved, but the PRD (96.35%) is now indicating progressivity.

VII. Analysis of Change in Statistics Due to Assessor Actions

This section compares the statistical indicators from the Preliminary Statistical Reports to the same statistical indicators from the R&O Statistical Reports. The analysis that follows explains the changes in the statistical indicators in consideration of the assessment actions taken by the county assessor.

	Preliminary Statistics	R&O Statistics	Change
Number of Sales	23	23	0
Median	95.00	95.00	0
Wgt. Mean	88.54	88.54	0
Mean	87.59	87.59	0
COD	19.98	19.98	0
PRD	98.93	98.93	0
Min Sales Ratio	37.58	37.58	0
Max Sales Ratio	134.37	134.37	0

COMMERCIAL: The table is a reflection of the assessment actions for 2008 in that there was no significant action taken other than routine maintenance within the commercial class/subclasses for assessment year 2008.

Furnas County 2008 Assessment Actions taken to address the following property classes/subclasses:

Agricultural

It was determined from an analysis of the market not to change any of the land values for assessment year 2008.

The assessor and staff have been busy this year dealing with issues over the lawsuit of the Republican River Compact and Kansas. And working with taxpayers and the Natural Resource District (NRD) to make sure the irrigated acres certified by the NRD are those that are listed on the property record cards. The assessor has commented that any property record cards that need to be addressed after the March 19th deadline of completing the assessment of real property, she will take to the county board of equalization.

2008 Assessment Survey for Furnas County

Agricultural Appraisal Information

1.	Data collection done by:
	The assessor and staff complete the land valuation process and the contracted
	appraiser will complete the data collection of the improvements.
2.	Valuation done by:
	The assessor.
3.	Pickup work done by whom:
	The contracted part-time appraiser.
4.	Does the county have a written policy or written standards to specifically
	define agricultural land versus rural residential acreages?
	Yes, as follows in 4. a.
a.	How is agricultural land defined in this county?

FURNAS COUNTY POLICY REGARDING ASSESSMENT OF

AGRICULTURAL AND HORTICULTURAL LANDS

The Legislature finds and declares that agricultural and horticultural land shall be a separate and distinct class of real property for purposes of assessment (Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-1359 to 77-1363).

DEFINITIONS

Agricultural & Horticultural land: a parcel of land which is primarily used for agricultural or horticultural purposes, including wasteland lying in or adjacent to and in common ownership or management with other agricultural and horticultural land. It does not include any land directly associated with any building or enclosed structure. Agricultural or horticultural purposes means used for the commercial production of any plant or animal product in a raw or unprocessed state that is derived from the science and art of agriculture, aquaculture or horticulture. Agricultural and horticultural land shall be valued at 75% of actual value.

Farm Home Site: means not more than one acre of land contiguous to a farm site which includes an inhabitable residence and improvement used for residential purposes, including utility connections, water and sewer systems, and improved access to a public road. (Neb. Rev. Stat 77-1359(3))

Farm Site: means the portion of land contiguous to land actively devoted to agriculture which includes improvements that are agricultural or horticultural in nature, including any uninhabitable or unimproved farm home site (Neb. Rev. Stat 77-1356(4)).

The above site acres shall be assessed at 100% or actual value. The Assessor will periodically review all parcels to verify the continued use for agricultural and horticultural purposes. To ensure the property is classified properly, the assessor may request additional information from the property owner and/or conduct a physical inspection of the parcels. When was the last date that the Income Approach was used to estimate or 5. establish the market value of the properties in this class? The income approach has not been used. 6. What is the date of the soil survey currently used? 1979 7. What date was the last countywide land use study completed? 2005 a. By what method? (Physical inspection, FSA maps, etc.) The FSA maps were reviewed and updated land use acres from the current owners FSA maps. b. By whom? The assessor and staff. c. What proportion is complete / implemented at this time? One hundred percent, land use is kept current through annual maintenance. 8. Number of market areas/neighborhoods in the agricultural property class: 1

9.	How are market areas/neighborhoods defined in this property class?
	Market data is applicable to the whole county.
10.	Has the county implemented (or is in the process of implementing) special valuation for agricultural land within the county?
	Yes, only a portion of the county along the Republican River, known as areas 3,4,5, and 6.

Agricultural Permit Numbers:

Permits	Information Statements	Other	Total
0	0	0	0

2008 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Counties that have Implemented Special Value for Furnas County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me about the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5027 (R. S. Supp., 2005). While I rely primarily on the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property, my opinion of level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained in the RO. Although my primary resource regarding quality of assessment are the performance standards issued by the IAAO, my opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is 74% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Special Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the special valuation of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is 74% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the special valuation of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Recapture Valuation of Agricultural Land

It is my opinion that the level of value of the recapture valuation of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is 74% of actual value. It is my opinion that the quality of assessment for the recapture valuation of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County is in compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal practices.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Ruth A. Sorensen

Kidh a. Sorensen

Property Tax Administrator

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION for Furnas County

I. Agricultural Land Value Correlation

In Furnas County there are fifty-two qualified unimproved agricultural sales that are valued as having non-influenced value. Two of the three measures of central tendency are within the prescribed parameter, median (74.22) and arithmetic mean (72.31), the weighted mean is below at 67.63. Outlier(s) do not appear to be affecting these measures. For direct equalization purposes the median measure of central tendency will be used in determining the overall level of value. Both of the qualitative measures, coefficient of dispersion (17.01) and price related differential (106.92) are suggesting that the assessment of the agricultural unimproved class has been done in a uniform and proportionate manner. Even though the price related differential is above the range by approximately three points (3.92) it is not a concern because of the known assessment practices within Furnas County. A review of all available statistical data and administrative reports indicates that Furnas County has achieved an acceptable level of value and that the quality of assessment has been met.

There will be no adjustment recommended to the agricultural class of land in Furnas County.

PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics

Base Stat

PAGE: 1 of 4

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			\mathbf{P}^{A}	AD 2008 R&	XU Agr	<u>icultural Statis</u>	Dasc 5	au t			
					Type: Qualific					Query: 6545	
						ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales:	:	52	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	22.63	95% 1	Median C.I.: 70.0	1 to 79.54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) TOTAL Sal	les Price:	: 6	5,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36		. Mean C.I.: 61.4		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sal	les Price:	: 6	5,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	_	% Mean C.I.: 67.		(<i>unu</i> 111111-0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Assess	sed Value:	: 4	1,168,275			1100.1120.22	12.03		07.	00 00 70.70	
AVG. Adj. Sal	les Price:	;	118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
AVG. Assess	sed Value:	•	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:37:33
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04	5	78.32	82.37	80.97	7.9	6 101.73	73.53	91.53	N/A	108,900	88,171
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04	6	77.13	78.33	78.65	15.1	2 99.59	59.85	94.75	59.85 to 94.75	187,493	147,465
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05	3	64.17	65.40	65.18	6.3	1 100.34	59.94	72.08	N/A	137,675	89,730
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	4	75.43	70.36	65.93	18.7	7 106.72	41.07	89.49	N/A	92,500	60,982
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05	5	78.24	73.65	64.06	22.9	1 114.97	38.76	106.17	N/A	80,690	51,691
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05	6	78.79	78.49	78.24	13.3	1 100.33	63.22	98.34	63.22 to 98.34	64,914	50,785
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06	7	73.32	71.21	65.46	8.1	9 108.79	47.20	79.75	47.20 to 79.75	135,500	88,700
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06	6	77.49	71.55	60.02	20.8	7 119.21	43.32	102.81	43.32 to 102.81	120,166	72,125
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06	1	80.00	80.00	80.00			80.00	80.00	N/A	41,975	33,580
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06	3	81.19	79.42	78.04	7.0	0 101.76	70.01	87.06	N/A	80,833	63,085
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07	2	54.60	54.60	54.94	1.9	4 99.38	53.54	55.66	N/A	177,000	97,242
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07	4	47.97	51.55	48.42	17.5	8 106.47	41.44	68.84	N/A	152,500	73,841
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	18	75.59	75.52	74.98	14.3		41.07	94.75	66.63 to 89.49	136,249	102,153
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06	24	76.06	73.62	65.66	16.2		38.76	106.17	64.69 to 80.31	102,601	•
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07	10	62.25	63.37	57.08	22.5	8 111.01	41.44	87.06	44.80 to 81.19	124,847	71,268
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	18	74.10	73.16	68.29	18.2		38.76	106.17	63.22 to 83.65	87,553	59,793
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06	17	76.01	73.30	65.33	13.0	0 112.20	43.32	102.81	70.01 to 80.31	114,939	75,087
ALL											

17.01

106.92

38.76

106.17

70.01 to 79.54

118,526

80,159

52

74.22

72.31

67.63

PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics

Base Stat

PAGE: 2 of 4

33 - FURNAS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			\mathbf{P}_{A}	D 2008 R	&O Agr	ricultural Sta	Base S	tat		PAGE:2 of 4	
					Type: Qualifi		инонсь			Query: 6545	
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06	/30/2007 Poste	d Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	52	MEDIAN:	74	C	ov: 22.63	95%	Median C.I.: 7	70 01 +0 79 54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	: (6,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68		TD: 16.36		. Mean C.I.: 6		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: (6,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.D				67.86 to 76.76	(unu+11A1 = 0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 4	4,168,275			1100.1100.0	12.03			07.00 00 70.70	
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Rat	io: 106.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Rat	io: 38.76			Printed: 04/02.	/2008 16:37:33
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
4103	1	73.53	73.53	73.53			73.53	73.53	N/A	37,500	27,575
4105	3	44.80	55.29	49.43	28.9	97 111.86	41.07	80.00	N/A	74,825	36,985
4107	2	75.01	75.01	55.75	37.0	134.53	47.20	102.81	N/A	195,000	108,717
4109	1	59.94	59.94	59.94			59.94	59.94	N/A	135,625	81,290
4111	3	66.63	72.35	75.68	19.5	95.60	55.66	94.75	N/A	327,195	247,610
4264	1	53.54	53.54	53.54			53.54	53.54	N/A	120,000	64,250
4265	2	84.30	84.30	82.92	3.3	35 101.66	81.47	87.12	N/A	64,500	53,482
4267	4	72.32	71.13	69.76	7.6	101.98	59.85	80.05	N/A	146,375	102,106
4271	2	78.93	78.93	78.52	0.7	77 100.52	78.32	79.54	N/A	108,000	84,800
4273	5	76.01	65.24	57.83	17.1	112.81	41.44	79.75	N/A	150,700	87,147
4343	1	78.24	78.24	78.24			78.24	78.24	N/A	45,000	35,210
4345	2	78.54	78.54	75.34	10.8	36 104.24	70.01	87.06	N/A	65,250	49,160
4347	3	89.49	78.58	63.29	18.7	78 124.16	47.91	98.34	N/A	121,000	76,581
4349	2	77.83	77.83	75.44	3.7	74 103.17	74.92	80.74	N/A	46,087	34,767
4511	7	68.84	71.48	64.45	19.4	14 110.91	43.32	106.17	43.32 to 106	.17 101,762	65,581
4513	5	64.69	67.76	65.69	21.6	59 103.15	38.76	94.48	N/A	107,700	70,748
4515	3	90.81	84.81	82.03	7.1	103.39	72.08	91.53	N/A	87,000	71,363
4517	3	72.77	72.63	72.71	0.8	38 99.88	71.59	73.52	N/A	86,066	62,581
4519	2	82.42	82.42	82.20	1.4	19 100.27	81.19	83.65	N/A	94,750	77,880
ALL											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.		80,159
AREA (M	ARKET)									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C		Assd Val
1	51	74.92	72.92	68.15	16.3	30 107.01	38.76	106.17	71.32 to 79.		80,784
4	1	41.07	41.07	41.07			41.07	41.07	N/A	117,500	48,255
ALL											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.	.54 118,526	80,159

COD

17.01

17.01

PRD

106.92

106.92

MIN

38.76

38.76

MAX

106.17

106.17

95% Median C.I.

70.01 to 79.54

70.01 to 79.54

STATUS: IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED & IOLL

COUNT

52

52

MEDIAN

74.22

74.22

MEAN

72.31

72.31

WGT. MEAN

67.63

67.63

RANGE

__ALL__

2

Avg. Adj.

Sale Price

118,526

118,526

Avg.

Assd Val

80,159

80,159

Base Stat

PAD 2008 R&O Agricultural Statistics

Type: Qualified 33 - FURNAS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED

Query: 6545

PAGE:3 of 4

AGRICULTU	JRAL UNIMPROVED			7	Гуре: Qualifi			Query: 6545			
					Date Rar	nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	1007 Posted 1	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales		52	MEDIAN:	74	cov:	22.63	95%	Median C.I.: 70.0	1 to 79.54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	: 6	,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 61.4	6 to 73.80	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	: 6	,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	95	% Mean C.I.: 67.	86 to 76.76	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	: 4	,168,275								
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:37:33
MAJORITY	LAND USE > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	4	67.97	64.44	56.95	20.5	113.15	41.07	80.74	N/A	81,949	46,672
DRY-N/A	22	78.28	78.11	74.75	11.4	104.49	38.76	102.81	71.59 to 87.06	89,504	66,904
GRASS	2	63.06	63.06	63.04	2.5	100.02	61.42	64.69	N/A	63,500	40,032
GRASS-N/A	15	76.11	72.71	68.48	13.7	75 106.18	51.13	94.48	59.85 to 81.47	117,063	80,165
IRRGTD	1	44.80	44.80	44.80			44.80	44.80	N/A	65,000	29,120
IRRGTD-N/	A 8	57.27	65.29	62.44	35.4	104.56	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	239,817	149,753
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
MAJORITY	LAND USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	12	74.77	71.19	67.33	15.8	105.73	38.76	98.34	59.94 to 80.74	109,689	73,857
DRY-N/A	14	79.65	80.13	78.76	9.6	55 101.75	64.17	102.81	70.01 to 90.81	70,045	55,164
GRASS	3	64.69	68.70	67.26	9.5	102.15	61.42	80.00	N/A	56,325	37,881
GRASS-N/A	14	74.72	72.19	68.20	14.6	105.85	51.13	94.48	55.66 to 83.65	122,427	83,492
IRRGTD	3	47.20	62.25	71.14	35.2	87.50	44.80	94.75	N/A	267,362	190,201
IRRGTD-N/	A 6	57.27	63.40	55.57	33.4	114.09	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	196,908	109,423
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
MAJORITY	LAND USE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	26	77.94	76.01	72.21	12.7	105.26	38.76	102.81	71.59 to 80.31	88,342	63,791
GRASS	17	73.32	71.58	68.11	14.4	105.08	51.13	94.48	59.85 to 81.47	110,762	75,443
IRRGTD	7	47.91	64.13	63.51	39.9	100.97	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	241,505	153,382
IRRGTD-N/	A 2	59.12	59.12	52.38	26.7	112.87	43.32	74.92	N/A	146,500	76,732
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
SCHOOL D	ISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
33-0018	8	69.13	68.53	61.08	24.0	112.20	44.80	102.81	44.80 to 102.81	135,325	82,657
33-0021	8	73.53	64.29	58.33	17.0	110.20	41.07	79.75	41.07 to 79.75	128,712	75,084
33-0540	28	74.12	74.17	70.80	18.2	25 104.76	38.76	106.17	64.69 to 81.47	123,003	87,089
42-0002	1	76.11	76.11	76.11			76.11	76.11	N/A	104,984	79,905
73-0179	7	78.24	77.79	77.28	6.8	100.66	70.01	87.06	70.01 to 87.06	71,714	55,417
NonValid	School										
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
										•	

33 - FURNAS COUNTY			PA	AD 2008 R&		PAGE:4 of 4						
AGRICULT	URAL UNIMPR	OVED				Type: Qualifie					Query: 6545	
						• •	ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	3/2008		
	NUMBER	R of Sales	:	52	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	22.63	95%	Median C.I.:	70.01 to 79.54	(!: Derived
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sa	ales Price	: 6	6,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36			61.46 to 73.80	(!: land+NAT=0
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sa	ales Price	: 6	6,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	_	% Mean C.I.:	67.86 to 76.76	(** ***********************************
(AgLand)	TOTAL Asses	ssed Value	: 4	4,168,275								
	AVG. Adj. Sa	ales Price	:	118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
	AVG. Asses	ssed Value	:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76			Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:37:3
ACRES IN	SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
10.01 T	30.00	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
30.01 T	50.00	1	44.80	44.80	44.80			44.80	44.80	N/A	65,000	29,120
50.01 T	0 100.00	9	78.24	80.41	80.70	10.5	99.64	63.22	106.17	72.77 to 87	7.12 44,024	35,520
100.01 T	0 180.00	21	71.59	70.52	61.62	22.2	5 114.44	38.76	102.81	59.94 to 83	3.65 102,396	63,09
180.01 T	330.00	15	76.11	72.57	69.35	11.30	104.64	47.20	94.48	64.17 to 79	9.75 142,672	98,94
330.01 T	O 650.00	5	66.63	68.30	71.51	18.39	95.51	51.13	94.75	N/A	280,717	200,731
ALL_												
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79	•	80,159
SALE PRI	CE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
Low												
5000 TC		1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
Tota	-											
1 1		1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	
30000 T		10	79.77	82.60	83.56	11.46		63.22	106.17	72.77 to 98	•	35,489
60000 T		17	80.05	78.05	78.07	13.39		44.80	102.81	68.84 to 90		
100000 T		10	72.80	68.64	68.25	12.43		41.07	81.19	53.54 to 79		
150000 T		10	57.76		58.54	20.18		38.76	78.32	43.32 to 77	•	
250000 T		4	56.92	62.51	65.57	31.9	95.33	41.44	94.75	N/A	333,396	218,606
ALL_												
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79	<u> </u>	80,159
	VALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median	C.I. Sale Price	Assd Val

KANGE		COONI	MEDIAN	MEMIN	WGI. MEAN	COD	FKD	ITIN	I-IIAX	Jos Median C.I.		
Low \$_												
5000 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
Total \$	5											
1 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
10000 TO	29999	5	73.53	69.64	65.84	15.95	105.78	44.80	87.12	N/A	42,000	27,651
30000 TO	59999	13	78.24	76.54	72.96	14.47	104.90	41.07	106.17	64.69 to 87.06	60,668	44,263
60000 TO	99999	22	75.52	74.13	69.47	16.10	106.71	38.76	102.81	64.17 to 83.65	109,474	76,047
100000 TO	149999	8	63.49	62.09	60.26	20.57	103.05	41.44	78.32	41.44 to 78.32	208,812	125,825
150000 TO	249999	2	56.92	56.92	57.06	17.07	99.74	47.20	66.63	N/A	335,250	191,305
250000 TO	499999	1	94.75	94.75	94.75			94.75	94.75	N/A	407,087	385,735
ALL	_											
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.01	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION for Furnas County

II. Special Value Correlation

Only a small portion of Furnas County is affected by special value, for purposes of valuation the value has been established from like uninfluenced agricultural sales that have occurred in the surrounding area and valued the same as other agricultural property in this market area.

Base Stat PAGE:1 of 4 33 - FURNAS COUNTY PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics Query: 6545 AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008 NUMBER of Sales: 52 **MEDIAN:** 74 95% Median C.I.: 70.01 to 79.54 COV: 22.63 (!: Derived) TOTAL Sales Price: (AgLand) 6,163,395 WGT. MEAN: 68 STD: 16.36 95% Wgt. Mean C.I.: 61.46 to 73.80 (!: land+NAT=0)TOTAL Adj. Sales Price: 6,163,395 (AgLand) MEAN: 72 95% Mean C.I.: AVG.ABS.DEV: 12.63 67.86 to 76.76 TOTAL Assessed Value: 4,168,275 (AgLand) AVG. Adj. Sales Price: MAX Sales Ratio: 106.17 118,526 COD: 17.01 AVG. Assessed Value: 80,159 PRD: 106.92 MIN Sales Ratio: 38.76 Printed: 04/02/2008 17:07:49 Avg. Adj. DATE OF SALE * Avg. Sale Price Assd Val RANGE MEDIAN WGT. MEAN COD 95% Median C.I. COUNT MEAN PRD MIN MAX Ortrs 07/01/04 TO 09/30/04 5 78.32 82.37 80.97 7.96 101.73 73.53 91.53 N/A 108,900 88,171 10/01/04 TO 12/31/04 6 77.13 78.33 78.65 15.12 99.59 59.85 94.75 59.85 to 94.75 187,493 147,465 65.40 01/01/05 TO 03/31/05 3 64.17 65.18 6.31 100.34 59.94 72.08 N/A 137,675 89,730 04/01/05 TO 06/30/05 4 75.43 70.36 65.93 18.77 106.72 41.07 89.49 N/A 92,500 60,982 07/01/05 TO 09/30/05 5 78.24 73.65 64.06 22.91 114.97 38.76 106.17 N/A 80,690 51,691 10/01/05 TO 12/31/05 6 78.79 78.49 78.24 13.31 100.33 63.22 98.34 63.22 to 98.34 64,914 50,785 7 01/01/06 TO 03/31/06 73.32 71.21 65.46 8.19 108.79 47.20 79.75 47.20 to 79.75 135,500 88,700 04/01/06 TO 06/30/06 6 77.49 71.55 60.02 20.87 119.21 43.32 102.81 43.32 to 102.81 120,166 72,125 07/01/06 TO 09/30/06 1 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 N/A 41,975 33,580 10/01/06 TO 12/31/06 3 81.19 79.42 78.04 7.00 101.76 70.01 87.06 N/A 80,833 63,085 01/01/07 TO 03/31/07 54.60 54.60 54.94 1.94 99.38 53.54 55.66 N/A 177,000 97,242 04/01/07 TO 06/30/07 4 47.97 51.55 48.42 17.58 106.47 41.44 68.84 N/A 152,500 73,841 Study Years 07/01/04 TO 06/30/05 18 75.59 75.52 74.98 14.35 100.73 41.07 94.75 66.63 to 89.49 136,249 102,153 102,601 07/01/05 TO 06/30/06 24 76.06 73.62 65.66 16.26 112.13 38.76 106.17 64.69 to 80.31 67,367 07/01/06 TO 06/30/07 10 62.25 63.37 57.08 22.58 111.01 41.44 87.06 44.80 to 81.19 124,847 71,268 Calendar Yrs_ 01/01/05 TO 12/31/05 18 74.10 73.16 68.29 18.25 107.12 38.76 106.17 63.22 to 83.65 87,553 59,793

01/01/06 TO 12/31/06

ALL

17

52

76.01

74.22

73.30

72.31

65.33

67.63

13.00

17.01

112.20

106.92

43.32

38.76

102.81

106.17

70.01 to 80.31

70.01 to 79.54

114,939

118,526

75,087

80,159

PAGE: 2 of 4

PAGE: 2 of 4

33 - FURNAS COUNTY			PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics								FAGE:2 OF 4
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			Type: Qualified					Query: 6545			
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	/2008		
	NUMBER of Sales	:	52	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	22.63	95%	Median C.I.: 7	0.01 to 79.54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price	:	6,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36		. Mean C.I.: 6		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj.Sales Price	:	6,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	_		67.86 to 76.76	(unu+11A1=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value	:	4,168,275			AVG.ADG.DEV.	12.05	, ,	·	07.00 00 70.70	
()	AVG. Adj. Sales Price	:	118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value	:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76			Printed: 04/02/	/2008 17:07:49
GEO COD	E / TOWNSHIP #									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.	.I. Sale Price	Assd Val
4103	1	73.53		73.53			73.53	73.53	N/A	37,500	27,575
4105	3	44.80		49.43	28.9	7 111.86	41.07	80.00	N/A	74,825	36,985
4107	2	75.01		55.75	37.0		47.20	102.81	N/A	195,000	108,717
4109	1	59.94		59.94			59.94	59.94	N/A	135,625	81,290
4111	3	66.63		75.68	19.5	6 95.60	55.66	94.75	N/A	327,195	247,610
4264	1	53.54		53.54			53.54	53.54	N/A	120,000	64,250
4265	2	84.30		82.92	3.3	5 101.66	81.47	87.12	N/A	64,500	53,482
4267	4	72.32		69.76	7.6		59.85	80.05	N/A	146,375	102,106
4271	2	78.93		78.52	0.7		78.32	79.54	N/A	108,000	84,800
4273	5	76.01		57.83	17.1		41.44	79.75	N/A	150,700	87,147
4343	1	78.24		78.24		111.01	78.24	78.24	N/A	45,000	35,210
4345	2	78.54		75.34	10.8	6 104.24	70.01	87.06	N/A	65,250	49,160
4347	3	89.49		63.29	18.7		47.91	98.34	N/A	121,000	76,581
4349	2	77.83		75.44	3.7		74.92	80.74	N/A	46,087	34,767
4511	7	68.84		64.45	19.4		43.32	106.17	43.32 to 106.		65,581
4513	, 5	64.69		65.69	21.6		38.76	94.48	N/A	107,700	70,748
4515	3	90.81		82.03	7.1		72.08	91.53	N/A	87,000	71,363
4517	3	72.77		72.71	0.8		71.59	73.52	N/A	86,066	62,581
4519	2	82.42		82.20	1.4		81.19	83.65	N/A	94,750	77,880
ALL		02.12	02.12	02.20	1.1	100.27	01.15	03.03	N/ A	21,750	77,000
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.	54 118,526	80,159
AREA (M										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val
1	51	74.92		68.15	16.3		38.76	106.17	71.32 to 79.		80,784
4	1	41.07		41.07			41.07	41.07	N/A	117,500	48,255
ALL									,	:,,	,
	<u></u> 52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.	54 118,526	80,159
STATUS:	IMPROVED, UNIMPROVED	D & IOI								Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN		WGT. MEAN	CO	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.		Assd Val
2	52	74.22		67.63	17.0		38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.		80,159
ALL											
_	 52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.	54 118,526	80,159

PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics

Base Stat

PAGE:3 of 4

33 - FURNAS COUNTY
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED

PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics

Type: Qualified

Type: Qualified Query: 6545

AGRICULTU	JRAL UNIMPROVED			•	Type: Qualifi	ed 19e: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	007 Dogtod	Before: 01/18	2/2000	Query: 0545	
	NUMBER of Colo		F.0	A AEDT A NI		ige: 07/01/2004 to 00/30/20	oo/ Fosteu				
(A T I)	NUMBER of Sales		52	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	22.63			1 to 79.54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Sales Price		5,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36	_	. Mean C.I.: 61.4		(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand)	TOTAL Adj. Sales Price		5,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	95	% Mean C.I.: 67.	86 to 76.76	
(AgLand)	TOTAL Assessed Value		1,168,275	205.	17 01	May Calas Batis	106 17				
	AVG. Adj. Sales Price		118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
	AVG. Assessed Value	2:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76				/2008 17:07:49
	LAND USE > 95%				9				050 11 0 7	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	4	67.97	64.44	56.95	20.5		41.07	80.74	N/A	81,949	46,672
DRY-N/A	22	78.28	78.11	74.75	11.4		38.76	102.81	71.59 to 87.06	89,504	66,904
GRASS	2	63.06	63.06	63.04	2.5		61.42	64.69	N/A	63,500	40,032
GRASS-N/A		76.11	72.71	68.48	13.7	75 106.18	51.13	94.48	59.85 to 81.47	117,063	80,165
IRRGTD	1	44.80	44.80	44.80			44.80	44.80	N/A	65,000	29,120
IRRGTD-N/	A 8	57.27	65.29	62.44	35.4	104.56	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	239,817	149,753
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
	LAND USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	12	74.77	71.19	67.33	15.8		38.76	98.34	59.94 to 80.74	109,689	73,857
DRY-N/A	14	79.65	80.13	78.76	9.6		64.17	102.81	70.01 to 90.81	70,045	55,164
GRASS	3	64.69	68.70	67.26	9.5		61.42	80.00	N/A	56,325	37,881
GRASS-N/A		74.72	72.19	68.20	14.6		51.13	94.48	55.66 to 83.65	122,427	83,492
IRRGTD	3	47.20	62.25	71.14	35.2	87.50	44.80	94.75	N/A	267,362	190,201
IRRGTD-N/	A 6	57.27	63.40	55.57	33.4	114.09	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	196,908	109,423
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
MAJORITY	LAND USE > 50%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC		MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
DRY	26	77.94	76.01	72.21	12.7	105.26	38.76	102.81	71.59 to 80.31	88,342	63,791
GRASS	17	73.32	71.58	68.11	14.4	105.08	51.13	94.48	59.85 to 81.47	110,762	75,443
IRRGTD	7	47.91	64.13	63.51	39.9	100.97	41.44	106.17	41.44 to 106.17	241,505	153,382
IRRGTD-N/	A 2	59.12	59.12	52.38	26.7	112.87	43.32	74.92	N/A	146,500	76,732
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
SCHOOL D	ISTRICT *									Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
(blank)											
33-0018	8	69.13	68.53	61.08	24.0	112.20	44.80	102.81	44.80 to 102.81	135,325	82,657
33-0021	8	73.53	64.29	58.33	17.0	110.20	41.07	79.75	41.07 to 79.75	128,712	75,084
33-0540	28	74.12	74.17	70.80	18.2	25 104.76	38.76	106.17	64.69 to 81.47	123,003	87,089
42-0002	1	76.11	76.11	76.11			76.11	76.11	N/A	104,984	79,905
73-0179	7	78.24	77.79	77.28	6.8	100.66	70.01	87.06	70.01 to 87.06	71,714	55,417
NonValid	School										
ALL_											
	52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159

Base Stat **PAD 2008 Special Value Statistics** PAGE:4 of 4 33 - FURNAS COUNTY

AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED			Type: Qualified Query: 6545									
HORICOLION	in ontinino	7120						007 Dogtod	Dafama, 01/10	/2000	guery, se ie	
							ge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/20	JO7 Posted				
		of Sales		52	MEDIAN:	74	COV:	22.63	95%	Median C.I.: 70.0	1 to 79.54	(!: Derived)
(AgLand)		les Price		,163,395	WGT. MEAN:	68	STD:	16.36	95% Wgt	. Mean C.I.: 61.4	6 to 73.80	(!: land+NAT=0)
(8)	OTAL Adj.Sa			,163,395	MEAN:	72	AVG.ABS.DEV:	12.63	95	% Mean C.I.: 67.	86 to 76.76	
(8)	TOTAL Asses			,168,275								
ΑV	VG. Adj. Sa			118,526	COD:	17.01	MAX Sales Ratio:	106.17				
	AVG. Asses	sed Value	:	80,159	PRD:	106.92	MIN Sales Ratio:	38.76				′2008 17:07:49
ACRES IN S	SALE										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
10.01 TO	30.00	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
30.01 TO	50.00	1	44.80	44.80	44.80			44.80	44.80	N/A	65,000	29,120
50.01 TO	100.00	9	78.24	80.41	80.70	10.50	6 99.64	63.22	106.17	72.77 to 87.12	44,024	35,526
100.01 TO	180.00	21	71.59	70.52	61.62	22.2	5 114.44	38.76	102.81	59.94 to 83.65	102,396	63,095
180.01 TO	330.00	15	76.11	72.57	69.35	11.30	0 104.64	47.20	94.48	64.17 to 79.75	142,672	98,944
330.01 TO	650.00	5	66.63	68.30	71.51	18.39	9 95.51	51.13	94.75	N/A	280,717	200,731
ALL												
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.03	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
SALE PRICE	₹ *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$	5											
5000 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
Total	\$											
1 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
30000 TO	59999	10	79.77	82.60	83.56	11.40	6 98.84	63.22	106.17	72.77 to 98.34	42,469	35,489
60000 TO	99999	17	80.05	78.05	78.07	13.39	9 99.98	44.80	102.81	68.84 to 90.81	72,984	56,977
100000 TO	149999	10	72.80	68.64	68.25	12.43	3 100.56	41.07	81.19	53.54 to 79.75	119,580	81,616
150000 TO	249999	10	57.76	59.01	58.54	20.18	8 100.81	38.76	78.32	43.32 to 77.65	196,040	114,757
250000 TO	499999	4	56.92	62.51	65.57	31.9	5 95.33	41.44	94.75	N/A	333,396	218,606
ALL												
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
ASSESSED V	/ALUE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE		COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	COI	D PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Low \$	S											
5000 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
Total	\$											
1 TO	9999	1	80.74	80.74	80.74			80.74	80.74	N/A	8,174	6,600
10000 TO	29999	5	73.53	69.64	65.84	15.9	5 105.78	44.80	87.12	N/A	42,000	27,651
30000 TO	59999	13	78.24	76.54	72.96	14.4		41.07	106.17	64.69 to 87.06	60,668	44,263
60000 TO	99999	22	75.52	74.13	69.47	16.10		38.76	102.81	64.17 to 83.65	109,474	76,047
100000 TO	149999	8	63.49	62.09	60.26	20.5		41.44	78.32	41.44 to 78.32	208,812	125,825
150000 TO	249999	2	56.92	56.92	57.06	17.0		47.20	66.63	N/A	335,250	191,305
250000 TO	499999	1	94.75	94.75	94.75	1,.0	, ,,,,	94.75	94.75	N/A	407,087	385,735
ALL	10000	_	21.73	21.73	21.73			21.13	21.13	IV/ A	107,007	303,733
		52	74.22	72.31	67.63	17.0	1 106.92	38.76	106.17	70.01 to 79.54	118,526	80,159
			—								.,	,

SPECIAL VALUE SECTION CORRELATION for Furnas County

III. Recapture Value Correlation

In Furnas County there was only one qualified unimproved agricultural sales that has a recapture value. For measurement purposes the sample is not sufficient and there is no other information available that would indicate that the recapture value of the agricultural class has not been met.

There will be no recommended adjustment to the recapture valuation of the class of agricultural land in Furnas County.

33 - FURNAS COUNTY		P	AD 2008 R	Recantili	re Value Stat	istics	Ba	se Stat		PAGE:1 of 3	
AGRICULTURAL UNIMPRO	OVED		_		Type: Qualif			_		Query: 6545	
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/	30/2007 P	osted Before:	01/18/2008		
NUMBER	of Sales	ş:	1	MEDIAN:	67	CC	ov: 0	.00	95% Median C.I.:	N/A	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) TOTAL Sa	les Price	:	394,000	WGT. MEAN:	67				Wgt. Mean C.I.:	N/A	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sa	les Price	:	394,000	MEAN:	67	AVG.ABS.DI		.00	95% Mean C.I.:	N/A	(unu+11A1 = 0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Asses	sed Value	:	264,260			1100.1100.01				14/11	
AVG. Adj. Sa	les Price	:	394,000	COD:	0.00	MAX Sales Rat:	.o: 67	.07			
AVG. Asses			264,260	PRD:	100.00	MIN Sales Rat:	.o: 67	.07		Printed: 04/02	/2008 16:50:59
DATE OF SALE *										Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MI	N M	MAX 95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
Qrtrs											
07/01/04 TO 09/30/04											
10/01/04 TO 12/31/04											
01/01/05 TO 03/31/05											
04/01/05 TO 06/30/05	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
07/01/05 TO 09/30/05											
10/01/05 TO 12/31/05											
01/01/06 TO 03/31/06											
04/01/06 TO 06/30/06											
07/01/06 TO 09/30/06											
10/01/06 TO 12/31/06											
01/01/07 TO 03/31/07											
04/01/07 TO 06/30/07											
Study Years											
07/01/04 TO 06/30/05	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
07/01/05 TO 06/30/06										•	•
07/01/06 TO 06/30/07											
Calendar Yrs											
01/01/05 TO 12/31/05	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
01/01/06 TO 12/31/06									,	,	, , , , ,
ALL											
	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
GEO CODE / TOWNSHIP									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MI	N M	MAX 95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
4105	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0			394,000	264,260
ALL	_		· ·				• •		,	22 -, 300	,
	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
AREA (MARKET)									,	Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MI	N M	MAX 95% Median C.I.		Assd Val
4	1	67.07	67.07	67.07		7.0	67.0			394,000	264,260
ALL	_	001	307	S ,			37.0			551,000	201,200
	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
STATUS: IMPROVED, U							07.0	. 07.		Avg. Adj.	Avg.
RANGE	COUNT	MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MI	N M	MAX 95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val
2	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0			394,000	264,260
ALL	_	57.07	37.37	57.07			0,.0			331,000	201,200
	1	67.07	67.07	67.07			67.0	7 67.	07 N/A	394,000	264,260
	_	57.07	07.07	57.07			0,.0	. 07.	. N/A	371,000	201,200

33 - FURNAS COUNTY		P	AD 2008 R	Pecantili	re Value Statist	Base Stat			PAGE:2 of 3			
AGRICULTURAL U	JNIMPROVED				Type: Qualif		105		Query: 6545			
						nge: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2	007 Posted	Before: 01/18	8/2008			
	NUMBER of Sal	es:	1	MEDIAN:	67	COV:	0.00	95%	Median C.I.:	N/A	(1 D : 1)	
(AgLand) TO	OTAL Sales Pri	.ce:	394,000	WGT. MEAN:	67	STD:	0.00		. Mean C.I.:	N/A	(!: Derived) (!: land+NAT=0)	
, • ,	Adj.Sales Pri	.ce:	394,000	MEAN:	67	AVG.ABS.DEV:	0.00	_	% Mean C.I.:	N/A	(:. unu+1\A1=0)	
	L Assessed Val	ue:	264,260			1100.1120.224	0.00			14/11		
AVG. A	Adj. Sales Pri	ce:	394,000	COD:	0.00	MAX Sales Ratio:	67.07					
AVG.	. Assessed Val	ue:	264,260	PRD:	100.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	67.07			Printed: 04/02/	/2008 16:50:59	
MAJORITY LAND	USE > 95%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
GRASS-N/A		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ALL		_										
		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
MAJORITY LAND	USE > 80%									Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	DD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
GRASS-N/A		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ALL		_										
		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
MAJORITY LAND										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN		MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
GRASS-N/A		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ALL		_										
		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
SCHOOL DISTRIC										Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
(blank)		1 68 08	68.08	68.08			65.05	68.08	27./2	204 000	064 060	
33-0018		1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
33-0021												
33-0540												
42-0002												
73-0179	1											
NonValid School	L											
ALL		- 1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ACRES IN SALE		1 07.07	07.07	07.07			07.07	07.07	N/A	Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CO	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
330.01 TO 650		1 67.07	67.07	67.07	CC	JD IND	67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ALL	3.00	1 07.07	07.07	07.07			07.07	07.07	IV/ A	351,000	201,200	
		_ 1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
SALE PRICE *		1 07.07	07.07	07.07			07.07	07.07	IV/ A	Avg. Adj.	Avg.	
RANGE	COUN	T MEDIAN	MEAN	WGT. MEAN	CC	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Sale Price	Assd Val	
Low \$			111111									
Total \$		_										
		_ 1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	
ALL		_	37.07	S			3. . 0.	5. . 57	,	3,2,000	201,200	
		_ 1 67.07	67.07	67.07			67.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260	

33 - FURNAS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL UNIMPROVED	PAD		re Value Statistic	S	Base Stat		Query: 6545	PAGE:3 of 3
AGRICULIORAL UNIMPROVED		Type: Qualified Date Range: 07/01/2004 to 06/30/2007 Posted Before: 01/18/2008						
NUMBER of Sales:	1 M	EDIAN: 67	COV:	0.00	95% Med	dian C.I.:	N/A	(!: Derived)
(AgLand) TOTAL Sales Price:	394,000 WGT	Γ. MEAN: 67	STD:	0.00	95% Wgt. I	Mean C.I.:	N/A	(!: land+NAT=0)
(AgLand) TOTAL Adj.Sales Price:	394,000	MEAN: 67	AVG.ABS.DEV:	0.00	95% 1	Mean C.I.:	N/A	(
(AgLand) TOTAL Assessed Value:	264,260							
AVG. Adj. Sales Price:	394,000	COD: 0.00	MAX Sales Ratio:	67.07				
AVG. Assessed Value:	264,260	PRD: 100.00	MIN Sales Ratio:	67.07			Printed: 04/02/	2008 16:50:59
ASSESSED VALUE * RANGE COUNT MEI LOW \$	IAN MEAN WGT	. MEAN C	OD PRD	MIN	MAX	95% Median C.I.	Avg. Adj. Sale Price	Avg. Assd Val
Total \$.07 67.07	67.07	(57.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260
	.07 67.07	67.07	(57.07	67.07	N/A	394,000	264,260

FURNAS COUNTY ASSESSOR

PO BOX 368 BEAVER CITY NE 68926-0368 308-268-3145

FAX 308-268-3205 Email address: furnasar@atcjet.net

2008 METHODOLOGY FOR FURNAS COUNTY SPECIAL VALUE

Furnas County implements greenbelt for properties within one mile of, and including the Republican River. Special value is set in these market areas along the river by using qualified, unimproved agricultural land sales in Furnas County.

For all Furnas County, special value is applied to all parcels of land primarily used for agricultural or horticultural purposes. Parcels are reviewed on a periodic basis to determine if special value is still applicable. All special values are set by using qualified, unimproved agricultural land sales in Furnas County for the prior 3-year period.

Total Real Property Value	Records	6,097	Value 339,887,525	Total Growth	19,492,090
(Sum Lines 17, 25, & 30)		0,001		(Sum 17, 25, & 41)	10,102,000

Schedule I:Non-Agricultural Records (Res and Rec)

	Urh		Cbit	rban	Rur	·n1	Tot	- 1 Y	Growth
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Growen
1. Res									
UnImp Land	376	389,380	18	48,725	19	16,760	413	454,865	
2. Res Improv Land	1,954	3,386,510	60	595,865	159	1,770,475	2,173	5,752,850	
3. Res Improvements	1,964	64,114,925	61	5,647,885	165	8,051,370	2,190	77,814,180	
4. Res Total	2,340	67,890,815	79	6,292,475	184	9,838,605	2,603	84,021,895	567,985
% of Total	89.89	80.80	3.03	7.48	7.06	11.70	42.69	24.72	2.91
5. Rec UnImp Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Rec Improv Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7. Rec Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8. Rec Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
									
Res+Rec Total	2,340	67,890,815	79	6,292,475	184	9,838,605	2,603	84,021,895	567,985
% of Total	89.89	80.80		7.48		11.70	42.69	24.72	2.91

Total Real Property Value Records 6,097 Value 339,887,525 Total Growth 19,492,090 (Sum 17, 25, & 30)

Schedule I:Non-Agricultural Records (Com and Ind)

	Urb Records	o an Value	SubU Records	rban Value	Rur	r al Value	Tot Records	t al Value	Growth
9. Comm UnImp Land	83	90,685	5	7,105	2	4,425	90	102,215	
10. Comm Improv Land	286	465,535	15	67,340	7	16,770	308	549,645	
11. Comm Improvements	335	15,240,850	17	1,111,205	16	518,095	368	16,870,150	
12. Comm Total	418	15,797,070	22	1,185,650	18	539,290	458	17,522,010	1,516,265
% of Total	91.26	90.15	4.80	6.76	3.93	3.07	7.51	5.15	7.77
13. Ind UnImp Land	4	59,940	0	0	0	0	4	59,940	
14. Ind Improv Land	2	99,390	1	6,145	1	170,040	4	275,575	
15. Ind Improvements	3	16,926,240	1	321,260	1	440,000	5	17,687,500	
16. Ind Total	7	17,085,570	1	327,405	1	610,040	9	18,023,015	17,052,465
% of Total	77.77	94.79	11.11	1.81	11.11	3.38	0.14	5.30	87.48
Comm+Ind Total	425	32,882,640	23	1,513,055	19	1,149,330	467	35,545,025	18,568,730
% of Total	91.00	92.50	4.92	4.25	4.06	3.23	7.65	10.45	95.26
17. Taxable Total	2,765	100,773,455	102	7,805,530	203	10,987,935	3,070	119,566,920	19,136,715
% of Total	90.06	84.28	3.32	5.26	6.61	8.22	50.35	35.17	98.17

County 33 - Furna

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule II:Tax Increment	chedule II:Tax Increment Financing (TIF)				SubUrban				
	Records	Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Value Base	Value Excess			
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19. Commercial	2	7,085	521,815	0	0	0			
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0			

	Records	Rural Value Base	Value Excess	Records	Total Value Base	Value Excess
18. Residential	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. Commercial	0	0	0	2	7,085	521,815
20. Industrial	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
22. Total Sch II				2	7,085	521,815

Schedule III: Mineral Interest Records	Urban		SubUrb	an	Rural	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	0	0	0	0	9	643,260
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Total		Growth
	Records	Value	
23. Mineral Interest-Producing	9	643,260	0
24. Mineral Interest-Non-Producing	0	0	0
25. Mineral Interest Total	9	643,260	0

Schedule IV: Exempt Records: Non-Agricultural

<u> </u>	Urban	SubUrban	Rural	Total
	Records	Records	Records	Records
26. Exempt	294	3	341	638

Schedule V: Agricultural Re	ecords Urban		SubUrban	SubUrban		Rural		Total	
	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	Records	Value	
27. Ag-Vacant Land	2	30,825	0	0	2,377	143,431,195	2,379	143,462,020	
28. Ag-Improved Land	0	0	0	0	635	48,067,295	635	48,067,295	
29. Ag-Improvements	0	0	0	0	639	28,148,030	639	28,148,030	
30. Ag-Total Taxable							3,018	219,677,345	

County 33 - Furnas	2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45							
Schedule VI: Agricultural Records:		Urban			SubUrban			
Non-Agricultural Detail	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value		
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
32. HomeSite Improv Land	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
33. HomeSite Improvements	0		0	0		0		
34. HomeSite Total								
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
36. FarmSite Impr Land	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
37. FarmSite Improv	0		0	0		0		
38. FarmSite Total								
39. Road & Ditches		1.000			0.000			
40. Other-Non Ag Use		0.000	0		0.000	0		
		Rural			Total		Growth	
04.1107.11.11	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value	Value	
31. HomeSite UnImp Land	8	7.120	35,600	8	7.120	35,600		
32. HomeSite Improv Land	405	418.300	2,091,500	405	418.300	2,091,500		
33. HomeSite Improvements	362		9,119,880	362		9,119,880	205,240	
34. HomeSite Total				370	425.420	11,246,980		
35. FarmSite UnImp Land	14	29.520	14,760	14	29.520	14,760		
36. FarmSite Impr Land	539	1,586.010	793,005	539	1,586.010	793,005		
37. FarmSite Improv	626		19,028,150	626		19,028,150	150,135	
38. FarmSite Total				640	1,615.530	19,835,915		
39. Road & Ditches		7,502.520			7,503.520			
40. Other-Non Ag Use		0.000	0		0.000	0		
41. Total Section VI				1,010	9,544.470	31,082,895	355,375	
Schedule VII: Agricultural Records:		l lub au			Culalluban			
Ag Land Detail-Game & Parks	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value		
42. Game & Parks	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
	Б	Rural		Б	Total			
42 Campa 9 Paulsa	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value 0		
42. Game & Parks	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	U		
Schedule VIII: Agricultural Records: Special Value	Records	Urban Acres	Value	Records	SubUrban Acres	Value		
43. Special Value	0	0.000	0	0	0.000	0		
44. Recapture Val			0			0		
•		Rural		_	Total			
	Records	Acres	Value	Records	Acres	Value		
43. Special Value	204	21,831.420	11,205,160	204	21,831.420	11,205,160		
44. Recapture Val			16,320,030			16,320,030		

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: Aç	dule IX: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Detail				Market Area	Market Area: 1				
	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total			
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value		
45. 1A1	5.000	5,250	0.000	0	583.470	612,645	588.470	617,89		
46. 1A	15.700	14,285	0.000	0	34,922.060	31,751,625	34,937.760	31,765,910		
47. 2A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	2,599.000	2,053,210	2,599.000	2,053,210		
48. 2A	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,643.120	1,230,990	1,643.120	1,230,990		
49. 3A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	2,219.900	1,262,815	2,219.900	1,262,81		
50. 3A	0.000	0	0.000	0	100.000	53,000	100.000	53,000		
51. 4A1	4.000	1,800	0.000	0	3,073.610	1,382,540	3,077.610	1,384,340		
52. 4A	0.000	0	0.000	0	3,495.140	1,292,290	3,495.140	1,292,290		
53. Total	24.700	21,335	0.000	0	48,636.300	39,639,115	48,661.000	39,660,450		
Dryland:										
54. 1D1	14.000	7,840	0.000	0	197.200	110,435	211.200	118,275		
55. 1D	3.000	1,650	0.000	0	120,248.100	66,136,475	120,251.100	66,138,125		
56. 2D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	7,426.670	3,379,145	7,426.670	3,379,145		
57. 2D	0.000	0	0.000	0	3,726.520	1,527,870	3,726.520	1,527,870		
58. 3D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	18,152.760	7,079,575	18,152.760	7,079,575		
59. 3D	0.000	0	0.000	0	234.000	79,560	234.000	79,560		
60. 4D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	23,311.030	7,459,530	23,311.030	7,459,530		
61. 4D	0.000	0	0.000	0	12,574.010	3,646,465	12,574.010	3,646,465		
62. Total	17.000	9,490	0.000	0	185,870.290	89,419,055	185,887.290	89,428,545		
Grass:										
63. 1G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	56.000	21,280	56.000	21,280		
64. 1G	0.000	0	0.000	0	13,373.650	5,015,145	13,373.650	5,015,145		
65. 2G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	2,429.140	874,490	2,429.140	874,490		
66. 2G	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,434.230	415,925	1,434.230	415,925		
67. 3G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	2,544.500	674,295	2,544.500	674,295		
68. 3G	0.000	0	0.000	0	37.000	9,435	37.000	9,435		
69. 4G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	33,034.540	8,093,465	33,034.540	8,093,465		
70. 4G	0.000	0	0.000	0	117,280.450	28,147,305	117,280.450	28,147,305		
71. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	170,189.510	43,251,340	170,189.510	43,251,340		
72. Waste	0.000	0	0.000	0	5,198.950	389,925	5,198.950	389,925		
73. Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,003.310	180,595	1,003.310	180,59		
74. Exempt	0.000		0.000		0.000	. 30,000	0.000			
75. Total	41.700	30,825	0.000	0	410,898.360	172,880,030	410,940.060	172,910,855		

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Detail Market Area: 3 Urban SubUrban Rural Total Irrigated: Acres Value Acres Value Value Value Acres Acres 45. 1A1 0.000 0 0.000 0 179.410 188.380 179,410 188.380 46. 1A 0 0 427,975 0.000 0.000 470.300 427,975 470.300 47. 2A1 0 0 85.240 0.000 0.000 107.900 85.240 107.900 48. 2A 0 0.000 0 584.000 438.000 0.000 584.000 438.000 49. 3A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 50. 3A 0.000 0 0.000 0 70.000 37.100 70.000 37,100 51. 4A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 27,000 12,150 27.000 12,150 52. 4A 0 0 0.000 0.000 15.000 5,550 15.000 5,550 53. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.453.610 1.194.395 1.453.610 1,194,395 **Dryland:** 0 54. 1D1 0.000 0 0.000 241.000 134.960 241.000 134,960 0 0 55.1D 0.000 0.000 262.300 144.265 262.300 144.265 56, 2D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 43.000 19,565 43.000 19,565 57. 2D 0 0 0.000 0.000 488.670 200,355 488.670 200,355 58. 3D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 59.3D 0 0 0.000 0.000 98.000 33,320 98.000 33,320 60. 4D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 194.000 62,080 194.000 62,080 61. 4D 0 0 4,350 0.000 0.000 15.000 4,350 15.000 62. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.341.970 598.895 1.341.970 598,895 Grass: 63.1G1 0.000 0 0.000 0 42.000 15.960 42.000 15,960 64.1G 0 0.000 0 23.710 8.890 0.000 23.710 8.890 65, 2G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 92.000 33,120 92.000 33,120 66.2G 0.000 0 0.000 0 466.670 135.335 466.670 135,335 67.3G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0 0.000 68.3G 0 0 0.000 0.000 70.000 17,850 70.000 17,850 69.4G1 0 0.000 0.000 0 143.000 35,035 143.000 35,035 70.4G 0.000 0 0.000 0 148,400 35.615 148,400 35.615 71. Total 0.000 0 0 281,805 0.000 985.780 281,805 985.780 72. Waste 0 0.000 0.000 0 392.000 29.400 392.000 29,400 73. Other 0.000 0 0.000 0 1,083.000 194,940 1,083.000 194,940 74. Exempt 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 75. Total 0 0.000 0.000 5,256.360 2.299.435 5,256.360 2.299.435

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Detail Market Area: Urban SubUrban Rural Total Irrigated: Acres Value Acres Value Value Value Acres Acres 45. 1A1 0.000 0 0.000 0 511.800 537.390 511.800 537.390 46. 1A 0 0 0.000 0.000 1,027.850 935,340 1,027.850 935.340 47. 2A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 184.000 145.360 145,360 184.000 48. 2A 0 0.000 0 961,500 0.000 1.282.000 961,500 1.282.000 49. 3A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 3.000 1.710 3.000 1,710 50. 3A 0.000 0 0.000 0 201.000 106,530 201.000 106.530 51. 4A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 89.000 40.050 89.000 40,050 52. 4A 0 0 0.000 0.000 26,000 9,620 26.000 9,620 53. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 3.324.650 2.737.500 3.324.650 2,737,500 **Dryland:** 0 54.1D1 0.000 0 0.000 55.000 30.800 55.000 30.800 0 0 55.1D 0.000 0.000 328.000 180,400 328.000 180,400 56, 2D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 67.000 30,485 67.000 30,485 57. 2D 0 0 0.000 0.000 373.000 152,930 373.000 152,930 58. 3D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 59.3D 0 0 0.000 0.000 57.000 19,380 57.000 19,380 60. 4D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 120.000 38,400 120.000 38,400 61. 4D 0 0 0.000 0.000 54.000 15,660 54.000 15,660 62. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.054.000 468.055 1.054.000 468,055 Grass: 63.1G1 0.000 0 0.000 0 27.000 10.260 27.000 10,260 64.1G 0 0.000 0 93.100 34.915 0.000 93.100 34.915 65, 2G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 19.000 6,840 19.000 6,840 66.2G 0.000 0 0.000 0 37.000 10.730 37.000 10,730 67.3G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0 0.000 68.3G 0 0 0.000 0.000 4.220 1,075 4.220 1,075 69.4G1 0 0.000 0.000 0 236.000 57,825 236.000 57,825 70.4G 0.000 0 0.000 0 672.610 161.430 672.610 161.430 71. Total 0.000 0 0 283,075 0.000 1,088.930 283,075 1,088.930 72. Waste 0 0.000 0.000 0 366.570 27.495 366.570 27.495 73. Other 0.000 0 0.000 0 665.800 119,845 665.800 119,845 74. Exempt 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 75. Total 0 0.000 0.000 6.499.950 3,635,970 6,499.950 3,635,970

75. Total

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Detail Market Area: 5 Urban SubUrban Rural Total Irrigated: Acres Value Acres Value Value Value Acres Acres 45. 1A1 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.983.530 2.082.705 1.983.530 2.082.705 46. 1A 0 0 0.000 0.000 2,523.340 2,296,240 2,523.340 2,296,240 47. 2A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 630,630 498,200 630,630 498,200 48. 2A 0 0.000 0 775,575 0.000 1.034.100 775.575 1.034.100 49. 3A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 6.000 3.420 6.000 3,420 50. 3A 0.000 0 0.000 0 518.000 274,540 518.000 274,540 51. 4A1 0 0 0.000 0.000 41.000 18,450 41.000 18,450 52. 4A 0 0 0.000 0.000 71.000 26,270 71.000 26,270 53. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 6.807.600 5.975.400 6.807.600 5,975,400 **Dryland:** 0 54. 1D1 0.000 0 0.000 433.940 243.005 433.940 243.005 0 0.000 55.1D 0.000 0 363,100 199.705 363.100 199,705 56, 2D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 155.000 70,525 155.000 70,525 57. 2D 0 0.000 0 0.000 335.880 137,710 335.880 137,710 58. 3D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 4.000 1,560 4.000 1,560 59.3D 0 0 0.000 0.000 191.000 64,940 191.000 64,940 60. 4D1 0.000 0 0.000 0 66.000 21,120 66.000 21,120 61. 4D 0 0 14,350 0.000 0.000 49.490 14,350 49.490 62. Total 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.598.410 752.915 1.598.410 752,915 Grass: 63.1G1 0.000 0 0.000 0 56.000 21.280 56.000 21,280 64.1G 0 0.000 0 64.500 24.190 0.000 64.500 24.190 65, 2G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 39.800 14,330 39.800 14,330 66.2G 0.000 0 0.000 0 69.000 20.010 69.000 20,010 67.3G1 0 0 0.000 0.000 3.000 795 3.000 795 68.3G 0 0 0.000 0.000 38.000 9,690 38.000 9,690 69.4G1 0 0.000 0.000 0 220.280 53,970 220.280 53,970 70.4G 0.000 0 0.000 0 1.431.460 343.550 1.431.460 343.550 71. Total 0.000 0 0 0.000 1,922.040 487,815 1.922.040 487,815 72. Waste 0.000 0 0.000 0 896.850 77.065 896.850 77.065 73. Other 0.000 0 0.000 0 2,466.190 465,335 2,466.190 465,335 74. Exempt 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000

Exhibit 33 - Page 79

13,691.090

7,758,530

13,691.090

7,758,530

0.000

0

0.000

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule IX: Aç	Schedule IX: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Detail				Market Area:			
	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
Irrigated:	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
45. 1A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	205.000	215,250	205.000	215,250
46. 1A	0.000	0	0.000	0	537.110	488,770	537.110	488,770
47. 2A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	125.000	98,750	125.000	98,750
48. 2A	0.000	0	0.000	0	448.000	336,000	448.000	336,000
49. 3A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	3.000	1,710	3.000	1,710
50. 3A	0.000	0	0.000	0	356.000	188,680	356.000	188,680
51. 4A1	0.000	0	0.000	0	19.000	8,550	19.000	8,550
52. 4A	0.000	0	0.000	0	6.000	2,220	6.000	2,220
53. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	1,699.110	1,339,930	1,699.110	1,339,930
Dryland:								
54. 1D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	74.000	41,440	74.000	41,440
55. 1D	0.000	0	0.000	0	58.410	32,125	58.410	32,125
56. 2D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	21.000	9,555	21.000	9,555
57. 2D	0.000	0	0.000	0	246.000	100,860	246.000	100,860
58. 3D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	(
59. 3D	0.000	0	0.000	0	162.000	55,080	162.000	55,080
60. 4D1	0.000	0	0.000	0	18.000	5,760	18.000	5,760
61. 4D	0.000	0	0.000	0	1.000	290	1.000	290
62. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	580.410	245,110	580.410	245,110
Grass:								
63. 1G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	8.000	3,040	8.000	3,040
64. 1G	0.000	0	0.000	0	17.000	6,375	17.000	6,375
65. 2G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	(
66. 2G	0.000	0	0.000	0	38.000	11,020	38.000	11,020
67. 3G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	(
68. 3G	0.000	0	0.000	0	37.000	9,435	37.000	9,435
69. 4G1	0.000	0	0.000	0	49.000	12,005	49.000	12,005
70. 4G	0.000	0	0.000	0	733.000	175,920	733.000	175,920
71. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	882.000	217,795	882.000	217,79
72. Waste	0.000	0	0.000	0	345.000	25,875	345.000	25,875
73. Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	811.500	160,950	811.500	160,950
74. Exempt	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	
75. Total	0.000	0	0.000	0	4,318.020	1,989,660	4,318.020	1,989,660

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule X: Agricultural Records: AgLand Market Area Totals

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural		Total	
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
76.Irrigated	24.700	21,335	0.000	0	61,921.270	50,886,340	61,945.970	50,907,675
77.Dry Land	17.000	9,490	0.000	0	190,445.080	91,484,030	190,462.080	91,493,520
78.Grass	0.000	0	0.000	0	175,068.260	44,521,830	175,068.260	44,521,830
79.Waste	0.000	0	0.000	0	7,199.370	549,760	7,199.370	549,760
80.Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	6,029.800	1,121,665	6,029.800	1,121,665
81.Exempt	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
82.Total	41.700	30,825	0.000	0	440,663.780	188,563,625	440,705.480	188,594,450

County 33 - Furnas

						Market Area:
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Val	ue	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	588.470	1.21%		617,895	1.56%	1,050.002
1A	34,937.760	71.80%	31	,765,910	80.09%	909.214
2A1	2,599.000	5.34%	2	2,053,210	5.18%	790.000
2A	1,643.120	3.38%	1	,230,990	3.10%	749.178
3A1	2,219.900	4.56%	1	,262,815	3.18%	568.861
3A	100.000	0.21%		53,000	0.13%	530.000
4A1	3,077.610	6.32%	1	,384,340	3.49%	449.810
4A	3,495.140	7.18%	1	,292,290	3.26%	369.739
Irrigated Total	48,661.000	100.00%	39	,660,450	100.00%	815.035
Dry:						
1D1	211.200	0.11%		118,275	0.13%	560.014
1D	120,251.100	64.69%	66	5,138,125	73.96%	550.000
2D1	7,426.670	4.00%	3	3,379,145	3.78%	455.001
2D	3,726.520	2.00%		,527,870	1.71%	409.999
3D1	18,152.760	9.77%		7,079,575	7.92%	389.999
3D	234.000	0.13%		79,560	0.09%	340.000
4D1	23,311.030	12.54%	7	7,459,530	8.34%	320.000
4D	12,574.010	6.76%		3,646,465	4.08%	290.000
Dry Total	185,887.290	100.00%		,428,545	100.00%	481.090
Grass:	,			, ,		
1G1	56.000	0.03%		21,280	0.05%	380.000
1G	13,373.650	7.86%	5	5,015,145	11.60%	375.001
2G1	2,429.140	1.43%		874,490	2.02%	359.999
2G	1,434.230	0.84%		415,925	0.96%	289.998
3G1	2,544.500	1.50%		674,295	1.56%	265.000
3G	37.000	0.02%		9,435	0.02%	255.000
4G1	33,034.540	19.41%	8	3,093,465	18.71%	245.000
4G	117,280.450	68.91%	28	3,147,305	65.08%	239.999
Grass Total	170,189.510	100.00%	43	3,251,340	100.00%	254.136
Irrigated Total	19 661 000	11.84%	30	0 660 450	22.040/	815.035
Dry Total	48,661.000			9,660,450	22.94%	
Grass Total	185,887.290	45.23%),428,545	51.72%	481.090
	170,189.510	41.41%	43	3,251,340	25.01%	254.136
Waste	5,198.950	1.27%		389,925	0.23%	75.000
Other	1,003.310	0.24%		180,595	0.10%	179.999
Exempt Market Area Total	0.000	0.00%	470	040.055	100.000/	400 700
Market Area Total	410,940.060	100.00%	1/2	2,910,855	100.00%	420.769
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	е				
Irrigated Total	48,661.000	78.55%	39	,660,450	77.91%	
Dry Total	185,887.290	97.60%	89	,428,545	97.74%	
Grass Total	170,189.510	97.21%	43	3,251,340	97.15%	
Waste	5,198.950	72.21%		389,925	70.93%	
Other	1,003.310	16.64%		180,595	16.10%	
Exempt	0.000	0.00%				
Market Area Total	410,940.060	93.25%		2,910,855	91.68%	
	·		Exhibit 22 De	200 92		

County 33 - Furnas

						Market Area:
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Val	lue	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	179.410	12.34%		188,380	15.77%	1,049.997
1A	470.300	32.35%		427,975	35.83%	910.004
2A1	107.900	7.42%		85,240	7.14%	789.990
2A	584.000	40.18%		438,000	36.67%	750.000
3A1	0.000	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.000
3A	70.000	4.82%		37,100	3.11%	530.000
4A1	27.000	1.86%		12,150	1.02%	450.000
4A	15.000	1.03%		5,550	0.46%	370.000
rrigated Total	1,453.610	100.00%		1,194,395	100.00%	821.675
Dry:						
1D1	241.000	17.96%		134,960	22.53%	560.000
ID	262.300	19.55%		144,265	24.09%	550.000
2D1	43.000	3.20%		19,565	3.27%	455.000
2D	488.670	36.41%		200,355	33.45%	410.000
BD1	0.000	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.000
3D	98.000	7.30%		33,320	5.56%	340.000
4D1	194.000	14.46%		62,080	10.37%	320.000
4D	15.000	1.12%		4,350	0.73%	290.000
Dry Total	1,341.970	100.00%		598,895	100.00%	446.280
Grass:						
1G1	42.000	4.26%		15,960	5.66%	380.000
1G	23.710	2.41%		8,890	3.15%	374.947
2G1	92.000	9.33%		33,120	11.75%	360.000
2G	466.670	47.34%		135,335	48.02%	290.001
3G1	0.000	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.000
3G	70.000	7.10%		17,850	6.33%	255.000
4G1	143.000	14.51%		35,035	12.43%	245.000
4G	148.400	15.05%		35,615	12.64%	239.993
Grass Total	985.780	100.00%		281,805	100.00%	285.870
rrigated Total	1,453.610	27.65%		1,194,395	51.94%	821.675
Dry Total	1,341.970	25.53%		598,895	26.05%	446.280
Grass Total	985.780	18.75%		281,805	12.26%	285.870
Waste	392.000	7.46%		29,400	1.28%	75.000
Other	1,083.000	20.60%		194,940	8.48%	180.000
Exempt	0.000	0.00%		104,040	0.4070	100.000
Market Area Total	5,256.360	100.00%	;	2,299,435	100.00%	437.457
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	Δ				
Irrigated Total	1,453.610	2.35%		1,194,395	2.35%	
Dry Total	1,341.970	0.70%		598,895	0.65%	
Grass Total	985.780	0.76%		281,805	0.63%	
Waste	392.000	5.44%		29,400	5.35%	
Other						
	1,083.000	17.96%		194,940	17.38%	
Exempt Market Area Total	0.000	0.00%		0.000.405	4.0007	
Market Area Total	5,256.360	1.19%		2,299,435	1.22%	

County 33 - Furnas

						Market Area:
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	,	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	511.800	15.39%		537,390	19.63%	1,050.000
1A	1,027.850	30.92%		935,340	34.17%	909.996
2A1	184.000	5.53%		145,360	5.31%	790.000
2A	1,282.000	38.56%		961,500	35.12%	750.000
3A1	3.000	0.09%		1,710	0.06%	570.000
3A	201.000	6.05%		106,530	3.89%	530.000
4A1	89.000	2.68%		40,050	1.46%	450.000
4A	26.000	0.78%		9,620	0.35%	370.000
Irrigated Total	3,324.650	100.00%		2,737,500	100.00%	823.394
Dry:						
1D1	55.000	5.22%		30,800	6.58%	560.000
1D	328.000	31.12%		180,400	38.54%	550.000
2D1	67.000	6.36%		30,485	6.51%	455.000
2D	373.000	35.39%		152,930	32.67%	410.000
3D1	0.000	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.000
3D	57.000	5.41%		19,380	4.14%	340.000
4D1	120.000	11.39%		38,400	8.20%	320.000
4D	54.000	5.12%		15,660	3.35%	290.000
Ory Total	1,054.000	100.00%		468,055	100.00%	444.074
Grass:	•			·		
1G1	27.000	2.48%		10,260	3.62%	380.000
1G	93.100	8.55%		34,915	12.33%	375.026
2G1	19.000	1.74%		6,840	2.42%	360.000
2G	37.000	3.40%		10,730	3.79%	290.000
3G1	0.000	0.00%		0	0.00%	0.000
3G	4.220	0.39%		1,075	0.38%	254.739
4G1	236.000	21.67%		57,825	20.43%	245.021
4G	672.610	61.77%		161,430	57.03%	240.005
Grass Total	1,088.930	100.00%		283,075	100.00%	259.957
rrigated Total	3,324.650	51.15%		2,737,500	75.29%	823.394
Dry Total	1,054.000	16.22%		468,055	12.87%	444.074
Grass Total	1,088.930	16.75%		283,075	7.79%	259.957
Waste	366.570	5.64%		27,495	0.76%	75.006
Other	665.800	10.24%		119,845	3.30%	180.001
Exempt	0.000	0.00%		,		
Market Area Total	6,499.950	100.00%		3,635,970	100.00%	559.384
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	e				
Irrigated Total	3,324.650	5.37%		2,737,500	5.38%	
Dry Total	1,054.000	0.55%		468,055	0.51%	
Grass Total	1,088.930	0.62%		283,075	0.64%	
Waste	366.570	5.09%		27,495	5.00%	
Other	665.800	11.04%		119,845	10.68%	
Exempt	0.000	0.00%			. 3.0070	
Market Area Total	6,499.950	1.47%		3,635,970	1.93%	
markot / troa Total	0,400.000	1.47/0	Eyhibit 22	Daga 94	1.3370	

County 33 - Furnas

					Market Area: 5
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	1,983.530	29.14%	2,082,705	34.85%	1,049.999
1A	2,523.340	37.07%	2,296,240	38.43%	910.000
2A1	630.630	9.26%	498,200	8.34%	790.003
2A	1,034.100	15.19%	775,575	12.98%	750.000
3A1	6.000	0.09%	3,420	0.06%	570.000
3A	518.000	7.61%	274,540	4.59%	530.000
4A1	41.000	0.60%	18,450	0.31%	450.000
4A	71.000	1.04%	26,270	0.44%	370.000
Irrigated Total	6,807.600	100.00%	5,975,400	100.00%	877.754
Dry:	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1D1	433.940	27.15%	243,005	32.28%	559.996
1D	363.100	22.72%	199,705	26.52%	550.000
2D1	155.000	9.70%	70,525	9.37%	455.000
2D	335.880	21.01%	137,710	18.29%	409.997
3D1	4.000	0.25%	1,560	0.21%	390.000
3D	191.000	11.95%	64,940	8.63%	340.000
4D1	66.000	4.13%	21,120	2.81%	320.000
4D	49.490	3.10%	14,350	1.91%	289.957
Dry Total	1,598.410	100.00%	752,915	100.00%	471.039
Grass:	1,000.410	100.0070	702,310	100.0070	47 1.000
1G1	56.000	2.91%	21,280	4.36%	380.000
1G	64.500	3.36%	24,190	4.96%	375.038
2G1	39.800	2.07%	14,330	2.94%	360.050
2G	69.000	3.59%	20,010	4.10%	290.000
3G1	3.000	0.16%	795	0.16%	265.000
3G	38.000	1.98%	9,690	1.99%	255.000
4G1	220.280	11.46%	53,970	11.06%	245.006
4G		74.48%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		239.999
Grass Total	1,431.460		343,550	70.43%	253.800
Glass Total	1,922.040	100.00%	487,815	100.00%	233.000
Irrigated Total	6,807.600	49.72%	5,975,400	77.02%	877.754
Dry Total	1,598.410	11.67%	752,915	9.70%	471.039
Grass Total	1,922.040	14.04%	487,815	6.29%	253.800
Waste	896.850	6.55%	77,065	0.99%	85.928
Other	2,466.190	18.01%	465,335	6.00%	188.685
Exempt	0.000	0.00%	,		
Market Area Total	13,691.090	100.00%	7,758,530	100.00%	566.684
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	e			
Irrigated Total	6,807.600	10.99%	5,975,400	11.74%	
Dry Total	1,598.410	0.84%	752,915	0.82%	
Grass Total	·		·		
	1,922.040	1.10%	487,815	1.10%	
Waste Other	896.850	12.46%	77,065	14.02%	
	2,466.190	40.90%	465,335	41.49%	
Exempt Area Total	0.000	0.00%	7.750.500	4.4.404	
Market Area Total	13,691.090	3.11%	7,758,530	4.11%	

County 33 - Furnas

					Market Area: 6
Irrigated:	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
1A1	205.000	12.07%	215,250	16.06%	1,050.000
1A	537.110	31.61%	488,770	36.48%	909.999
2A1	125.000	7.36%	98,750	7.37%	790.000
2A	448.000	26.37%	336,000	25.08%	750.000
3A1	3.000	0.18%	1,710	0.13%	570.000
3A	356.000	20.95%	188,680	14.08%	530.000
4A1	19.000	1.12%	8,550	0.64%	450.000
4A	6.000	0.35%	2,220	0.17%	370.000
Irrigated Total	1,699.110	100.00%	1,339,930	100.00%	788.606
Dry:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·		
1D1	74.000	12.75%	41,440	16.91%	560.000
1D	58.410	10.06%	32,125	13.11%	549.991
2D1	21.000	3.62%	9,555	3.90%	455.000
2D	246.000	42.38%	100,860	41.15%	410.000
3D1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
3D	162.000	27.91%	55,080	22.47%	340.000
4D1	18.000	3.10%	5,760	2.35%	320.000
4D	1.000	0.17%	290	0.12%	290.000
Dry Total	580.410	100.00%	245,110	100.00%	422.304
Grass:	000.110	100.0070	210,110	100.0070	122.00 1
1G1	8.000	0.91%	3,040	1.40%	380.000
1G	17.000	1.93%	6,375	2.93%	375.000
2G1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
2G	38.000	4.31%	11,020	5.06%	290.000
3G1	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
3G	37.000	4.20%	9,435	4.33%	255.000
4G1	49.000	5.56%	12,005	5.51%	245.000
4G	733.000	83.11%	175,920	80.77%	240.000
Grass Total	882.000	100.00%	217,795	100.00%	246.933
			,		
Irrigated Total	1,699.110	39.35%	1,339,930	67.34%	788.606
Dry Total	580.410	13.44%	245,110	12.32%	422.304
Grass Total	882.000	20.43%	217,795	10.95%	246.933
Waste	345.000	7.99%	25,875	1.30%	75.000
Other	811.500	18.79%	160,950	8.09%	198.336
Exempt	0.000	0.00%			
Market Area Total	4,318.020	100.00%	1,989,660	100.00%	460.780
As Related to the C	ounty as a Whol	е			
Irrigated Total	1,699.110	2.74%	1,339,930	2.63%	
Dry Total	580.410	0.30%	245,110	0.27%	
Grass Total	882.000	0.50%	217,795	0.49%	
Waste	345.000	4.79%	25,875	4.71%	
Other	811.500	13.46%	160,950	14.35%	
Exempt	0.000	0.00%			
Market Area Total	4,318.020	0.98%	1,989,660	1.05%	
			F 1 1 4 22 D 06		

County 33 - Furnas

	Urban		SubUrban		Rural	
AgLand	Acres	Value	Acres	Value	Acres	Value
Irrigated	24.700	21,335	0.000	0	61,921.270	50,886,340
Dry	17.000	9,490	0.000	0	190,445.080	91,484,030
Grass	0.000	0	0.000	0	175,068.260	44,521,830
Waste	0.000	0	0.000	0	7,199.370	549,760
Other	0.000	0	0.000	0	6,029.800	1,121,665
Exempt	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0
Total	41.700	30,825	0.000	0	440,663.780	188,563,625

AgLand	Tota Acres	l Value	Acres	% of Acres*	Value	% of Value*	Average Assessed Value*
Irrigated	61,945.970	50,907,675	61,945.970	14.06%	50,907,675	26.99%	821.807
Dry	190,462.080	91,493,520	190,462.080	43.22%	91,493,520	48.51%	480.376
Grass	175,068.260	44,521,830	175,068.260	39.72%	44,521,830	23.61%	254.311
Waste	7,199.370	549,760	7,199.370	1.63%	549,760	0.29%	76.362
Other	6,029.800	1,121,665	6,029.800	1.37%	1,121,665	0.59%	186.020
Exempt	0.000	0	0.000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000
Total	440,705.480	188,594,450	440,705.480	100.00%	188,594,450	100.00%	427.937

^{*} Department of Property Assessment & Taxation Calculates

2008 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2007 Certificate of Taxes Levied (CTL)

33 Furnas

	2007 CTL County Total	2008 Form 45 County Total	Value Difference (2007 Form 45 - 2006 CTL)	Percent Change	2008 Growth (New Construction Value)	% Change excl. Growth
1. Residential	83,071,995	84,021,895	949,900	1.14	567,985	0.46
2. Recreational	0	0	0		0	
3. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwellings	10,298,490	11,246,980	948,490	9.21	*	9.21
4. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3)	93,370,485	95,268,875	1,898,390	2.03	567,985	1.42
5. Commercial	16,403,895	17,522,010	1,118,115	6.82	1,516,265	-2.43
6. Industrial	741,395	18,023,015	17,281,620	2330.96	17,052,465	30.91
7. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings	19,938,805	19,835,915	-102,890	-0.52	355,375	-2.3
8. Minerals	504,620	643,260	138,640	27.47	0	27.47
9. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-8)	37,588,715	56,024,200	18,435,485	49.05	18,718,865	-0.75
10. Total Non-Agland Real Property	130,959,200	151,293,075	20,333,875	15.53	19,492,090	0.64
11. Irrigated	49,354,460	50,907,675	1,553,215	3.15		
12. Dryland	92,225,675	91,493,520	-732,155	-0.79		
13. Grassland	44,641,005	44,521,830	-119,175	-0.27		
14. Wasteland	553,735	549,760	-3,975	-0.72		
15. Other Agland	1,141,105	1,141,105	-19,440	-1.7		
16. Total Agricultural Land	187,915,980	188,594,450	678,470	0.36		
17. Total Value of All Real Property (Locally Assessed)	318,875,180	339,887,525	21,012,345	6.59	19,492,090	0.48

^{*}Growth is not typically identified separately within a parcel between ag-residential dwellings (line 3) and ag outbuildings (line 7), so for this display, all growth from ag-residential dwellings and ag outbuildings is shown in line 7.

2007 Plan of Assessment for Furnas County Assessment Years 2008, 2009 and 2010 Date: June 15, 2007

Plan of Assessment Requirements:

Pursuant to Nebr. Laws 2005, LB 263, Section 9, on or before June 15 each year, the assessor shall prepare a plan of assessment, (herein after referred to as the "plan"), which describes the assessment actions planned for the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and the quality of assessment practices required by law, and the resources necessary to complete those actions. On or before July 31 each year, the assessor shall present the plan to the county board of equalization and the assessor may amend the plan, if necessary, after the budget is approved by the county board. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Property Assessment and Taxation on or before October 31 each year.

Real Property Assessment Requirements:

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or is permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade." Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-112 (Reissue 2003). Assessment levels required for real property are as follows:

- 1) 100% of actual value for all classes of real property excluding agricultural and horticultural land;
- 2) 75% of actual value for agricultural land and horticultural land; and
- 3) 75% of special value for agricultural and horticultural land which meets the qualifications for special valuation under 77-1344 and 75% of its recapture value as defined in 77-1343 when the land is disqualified for special valuation under 77-1347.

Reference, Neb. Rev. Stat. 77-201 (R.S.Supp 2004).

General Description of Real Property in Furnas County:

Per the 2007 County Abstract, Furnas County consists of the following real property types:

	Parcels	% of Total Parcels	% of Taxable Value Base
Minerals	8		.001
Residential	2602	42.69	26.04
Commercial	450	7.38	5.13
Industrial	8	.13	.23
Recreational	0		
Agricultural	3027	48.06	68.4
Special Value	204	3.24	3.4

Agricultural land -440777.10 taxable acres. 13.65% irrigated, 43.48% dry, 39.83% grassland, 1.65% waste and 1.39% timber.

Other pertinent facts – 41% residential, 07% commercial and 51% agricultural (including special value)

For more information see 2007 Reports and Opinions, Abstract and Assessor Survey.

Current Resources

A. Assessor's Office staff includes:

Melody Crawford, Assessor

Bobbi Noel, Deputy

Gerald Eugene Witte, Appraiser

Sherry Thooft, ½ time Office Clerk

The Assessor and Deputy both hold Assessor's Certificates and will attend necessary training to obtain hours needed to keep certificates current. The high cost of approved training is a budgetary concern for Furnas County

The County Appraiser is a Registered Nebraska Appraiser, and also holds a Nebraska Real Estate License. He is responsible for gathering information on any new improvements and additions or alterations to existing improvements from Building Permits, County-wide zoning permits and any Assessor notes. His rotating review work involves looking at all improvements on each parcel, checking as to measurements of buildings, quality of construction, depreciation percentage and all information shown in Assessor's records for accuracy. Inspection of the interior of houses is done whenever possible.

- B Cadastral Maps and aerial photos are in need of replacement, as they are both around 30 years old. The most current source is the FSA CD
- C Property Record Cards contain Cama pricing sheets and pictures, Lot size drawing, MIPS county solutions yearly values.

Current Assessment Procedures for Real Property

- A Both Assessor and Deputy Assessor handle transfers each month. A verification form is mailed out.
- B. Office pulls property record cards for Appraiser to review information.
- C. All arm length sales are entered in a Computer by type such as Residential, Commercial or Agriculture. Under each type is a more detailed description. Residential by year construction, Quality and Style. Commercial by City, School Dist, Type or use.Ag by major land use, acres, Geo code, Land Area & School dist.
- D. Approaches to Value
 - 1) Market Approach: Sales comparison,
 - 2) Cost Approach: Marshall Swift manual Commercial 2006, Residential 2005.
 - 3) Land valuation studies are used to establish market areas, special value for agricultural land and agricultural land.
- E. Reconciliation of Final Value and documentation
- F. Review assessment sales ratio studies after assessment actions.
- G. Notices and Public Relations

Level of value, Quality, and Uniformity of assessment year 2007:

Property Class	Median	Cod*	PRD*
Residential	97.42	23.47	108.27
Commercial	96.47	10.86	110.38
Agricultural Land	75.00	15.20	104.99
Special Value Agland	75.00	15.20	104.99
Recapture Value	67.00		100.00

^{*}COD means coefficient of dispersion and PRD means price related Differential. For more information regarding statistical measures see 2007 Reports and Opinions.

Assessment actions planned for Assessment year 2008

2008 Assessment year Assessor & Office Staff

Residential

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2008.
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct and verify sales.
- 3. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 4. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Commercial

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2008
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 3. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 4. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Agricultural

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2008
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 3. Use current FSA CD to update land use, if available.
- 4. Work on identification of CREP & EQIP acres on property record cards
- 5. Work on updating certified irrigated acres as per NRD records

County Appraiser

- 1. Complete pickup work using Building Permits, County wide zoning and Assessors notes.
- 2. Complete door to door review of all improvements in the Rural not done along with towns and take digital pictures of improvements as needed.
- 3. Review all property protests with the Commissioner
- 4. Attend Board of Equalization hearings.

Assessment actions Planned for Assessment year 2009

2009 Assessment year Assessor & Office Staff

Residential

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2009.
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct and verify sales.
- 3. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 4. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Commercial

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2009
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 3. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 4. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Agricultural

- 1. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2009
- 2. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 3. Use current FSA CD to update land use, if available.

County Appraiser

- 1. Complete pickup work using Building Permits, County wide zoning and Assessors notes.
- 2. Complete door to door review of Cambridge, Holbrook, Arapahoe, Edison, and rural improvements in those areas of the county. New pictures are taken when needed.
- 3. Review all property protests with the Commissioners
- 4. Attend Board of Equalization hearings

Assessment actions Planned for Assessment year 2010

2010 Assessment year Assessor & Office Staff

Residential

- 1. Obtain pricing updates on CAMA program to be applied to residential homes and outbuildings.
- 2. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2010.
- 3. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct and verify sales
- 4. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 5. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Commercial

- 1. Reprice commercial properties on new Marshall & Swift manual
- 2. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2010
- 3. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 4. Update files from the Appraisers review work such as date of inspection.
- 5. Get the review work ready for the next year.

Agricultural

- 1. Obtain pricing updates on CAMA program to be applied to rural homes and outbuildings.
- 2. Complete pickup work by March 1, 2010
- 3. Complete study of current sales ratio reports to determine if level of value and quality of assessment is correct.
- 4. Use current FSA CD to update land use, if available.

County Appraiser

- 1. Complete pickup work using Building Permits, County wide zoning and Assessors notes.
- 2. Complete door to door review of Oxford, Beaver City, Hendley and Wilsonville and rural improvements in those areas of the county. New pictures are taken when needed.
- 3. Review all property protests with the Commissioner
- 4. Attend Board of Equalization hearings

Other functions preformed by the assessor's office, but not limited to:

- 1. Record Maintenance, Mapping updates, & Ownership changes
- 2. Annually prepare the following Assessor Administrative Reports required by law/regulation:
 - a. Abstracts (Real & Personal Property)
 - b. Assessor Survey
 - c. Sales information to PA&T rosters & annual Assessed value update w/Abstract
 - d. Certification of Value to Political Subdivisions
 - e. School District Taxable Value Report.
 - f. Homestead Exemption Tax Loss Report (in conjunction with Treasurer)
 - g. Certificate of Taxes Levied Report
 - h. Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Education Lands & Funds
 - i. Report of all Exempt Property and Taxable Government Owned Property
 - j. Annual Plan of Assessment Report.
- 3. Personal Property; administer annual filing of approximately 694 schedules, prepare subsequent notices for incomplete filings or failure to file and penalties applied, as required.
- 4. Permissive Exemption: administer annual filings of applications for new or continued exempt use, review and make recommendations to county board.
- 5. Taxable Government Owned Property- annual review of government owned property not used for public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.
- 6. Homestead Exemptions; administer approximately 292 annual filings of applications, approval/denial process, taxpayer notifications and taxpayer assistance.
- 7. Centrally Assessed review of valuations as certified by PA&T for railroads and public service entities, establish assessment records and tax billing for tax list.
- 8. Tax Increment Financing management of school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information; input/review of tax rates used for tax billing process.
- 9. Tax Districts and Tax Rates management of school district and other tax entity boundary changes necessary for correct assessment and tax information; input/review of tax rates used for tax billing process.
- 10. Tax Lists: prepare and certify tax lists to county treasurer for real property, personal property, and centrally assessed.

- 11. Tax List Corrections- prepare tax list correction documents for county board approval
- 12. County Board of Equalization attend county board of equalization meetings for valuation protests-assemble and provide information
- 13. TERC Appeals- prepare information attend taxpayer appeal hearings before TERC, defend valuation
- 14. TERC Statewide Equalization- attend hearings if applicable to county, defend values, and/or implement orders of the TERC.
- 15. Education: Assessor Education attend meetings, workshops, and educational classes to obtain 60 hours of continuing education to maintain assessor certification

Conclusion:

Estimated Appraisal Budget needs for 2007-2008 include:

Appraisal Budget \$18000
Prichard & Abbott \$600
Gene Witte \$14400
Mileage (est) \$2300
Ethanol Appraisal \$1000

Respectfully submitted:

Assessor: <u>Melody L. Crawford</u> Date: <u>June 15, 2007</u>

.

2008 Assessment Survey for Furnas County

I. General Information

A. Staffing and Funding Information

1.	Deputy(ies) on staff
2.	Appraiser(s) on staff
	An appraiser has been hired to work 5 days a month, but may choose to save and use the days to best suit his work schedule.
	use the days to best suit his work schedule.
3.	Other full-time employees
	0
4.	Other part-time employees
	1
5.	Number of shared employees
	0
6.	Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year
	\$ 68,638
7.	Part of the budget that is dedicated to the computer system
	All computer expenses are allocated out of the county general budget.
8.	Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above
0.	\$ 68,526
	φ σσ,ε 2 σ
9.	Amount of the total budget set aside for appraisal work
	\$ - 0 -
10.	Amount of the total budget set aside for education/workshops
	\$ 1,000
11.	Appraisal/Reappraisal budget, if not part of the total budget
	\$ 19,000 is allocated from the county general fund for appraisal work.
12.	Other miscellaneous funds
	\$ - 0 -

13.	Total budget
	\$ 68,526
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used:
a.	Was any of last year's budget not used: No

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

1.	Administrative software
	MIPS
2.	CAMA software
	MIPS
3.	Cadastral maps: Are they currently being used?
	Yes
4.	Who maintains the Cadastral Maps?
	Assessor
5.	Does the county have GIS software?
	No
6.	Who maintains the GIS software and maps?
	Non-applicable
7.	Personal Property software:
	MIPS

C. Zoning Information

1.	Does the county have zoning?
	Yes
2.	If so, is the zoning countywide?
	Yes
3.	What municipalities in the county are zoned?
	Arapahoe, Beaver City, Cambridge, and Oxford

4.	When was zoning implemented?
	1999

D. Contracted Services

1.	Appraisal Services
	The firm of Pritchard & Abbott has been contracted to do the oil and gas mineral appraisals within the county. Another professional appraiser has been contracted to appraise the ethanol plant that is near completion in Cambridge.
2.	Other services
	None

Certification

This is to certify that the 2008 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator have been sent to the following:

- •Five copies to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission, by hand delivery.
- •One copy to the Furnas County Assessor, by certified mail, return receipt requested, 7006 2760 0000 6387 5654.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2008.

Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Divisio