## BEFORE THE NEBRASKA TAX EQUALIZATION AND REVIEW COMMISSION

Bel Fury Investments Group, LLC, Appellant,

v.

Douglas County Board of Equalization, Appellee.

Case No: 18R 0339

Decision and Order Affirming County Board of Equalization

# Background

- 1. The Subject Property is single family residence, with a legal description of: Raven Oaks Replat No 1 Lot 4 Block 0 Irreg Estly 55.75 ft.
- 2. The Douglas County Assessor (the Assessor) assessed the Subject Property at \$83,800 for tax year 2018.
- 3. Bel Fury Investments Group LLC (the Taxpayer) protested this value to the Douglas County Board of Equalization (the County Board) and requested an assessed value of \$69,800 for tax year 2018.
- 4. The County Board determined that the taxable value of the Subject Property was \$83,800 for tax year 2018.
- 5. The Taxpayer appealed the determination of the County Board to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (the Commission).
- 6. A Single Commissioner hearing was held on January 28, 2020, at the Commission Hearing Room, Sixth Floor, Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska, before Commissioner James D. Kuhn.
- 7. Scott W. Bloemer was present at the hearing for the Taxpayer.
- 8. Larry Thomsen (the Appraiser) was present for the County Board.

## Applicable Law

- 9. All real property in Nebraska subject to taxation shall be assessed as of the effective date of January 1.<sup>1</sup>
- 10. The Commission's review of the determination of the County Board of Equalization is de novo.<sup>2</sup>
- 11. When considering an appeal a presumption exists that the "board of equalization has faithfully performed its official duties in making an assessment and has acted upon

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1301(1) (Reissue 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5016(8) (Reissue 2018), *Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal.*, 276 Neb. 275, 286, 753 N.W.2d 802, 813 (2008). "When an appeal is conducted as a 'trial de novo,' as opposed to a 'trial de novo on the record,' it means literally a new hearing and not merely new findings of fact based upon a previous record. A trial de novo is conducted as though the earlier trial had not been held in the first place, and evidence is taken anew as such evidence is available at the time of the trial on appeal." *Koch v. Cedar Cty. Freeholder Bd.*, 276 Neb. 1009, 1019 (2009).

- sufficient competent evidence to justify its action."<sup>3</sup> That presumption "remains until there is competent evidence to the contrary presented, and the presumption disappears when there is competent evidence adduced on appeal to the contrary. From that point forward, the reasonableness of the valuation fixed by the board of equalization becomes one of fact based upon all the evidence presented. The burden of showing such valuation to be unreasonable rests upon the taxpayer on appeal from the action of the board."<sup>4</sup>
- 12. The order, decision, determination or action appealed from shall be affirmed unless evidence is adduced establishing that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary.<sup>5</sup>
- 13. Proof that the order, decision, determination, or action was unreasonable or arbitrary must be made by clear and convincing evidence.<sup>6</sup>
- 14. A Taxpayer must introduce competent evidence of actual value of the Subject Property in order to successfully claim that the Subject Property is overvalued.<sup>7</sup>
- 15. The Commission's Decision and Order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law.<sup>8</sup>

## Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

- 16. The Taxpayer stated that the assessed land value of the Subject Property is in excess of fair market value due to water problems on the lot that directly lead to water problems in the basement of the Subject Property. The Taxpayer asserted the Subject Property's lot is small with a steep slope that doesn't provide much of an open and usable yard.
- 17. The Taxpayer provided four comparable properties that, he feels, show disequalization in land values. The four comparable properties are all located within half a mile of the Subject Property. The comparable properties show land values ranging from \$0.99 per square foot to \$1.47 per square foot, with an average being \$1.34 per square foot. The Subject Property has a current land value of \$3.74 per square foot.
- 18. The Appraiser stated that the four comparable properties provided by the Taxpayer are in a different neighborhood. The Appraiser provide three comparable properties that are neighboring properties to the Subject Property. The three comparables provided by the Appraiser show land values ranging from \$3.80 per square foot to \$4.72 per square foot.
- 19. The three comparable land values provided by the Appraiser are the best evidence to show the Subject Property is being valued fairly in comparison to other similar properties because they are located in the same neighborhood as the Subject Property.

<sup>5</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5016(9) (Reissue 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Brenner v. Banner Cty. Bd. of Equal., 276 Neb. 275, 283, 753 N.W.2d 802, 811 (2008).

<sup>4 14</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Omaha Country Club v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Equal., 11 Neb. App. 171, 645 N.W.2d 821 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cf. Josten-Wilbert Vault Co. v. Bd. of Equal. for Buffalo Cty., 179 Neb. 415, 138 N.W.2d 641 (1965) (determination of actual value); Lincoln Tel. and Tel. Co. v. County Bd. of Equal. of York Cty., 209 Neb. 465, 308 N.W.2d 515 (1981) (determination of equalized taxable value).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5018(1) (Reissue 2018).

- 20. The Taxpayer has not produced competent evidence that the County Board failed to faithfully perform its duties and to act on sufficient competent evidence to justify its actions.
- 21. The Taxpayer has not adduced clear and convincing evidence that the determination of the County Board is arbitrary or unreasonable and the decision of the County Board should be affirmed.

#### **ORDER**

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Decision of the County Board of Equalization determining the taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2018 is affirmed.
- 2. The taxable value of the Subject Property for tax year 2018 is:

Land	\$23,100
Improvements	\$60,700
Total	\$83,800

- 3. This Decision and Order, if no further action is taken, shall be certified to the Douglas County Treasurer and the Douglas County Assessor, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5018 (Reissue 2018).
- 4. Any request for relief, by any party, which is not specifically provided for by this Decision and Order is denied.
- 5. Each Party is to bear its own costs in this proceeding.
- 6. This Decision and Order shall only be applicable to tax year 2018.
- 7. This Decision and Order is effective on February 14, 2020.

Signed and Sealed: February 14, 2020

James D. Kuhn, Commissioner